Hindawi Publishing Corporation Abstract and Applied Analysis Volume 2012, Article ID 805846, 21 pages doi:10.1155/2012/805846

## Research Article

# **Existence and Global Exponential Stability of Periodic Solution to Cohen-Grossberg BAM Neural Networks with Time-Varying Delays**

## Kaiyu Liu, Zhengqiu Zhang, and Liping Wang

College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Kaiyu Liu, liukyhnu@yahoo.com.cn

Received 16 December 2011; Revised 4 February 2012; Accepted 6 February 2012

Academic Editor: Agacik Zafer

Copyright © 2012 Kaiyu Liu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We investigate first the existence of periodic solution in general Cohen-Grossberg BAM neural networks with multiple time-varying delays by means of using degree theory. Then using the existence result of periodic solution and constructing a Lyapunov functional, we discuss global exponential stability of periodic solution for the above neural networks. Our result on global exponential stability of periodic solution is different from the existing results. In our result, the hypothesis for monotonicity ineqiality conditions in the works of Xia (2010) Chen and Cao (2007) on the behaved functions is removed and the assumption for boundedness in the works of Zhang et al. (2011) and Li et al. (2009) is also removed. We just require that the behaved functions satisfy sign conditions and activation functions are globally Lipschitz continuous.

### **1. Introduction**

In 1983, Cohen and Grossberg [1] constructed a kind of simplified neural networks that are now called Cohen-Grossberg neural networks (CGNNs); they have received increasing interesting due to their promising potential applications in many fields such as pattern recognition, parallel computing, associative memory, and combinatorial optimization. Such applications heavily depend on the dynamical behaviors. Thus, the qualitative analysis of the dynamical behaviors is a necessary step for the practical design and application of neural networks (or neural system [2–4]). The stability of Cohen-Grossberg neural network with or without delays has been widely studied by many researchers, and various interesting results have been reported [5–14].

On the other hand, since the pioneering work of Kosko [15, 16], a series of neural networks related to bidirectional associative memory models have been proposed. These

models generalized the single-layer autoassociative Hebbian correlator to a class of twolayer pattern-matched heteroassociative circuits. Bidirectional associative memory neural networks have also been used in many fields such as pattern recognition and automatic control and image and signal processing. During the last years, many authors have discussed the existence and global stability of BAM neural networks [17–20]. In recent years, a few authors [17, 21–26] discussed global stability of Cohen-Grossberg BAM neural networks.

As is well known, the studies on neural dynamical system not only involve a discussion of stability properties but also involve other dynamic behavior, such as periodic oscillatory behavior, chaos, and bifurcation. In many applications, periodic oscillatory behavior is of great interest; it has been found in applications in learning theory. Hence, it is of prime importance to study periodic oscillatory solutions of neural networks.

This motivates us to consider periodic solutions of Cohen-Grossberg BAM neural networks. Recently, a few authors discussed the existence and stability of periodic solution to Cohen-Grossberg BAM neural networks with delays [27–31].

In [27], the authors proposed a class of bidirectional Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with distributed delays as follows:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_{i}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -a_{i}(x_{i}(t)) \left[ b_{i}(t,x_{i}(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}(t) \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{ji}(u) \times f_{j}(t,\lambda_{j}y_{j}(t-u)) \mathrm{d}u - I_{i}(t) \right], \quad i = 1,2,\dots,n, \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}y_{j}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -c_{j}(y_{j}(t)) \left[ d_{j}(t,y_{j}(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{ji}(t) \int_{0}^{\infty} L_{ij}(u) \times g_{i}(t,\mu_{i}x_{i}(t-u)) \mathrm{d}u - J_{j}(t) \right], \quad j = 1,2,\dots,m.$$
(1.1)

By using the Lyapunov functional method and some analytical techniques, some sufficient conditions were obtained for global exponential stability of periodic solutions to these networks.

In [28], the authors discussed the following Cohen-Grossberg-type BAM neural networks with time-varying delays:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_{i}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -a_{i}(x_{i}(t)) \left[ b_{i}(x_{i}(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}(t) f_{j}(\lambda_{j} y_{j}(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) - I_{i}(t) \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}y_{j}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -c_{j}(y_{j}(t)) \left[ d_{j}(y_{j}(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{ji}(t) g_{i}(\mu_{i} x_{i}(t - \sigma_{ji}(t))) - J_{j}(t) \right], \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$
(1.2)

where  $n, m \ge 2$  are the number of neurons in the networks with initial value conditions:

$$x_i(\theta) = \phi_i(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-r_1, 0], \quad y_j(\theta) = \phi_j(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-r_2, 0], \tag{1.3}$$

where  $r_1 = \max_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m, 0 \le t \le \omega} \{\sigma_{ji}(t)\}, r_2 = \max_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m, 0 \le t \le \omega} \{\tau_{ij}(t)\}, a_i(x_i(t)), b_i(x_i(t)), c_j(y_j(t)), d_j(y_j(t)) \text{ are continuous functions, } f_j(\lambda_j y_j(t - \tau_{ji}(t))), g_i(\mu_i x_i(t - \delta_{ij}(t))) \text{ are } f_j(\lambda_j y_j(t - \tau_{ji}(t)))$ 

continuous functions,  $\lambda_j$ ,  $\mu_i$  are parameters,  $I_i(t)$  and  $J_j(t)$  are continuous functions,  $x_i$  and  $y_j$  denote the state variables of the *i*th neurons from the neural field  $F_U$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  at time *t*, respectively,  $a_i(x_i(t)) > 0$ ,  $c_j(y_j(t)) > 0$  represent amplification functions of the *i*th neurons from the neural field  $F_U$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_U$ , respectively,  $b_i(x_i(t)), d_j(y_j(t))$  are appropriately behaved functions of the *i*th neurons from the neural field  $F_U$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_U$ , respectively,  $f_j, g_i$  are the activation functions of the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$ , respectively,  $f_j$ ,  $g_i$  are the neural field  $F_U$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  and the *j*th neurons from the neural field  $F_V$  respectively,  $p_{ij}$  and  $q_{ji}$  are the connection weights, which denote the strengths of connectivity between the neuron *j* from the neural field  $F_V$  and the neuron *i* from the neural field  $F_U$ , and  $\tau_{ij}(t)$ ,  $\sigma_{ij}(t)$  correspond to the transmission time delays.

By using the analysis method and inequality technique, some sufficient conditions were obtained to ensure the existence, uniqueness, global attractivity, and exponential stability of the periodic solution to this neural networks.

In [29, 30], the authors discussed, respectively, two Cohen-Grossberg BAM neural networks on time scales. When time scale T becomes R, the existence and global exponential stability of periodic solution are obtained in [29, 30] under the assumptions that activation functions satisfy global Lipschitz conditions and boundedness conditions and behaved functions satisfy some inequality conditions.

In [31], the authors discussed the following Cohen-Grossberg BAM neural networks of neutral type with delays:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_{i}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -a_{i}(x_{i}(t)) \left[ b_{i}(x_{i}(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij}(t) f_{j}(y_{j}(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ij}(t) f_{j}(y_{j}(t - \sigma_{ij}(t))) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{ij}(t) f_{j}(y_{j}(t - \sigma_{ij}(t))) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{ij}(t) f_{j}(y_{j}(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{ji}(t) g_{i}(x_{i}(t - p_{ji}(t))) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{ji}(t) g_{i}(x_{i}(t - q_{ji}(t))) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{ji}(t) g_{i}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{ji}(t) g_{i}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{ji}(t) - \sum_{$$

Under the assumptions that activation functions satisfy global Lipschitz conditions and behaved functions satisfy some inequality conditions, global exponential stability of periodic solution is obtained for system (1.4).

