

## RESEARCH

## Open Access



# Structural stability for a Brinkman-Forchheimer type model with temperature-dependent solubility

Wenhui Chen and Yan Liu\*

\*Correspondence:  
liuyan99021324@tom.com  
Department of Applied  
Mathematics, Guangdong  
University of Finance, Guangzhou,  
510521, P.R. China

**Abstract**

We study the structural stability for the Brinkman-Forchheimer equations with temperature-dependent solubility. We prove both the convergence and continuous dependence results for the Forchheimer coefficient  $\lambda$ . We also demonstrate how to get the same results for the Forchheimer equations.

**MSC:** 35B30; 35K55; 35Q35

**Keywords:** structural stability; Forchheimer coefficient; convergence result; continuous dependence

## 1 Introduction

In the last few years, some researchers have studied the question of the continuous dependence or convergence of solutions of problems in partial differential equations on the coefficients in the equations. It is called the question of structural stability. For one thing, when we study continuous dependence or convergence, the notion of structural stability is on changes in the model itself instead of the original data. The majority of references to work of this nature are given in the monograph of Ames and Straughan [1], which studies the structural stability about changes in the model itself. Hence, we tend to know that changes in the coefficients in the partial differential equations may be reflected physically by changes in the constitutive parameters. If we deeply study these equations by mathematical analysis, it is certainly giving us a helping hand to indicate their applicability in physics. For another, because of some inevitable errors, which may have occurred, continuous dependence or convergence results are significant. It is relevant to know the magnitude of the effect of such errors in the solutions. Consequently, we think it is valuable for us to study the subject of structural stability.

We tend to find a wide range of papers in the literature coping with the structural stability for varieties equations. Most of them focus on the Brinkman, Darcy, and Forchheimer models. These equations are discussed in the books of Nield and Bejan [2] and Straughan [3, 4]. In addition, several papers have dealt with Saint-Venant type spatial decay results for the Brinkman, Darcy, Forchheimer, and other equations for porous media. More recent work on the stability and continuous dependence questions in porous media problems has been carried out by Ames and Payne [5], Franchi and Straughan [6], Kaloni and Qin

[7], Kaloni and Guo [8], Payne and Straughan [9–11], Payne *et al.* [12], Lin and Payne [13–15], Li and Lin [16], Celebi *et al.* [17, 18], Straughan [19], Scott [20], Scott and Straughan [21], and Harfash [22–24]. The fundamental model we study is based upon the equations of balance of momentum, balance of mass, conservation of energy, and conservation of salt concentration, adopting a Forchheimer approximation in the body force term in the momentum equation (see [3, 25]),

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \lambda |u| u_i = -p_{,i} + \Delta u_i + g_i T - h_i C, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} = \Delta T, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + u_i \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_i} = \Delta C + Lf(T) - kC, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $u_i$  is the velocity,  $p$  denotes the pressure,  $T$  is the temperature, and  $C$  is the salt concentration. Here  $g_i(x)$ ,  $h_i(x)$  are gravity fields. Here also  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian operator.  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $L$ , and  $k$  are positive constants. Equations (1.1) follow in practice by employing a Forchheimer approximation which accounts for the variable  $C$  allowing the incompressibility condition to hold (see Fife [26]). The function  $f$  is at least  $C^1$ .

Equations (1.1) hold in the region  $\Omega \times [0, \tau]$ , where  $\Omega$  is a bounded, simply connected, and star-shaped domain with boundary  $\partial\Omega$  in  $R^3$ , and  $\tau$  is a given number satisfying  $0 \leq \tau < \infty$ . Associated with (1.1), we impose the boundary conditions

$$u_i = 0, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, \tau], \tag{1.2}$$

and additionally the concentration is given at  $t = 0$ , *i.e.*,

$$u_i(x, 0) = u_{i0}(x), \tag{1.3}$$

$$T(x, 0) = T_0(x), \quad C(x, 0) = C_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{1.4}$$

We will derive both the convergence result and continuous result on the Forchheimer coefficient  $\lambda$ . In the present paper, a comma is used to indicate partial differentiation and the differentiation with respect to the direction  $x_k$  is denoted as  $,k$ , thus  $u_{,i}$  denotes  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ . The usual summation convention is employed with repeated Latin subscripts summed from 1 to 3. Hence,  $u_{,i,i} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $\| \cdot \|$  denotes the norm of  $L^2$ , and  $\| \cdot \|_p$  denotes the norm of  $L^p$ .

## 2 *A priori* bounds for the temperature $T$ and the salt concentration $C$

Now we want an *a priori* bound or a maximum principle for  $T$ . Therefore multiplying (1.1)<sub>3</sub> by  $T^{2p-1}$  and integrating by parts, we can obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} T^{2p} dx - \int_{\Omega} T_0^{2p} dx = -\frac{2(2p-1)}{p} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (T^p)_{,i} (T^p)_{,i} dx d\eta \leq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Inequality (2.1) is now integrated and then we take the  $\frac{1}{2p}$  power to find

$$\left( \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} T^{2p} dx d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \leq \left( \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} T_0^{2p} dx d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2p}}. \tag{2.2}$$

We let  $p \rightarrow \infty$  and then (2.2) leads to

$$\sup_{[0,t]} \|T\|_\infty \leq \|T_0\|_\infty = T^M. \tag{2.3}$$

Since  $f$  is a  $C^1$  function, and  $T$  is bounded, we can easily see that there exists a constant  $d$  such that

$$f(T) \leq d. \tag{2.4}$$

For some  $\xi \in (T, T^*)$ , we easily get

$$|f'(\xi)| \leq k_1, \tag{2.5}$$

where  $k_1$  is a positive constant.

