# RESEARCH

 Journal of Inequalities and Applications a SpringerOpen Journal

**Open Access** 

# Proximinality in Banach space valued Musielak-Orlicz spaces

Jingshi Xu<sup>\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: jingshixu@126.com Department of Mathematics, Hainan Normal University, Haikou, 571158, China

### Abstract

Let  $(A, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite complete measure space and let Y be a subspace of a Banach space X. Let  $\varphi$  be a generalized  $\Phi$ -function on  $(A, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ . Denote by  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$ and  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$  the Musielak-Orlicz spaces whose functions take values in Y and X, respectively. Firstly, let  $f \in L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ , we characterize the distance of f from  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$ . Then, if Y is weakly  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic and proximinal in X, we show that  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  is proximinal in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ . Finally, we give the connection between the proximinality of  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$  and the proximinality of  $L^{1}(A, Y)$  in  $L^{1}(A, X)$ .

**Keywords:** proximinality; Musielak-Orlicz space; best approximation; weakly  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic

## **1** Introduction

It is well known that Musielak-Orlicz spaces include many spaces as special spaces, such as Lebesgue spaces, weighted Lebesgue spaces, variable Lebesgue spaces and Orlicz spaces; see [1]. Especially, in recent decades, variable exponent function spaces, such as Lebesgue, Sobolev, Besov, Triebel-Lizorkin, Hardy, Morrey, and Herz spaces with variable exponents, have attracted much attention; see [2–16] and references therein. Cheng and the author discussed geometric properties of Banach space valued Bochner-Lebesgue and Bochner-Sobolev spaces with a variable exponent in [17]. Very recently, Musielak-Orlicz-Hardy spaces have been systemically developed; see, for example, [18–22]. These spaces have many applications in various fields such as PDE, electrorheological fluids, and image restoration; see [6, 23–25].

In recent years, proximinality in Banach space valued Bochner-Lebesgue spaces with constant exponent have been extensively studied; see [26–33]. Proximinality in Banach space valued Bochner-Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent was discussed by the author in [34]. In fact, we generalized those results in [29, 31] to Banach space valued Bochner-Lebesgue spaces with a variable exponent. Khandaqji, Khalil and Hussein considered proximinality in Orlicz-Bochner function spaces on the unit interval in [35], and Al-Minawi and Ayesh consider the same problem on finite measures in [36]. The best simultaneous approximation in Banach space valued Orlicz spaces was discussed in [37, 38]. Micherda discussed proximinality of subspaces of vector-valued Musielak-Orlicz spaces via modular in [39]. However, as usual, one considers the best approximation via the norm, so in this paper, we will discuss proximinality of subspaces of vector-valued Musielak-Orlicz spaces via the norm. To proceed, we need to recall some definitions. Our results will be given in the next section.



©2014 Xu; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. In what follows,  $(A, A, \mu)$  will be a  $\sigma$ -finite complete measure space. Suppose D is a subset of A, let  $\chi_D$  be the indicator function on D. Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space. The dual space of X is the vector space  $X^*$  of all continuous linear mappings from X to  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . To avoid a double definition we let  $\mathbb{K}$  be either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 1** A convex, left-continuous function  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \varphi(t) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$  is called a  $\Phi$ -function. It is called positive if  $\varphi(t) > 0$  for all t > 0.

It is easy to see that if  $\varphi$  is a  $\Phi$ -function, then it is nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Definition 2** Let  $(A, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite complete measure space. A real function  $\varphi : A \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a generalized  $\Phi$ -function on  $(A, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  if

- (a)  $\varphi(y, \cdot)$  is a  $\Phi$ -function for all  $y \in A$ ,
- (b)  $y \mapsto \varphi(y, t)$  is measurable for all  $t \ge 0$ .
- If  $\varphi$  is a generalized  $\Phi$ -function on  $(A, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , we write  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$ .