In this paper, our purpose is to obtain a new sufficient condition for the existence and global exponential stability of periodic solution of system (1.2). The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the existence of periodic solution of system (1.2) by using coincidence degree theory and inequality technique. In Section 3, we study the global exponential stability of periodic solution of system (1.2) by using the existence result of periodic solution and constituting Lyapunov functional. Our result on global exponential stability of periodic solution is different from the existing results. In our result, the hypotheses for monotonicity inequalities in [27, 28] on behaved functions are replaced with sign conditions and the assumption for boundedness in [29, 30] on activation functions is removed.

#### 2. Existence of Periodic Solution

In this section, we first establish the existence of at least a periodic solution by applying the coincidence degree theory. To establish the existence of at least a periodic solution by applying the coincidence degree theory, we recall some basic tools in the frame work of Mawhin's coincidence degree [32] that will be used to investigate the existence of periodic solutions.

Let *X*, *Z* be Banach spaces, *L*: Dom  $L \,\subset X \to Z$  a linear mapping, and  $N : X \to Z$ a continuous mapping. The mapping *L* will be called a Fredholm mapping of index zero if dim Ker *L* = codim Im  $L < \infty$  and Im*L* is closed in *Z*. If *L* is a Fredholm mapping of index zero, then there exist continuous projectors  $P : X \to \text{Ker } L$  and  $Q : Z \to Z/\text{Im } L$  such that Im P = Ker *L* and Im L = Ker Q = Im(I - Q). It follows that  $L/_{\text{Dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P} : (I - P)X \to$ Im *L* is invertible. We denote the inverse of the map  $L/_{\text{Dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P}$  by  $K_p$ . If  $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset of *X*, the mapping *N* will be called *L*-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$  if  $(QN)(\overline{\Omega})$  is bounded and  $K_p(I - Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \to X$  is compact. Since Im *Q* is isomorphic to Ker *L*, there exists an isomorphism  $J : \text{Im } Q \to \text{Ker } L$ .

In the proof of our existence theorem, we will use the continuation theorem of Gaines and Mawhin [32].

**Lemma 2.1** (continuation theorem). Let *L* be a Fredholm mapping of index zero, and let *N* be *L*-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Suppose

- (a)  $Lx \neq \lambda N(x)$ , for all  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ ,  $x \in \partial \Omega$ ,
- (b)  $QN(x) \neq 0$ , for all  $x \in \text{Ker } L \cap \partial \Omega$ ,
- (c) deg(JQNx,  $\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L$ , 0)  $\neq 0$ .

*Then,* Lx = Nx *has at least one solution in* Dom  $L \cap \Omega$ *.* 

For the sake of convenience, we introduce some notations.

 $|\cdot|$  denotes the norm in R,  $f = \max_{0 \le t \le \omega} |f(t)|$ ,  $\overline{f} = \min_{0 \le t \le \omega} |f(t)|$ , where f(t) is a continuously periodic function with common period  $\omega$ . Our main result on the existence of at least a periodic solution for system (1.2) is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. One assume that the following conditions holds:

- (i)  $p_{ij}(t)$ ,  $q_{ji}(t)$ ,  $I_i(t)$ ,  $J_j(t)$  are continuously periodic functions on  $t \in [0, +\infty)$  with common period  $\omega > 0$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m;
- (ii)  $a_i(\cdot)$  and  $c_j(\cdot)$  are continuously bounded, that is, there exist positive constants  $l_i, l_i^*$ ,  $k_j, k_i^*$  (i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., m) such that

$$l_i \le a_i \le l_i^*,$$
  

$$k_j \le c_j \le k_j^*;$$
(2.1)

(iii)  $b_i(x_i(t))$  and  $d_j(y_j(t))$  are continuous and there exist positive constants  $M_i, N_j$  (i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., m) such that for all  $x, y \neq x \in R$ ,

$$sign(x - y)[b_{i}(x) - b_{i}(y)] \ge M_{i}|x - y|,sign(x - y)[d_{i}(x) - d_{i}(y)] \ge N_{i}|x - y|;$$
(2.2)

(iv) there exist positive constants  $A_j$ ,  $B_i$  (i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m) such that for all  $x, y \in R$ ,

$$|f_{j}(x) - f_{j}(y)| \le A_{j}|x - y|, |g_{i}(x) - g_{i}(y)| \le B_{i}|x - y|;$$
(2.3)

(v) there exist two positive constants  $r_i > 1$ , i = 1, 2 with  $\tau'_{ij} < \min\{1, 1 - r_1^{-1}\} < 1$  and  $\sigma'_{ji} < \min\{1, 1 - r_2^{-1}\} < 1$  such that for i = 1, ..., n; j = 1, ..., m,

$$l_i M_i > \sum_{j=1}^m l_i^* \overline{p_{ij}} A_j \lambda_j \sqrt{r_1},$$

$$k_j N_j > \sum_{i=1}^n k_j^* \overline{q_{ji}} B_i \mu_i \sqrt{r_2}.$$
(2.4)

Then, system (1.2) has at least one  $\omega$ -periodic solution.

Proof. In order to apply Lemma 2.1 to system (1.2), let

$$X = \left\{ u = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)^T \in C(R, R^{m+n}) : u(t + \omega) = u(t) \right\},$$
  

$$Z = \{ z \in C(R, R^{m+n}) : z(t + \omega) = z(t) \}.$$
(2.5)

Define

$$\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0,\omega]} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i(t)| + \max_{t \in [0,\omega]} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |y_j(t)|, \quad u \in X \text{ or } Z.$$
(2.6)

Equipped with the above norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , *X* and *Z* are Banach spaces.

Let for  $u \in X$ 

$$Nu = \begin{pmatrix} H_{i}(t) \\ K_{j}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -a_{i}(x_{i}(t)) \left[ b_{i}(x_{i}(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}(t) f_{j}(\lambda_{j} y_{j}(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) - I_{i}(t) \right] \\ -c_{j}(y_{j}(t)) \left[ d_{j}(y_{j}(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{ji}(t) g_{i}(\mu_{i} x_{i}(t - \sigma_{ji}(t))) - J_{j}(t) \right] \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$Lu = u' = \frac{\mathrm{d}u(t)}{\mathrm{d}t}, \quad Pu = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} u(t) \mathrm{d}t, \quad u \in X, \quad Qz = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} z(t) \mathrm{d}t, \quad z \in Z.$$

Then, it follows that Ker  $L = R^{(m+n)}$ , Im  $L = \{z \in Z : \int_0^{\omega} z(t)dt = 0\}$  is closed in Z, dim Ker L = m + n = codim Im L, and P, Q are continuous projectors such that

$$\operatorname{Im} P = \operatorname{Ker} L, \qquad \operatorname{Ker} Q = \operatorname{Im} L = \operatorname{Im}(I - Q). \tag{2.8}$$

Hence, *L* is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Furthermore, the generalized inverse (to *L*)  $K_{p:} \operatorname{Im} L \to \operatorname{Ker} P \cap \operatorname{Dom} L$  is given by

$$K_p(z) = \int_0^t z(s) \, \mathrm{d}s - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \int_0^s z(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}s.$$
 (2.9)