Similarly we have

$$\left( \int_0^t \int_\Omega C^{2p} dx d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \leq \left( \int_0^t \int_\Omega e^{(2p-1)(t-\eta)} \left( k(p) + \int_\Omega C_0^{2p} dx \right) dx d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2p}}, \tag{2.6}$$

where  $k(p) = \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega (Lf(T))^{2p} dx dt$ .

We let  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , (2.6) leads to

$$\sup_{[0,t]} \|C\|_\infty \leq C^M, \tag{2.7}$$

where  $C^M = \max \{e^\tau \|C_0\|_\infty, Lde^\tau\}$ .

### 3 Convergence and continuous dependence results for the Forchheimer coefficient $\lambda$

Now, let  $(u_i, T, C, p)$  be a solution to the boundary initial-value problem for the Brinkman-Forchheimer model,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \lambda |u| u_i = -p_{,i} + \Delta u_i + g_i T - h_i C, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} = \Delta T, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + u_i \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_i} = \Delta C + Lf(T) - kC, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

$$u_i = 0, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, \tau], \tag{3.2}$$

$$u_i(x, 0) = u_{i0}(x), \tag{3.3}$$

$$T(x, 0) = T_0(x), \quad C(x, 0) = C_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover, let  $(u_i^*, T^*, C^*, p^*)$  be a solution to the corresponding model with  $\lambda = 0$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i^*}{\partial t} = -p_{,i}^* + \Delta u_i^* + g_i T^* - h_i C^*, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial u_i^*}{\partial x_i} = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial t} + u_i^* \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial x_i} = \Delta T^*, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial t} + u_i^* \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial x_i} = \Delta C^* + Lf(T^*) - kC^*, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

$$u_i^* = 0, \quad \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, \tau], \tag{3.6}$$

$$u_i^*(x, 0) = u_{i0}(x), \tag{3.7}$$

$$T^*(x, 0) = T_0(x), \quad C^*(x, 0) = C_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{3.8}$$

The object of this section is to demonstrate that the solution of (3.1) converges to the solution of (3.5) as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . Now, we define the difference variables  $\omega_i, \pi, \theta$ , and  $S$  by

$$\omega_i = u_i - u_i^*, \quad \pi = p - p^*, \quad \theta = T - T^*, \quad S = C - C^*. \tag{3.9}$$

Then  $(\omega_i, \theta, S, \pi)$  is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial t} + \lambda |u| u_i = -\pi_{,i} + \Delta \omega_i + g_i \theta - h_i S, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial x_i} = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \omega_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} + u_i^* \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_i} = \Delta \theta, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \omega_i \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_i} + u_i^* S_{,i} = \Delta S + L(f(T) - f(T^*)) - kS, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

in  $\Omega \times [0, \tau]$ , subject to the boundary and initial conditions

$$\omega_i = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, \tau], \tag{3.11}$$

$$\omega_i(x, 0) = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\theta(x, 0) = 0, \quad S(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{3.13}$$

We will obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $(u_i, T, C, p)$  be the classical solution to the initial-boundary problem (3.1)-(3.4),  $(u_i^*, T^*, C^*, p^*)$  be the classical solution to the initial-boundary problem (3.5)-(3.8) in  $\Omega \times [0, \tau]$ , and  $(w_i, \theta, S, \pi)$  be the difference of  $(u_i, T, C, p)$  and  $(u_i^*, T^*, C^*, p^*)$ , then the solution  $(u_i, T, C, p)$  converges to the solution  $(u_i^*, T^*, C^*, p^*)$  as the Boussinesq coefficient  $\lambda$  tends to 0. The difference  $(w_i, \theta, S, \pi)$  satisfies*

$$\|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + \|S\|^2 \leq \frac{2\lambda}{3M_1} \left( \frac{3}{4} k_2^2 k_3^{\frac{1}{2}} k_4^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{4} |\Omega| \right) e^{M_1 \tau}. \tag{3.14}$$

*Proof* We multiply (3.10)<sub>1</sub> by  $\omega_i$  and integrate over  $\Omega$  to find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|^2 &= -2\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u| u_i \omega_i dx - 2 \|\nabla \omega\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} g_i \theta \omega_i dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} h_i S \omega_i dx \\ &\leq -2\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u| u_i u_i dx + 2\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u| u_i u_i^* dx + 2 \|\omega\|^2 + h^2 \|S\|^2 + g^2 \|\theta\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u^*| u_i^* u_i^* dx + 2 \|\omega\|^2 + h^2 \|S\|^2 + g^2 \|\theta\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

We will use the following inequality in three dimensions:

$$\|f\|_4 \leq k_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla f\|^{\frac{3}{4}}, \tag{3.16}$$

where  $k_2$  is a positive constant.

