RESEARCH　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　**Open Access**

A new application of quasi power increasing sequences. I

Hüseyin Bor[*]

[*]Correspondence:
hbor33@gmail.com
P.O. Box 121, Bahçelievler, Ankara,
TR-06502, Turkey

Abstract

In (Rocky Mt. J. Math. 38:801-807, 2008), we proved a theorem dealing with an application of quasi-σ-power increasing sequences. In the present paper, we prove that theorem under less and more weaker conditions. This theorem also includes some new and known results.

MSC: 40D15; 40F05; 40G99; 46A45

Keywords: Hölder's inequality; sequence spaces; absolute summability; increasing sequences

1 Introduction

A positive sequence (b_n) is said to be almost increasing if there exists a positive increasing sequence c_n and two positive constants A and B such that $Ac_n \leq b_n \leq Bc_n$ (see [1]). A sequence (λ_n) is said to be of bounded variation, denoted by $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \lambda_n| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| < \infty$. A positive sequence $X = (X_n)$ is said to be a quasi-σ-power increasing sequence if there exists a constant $K = K(\sigma, X) \geq 1$ such that $Kn^{\sigma} X_n \geq m^{\sigma} X_m$ holds for all $n \geq m \geq 1$. It should be noted that every almost increasing sequence is a quasi-σ-power increasing sequence for any nonnegative σ, but the converse may not be true as can be seen by taking an example, say $X_n = n^{-\sigma}$ for $\sigma > 0$ (see [2]). Let (φ_n) be a sequence of complex numbers and let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with partial sums (s_n). We denote by z_n^{α} and t_n^{α} the nth Cesàro means of order α, with $\alpha > -1$, of the sequences (s_n) and (na_n), respectively, that is,

$$z_n^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=0}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} s_v, \tag{1}$$

$$t_n^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v, \tag{2}$$

where

$$A_n^{\alpha} = \binom{n+\alpha}{n} = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\cdots(\alpha+n)}{n!} = O(n^{\alpha}), \qquad A_{-n}^{\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 0. \tag{3}$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $\varphi - |C, \alpha|_k$, $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha > -1$, if (see [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \varphi_n \left(z_n^{\alpha} - z_{n-1}^{\alpha} \right) \right|^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-k} \left| \varphi_n t_n^{\alpha} \right|^k < \infty. \tag{4}$$

Springer

In the special case, if we take $\varphi_n = n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$, then $\varphi - |C,\alpha|_k$ summability is the same as $|C,\alpha|_k$ summability (see [4]). Also, if we take $\varphi_n = n^{\delta+1-\frac{1}{k}}$, then $\varphi - |C,\alpha|_k$ summability reduces to $|C,\alpha;\delta|_k$ summability (see [5]).

2 Known result

In [6], we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem A *Let* $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$ *and let* (X_n) *be a quasi-σ-power increasing sequence for some* σ $(0 < \sigma < 1)$. *Suppose also that there exist sequences* (β_n) *and* (λ_n) *such that*

$$|\Delta\lambda_n| \le \beta_n, \tag{5}$$

$$\beta_n \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\Delta\beta_n|X_n < \infty, \tag{7}$$

$$|\lambda_n|X_n = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{8}$$

If there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ *such that the sequence* $(n^{\epsilon-k}|\varphi_n|^k)$ *is non-increasing and if the sequence* (w_n^{α}) *defined by* *(see [7])*

$$w_n^{\alpha} = \begin{cases} |t_n^{\alpha}|, & \alpha = 1, \\ \max_{1 \le v \le n} |t_v^{\alpha}|, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{(|\varphi_n|w_n^{\alpha})^k}{n^k} = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \to \infty, \tag{10}$$

then the series $\sum a_n\lambda_n$ *is summable* $\varphi - |C,\alpha|_k$, $k \ge 1$, $0 < \alpha \le 1$ *and* $k\alpha + \epsilon > 1$.

It should be remarked that we have added the condition '$(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$' in the statement of Theorem A because it is necessary.