Then,

$$QNu = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} H_{1}(s) ds \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} H_{2}(s) ds \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} H_{n}(s) ds \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} K_{1}(s) ds \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} K_{2}(s) ds \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} K_{m}(s) ds \end{pmatrix},$$

$$K_{p}(I - Q)Nu = \begin{pmatrix} f_{0}^{t}H_{1}(s) ds - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{t} H_{1}(s) ds dt + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\omega}) \int_{0}^{\omega} H_{1}(s) ds \\ f_{0}^{t}H_{2}(s) ds - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{t} H_{2}(s) ds dt + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\omega}) \int_{0}^{\omega} H_{2}(s) ds \\ \vdots \\ f_{0}^{t}H_{n}(s) ds - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{t} H_{n}(s) ds dt + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\omega}) \int_{0}^{\omega} H_{n}(s) ds \\ f_{0}^{t}K_{1}(s) ds - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{t} K_{1}(s) ds dt + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\omega}) \int_{0}^{\omega} K_{1}(s) ds \\ \vdots \\ f_{0}^{t}K_{m}(s) ds - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{t} K_{m}(s) ds dt + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\omega}) \int_{0}^{\omega} K_{m}(s) ds \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.10)

Obviously, QN and  $K_P(I-Q)N$  are continuous. It is not difficult to show that  $K_p(I-Q)N(\Omega)$ is compact for any open bounded set  $\Omega \subset X$  by using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem. Moreover,  $QN(\overline{\Omega})$  is clearly bounded. Thus, N is L-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$  with any open bounded set  $\Omega \subset X$ . Condition (iii) in Theorem 2.2 implies that for all  $x \in R$ 

$$\operatorname{sign} xb_i(x) \ge M_i|x| + \operatorname{sign} xb_i(0),$$
  
 
$$\operatorname{sign} xd_j(x) \ge N_j|x| + \operatorname{sign} xd_j(0).$$
(2.11)

Condition (iv) in Theorem 2.2 implies that for all  $x \in R$ 

$$|f_j(x)| \le A_j |x| + |f_j(0)|, |g_i(x)| \le B_i |x| + |g_j(0)|.$$
(2.12)

Corresponding to the operator equation  $Lx = \lambda Nx$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , we have for i = 1, 2, ..., n, j =1,...,*m* 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_i(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lambda H_i(t),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}y_j(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lambda K_j(t).$$
(2.13)

Assume that  $u \in X$  is a solution of system (2.13) for some  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Multiplying the first equation of system (2.13) by  $x_i(t)$  and integrating over  $[0, \omega]$ , we have

$$\int_{0}^{\omega} x_{i}(t) \operatorname{sign} x_{i}(t) \operatorname{sign} x_{i}(t) \times \left\{ a_{i}(x_{i}(t)) \left[ b_{i}(x_{i}(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}(t) f_{j}(\lambda_{j}y_{j}(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) - I_{i}(t) \right] \right\} dt = 0.$$
(2.14)

Multiplying the second equation of system (2.13) by  $y_i(t)$  and integrating over  $[0, \omega]$ , we have

$$\int_{0}^{\omega} y_{j}(t) \operatorname{sign} y_{j}(t) \operatorname{sign} y_{j}(t) \times \left\{ c_{j}(y_{j}(t)) \left[ d_{j}(y_{j}(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{ji}(t) g_{i}(\mu_{i} x_{i}(t - \sigma_{ji}(t))) - J_{j}(t) \right] \right\} dt = 0.$$
(2.15)

From (2.14) and (2.15), we obtain

$$l_{i}M_{i}\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i}(t)|^{2}dt$$

$$\leq l_{i}^{*}\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i}(t)|\left\{-a_{i}\operatorname{sign} x_{i}(t)b_{i}(0)+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\overline{p_{ij}}\left(A_{j}\lambda_{j}|y_{j}(t-\tau_{ij}(t))|+|f_{j}(0)|\right)+\overline{I_{i}}\right\}dt,$$
(2.16)

$$k_{i}N_{j}\int_{0}^{\omega}|y_{j}(t)|^{2}dt$$

$$\leq k_{j}^{*}\int_{0}^{\omega}|y_{j}(t)|\left\{-c_{j}\operatorname{sign} y_{j}(t)d_{j}(0)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\overline{q_{ji}}(B_{i}\mu_{i}|x_{i}(t-\sigma_{ji}(t))|+|g_{i}(0)|)+\overline{J_{j}}\right\}dt.$$
(2.17)

Hence,

$$l_{i}M_{i}\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i}(t)|^{2}dt \leq l_{i}^{*}\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i}(t)|^{2}dt\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq l_{i}^{*}\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i}(t)|^{2}dt\right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{\omega}|f_{j}(0)| + l_{i}^{*}|b_{i}(0)| + \sqrt{\omega}I_{i},$$

$$k_{j}N_{j}\int_{0}^{\omega}|y_{j}(t)|^{2}dt \leq k_{j}^{*}\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|y_{j}(t)|^{2}dt\right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{\omega}|g_{i}(0)| + k_{j}^{*}|d_{j}(0)| + \sqrt{\omega}J_{j},$$

$$(2.19)$$

$$\times \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\overline{q_{ji}}\left[B_{i}\mu_{i}\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i}(t-\sigma_{ji}(t))|^{2}dt\right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{\omega}|g_{i}(0)|\right] + k_{j}^{*}|d_{j}(0)| + \sqrt{\omega}J_{j}\right\}$$

Denoting  $s = t - \tau_{ij}(t) = g(t)$ ,  $\sigma = t - \sigma_{ji}(t) = h(t)$ , then

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |y_{j}(t-\tau_{ij}(t))|^{2} dt\right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{|y_{j}(s)|^{2}}{1-\tau_{ij}'(g^{-1}(s))} ds\right)^{1/2},$$
(2.20)

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |x_{i}(t-\sigma_{ji}(t))|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{|x_{i}(\sigma)|^{2}}{1-\sigma_{ji}'(h^{-1}(\sigma))} \mathrm{d}\sigma\right)^{1/2}.$$
(2.21)

Substituting (2.20) into (2.18) and substituting (2.21) into (2.19) give for i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., m

$$l_{i}M_{i}\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i}(t)|^{2}dt \leq l_{i}^{*}\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i}(t)|^{2}dt\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq l_{i}^{*}\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i}(t)|^{2}dt\right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{\omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\overline{p_{ij}}|f_{j}(0)| + |b_{i}(0)| + \overline{l_{i}}\right)\right),$$

$$k_{j}N_{j}\int_{0}^{\omega}|y_{j}(t)|^{2}dt \leq k_{j}^{*}\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|y_{j}(t)|^{2}\right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{\omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\overline{q_{ji}}|g_{i}(0)| + |d_{j}(0)| + \overline{l_{j}}\right)\right).$$

$$(2.22)$$

$$\times \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\overline{q_{ji}}B_{i}\mu_{i}\sqrt{r_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i}(t)|^{2}dt\right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{\omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\overline{q_{ji}}|g_{i}(0)| + |d_{j}(0)| + \overline{l_{j}}\right)\right\}.$$

Denoting for the sake of convenience

$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} \left\{ \left( \int_0^{\omega} |x_i(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\} = \left( \int_0^{\omega} |x_{i_0}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\max_{1 \le j \le m} \left\{ \left( \int_0^{\omega} |y_j(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\} = \left( \int_0^{\omega} |y_{j_0}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2},$$
(2.24)

where,  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ ,  $j_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ , and from (2.22) and (2.23), we obtain

$$l_{i_{0}}M_{i_{0}}\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i_{0}}(t)|^{2}dt\right)^{1/2} \leq l_{i_{0}}^{*}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\overline{p_{i_{0}j}}A_{j}\lambda_{j}\sqrt{r_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|y_{j_{0}}(t)|^{2}dt\right)^{1/2} + l_{i_{0}}^{*}\sqrt{\omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\overline{p_{i_{0}j}}|f_{j}(0)| + |b_{i_{0}}(0)| + \overline{I_{i_{0}}}\right),$$

$$k_{j_{0}}N_{j_{0}}\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|y_{j_{0}}(t)|^{2}dt\right)^{1/2} \leq k_{j_{0}}^{*}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\overline{q_{j_{0}i}}B_{i}\mu_{i}\sqrt{r_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i_{0}}(t)|^{2}dt\right)^{1/2} + k_{j_{0}}^{*}\sqrt{\omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\overline{q_{j_{0}i}}|g_{i}(0)| + |d_{j_{0}}(0)| + \overline{J_{j_{0}}}\right).$$

$$(2.25)$$

$$(2.26)$$

Now we consider two possible cases for (2.26) and (2.25):