From the above inequality and the Young inequality, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u^*| u_i^* u_i^* dx &\leq \frac{3}{4} \int_{\Omega} (u_i^* u_i^*)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{4} dx \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4} k_2^2 \|u^*\| \|\nabla u^*\|^3 + \frac{1}{4} |\Omega|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where  $|\Omega|$  is the measure of  $\Omega$ .

We multiply (3.5)<sub>1</sub> by  $u_i^*$  and integrate over  $\Omega$  to find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u^*\|^2 + \|\nabla u^*\|^2 &= 7 - \int_{\Omega} p_{i,t}^* u_i^* dx + \int_{\Omega} g_i T^* u_i^* dx - \int_{\Omega} h_i C^* u_i^* dx \\ &\leq \left( g^2 \int_{\Omega} u_i^* u_i^* dx \int_{\Omega} (T^*)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left( h^2 \int_{\Omega} u_i^* u_i^* dx \int_{\Omega} (C^*)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|u^*\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\Omega| [g^2 (T^M)^2 + h^2 (C^M)^2], \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where  $g^2 = \max_{\Omega} g_i g_i$ ,  $h^2 = \max_{\Omega} h_i h_i$ .

Integration of (3.18) leads to

$$\|u^*\|^2 \leq \|u_0\|^2 e^{2\tau} + \frac{1}{2} [g^2 (T^M)^2 + h^2 (C^M)^2] |\Omega| (e^{2\tau} - 1) = k_3. \tag{3.19}$$

We now want to give a bound for  $\|\nabla u^*\|^2$ . Multiplying (3.5)<sub>1</sub> by  $u_{i,t}^*$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{i,t}^* u_{i,t}^* dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t}^* u_{i,jj}^* dx = \int_{\Omega} g_i u_{i,t}^* T^* dx - \int_{\Omega} h_i u_{i,t}^* C^* dx. \tag{3.20}$$

We can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t}^* u_{i,t}^* dx d\eta + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{i,j}^* u_{i,j}^* dx|_{\eta=t} \\ &\leq \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t}^* u_{i,t}^* dx d\eta + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (T^*)^2 dx d\eta \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (C^*)^2 dx d\eta + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{i0,j} u_{i0,j} dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

or

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{i,j}^* u_{i,j}^* dx|_{\eta=t} \leq (T^M)^2 |\Omega| \tau + (C^M)^2 |\Omega| \tau + \int_{\Omega} u_{i0,j} u_{i0,j} dx = k_4. \tag{3.22}$$

Combining (3.15), (3.17), (3.19), and (3.22) gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|^2 \leq \frac{2}{3} \lambda \left( \frac{3}{4} k_2^2 k_3^{\frac{1}{2}} k_4^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{4} |\Omega| \right) + 2\|\omega\|^2 + h^2 \|S\|^2 + g^2 \|\theta\|^2. \tag{3.23}$$

Multiplying (3.10)<sub>3</sub> by  $\theta$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\theta\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} \theta_{,i} \theta_{,i} dx &= -2 \int_{\Omega} \theta \omega_i T_{,i} dx = 2 \int_{\Omega} \theta_{,i} \omega_i T dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} \theta_{,i} \theta_{,i} dx + \frac{(T^M)^2}{2} \|\omega\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

The Lagrange theorem says that

$$f(T) - f(T^*) = \theta f'(\xi). \tag{3.25}$$

Multiplying (3.10)<sub>4</sub> by  $S$  and integrating over  $\Omega$  and using (2.5), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|S\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} S_{,i} S_{,i} dx &= -2 \int_{\Omega} S \omega_i C_{,i} dx + 2L \int_{\Omega} S(f(T) - f(T^*)) dx - 2k \int_{\Omega} S^2 dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} S_{,i} \omega_i C dx + 2Lk_1 \int_{\Omega} S \theta dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} S_{,i} S_{,i} dx + \frac{(C^M)^2}{2} \|\omega\|^2 + L^2 k_1^2 \|S\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

From (3.23), (3.24), and (3.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + \|S\|^2) &\leq \frac{2}{3} \lambda \left( \frac{3}{4} k_2^2 k_3^{\frac{1}{2}} k_4^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{4} |\Omega| \right) \\ &\quad + \left( 2 + \frac{(T^M)^2}{2} + \frac{(C^M)^2}{2} \right) \|\omega\|^2 \\ &\quad + (L^2 k_1^2 + h^2) \|S\|^2 + (1 + g^2) \|\theta\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

We set

$$F_1 = \|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + \|S\|^2.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{dF_1}{dt} \leq \frac{2}{3} \lambda \left( \frac{3}{4} k_2^2 k_3^{\frac{1}{2}} k_4^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{4} |\Omega| \right) + M_1 F_1, \tag{3.28}$$

where  $M_1 = \max \{ 2 + \frac{(T^M)^2}{2} + \frac{(C^M)^2}{2}, L^2 k_1^2 + h^2, 1 + g^2 \}$ .