3 The main result

The aim of this paper is to prove Theorem A under less and weaker conditions. Now, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem *Let* (X_n) *be a quasi-σ-power increasing sequence for some* σ $(0 < \sigma < 1)$. *If there exists an* $\epsilon > 0$ *such that the sequence* $(n^{\epsilon-k}|\varphi_n|^k)$ *is non-increasing and if the conditions from* (5) *to* (8) *are satisfied and if the condition*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{(|\varphi_n|w_n^{\alpha})^k}{n^k X_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \to \infty \tag{11}$$

is satisfied, then the series $\sum a_n\lambda_n$ *is summable* $\varphi - |C,\alpha|_k$, $k \ge 1$, $0 < \alpha \le 1$, *and* $k(\alpha - 1) + \epsilon > 1$.

Remark It should be noted that condition (11) is the same as condition (10) when $k = 1$. When $k > 1$, condition (11) is weaker than condition (10), but the converse is not true. As in [8] we can show that if (10) is satisfied, then we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{(|\varphi_n|w_n^\alpha)^k}{n^k X_n^{k-1}} = O\left(\frac{1}{X_1^{k-1}}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{(|\varphi_n|w_n^\alpha)^k}{n^k} = O(X_m).$$

If (11) is satisfied, then for $k > 1$ we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{(|\varphi_n|w_n^\alpha)^k}{n^k} = \sum_{n=1}^{m} X_n^{k-1} \frac{(|\varphi_n|w_n^\alpha)^k}{n^k X_n^{k-1}} = O\left(X_m^{k-1}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{(|\varphi_n|w_n^\alpha)^k}{n^k X_n^{k-1}} = O\left(X_m^k\right) \neq O(X_m).$$

Also, it should be noted that the condition '$(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$' has been removed.

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem.

Lemma 1 [9] *If* $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ *and* $1 \leq v \leq n$, *then*

$$\left| \sum_{p=0}^{v} A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} a_p \right| \leq \max_{1 \leq m \leq v} \left| \sum_{p=0}^{m} A_{m-p}^{\alpha-1} a_p \right|. \tag{12}$$

Lemma 2 [2] *Under the conditions on* (X_n), (β_n) *and* (λ_n), *as expressed in the statement of the theorem, we have the following*:

$$n\beta_n X_n = O(1) \quad as\ n \to \infty, \tag{13}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty. \tag{14}$$

4 Proof of the theorem

Let (T_n^α) be the nth (C, α), with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, mean of the sequence $(na_n\lambda_n)$. Then, by (2), we have

$$T_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v \lambda_v. \tag{15}$$

First, applying Abel's transformation and then using Lemma 1, we get that

$$T_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta\lambda_v \sum_{p=1}^{v} A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} p a_p + \frac{\lambda_n}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v,$$

$$\left|T_n^\alpha\right| \leq \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta\lambda_v| \left| \sum_{p=1}^{v} A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} p a_p \right| + \frac{|\lambda_n|}{A_n^\alpha} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^\alpha w_v^\alpha |\Delta\lambda_v| + |\lambda_n| w_n^\alpha$$

$$= T_{n,1}^\alpha + T_{n,2}^\alpha.$$

To complete the proof of the theorem, by Minkowski's inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-k} \left| \varphi_n T_{n,r}^{\alpha} \right|^k < \infty \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2.$$