(i) 
$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |y_{j_{0}}(t)|^{2} dt\right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |x_{i_{0}}(t)|^{2} dt\right)^{1/2}$$
,  
(ii)  $\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |y_{j_{0}}(t)|^{2} dt\right)^{1/2} > \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |x_{i_{0}}(t)|^{2} dt\right)^{1/2}$ .  
(2.27)

When  $\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |y_{j_0}(t)|^2 \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2} \le \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |x_{i_0}(t)|^2 \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2}$ , from (2.25), we have

$$\left(l_{i_{0}}M_{i_{0}}-l_{i_{0}}^{*}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\overline{p_{i_{0}j}}A_{j}\lambda_{j}\sqrt{r_{1}}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}|x_{i_{0}}(t)|^{2}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2} \leq l_{i_{0}}^{*}\sqrt{\omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\overline{p_{i_{0}j}}|f_{j}(0)|+|b_{i_{0}}(0)|+\overline{I_{i_{0}}}\right).$$
(2.28)

Thus,

$$\left( \int_{0}^{\omega} |x_{i_{0}}(t)|^{2} dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{l_{i_{0}}^{*} \sqrt{\omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{i_{0}j}} |f_{j}(0)| + |b_{i_{0}}(0)| + \overline{I_{i_{0}}} \right)}{l_{i_{0}} M_{i_{0}} - l_{i_{0}}^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{i_{0}j}} A_{j} \lambda_{j} \sqrt{r_{1}}} \\
\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{l_{i}^{*} \sqrt{\omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{i_{j}}} |f_{j}(0)| + |b_{i}(0)| + \overline{I_{i}} \right)}{l_{i} M_{i} - l_{i}^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{i_{j}}} A_{j} \lambda_{j} \sqrt{r_{1}}} \right\}$$

$$(2.29)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d_{1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |y_{j_{0}}(t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |x_{i_{0}}(t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2} \leq d_{1}.$$
(2.30)

(ii) When  $(\int_0^{\omega} |y_{j_0}(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2} > (\int_0^{\omega} |x_{i_0}(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2}$ , from (2.26), we have

$$\left(k_{j_{0}}N_{j_{0}}-k_{j_{0}}^{*}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\overline{q_{j_{0}i}}B_{i}\mu_{i}\sqrt{r_{2}}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\omega}\left|y_{j_{0}}(t)\right|^{2}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2} \leq k_{j_{0}}^{*}\sqrt{\omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\overline{q_{j_{0}i}}\left|g_{i}(0)\right|+\left|d_{j_{0}}(0)\right|+\overline{J_{j_{0}}}\right).$$
(2.31)

Thus,

$$\left( \int_{0}^{\omega} |y_{j_{0}}(t)|^{2} dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{k_{j_{0}}^{*} \sqrt{\omega} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{q_{j_{0}i}} |g_{i}(0)| + |d_{j_{0}}(0)| + \overline{J_{j_{0}}} \right)}{k_{j_{0}} N_{j_{0}} - k_{j_{0}}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{q_{j_{0}i}} B_{i} \mu_{i} \sqrt{r_{2}}} \\
\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ \frac{k_{j}^{*} \sqrt{\omega} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{q_{ji}} |g_{i}(0)| + |d_{j}(0)| + \overline{J_{j}} \right)}{k_{j} N_{j} - k_{j}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{q_{ji}} B_{i} \mu_{i} \sqrt{r_{2}}} \right\}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d_{2}.$$
(2.32)

Therefore,

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |x_{i_{0}}(t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |y_{j_{0}}(t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2} \leq d_{2}.$$
(2.33)

Hence, from (2.30) and (2.33), we have for i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m,  $t \in [0, \omega]$ 

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |x_{i}(t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2} < \max\{d_{1}, d_{2}\} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} d, \qquad (2.34)$$

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |y_{j}(t)|^{2} dt\right)^{1/2} < \max\{d_{1}, d_{2}\} = d.$$
(2.35)

Multiplying the first equation of system (2.13) by  $x'_i(t)$  and integrating over  $[0, \omega]$ , from (2.20) and (2.35) and the fact that

$$\int_{0}^{\omega} a_{i}(x_{i}(t))b_{i}(x_{i}(t))x_{i}'(t) dt = 0, \qquad (2.36)$$

it follows that

$$\left( \int_{0}^{\omega} |x_{i}'(t)|^{2} dt \right)^{1/2} \leq l_{i}^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{ij}} A_{j} \lambda_{j} \left( \int_{0}^{\omega} |y_{j}(t - \tau_{ij}(t))| dt \right)^{1/2} + l_{i}^{*} \sqrt{\omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{ij}} |f_{j}(0)| + \overline{l_{i}} \right) \\
\leq l_{i}^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{ij}} A_{j} \lambda_{j} \sqrt{r_{1}} \left( \int_{0}^{\omega} |y_{j}(t)|^{2} dt \right)^{1/2} + l_{i}^{*} \sqrt{\omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{ij}} |f_{j}(0)| + \overline{l_{i}} \right) \\
< \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ l_{i}^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{ij}} A_{j} \lambda_{j} \sqrt{r_{1}} d + l_{i}^{*} \sqrt{\omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{ij}} |f_{j}(0)| + \overline{l_{i}} \right) \right\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c_{1}.$$
(2.37)

Similarly, multiplying the second equation of system (2.13) by  $y_j(t)$  and integrating over  $[0, \omega]$ , from (2.21) and (2.34) and the fact that

$$\int_{0}^{\omega} c_j(y_j(t)) d_j(y_j(t)) y'_j(t) dt = 0, \qquad (2.38)$$

it follows that there exists a positive constant  $c_2$  such that

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \left|y_{j}'(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2} < c_{2}.$$
(2.39)

From (2.34) and (2.35), it follows that there exist points  $t_i$  and  $\overline{t_j}$  such that

$$|x_i(t_i)| < \frac{d}{\sqrt{\omega}},\tag{2.40}$$

$$\left|y_{j}\left(\overline{t_{j}}\right)\right| < \frac{d}{\sqrt{\omega}}.$$
(2.41)

Since for all  $t \in [0, \omega]$ ,

$$|x_{i}(t)| \leq |x_{i}(t_{i})| + \int_{0}^{\omega} |x_{i}'(t)| dt$$
  
$$\leq |x_{i}(t_{i})| + \sqrt{\omega} \left( \int_{0}^{\omega} |x_{i}'(t)|^{2} \right)^{1/2}, \qquad (2.42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |y_{j}(t)| &\leq |y_{j}(t_{i})| + \int_{0}^{\omega} |y_{j}'(t)| \mathrm{d}t \\ &\leq |y_{j}(t)| + \sqrt{\omega} \left( \int_{0}^{\omega} |y_{j}'(t)|^{2} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.43)$$

then from (2.40)–(2.43), we have for  $t \in [0, \omega]$ , i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., m

$$\begin{aligned} |x_i(t)| &\leq \frac{d}{\sqrt{\omega}} + \sqrt{\omega}c_1, \\ |y_j(t)| &\leq \frac{d}{\sqrt{\omega}} + \sqrt{\omega}c_2. \end{aligned}$$
(2.44)