We easily see that

$$\|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + \|S\|^2 \leq \frac{2\lambda}{3M_1} \left( \frac{3}{4} k_2^2 k_3^{\frac{1}{2}} k_4^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{4} |\Omega| \right) e^{M_1 t}. \tag{3.29}$$

Inequality (3.29) demonstrates the convergence of  $u_i$  to  $u_i^*$ ,  $T$  to  $T^*$ , and  $C$  to  $C^*$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  in the indicated measure. □

Next, we will discuss the continuous dependence on the Forchheimer coefficient  $\lambda$ . Let  $(u_i, p, T, C)$  be a solution of the boundary initial-value problem for the thermal convection

model,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \lambda_1 |u| u_i = -p_{,i} + \Delta u_i + g_i T - h_i C, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} = \Delta T, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + u_i \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_i} = \Delta C + Lf(T) - kC, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \end{cases} \tag{3.30}$$

$$u_i = 0, \quad w \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, \tau], \tag{3.31}$$

$$u_i(x, 0) = u_{i0}(x), \tag{3.32}$$

$$T(x, 0) = T_0(x), \quad C(x, 0) = C_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{3.33}$$

Furthermore, let  $(u_i^*, p^*, T^*, C^*)$  be a solution to the following boundary initial-value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i^*}{\partial t} + \lambda_2 |u^*| u_i^* = -p_{,i}^* + \Delta u_i^* + g_i T^* - h_i C^*, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial u_i^*}{\partial x_i} = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial t} + u_i^* \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial x_i} = \Delta T^*, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial t} + u_i^* \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial x_i} = \Delta C^* + Lf(T^*) - kC^*, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \end{cases} \tag{3.34}$$

$$u_i^* = 0, \quad \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, \tau], \tag{3.35}$$

$$u_i^*(x, 0) = u_{i0}(x), \tag{3.36}$$

$$T^*(x, 0) = T_0(x), \quad C^*(x, 0) = C_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{3.37}$$

In this section, we establish the continuous dependence on the coefficient. To do this, let  $(u_i, T, C, p)$  and  $(u_i^*, T^*, C^*, p^*)$  be solutions of (3.30) and (3.34) with the same boundary and initial conditions. Now, we define

$$\omega_i = u_i - u_i^*, \quad \pi = p - p^*, \quad \theta = T - T^*, \quad S = C - C^*. \tag{3.38}$$

Then  $(\omega_i, \theta, S, \pi)$  is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial t} + (\lambda_1 |u| u_i - \lambda_2 |u^*| u_i^*) = -\pi_{,i} + \Delta \omega_i + g_i \theta - h_i S, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial x_i} = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \omega_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} + u_i^* \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_i} = \Delta \theta, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \omega_i \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_i} + u_i^* S_{,i} = \Delta S + L(f(T) - f(T^*)) - kS, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \end{cases} \tag{3.39}$$

in  $\Omega \times t > 0$ , subject to the boundary and initial conditions

$$\omega_i = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, \tau], \tag{3.40}$$

$$\omega_i(x, 0) = 0, \tag{3.41}$$

$$\theta(x, 0) = 0, \quad S(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{3.42}$$

We will obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $(u_i, T, C, p)$  be the classical solution to the initial-boundary problem (3.30)-(3.33),  $(u_i^*, T^*, C^*, p^*)$  be the classical solution to the initial-boundary problem (3.34)-(3.37) in  $\Omega \times (0, \tau)$ , and  $(w_i, \theta, S, \pi)$  be the difference of  $(u_i, T, C, p)$  and  $(u_i^*, T^*, C^*, p^*)$ , then the solution  $(u_i, T, C, p)$  converges to the solution  $(u_i^*, T^*, C^*, p^*)$  as the Forchheimer coefficient  $\lambda_1$  tends to  $\lambda_2$ . If we suppose that  $\int_{\Omega} u_{i,t}(x, 0)u_{i,t}(x, 0) dx + \int_{\Omega} T_{i,t}(x, 0)T_{i,t}(x, 0) dx + \int_{\Omega} C_{i,t}(x, 0)C_{i,t}(x, 0) dx \leq R$ , the difference  $(w_i, \theta, S, \pi)$  satisfies*

$$\|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + \|S\|^2 \leq \lambda^2 te^{-M_3t} k_2^2 k_5^{\frac{1}{2}} k_6^{\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{3.43}$$

where  $\lambda = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ .

*Proof* We first observe that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} (\lambda_1 |u|u_i - \lambda_2 |u^*|u_i^*) \omega_i dx + \|\nabla \omega\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} g_i \theta \omega_i dx - \int_{\Omega} h_i S \omega_i dx. \tag{3.44}$$

Moreover, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\lambda_1 |u|u_i - \lambda_2 |u^*|u_i^*) \omega_i dx &\geq (\lambda + \lambda_2) \int_{\Omega} |u|u_i \omega_i dx - \lambda_2 \int_{\Omega} |u^*|u_i^* \omega_i dx \\ &\geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|u_i \omega_i dx + \lambda_2 \int_{\Omega} (|u|u_i \omega_i - |u^*|u_i^* \omega_i) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

Since the operator  $T(u) = |u|u$  is a monotonous operator, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (|u|u - |u^*|u^*) \omega dx \geq 0. \tag{3.46}$$

From the above discussion, we can get

$$\int_{\Omega} (\lambda_1 |u|u_i - \lambda_2 |u^*|u_i^*) \omega_i dx \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|u_i \omega_i dx. \tag{3.47}$$

Hence we get a similar inequality,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|^2 + \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|u_i \omega_i dx + \|\nabla \omega\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} g_i \theta \omega_i dx - \int_{\Omega} h_i S \omega_i dx. \tag{3.48}$$

Nevertheless, we use another method to get the bound for  $\int_{\Omega} |u|u_i \omega_i dx$ . We can use a similar method to give the bound for  $\|u\|^2$ ,

$$\|u\|^2 \leq \|u_0\|^2 e^{2\tau} + \frac{1}{2} [g^2 (T^M)^2 + h^2 (C^M)^2] |\Omega| (e^{2\tau} - 1) = k_5. \tag{3.49}$$

The next step is to give a bound for  $\|\nabla u\|^2$ . In [27], Liu used the similar method. Multiplying (1.1)<sub>1</sub> by  $u_i$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u_{i,j} u_{i,j} dx &\leq - \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} u_i dx + \int_{\Omega} g_i u_i T dx - \int_{\Omega} h_i u_i C dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} u_{i,t} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u_i u_i dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_{\Omega} u_i u_i dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( g^2 \int_{\Omega} T^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left( \int_{\Omega} u_i u_i \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( h^2 \int_{\Omega} C^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq k_5^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} u_{i,t} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} g T^M + |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} h C^M \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

In order to have a bound for  $\int_{\Omega} u_{i,j} u_{i,j} \, dx$ , we need only give a bound for  $\int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} u_{i,t} \, dx$ . We can observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} u_{i,t} \, dx & = 2 \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} [-\lambda |u| u_i - p_{,i} + u_{i,jj} + g_i T - h_i C]_{,t} \, dx \\
 & \leq -2\lambda \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} |u|_{,t} u_i \, dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} u_{i,jt} u_{i,jt} \, dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} (g_i T_{,t} - h_i C_{,t}) \, dx \\
 & \leq -2\lambda \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} u_i \frac{u_k u_{k,t}}{|u|} \, dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} u_{i,t} \, dx + g^2 \int_{\Omega} T_{,t} T_{,t} \, dx \\
 & \quad + h^2 \int_{\Omega} C_{,t} C_{,t} \, dx \\
 & \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} u_{i,t} \, dx + g^2 \int_{\Omega} T_{,t} T_{,t} \, dx + h^2 \int_{\Omega} C_{,t} C_{,t} \, dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

Hence we should give the bound for  $\int_{\Omega} T_{,t} T_{,t} \, dx$  and  $\int_{\Omega} C_{,t} C_{,t} \, dx$ . We find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} T_{,t} T_{,t} \, dx & = 2 \int_{\Omega} T_{,t} (T_{,iit} - u_{i,t} T_{,i} - u_i T_{,it}) \, dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} T_{,it} T_{,it} \, dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} T_{,it} u_{i,t} T \, dx \\
 & \leq \frac{(T^M)^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} u_{i,t} \, dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

Similarly we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} C_{,t} C_{,t} \, dx & = 2 \int_{\Omega} C_{,t} (C_{,iit} - u_{i,t} C_{,i} - u_i C_{,it} + L[f(T)]_{,t} - k C_{,t}) \, dx \\
 & = -2 \int_{\Omega} C_{,it} C_{,it} \, dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} C_{,it} u_{i,t} C \, dx \\
 & \quad + 2L \int_{\Omega} C_{,t} [f(T)]_{,t} - 2k \int_{\Omega} C_{,t} C_{,t} \, dx \\
 & \leq \frac{(C^M)^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} u_{i,t} \, dx + \frac{L^2}{2k} \int_{\Omega} [f(T)]_{,t} [f(T)]_{,t} \, dx \\
 & \leq \frac{(C^M)^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} u_{i,t} \, dx + \frac{L^2 k_1^2}{2k} \int_{\Omega} T_{,t} T_{,t} \, dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

We set

$$F_2 = \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} u_{i,t} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} T_{,t} T_{,t} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} C_{,t} C_{,t} \, dx.$$

Combining (3.51)-(3.53), we can get

$$\frac{dF_2}{dt} \leq M_2 F_2, \tag{3.54}$$

where  $M_2 = \max \{ 2 + \frac{(C^M)^2}{2} + \frac{(T^M)^2}{2}, \frac{L^2 k_1^2}{2k} + g^2, h^2 \}$ .