Now, when $k > 1$, applying Hölder's inequality with indices k and k', where $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$, we get that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{-k} \left| \varphi_n T_{n,1}^{\alpha} \right|^k \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{-k} \left(A_n^{\alpha} \right)^{-k} |\varphi_n|^k \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} A_\nu^{\alpha} w_\nu^{\alpha} |\Delta \lambda_\nu| \right\}^k$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{-k} n^{-\alpha k} |\varphi_n|^k \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \nu^{\alpha k} \left(w_\nu^{\alpha} \right)^k |\Delta \lambda_\nu|^k \times \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} 1 \right\}^{k-1}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \nu^{\alpha k} \left(w_\nu^{\alpha} \right)^k (\beta_\nu)^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \frac{n^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_n|^k}{n^{k(\alpha-1)+\epsilon+1}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \nu^{\alpha k} \left(w_\nu^{\alpha} \right)^k \beta_\nu (\beta_\nu)^{k-1} \nu^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_\nu|^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{k(\alpha-1)+\epsilon+1}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \nu^{\alpha k} \left(w_\nu^{\alpha} \right)^k \beta_\nu (\beta_\nu)^{k-1} \nu^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_\nu|^k \int_{\nu}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{k(\alpha-1)+\epsilon+1}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \beta_\nu (\beta_\nu)^{k-1} \left(w_\nu^{\alpha} |\varphi_\nu| \right)^k$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \beta_\nu \left(\frac{1}{\nu X_\nu} \right)^{k-1} \left(w_\nu^{\alpha} |\varphi_\nu| \right)^k$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \Delta(\nu \beta_\nu) \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} \frac{(|\varphi_r| w_r^{\alpha})^k}{r^k X_r^{k-1}} + O(1) m \beta_m \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \frac{(|\varphi_\nu| w_\nu^{\alpha})^k}{\nu^k X_\nu^{k-1}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \left| \Delta(\nu \beta_\nu) \right| X_\nu + O(1) m \beta_m X_m$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \left| (\nu + 1) \Delta \beta_\nu - \beta_\nu \right| X_\nu + O(1) m \beta_m X_m$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \nu |\Delta \beta_\nu| X_\nu + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \beta_\nu X_\nu + O(1) m \beta_m X_m$$

$$= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \to \infty$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of the theorem and Lemma 2. Finally, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{-k} \left| \varphi_n T_{n,2}^{\alpha} \right|^k = \sum_{n=1}^{m} |\lambda_n| |\lambda_n|^{k-1} n^{-k} \left(w_n^{\alpha} |\varphi_n| \right)^k$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} |\lambda_n| \left(\frac{1}{X_n} \right)^{k-1} n^{-k} \left(w_n^{\alpha} |\varphi_n| \right)^k$$

$$
= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_n| \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{(|\varphi_n| w_n^\alpha)^k}{n^k X_n^{k-1}} + O(1)|\lambda_m| \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{(|\varphi_n| w_n^\alpha)^k}{n^k X_n^{k-1}}
$$

$$
= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_n| X_n + O(1)|\lambda_m| X_m
$$

$$
= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \beta_n X_n + O(1)|\lambda_m| X_m = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \to \infty,
$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of the theorem and Lemma 2. This completes the proof of the theorem. If we take $\epsilon = 1$ and $\varphi_n = n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$ (resp. $\epsilon = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\varphi_n = n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$), then we get a new result dealing with $|C, \alpha|_k$ (resp. $|C, 1|_k$) summability factors. Also, if we set $\epsilon = 1$ and $\varphi_n = n^{\delta+1-\frac{1}{k}}$, then we get another new result concerning the $|C, \alpha; \delta|_k$ summability factors. Finally, if we take (X_n) as an almost increasing sequence, then we get the result of Bor and Seyhan under weaker conditions (see [10]).

References
1. Bari, NK, Stečkin, SB: Best approximation and differential properties of two conjugate functions. Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obš. **5**, 483-522 (1956) (in Russian)
2. Leindler, L: A new application of quasi power increasing sequences. Publ. Math. (Debr.) **58**, 791-796 (2001)
3. Balcı, M: Absolute φ-summability factors. Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ankara, Ser. A1 **29**, 63-80 (1980)
4. Flett, TM: On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **7**, 113-141 (1957)
5. Flett, TM: Some more theorems concerning the absolute summability of Fourier series. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **8**, 357-387 (1958)
6. Bor, H, Özarslan, HS: A study on quasi power increasing sequences. Rocky Mt. J. Math. **38**, 801-807 (2008)
7. Pati, T: The summability factors of infinite series. Duke Math. J. **21**, 271-284 (1954)
8. Sulaiman, WT: On a new application of almost increasing sequences. Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. **4**(3), 29-33 (2012)
9. Bosanquet, LS: A mean value theorem. J. Lond. Math. Soc. **16**, 146-148 (1941)
10. Bor, H, Seyhan, H: A note on almost increasing sequences. Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **39**, 37-42 (1999)