Obviously,  $d/\sqrt{\omega}$ ,  $\sqrt{\omega}c_1$ , and  $\sqrt{\omega}c_2$  are all independent of  $\lambda$ . Now let

$$\Omega = \left\{ u = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)^T \in X : \\ \|u\| < n \left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{\omega}} + r_1 + \sqrt{\omega}c_1\right) + m \left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{\omega}} + r_2 + \sqrt{\omega}c_2\right) \right\},$$

$$(2.45)$$

where  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  are two chosen positive constants such that the bound of  $\Omega$  is larger. Then,  $\Omega$  is bounded open subset of *X*. Hence,  $\Omega$  satisfies requirement (a) in Lemma 2.1. We prove that (b) in Lemma 2.1 holds. If it is not true, then when  $u \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L = \partial \Omega \cap R^{(m+n)}$  we have

$$QNu = \left(\frac{1}{\omega}\int_0^{\omega} H_1(t)dt, \frac{1}{\omega}\int_0^{\omega} H_2(t)dt, \dots, \frac{1}{\omega}\int_0^{\omega} H_n(t)dt; \frac{1}{\omega}\int_0^{\omega} K_1(t)dt, \dots, \frac{1}{\omega}\int_0^{\omega} K_m(t)dt\right)^T = (0, \dots, 0)^T.$$
(2.46)

Therefore, there exist points  $\xi_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n) and  $\eta_j$  (j = 1, 2, ..., m) such that

$$H_i(\xi_i) = 0,$$
  
 $K_j(\eta_j) = 0.$  (2.47)

From this and following the arguments of (2.40) and (2.41), we have for forall  $i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m, t \in [0, \omega]$ 

$$|x_i(t)| < \frac{d}{\sqrt{\omega}},$$

$$|y_j(t)| < \frac{d}{\sqrt{\omega}}.$$
(2.48)

Hence,

$$\|u\| < n\frac{d}{\sqrt{\omega}} + m\frac{d}{\sqrt{\omega}}.$$
(2.49)

Thus,  $u \in \Omega \cap R^{(m+n)}$ . This contradicts the fact that  $u \in \partial \Omega \cap R^{(m+n)}$ . Hence, this proves that (b) in Lemma 2.1 holds. Finally, we show that (c) in Lemma 2.1 holds. We only need to prove that deg{ $-JQNu, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, (0, 0)^T$ }  $\neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ . Now, we show that

$$deg\left\{-JQNu, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, (0, 0, ..., 0)^{T}\right\}$$
  
=  $deg\left\{\left(l_{1}M_{1}x_{1}, l_{2}M_{2}x_{2}, ..., l_{n}M_{n}x_{n}; k_{1}N_{1}y_{1}, ..., k_{m}N_{m}y_{m}\right)^{T}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, (0, ..., 0)^{T}\right\}.$   
(2.50)

To this end, we define a mapping  $\phi$  : Dom  $L \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  by

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, \mu)$$

$$= -\frac{\mu}{\omega} \left( \int_0^{\omega} H_1(t) dt, \int_0^{\omega} H_2(t) dt, \dots, \int_0^{\omega} H_n(t) dt, \int_0^{\omega} K_1(t) dt, \dots, \int_0^{\omega} K_m(t) dt \right)$$

$$+ (1 - \mu) (l_1 M_1 x_1, l_2 M_2 x_2, \dots, l_n M_n x_n; k_1 N_1 y_1, \dots, k_m N_m y_m),$$

$$(2.51)$$

where  $\mu \in [0,1]$  is a parameter. We show that when  $u \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L = \partial \Omega \cap R^{(m+n)}$ ,  $\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_m, \mu) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ . If it is not true, then when  $u \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L = \partial \Omega \cap R^{(m+n)}$ ,  $\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_m, \mu) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ . Thus, constant vector u with  $u \in \partial \Omega$  satisfies for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

$$\frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \left\{ a_{i}(x_{i}) \left[ b_{i}(x_{i}) - a_{i}(x_{i}) \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}(t) f_{j}(\lambda_{j}y_{j}) - I_{i}(t) \right] \right\} dt + (1-\mu) l_{i} M_{i} x_{i} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \left\{ c_{j}(y_{j}) \left[ d_{j}(y_{j}) - c_{j}(y_{j}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{ji}(t) g_{i}(\mu_{i}u_{i}) - J_{j}(t) \right] \right\} dt + (1-\mu) k_{j} N_{j} y_{j} = 0.$$
(2.52)

That is,

$$\frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \operatorname{sign} x_{i} \left\{ a_{i}(x_{i})(b_{i}(x_{i}) - b_{i}(0)) + a_{i}(x_{i})b_{i}(0) - a_{i}(x_{i}) \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}(t)f_{j}(\lambda_{j}y_{j}) - I_{i}(t) \right] \right\} dt + (1 - \mu)l_{i}M_{i}|x_{i}| = 0,$$
(2.53)

$$\frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \operatorname{sign} y_{j} \left\{ c_{j}(y_{j}) \left( d_{j}(y_{j}) - d_{j}(0) \right) + c_{j}(y_{j}) d_{j}(0) - c_{j}(y_{j}) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{ji}(t) g_{i}(\mu_{i}u_{i}) - J_{j}(t) \right] \right\} dt + (1 - \mu) k_{j} N_{j} |y_{j}| = 0.$$
(2.54)

Denote  $|y_{i_0}| = \max_{1 \le j \le m} \{|y_j|\}, |x_{i_0}| = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \{|x_i|\}.$ 

*Claim 1.* We claim that  $|x_{i_0}| < (d/\sqrt{\omega}) + \sqrt{\omega}c_1 + r_1$ , otherwise,  $|x_{i_0}| \ge (d/\sqrt{\omega}) + \sqrt{\omega}c_1 + r_1$ . We consider two possible cases: (i)  $|y_{j_0}| \le |x_{i_0}|$  and (ii)  $|y_{j_0}| > |x_{i_0}|$ .

(i) When  $|y_{j_0}| \le |x_{i_0}|$ , we have

$$\frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \operatorname{sign} x_{i_{0}} \left\{ a_{i}(x_{i_{0}})(b_{i}(x_{i_{0}}) - b_{i}(0)) + a_{i}(x_{i_{0}}) \left[ b_{i}(0) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}(t)f_{j}(\lambda_{j}y_{j}) - I_{i}(t) \right] \right\} dt$$
$$+ (1 - \mu)l_{i}M_{i}|x_{i_{0}}|$$
$$\geq \mu l_{i}M_{i}|x_{i_{0}}| - l_{i}^{*} \left[ |b_{i}(0)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{ij}}(\lambda_{j}A_{j}|y_{j}| + |f_{j}(0)|) + \overline{I_{i}} \right] + (1 - \mu)l_{i}M_{i}|x_{i_{0}}|$$

$$\geq l_{i}M_{i}|x_{i_{0}}| - l_{i}^{*}\left[|b_{i}(0)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m}\overline{p_{ij}}(\lambda_{j}A_{j}|y_{j_{0}}| + |f_{j}(0)|) + \overline{l_{i}}\right]$$

$$\geq \left(l_{i}M_{i} - l_{i}^{*}\sum_{j=1}^{m}A_{j}\lambda_{j}\overline{p_{ij}}\right)|x_{i_{0}}| - l_{i}^{*}\left[|b_{i}(0)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m}\overline{p_{ij}}(\lambda_{j}A_{j}|y_{j_{0}}| + |f_{j}(0)|) + \overline{l_{i}}\right]$$

$$\geq \left(l_{i}M_{i} - l_{i}^{*}\sum_{j=1}^{m}A_{j}\lambda_{j}\overline{p_{ij}}\right)\left(\frac{d_{1}}{\sqrt{\omega}} + \sqrt{\omega}c_{1} + r_{1}\right) - l_{i}^{*}\left[|b_{i}(0)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m}\overline{p_{ij}}(\lambda_{j}A_{j}|y_{j_{0}}| + |f_{j}(0)|) + \overline{l_{i}}\right]$$

$$> \left(l_{i}M_{i} - l_{i}^{*}\sum_{j=1}^{m}A_{j}\lambda_{j}\overline{p_{ij}}\right)r_{1}$$

$$> 0,$$

$$(2.55)$$

which contradicts (2.53).