Hence, from our assumption, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_2 &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} u_{i,t}(x,0)u_{i,t}(x,0) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} T_{i,t}(x,0)T_{i,t}(x,0) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} C_{i,t}(x,0)C_{i,t}(x,0) \, dx \right) e^{M_2 t} \\
 &\leq Re^{M_2 \tau}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.55}$$

So we can draw the conclusion that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{i,j}u_{i,j} \, dx \leq k_5^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( Re^{M_2 \tau} + |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} T^M g + |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} C^M h \right) = k_6.
 \tag{3.56}$$

Using the inequalities (3.16), we multiply (3.39)<sub>1</sub> by  $\omega_i$  and integrate over  $\Omega$  to find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|^2 &= -2\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|u_i\omega_i \, dx - 2\|\nabla\omega\|^2 \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega} g_i\theta\omega_i \, dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} h_i S\omega_i \, dx \\
 &\leq \lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} |u|^4 \, dx + 3\|\omega\|^2 + g^2\|\theta\|^2 + h^2\|S\|^2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.57}$$

From (3.24), (3.26), and (3.57), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} (\|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + \|S\|^2) &\leq \lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} |u|^4 \, dx + \left( 3 + \frac{(T^M)^2}{2} + \frac{(C^M)^2}{2} \right) \|\omega\|^2 \\
 &\quad + (L^2 k_1^2 + h^2) \|S\|^2 + (1 + g^2) \|\theta\|^2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.58}$$

We set

$$F_3 = \|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + \|S\|^2.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{dF_3}{dt} \leq \lambda^2 k_2^2 k_5^{\frac{1}{2}} k_6^{\frac{3}{2}} + M_3 F_3,
 \tag{3.59}$$

where  $M_3 = \max \left\{ 3 + \frac{(T^M)^2}{2} + \frac{(C^M)^2}{2}, L^2 k_1^2 + h^2, 1 + g^2 \right\}$ .

We easily see that

$$\|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + \|S\|^2 \leq \lambda^2 t e^{-M_3 t} k_2^2 k_5^{\frac{1}{2}} k_6^{\frac{3}{2}}.
 \tag{3.60}$$

Inequality (3.60) demonstrates the convergence of  $u_i$  to  $u_i^*$ ,  $T$  to  $T^*$ , and  $C$  to  $C^*$  as  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2$  in the indicated measure. □

#### 4 The case for the Forchheimer equations

The above equations we discussed are of the Brinkman-Forchheimer equations type. If we consider the Forchheimer equations if  $\Delta u$  is deleted, we will demonstrate another theorem. Now, let  $(u_i, p, T, C)$  be a solution to the boundary initial-value problem for the

Forchheimer model,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \lambda |u| u_i = -p_{,i} + g_i T - h_i C, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} = \Delta T, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + u_i \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_i} = \Delta C + Lf(T) - kC, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

$$u_i = 0, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, \tau], \tag{4.2}$$

$$u_i(x, 0) = u_{i0}(x), \tag{4.3}$$

$$T(x, 0) = T_0(x), \quad C(x, 0) = C_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{4.4}$$

Furthermore, let  $(u_i^*, p^*, T^*, C^*)$  be a solution to the following boundary initial-value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i^*}{\partial t} = -p_{,i}^* + g_i T^* - h_i C^*, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial u_i^*}{\partial x_i} = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial t} + u_i^* \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial x_i} = \Delta T^*, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial t} + u_i^* \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial x_i} = \Delta C^* + Lf(T^*) - kC^*, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

$$u_i^* = 0, \quad \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, \tau], \tag{4.6}$$

$$u_i^*(x, 0) = u_{i0}(x), \tag{4.7}$$

$$T^*(x, 0) = T_0(x), \quad C^*(x, 0) = C_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{4.8}$$

In this section, we establish convergence on the coefficient  $\lambda$ . To do this, let  $(u_i, T, C, P)$  and  $(u_i^*, T^*, C^*, P^*)$  be solutions of (4.1) and (4.5) with the same boundary and initial conditions. Now we define

$$\omega_i = u_i - u_i^*, \quad \pi = p - p^*, \quad \theta = T - T^*, \quad S = C - C^*. \tag{4.9}$$

Then  $(\omega_i, \theta, S, \pi)$  is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial t} + \lambda |u| \omega_i = -\pi_{,i} + g_i \theta - h_i S, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial x_i} = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \omega_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} + u_i^* \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_i} = \Delta \theta, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \omega_i \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_i} + u_i^* S_{,i} = \Delta S + L(f(T) - f(T^*)) - kS, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \tau], \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

in  $\Omega \times [0, \tau]$ , subject to the boundary and initial conditions

$$\omega_i = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, \tau], \tag{4.11}$$

$$\omega_i(x, 0) = 0, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\theta(x, 0) = 0, \quad S(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{4.13}$$

We will obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3** Let  $(u_i, T, C, p)$  be the classical solution to the initial-boundary problem (4.1)-(4.4),  $(u_i^*, T^*, C^*, p^*)$  be the classical solution to the initial-boundary problem (4.5)-(4.8) in  $\Omega \times (0, \tau)$ , and  $(w_i, \theta, S, \pi)$  be the difference of  $(u_i, T, C, p)$  and  $(u_i^*, T^*, C^*, p^*)$ , then the solution  $(u_i, T, C, p)$  converges to the solution  $(u_i^*, T^*, C^*, p^*)$  as the Forchheimer coefficient  $\lambda$  tends to 0. The difference  $(w_i, \theta, S, \pi)$  satisfies

$$\|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + \|S\|^2 \leq \lambda^2 t e^{-M_3 t} k_2^2 k_5^{\frac{1}{2}} k_7^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{4.14}$$