(ii) When  $|y_{j_0}| > |x_{i_0}|$ , we have

$$\frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \operatorname{sign} y_{j_{0}} \left\{ c_{j}(y_{j_{0}}) (d_{j}(y_{j_{0}}) - d_{j}(0)) + c_{j}(y_{j_{0}}) \left[ d_{j}(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{ji}(t) g_{i}(\mu_{i}x_{i}) - J_{j}(t) \right] \right\} dt 
+ (1 - \mu) k_{j} N_{j} |y_{j_{0}}| 
\geq \mu k_{j} N_{j} |y_{j_{0}}| - k_{j}^{*} \left[ |d_{j}(0)| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{q_{ji}}(\mu_{i}B_{i}|x_{i}| + |g_{i}(0)|) + \overline{J_{j}} \right] 
\geq k_{j} N_{j} |y_{j_{0}}| - k_{j}^{*} \left[ |d_{j}(0)| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{q_{ji}}(\mu_{i}B_{i}|x_{i_{0}}| + |g_{i}(0)|) + \overline{J_{j}} \right] 
\geq \left( k_{j} N_{j} - k_{j}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} \mu_{i} \overline{q_{ji}} \right) |y_{j_{0}}| - k_{j}^{*} \left[ |d_{j}(0)| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{q_{ji}}(\mu_{i}B_{i}|x_{i_{0}}| + |g_{i}(0)|) + \overline{J_{j}} \right] 
\geq \left( k_{j} N_{j} - k_{j}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} \mu_{i} \overline{q_{ji}} \right) \left( \frac{d_{2}}{\sqrt{\omega}} + \sqrt{\omega}c_{1} + r_{1} \right) - k_{j}^{*} \left[ |d_{j}(0)| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{q_{ji}}(\mu_{i}B_{i}|x_{i_{0}}| + |g_{i}(0)|) + \overline{J_{j}} \right] 
> \left( k_{j} N_{j} - k_{j}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} \mu_{i} \overline{q_{ji}} \right) r_{1} 
> 0,$$

(2.56)

which contradicts (2.54). From the discussion of (i) and (ii), Claim 1 holds.

*Claim 2.* We claim that  $|y_{j_0}| < (d/\sqrt{\omega}) + \sqrt{\omega}c_2 + r_2$ , otherwise,  $|y_{j_0}| \ge (d/\sqrt{\omega}) + \sqrt{\omega}c_2 + r_2$ . We consider two possible cases: (i)  $|x_{i_0}| \le |y_{j_0}|$  and (ii)  $|x_{i_0}| > |y_{j_0}|$ .

The proofs of (i) and (ii) are similar to those of (ii) and (1) in Claim 1, respectively, therefore Claim 2 holds.

Thus,  $|x_i| < (d_1/\sqrt{\omega}) + c_1\sqrt{\omega} + r_1$  and  $|y_j| < (d_2/\sqrt{\omega}) + \sqrt{\omega}c_2 + r_2$ . Thus,  $u \in \Omega \cap R^{(m+n)}$ . This contradicts the fact that  $u \in \partial\Omega \cap R^{(m+n)}$ . According to the topological degree theory and by taking J = I since Ker L = Im Q, we obtain

$$deg\left\{-JQNu, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, (0,0)^{T}\right\}$$
  
=  $deg\left\{\phi(u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{n}; v_{1}, v_{2}, \dots, v_{m}, 1), \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, (0,0)^{T}\right\}$   
=  $deg\left\{\phi(u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{n}; v_{1}, v_{2}, \dots, v_{m}, 0), \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, (0,0)^{T}\right\}$   
=  $deg\left\{(l_{1}M_{1}x_{1}, l_{2}M_{2}x_{2}, \dots, l_{n}M_{n}x_{n}; k_{1}N_{1}y_{1}, \dots, k_{m}N_{m}y_{m})^{T}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, (0, \dots, 0)^{T}\right\}$   
 $\neq 0.$   
(2.57)

So far, we have proved that  $\Omega$  satisfies all the assumptions in Lemma 2.1. Therefore, system (1.2) has at least one  $\omega$ -periodic solution.

### 3. Global Exponential Stability of Periodic Solution

In this section, by constructing a Lyapunov functional, we derive new sufficient conditions for global exponential stability of a periodic solution of system (1.2).

**Theorem 3.1.** *In addition to all conditions in Theorem 2.2, one assumes further that the following conditions hold:* 

- (H<sub>1</sub>) there exists two positive constants  $r_i \ge 1$  (i = 1, 2) with  $M_i > \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{q_{ji}} \mu_i B_i r_2$  and  $N_j > \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{p_{ij}} \lambda_j A_j r_1$  such that  $\tau'_{ij} < \min\{1, 1 r_1^{-1}\} < 1$  and  $\sigma'_{ji} < \min\{1, 1 r_2^{-1}\} < 1$ ;
- (H<sub>2</sub>) there exist constants  $\tau_{ij}$  and  $\sigma_{ji}$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m, such that

$$0 < \tau_{ij}(t) < \tau_{ij}, \quad 0 < \sigma_{ji}(t) < \sigma_{ji}.$$

$$(3.1)$$

Then, the  $\omega$  periodic solution of system (1.2) is globally exponentially stable.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.2, system (1.2) has at least one  $\omega$  periodic solution, say,  $u^*(t) = (x_1^*(t), x_2^*(t), \dots, x_n^*(t); y_1^*(t), \dots, y_m^*(t))^T$ . Suppose that  $u(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t), y_1(t), \dots, y_m(t))^T$  is an arbitrary  $\omega$  periodic solution of system (1.2). From (H<sub>1</sub>), we can choose a suitable  $\theta$  such that

$$M_{i} > \frac{\theta}{l_{i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{q_{ji}} \mu_{i} B_{i} r_{2} \exp(\theta \tau_{ij}),$$

$$N_{j} > \frac{\theta}{k_{j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{p_{ij}} \lambda_{j} A_{j} r_{i} \exp(\theta \sigma_{ji}).$$
(3.2)

We define a Lyapunov functional as follows for t > 0, i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m:

$$V(t) = \exp(\theta t) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{x_{i}^{*}(t)}^{x_{i}(t)} \frac{1}{a_{i}(s)} ds \right| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left| \int_{y_{j}^{*}(t)}^{y_{j}(t)} \frac{1}{c_{j}(s)} \right| ds \right\}$$
  
+  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{ij}} \lambda_{j} A_{j} \int_{t-\tau_{ij}(t)}^{t} \exp\left[ \theta \left( \sigma + \tau_{ij} \left( g^{-1}(\sigma) \right) \right) \right] \frac{\left| y_{j}(\sigma) - y_{j}^{*}(\sigma) \right|}{1 - \tau_{ij}' \left( g^{-1}(\sigma) \right)} d\sigma$  (3.3)  
+  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{q_{ji}} \mu_{i} B_{i} \int_{t-\sigma_{ji}(t)}^{t} \exp\left[ \theta \left( \sigma + \sigma_{ji} \left( h^{-1}(\sigma) \right) \right) \right] \frac{\left| x_{i}(\sigma) - x_{i}^{*}(\sigma) \right|}{1 - \sigma_{ji}' \left( h^{-1}(\sigma) \right)} d\sigma,$ 

where  $g(t) = t - \tau_{ij}(t)$ ,  $h(t) = t - \sigma_{ji}(t)$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, ..., m. Calculating the upper right derivative  $D^+V(t)$  of V(t) along the solutions of system (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{split} D^{+}V(t) &\leq \exp(\theta t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \theta \left| \int_{x_{i}^{*}(t)}^{x_{i}(t)} \frac{1}{a_{i}(s)} ds \right| - M_{i} |x_{i}(t) - x_{i}^{*}(t)| \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{ij}} \lambda_{j} A_{j} |y_{j}(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) - y_{j}^{*}(t - \tau_{ij}(t))| \right\} \\ &+ \exp(\theta t) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\{ \theta \left| \int_{y_{j}^{*}(t)}^{y_{j}(t)} \frac{1}{c_{j}(s)} ds \right| - N_{j} |y_{j}(t) - y_{j}^{*}(t)| \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{q_{ji}} \mu_{i} B_{i} |x_{i}(t - \sigma_{ji}(t)) - x_{i}^{*}(t - \tau_{ji}(t))| \right\} \\ &+ \exp(\theta t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{p_{ij}} \lambda_{j} A_{j} \left\{ \frac{|y_{j}(t) - y_{j}^{*}(t)| \exp[\theta \tau_{ij}(s^{-1}(t))]}{1 - \tau_{ij}'(s^{-1}(t))} \\ &- \left| y_{j}(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) - y_{j}^{*}(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) \right| \right\} \\ &+ \exp(\theta t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{q_{ji}} \mu_{i} B_{i} \left\{ \frac{|x_{i}(t) - x_{i}^{*}(t)| \exp[\theta \sigma_{ji}(h^{-1}(t))]}{1 - \sigma_{ji}'(h^{-1}(t))} \\ &- |x_{i}(t - \sigma_{ji}(t)) - x_{i}^{*}(t - \sigma_{ji}(t))| \right\}. \end{split}$$

(3.4)

Since there exist points  $\xi_i$ ,  $\eta_j$  such that

$$\left| \int_{x_{i}^{*}(t)}^{x_{i}(t)} \frac{1}{a_{i}(s)} \mathrm{d}s \right| = \frac{1}{a_{i}(\xi_{i})} |x_{i}(t) - x_{i}^{*}(t)|,$$

$$\left| \int_{y_{j}^{*}(t)}^{y_{j}(t)} \frac{1}{c_{j}(s)} \mathrm{d}s \right| = \frac{1}{c_{j}(\eta_{j})} |y_{j}(t) - y_{j}^{*}(t)|,$$
(3.5)

from (3.4), we have

$$D^{+}V(t) \leq -\exp(\theta t) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\{ N_{j} - \frac{\theta}{k_{j}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{p_{ij}} \lambda_{j} A_{j} r_{1} \exp(\theta \sigma_{ji}) \right\} \left| y_{j}(t) - y_{j}^{*}(t) \right|$$

$$-\exp(\theta t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ M_{i} - \frac{\theta}{l_{i}} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{q_{ji}} \mu_{i} B_{i} r_{2} \exp(\theta \tau_{ij}) \right\} \left| x_{i}(t) - x_{i}^{*}(t) \right|.$$

$$(3.6)$$

In view of (3.2), it follows that V(t) < V(0). Therefore,

$$\exp(\theta t) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{x_{i}^{*}(t)}^{x_{i}(t)} \frac{1}{a_{i}(s)} \mathrm{d}s \right| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left| \int_{y_{j}^{*}(t)}^{y_{j}(t)} \frac{1}{c_{j}(s)} \mathrm{d}s \right| \right\} < V(t) < V(0).$$
(3.7)

Equation (3.3) implies that

$$V(0) < \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{l_{i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{w_{ji}} \mu_{i} B_{i} r_{2} \int_{-\sigma_{ji}(0)}^{0} \exp\left[\theta\left(\sigma + \sigma_{ji}\left(h^{-1}(\sigma)\right)\right)\right] d\sigma \right\} \sup_{0 \le \le \omega} \left|x_{i}(s) - x_{i}^{*}(s)\right|$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\{ \frac{1}{k_{j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{h_{ij}} \lambda_{j} A_{j} r_{1} \int_{-\tau_{ij}}^{0} \exp\left[\theta\left(\sigma + \tau_{ij}\left(g^{-1}(\sigma)\right)\right)\right] d\sigma \right\} \sup_{0 \le s \le \omega} \left|y_{j}(s) - y_{j}^{*}(s)\right|.$$
(3.8)

Substituting (3.8) into (3.7) gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}(t) - x_{i}^{*}(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} |y_{j}(t) - y_{j}^{*}(t)| < \frac{M}{N} \exp(-\theta t) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{0 \le s \le \omega} |x_{i}(s) - x_{i}^{*}(s)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sup_{0 \le s \le \omega} |y_{j}(s) - y_{j}^{*}(s)| \right\},$$
(3.9)

where

$$M = \max_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m} \left\{ \frac{1}{l_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{h_{ij}} \lambda_j A_j r_1 \int_{-\tau_{ij}(0)}^0 \exp\left[\theta + \tau_{ij}\left(g^{-1}(\sigma)\right)\right] d\sigma, \\ \frac{1}{k_j} + \sum_{j=1}^m \overline{w_{ji}} \mu_i B_i r_2 \int_{-\sigma_{ji}(0)}^0 \exp\left[\theta + \sigma_{ji}\left(h^{-1}(\sigma)\right)\right] d\sigma \right\}, \quad (3.10)$$
$$N = \min\left\{\frac{1}{l_i^*}, \frac{1}{k_j^*}\right\}.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

## 4. An Example

*Example 4.1.* Consider the following Cohen-Grossberg BAM neural networks with time-varying delays:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_{1}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -(2+\sin x_{1})\left\{200x_{1}(t) + 100\sin x_{1}(t) - (2+\sin t)\left|y_{1}\left[t - \left(1 + \frac{\sin t}{2}\right)\right]\right| - \sin t\right\}, \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}y_{1}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -(3+\cos y_{1})\left\{200y_{1}(t) + 100\sin y_{1}(t) - (2+\cos t)\left|x_{1}\left[t - \left(1 + \frac{\sin t}{3}\right)\right]\right| - \cos t\right\}.$$
(4.1)

In Theorem 3.1,

$$A_{1} = 1, \qquad B_{1} = 1, \qquad l_{1} = 1, \qquad l_{1}^{*} = 3, \qquad k_{1} = 2, \qquad k_{1}^{*} = 4, \qquad M_{1} = 100,$$

$$N_{1} = 100, \qquad \overline{p_{11}} = 3, \qquad \overline{q_{11}} = 3, \qquad \lambda_{1} = \mu_{1} = 1,$$

$$\tau_{11}' = \frac{\cos t}{2}, \qquad \sigma_{11}' = \frac{\cos t}{3}.$$

$$(4.2)$$

Since

$$1 - \frac{\cos t}{2} \ge 1 - \frac{|\cos t|}{2} \ge \frac{1}{2}, \qquad 1 - \frac{\cos t}{3} \ge 1 - \frac{|\cos t|}{3} \ge \frac{2}{3}, \tag{4.3}$$

then  $r_1 = 2$ ,  $r_2 = 3/2$ . Since

$$M_{1} = 100 > \overline{q_{11}}\mu_{1}B_{1}r_{2} = \frac{9}{2}, \qquad N_{1} = 100 > \overline{p_{11}}\lambda_{1}A_{1}r_{1} = 6,$$

$$l_{1}M_{1} = 100 > l_{1}^{*}\overline{p_{11}}A_{1}\sqrt{r_{1}} = 9\sqrt{2}, \qquad k_{1}N_{1} = 200 > \overline{q_{11}}B_{1}\mu_{1}\sqrt{r_{2}} = 12\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}},$$

$$(4.4)$$

then conditions (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>2</sub>), and (v) are satisfied. It is easy to prove that the rest of the conditions in Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. By Theorem (3.2), system (4.1) has a unique  $\omega$  periodic solution that is globally exponentially stable.