We can also get the continuous dependence result for different Forchheimer coefficients  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof* First of all, we may calculate  $\int_0^t \int_\Omega C_i C_{,i} dx d\eta$  and  $\int_0^t \int_\Omega T_i T_{,i} dx d\eta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_\Omega C^2 dx &= 2 \int_\Omega C(\Delta C + Lf(T) - kC - u_i C_{,i}) dx \\ &= -2 \int_\Omega C_i C_{,i} dx + 2L \int_\Omega Cf(T) dx - 2k \int_\Omega C^2 dx \\ &\leq -2 \int_\Omega C_i C_{,i} dx + \frac{L^2 d^2}{2k} |\Omega|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Integrating (4.15) over  $\Omega$ , we find

$$2 \int_0^t \int_\Omega C_i C_{,i} dx d\eta \leq \int_\Omega C_0^2 dx + \frac{1}{2k} L^2 d^2 \tau |\Omega|. \tag{4.16}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$2 \int_0^t \int_\Omega T_i T_{,i} dx d\eta \leq \int_\Omega T_0^2 dx. \tag{4.17}$$

Then we want to give a bound for  $\|\nabla u\|^2$ . We know that

$$\int_\Omega u_{i,j} u_{i,j} dx = \int_\Omega u_{i,j} (u_{i,j} - u_{j,i}) dx + \int_\Omega u_{i,j} u_{j,i} dx. \tag{4.18}$$

After some integration by parts, it implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega u_{i,j} u_{j,i} dx &= \oint_{\partial\Omega} u_{i,j} u_j n_i ds - \int_\Omega u_{i,ij} u_j dx \\ &= - \oint_{\partial\Omega} u_{i,i} u_j n_j ds + \int_\Omega u_{i,i} u_{j,j} dx = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

We set

$$J(t) = \int_\Omega u_{i,j} (u_{i,j} - u_{j,i}) dx. \tag{4.20}$$

We note that

$$k_g^2 = \max_\Omega g_{i,j} g_{i,j}, \quad k_h^2 = \max_\Omega h_{i,j} h_{i,j}. \tag{4.21}$$

We can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{dJ}{dt} &= 2 \int_{\Omega} u_{i,jt} u_{i,j} dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{i,jt} u_{j,i} dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{j,it} u_{i,j} dx \\
 &= 2 \int_{\Omega} u_{i,jt} (u_{i,j} - u_{j,i}) dx \\
 &= 2 \int_{\Omega} (u_{i,j} - u_{j,i}) [-\lambda (|u| u_i)_j - p_{i,j} + (g_i T)_j - (h_i C)_j] dx \\
 &= -2\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u| u_{i,j} u_{i,j} dx - 2\lambda \int_{\Omega} u_{i,j} u_i \frac{u_k u_{k,j}}{|u|} dx + 2\lambda \oint_{\partial\Omega} u_{j,i} |u| u_{i,n_j} ds \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega} (u_{i,j} - u_{j,i}) (g_{i,j} T - h_{i,j} C) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} (u_{i,j} - u_{j,i}) (g_i T_j - h_i C_j) dx \\
 &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} (u_{i,j} - u_{j,i}) (u_{i,j} - u_{j,i}) dx + 2k_m^2 \int_{\Omega} (T^2 + C^2) dx \\
 &\quad + 2k_n^2 \int_{\Omega} (T_j T_j + C_j C_j) dx, \tag{4.22}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $k_m^2 = \max \{k_g^2, k_h^2\}$ ,  $k_n^2 = \max \{g^2, h^2\}$ .

We know

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_{i,j} - u_{j,i}) (u_{i,j} - u_{j,i}) dx = 2 \int_{\Omega} (u_{i,j} - u_{j,i}) u_{i,j} dx = 2J(t). \tag{4.23}$$

From (4.22) and (4.23), we can get

$$\frac{dJ}{dt} \leq 4J + 2k_m^2 |\Omega| [(T^M)^2 + (C^M)^2] + 2k_n^2 \int_{\Omega} (T_j T_j + C_j C_j) dx. \tag{4.24}$$

Combining (4.16), (4.17), and (4.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla u\|^2 &\leq 2k_m^2 e^{4\tau} |\Omega| \tau [(T^M)^2 + (C^M)^2] \\
 &\quad + e^{4\tau} k_n^2 \left( \int_{\Omega} T_0^2 + C_0^2 dx + \frac{1}{2k} L^2 d^2 \tau |\Omega| \right) \\
 &= k_7. \tag{4.25}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can obtain

$$\|u\|_4^4 \leq k_2^2 k_5^{\frac{1}{2}} k_7^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{4.26}$$

We can use a similar method to get the result that

$$\|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + \|S\|^2 \leq \lambda^2 t e^{-M_3 t} k_2^2 k_5^{\frac{1}{2}} k_7^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{4.27}$$

In inequalities (4.27) we demonstrate the convergence of  $u_i$  to  $u_i^*$ ,  $T$  to  $T^*$ , and  $C$  to  $C^*$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  in the indicated measure. We can also get the continuous dependence result.