#### **5.** Conclusion

We investigate first the existence of the periodic solution in general Cohen-Grossberg BAM neural networks with multiple time-varying delays by means of using degree theory. Then, using the existence result of periodic solution and constructing a Lyapunov functional, we discuss global exponential stability of periodic solution for the above neural networks. In our result, the hypotheses for monotonicity in [27, 28] on the behaved functions are replaced with sign conditions and the assumption for boundedness on activation functions is removed. We just require that the behaved functions satisfy sign conditions and activation functions are globally Lipschitz continuous.

#### Acknowledgment

This paper is supported by the Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, State Education Ministry of China (no. 20091341, 2011508) and the Fund of National Natural Science of China (no. 61065008).

#### References

- M. A. Cohen and S. Grossberg, "Absolute stability of global pattern formation and parallel memory storage by competitive neural networks," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 815–826, 1983.
- [2] Z. Liu, S. Lü, S. Zhong, and M. Ye, "Improved robust stability criteria of uncertain neutral systems with mixed delays," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2009, Article ID 294845, 18 pages, 2009.
- [3] M. De la Sen, "Sufficiency-type stability and stabilization criteria for linear time-invariant systems with constant point delays," Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 235–256, 2004.
- [4] C. Xu and X. He, "Stability and bifurcation analysis in a class of two-neuron networks with resonant bilinear terms," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 697630, 21 pages, 2011.
- [5] J. Cao and J. Liang, "Boundedness and stability for Cohen-Grossberg neural network with timevarying delays," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 296, no. 2, pp. 665–685, 2004.
- [6] T. Chen and L. Rong, "Delay-independent stability analysis of Cohen-Grossberg neural networks," *Physics Letters. A*, vol. 317, no. 5-6, pp. 436–449, 2003.
- [7] Y. Li, "Existence and stability of periodic solutions for Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with multiple delays," *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 459–466, 2004.
- [8] K. Lu, D. Xu, and Z. Yang, "Global attraction and stability for Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with delays," *Neural Networks*, vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 1538–1549, 2006.
- [9] Q. K. Song and J. D. Cao, "Robust stability in cohen-grossberg neural network with both time-varying and distributed delays," *Neural Processing Letters*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 179–196, 2008.
- [10] W. Yu, J. Cao, and J. Wang, "An LMI approach to global asymptotic stability of the delayed Cohen-Grossberg neural network via nonsmooth analysis," *Neural Networks*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 810–818, 2007.
- [11] J. Sun and L. Wan, "Global exponential stability and periodic solutions of Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with continuously distributed delays," *Physica D*, vol. 208, no. 1-2, pp. 1–20, 2005.
- [12] X. Liao, C. Li, and K. -W. Wong, "Criteria for exponential stability of Cohen-Grossberg neural networks," *Neural Networks*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 1401–1414, 2004.
- [13] L. Rong and T. Chen, "New results on the robust stability of Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with delays," *Neural Processing Letters*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 193–202, 2006.

- [14] L. Wang and X. Zou, "Harmless delays in Cohen-Grossberg neural networks," *Physica D*, vol. 170, no. 2, pp. 162–173, 2002.
- [15] B. Kosko, "Bidirectional associative memories," IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 49–60, 1988.
- [16] B. Kosko, "Adaptive bidirectional associative memories," Applied Optics, vol. 26, no. 23, pp. 4947– 4960, 1987.
- [17] Z. Q. Zhang, Y. Yang, and Y. S. Huang, "Global exponential stability of interval general BAM neural networks with reaction-diffusion terms and multiple time-varying delays," *Neural Networks*, vol. 24, pp. 457–465, 2011.
- [18] J. Liu and G. Zong, "New delay-dependent asymptotic stability conditions concerning BAM neural networks of neutral type," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 72, no. 10–12, pp. 2549–2555, 2009.
- [19] J. Cao, D. W. C. Ho, and X. Huang, "LMI-based criteria for global robust stability of bidirectional associative memory networks with time delay," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 66, no. 7, pp. 1558–1572, 2007.
- [20] Z. Zhang, K. Liu, and Y. Yang, "New LMI-based condition on global asymptotic stability concerning BAM neural networks of neutral type," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 81, pp. 24–32, 2012.
- [21] Z. Zhang, W. Liu, and D. Zhou, "Global asymptotic stability to a generalized Cohen-Grossberg BAM neural networks of neutral type delays," *Neural Networks*, vol. 25, pp. 94–105, 2012.
- [22] C. Feng and R. Plamondon, "Stability analysis of bidirectional associative memory networks with time delays," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol. 5, pp. 998–1002, 1994.
- [23] H. Jiang and J. Cao, "BAM-type Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with time delays," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 47, no. 1-2, pp. 92–103, 2008.
- [24] X. Nie and J. Cao, "Stability analysis for the generalized Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with inverse Lipschitz neuron activations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 1522–1536, 2009.
- [25] Z. Zhang and D. Zhou, "Global robust exponential stability for second-order Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with multiple delays," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 73, no. 1–3, pp. 213–218, 2009.
- [26] Y. Xia, "Impulsive effect on the delayed cohen-grossberg-type BAM neural networks," *Neurocomput-ing*, vol. 73, no. 13–15, pp. 2754–2764, 2010.
- [27] A. Chen and J. Cao, "Periodic bi-directional Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with distributed delays," *Nonlinear Analysis A*, vol. 66, no. 12, pp. 2947–2961, 2007.
- [28] H. Xiang and J. Cao, "Exponential stability of periodic solution to Cohen-Grossberg-type BAM networks with time-varying delays," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 72, no. 7–9, pp. 1702–1711, 2009.
- [29] Z. Zhang, G. Peng, and D. Zhou, "Periodic solution to Cohen-Grossberg BAM neural networks with delays on time scales," *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 348, pp. 2759–2781, 2011.
- [30] Y. Li, X. Chen, and L. Zhao, "Stability and existence of periodic solutions to delayed Cohen-Grossberg BAM neural networks with impulses on time scales," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 72, no. 7–9, pp. 1621–1630, 2009.
- [31] C. Bai, "Periodic oscillation for Cohen-Grossberg-type bidirectional associative memory neural networks with neutral time-varying delays," in *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Natural Computation (ICNC '09)*, pp. 18–23, August 2009.
- [32] R. E. Gaines and J. L. Mawhin, Coincidence Degree, and Nonlinear Differential Equations, Springer, Berlin, 1977.



Advances in **Operations Research** 



**The Scientific** World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis

International Journal of

Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis

Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society





**Function Spaces** 



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