□

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' contributions

The authors declare that the study was realized in collaboration with the same responsibility. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the anonymous referees for helpful and very careful reading on this paper. The work was supported by the national natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11201087), Foundation for Technology Innovation in Higher Education of Guangdong, China (Grant No. 2013KJCX0136), the Excellent Young Teachers Training Program for colleges and universities of Guangdong Province, China (Grant No. Yq2013121), the Guangdong college students' innovation of science and technology cultivate the special funds, and the innovation and strength project for university in Guangdong Province.

Received: 31 August 2015 Accepted: 7 February 2016 Published online: 26 February 2016

### References

- Ames, KA, Straughan, B: Non-standard and Improperly Posed Problems. *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, vol. 194. Academic press, San Diego (1997)
- Nield, DA, Bejan, A: *Convection in Porous Media*. Springer, New York (1992)
- Straughan, B: *The Energy Method, Stability and Nonlinear Convection*, 2nd edn. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 91. Springer, Berlin (2004)
- Straughan, B: *Stability and Wave Motion in Porous Media*. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 165. Springer, Berlin (2008)
- Ames, KA, Payne, LE: On stabilizing against modelling errors in a penetrative convection problem for a porous medium. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **4**, 733-740 (1994)
- Franchi, F, Straughan, B: Continuous dependence and decay for the Forchheimer equations. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **459**, 3195-3202 (2003)
- Kaloni, PN, Qin, Y: Steady nonlinear double-diffusive convection in a porous medium base upon the Brinkman-Forchheimer model. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **204**, 138-155 (1996)
- Kaloni, PN, Guo, J: Steady nonlinear double-diffusive convection in a porous medium based upon the Brinkman-Forchheimer model. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **204**, 138-155 (1996)
- Payne, LE, Straughan, B: Stability in the initial-time geometry problem for the Brinkman and Darcy equations of flow in porous media. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **75**, 255-271 (1996)
- Payne, LE, Straughan, B: Convergence and continuous dependence for the Brinkman-Forchheimer equations. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **102**, 419-439 (1999)
- Payne, LE, Straughan, B: Structural stability for the Darcy equations of flow in porous media. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **454**, 1691-1698 (1998)
- Payne, LE, Song, JC, Straughan, B: Continuous dependence and convergence results for Brinkman and Forchheimer models with variable viscosity. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **455**, 2173-2190 (1999)
- Lin, C, Payne, LE: Structural stability for a Brinkman fluid. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **30**, 567-578 (2007)
- Lin, C, Payne, LE: Structural stability for the Brinkman equations of flow in double diffusive convection. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **325**, 1479-1490 (2007)
- Lin, C, Payne, LE: Continuous dependence on the Soret coefficient for double diffusive convection in Darcy flow. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **342**, 311-325 (2008)
- Li, Y, Lin, C: Continuous dependence for the nonhomogeneous Brinkman-Forchheimer equations in a semi-infinite pipe. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **244**, 201-208 (2014)
- Celebi, AO, Kalantarov, VK, Ugurlu, D: On continuous dependence on coefficients of the Brinkman-Forchheimer equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **19**, 801-807 (2006)
- Celebi, AO, Kalantarov, VK, Ugurlu, D: Continuous dependence for the convective Brinkman-Forchheimer equations. *Appl. Anal.* **84**, 877-888 (2005)
- Straughan, B: Continuous dependence on the heat source in resonant porous penetrative convection. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **127**, 302-314 (2011)
- Scott, NL: Continuous dependence on boundary reaction terms in a porous medium of Darcy type. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **399**, 667-675 (2013)
- Scott, NL, Straughan, B: Continuous dependence on the reaction terms in porous convection with surface reactions. *Q. Appl. Math.* **71**, 501-508 (2013)
- Harfash, AJ: Continuous dependence on the coefficients for double diffusive convection in Darcy flow with magnetic field effect. *Anal. Math. Phys.* **3**, 163-181 (2013)
- Harfash, AJ: Structural stability for convection models in a reacting porous medium with magnetic field effect. *Ric. Mat.* **63**, 1-13 (2014)
- Harfash, AJ: Structural stability for two convection models in a reacting fluid with magnetic field effect. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **15**, 2441-2465 (2014)
- Ciarletta, M, Straughan, B, Tibullo, V: Structural stability for a thermal convection model with temperature-dependent solubility. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **22**, 34-43 (2015)
- Fife, PC: A gentle introduction to the physics and mathematics of incompressible flow. [www.math.utah.edu/~fife/gentleb.pdf](http://www.math.utah.edu/~fife/gentleb.pdf) (2000)
- Liu, Y: Convergence results for Forchheimer's equations. *Eur. J. Appl. Math.* **23**, 761-775 (2012)