**Definition 3** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$ . Define

$$\varrho_{\varphi}(f) := \int_{A} \varphi(y, \|f(y)\|) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(y)$$

for strongly  $\mu$ -measurable functions  $f : A \to X$ . Then the Bochner-Musielak-Orlicz space  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$  is the collection of all strongly  $\mu$ -measurable functions  $f : A \to X$  endowed with the norm:

$$\|f\|_{L^{\varphi}(A,X)} := \inf \{\lambda > 0, \varrho_{\varphi}(f/\lambda) \le 1\}.$$

Let

$$E^{\varphi}(A, X) := \{ f \in L^{\varphi}(A, X) : \rho_{\varphi}(\lambda f) < \infty \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \}.$$

**Definition 4** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$ . The function  $\varphi$  is said to obey the  $\Delta_2$ -condition if there exists  $K \ge 2$  such that

$$\varphi(s, 2t) \le K\varphi(s, t)$$

for all  $s \in A$  and all  $t \ge 0$ .

When X is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , we simply denote  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$  by  $L^{\varphi}(A)$ , and  $E^{\varphi}(A, X)$  by  $E^{\varphi}(A)$ . Usually,  $E^{\varphi}(A, X)$  is a proper subspace of  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ . But when the  $\varphi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, they are the same. It is easy to see that  $E^{\varphi}(A, X) = L^{\varphi}(A, X)$  is equivalent to  $E^{\varphi}(A) = L^{\varphi}(A)$ , this means that the equality depends only on  $\varphi$ .

We remark that  $\rho_{\varphi}$  is a semimodular on the space of all *X*-valued strongly  $\mu$ -measurable functions on *A*. For a semimodular, we recommend the reader reference [6]. Let  $\rho$  be a semimodular on vector space *E*,  $E_{\rho} = \{x \in E : \rho(x/\lambda) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}$ ,  $||x||_{\rho} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \rho(x/\lambda) \le 1\}$ . We will use the following elementary result for a semimodular, which is Corollary 2.1.15 in [6].

- (i) If  $||x||_{\rho} \le 1$ , then  $\rho(x) \le ||x||_{\rho}$ .
- (ii) If  $1 < ||x||_{\rho}$ , then  $||x||_{\rho} \le \rho(x)$ .

Let *X* be a Banach space and let *Y* be a closed subspace of *X*. Then *Y* is called proximinal in *X* if for any  $x \in X$  there exists  $y \in Y$  such that

$$||x - y|| = \operatorname{dist}(x, Y) = \inf\{||x - u|| : u \in Y\}.$$

In this case *y* is called a best approximation of *x* in *Y*. If this best approximation is unique for any  $x \in X$ , then *Y* is said to be Chebyshev.

For simplicity, we denote  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\varphi}(A,X)}$  or  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\varphi}(A)}$  by  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$ . For  $f \in L^{\varphi}(A,X)$ ,  $Y \subset X$ , let

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\varphi}(f, L^{\varphi}(A, Y)) := \inf \{ \|f - g\|_{\varphi} : g \in L^{\varphi}(A, Y) \}.$$

#### 2 Main results

Firstly, we estimate  $dist_{\varphi}(f, L^{\varphi}(A, Y))$ .

**Theorem 1** Let Y be a subspace of Banach space X. Suppose  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$ . For  $f \in L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ , define  $\phi : A \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $\phi(s) := \text{dist}(f(s), Y)$ . Then

- (i)  $\phi \in L^{\varphi}(A)$  and  $\operatorname{dist}_{\varphi}(f, L^{\varphi}(A, Y)) \geq ||\phi||_{\varphi};$
- (ii) dist<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>(f,  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$ ) =  $\|\phi\|_{\varphi}$  for  $f \in E^{\varphi}(A, X)$ .

*Proof* (i) Given  $f \in L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ , we see that there exists a sequence of simple functions  $\{f_n\}$  which converges to f almost everywhere and in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ . Since the distance function d(x, Y) is a continuous function of  $x \in X$ ,  $||f_n(s) - f(s)|| \to 0$  implies that  $|\operatorname{dist}(f_n(s), Y) - \operatorname{dist}(f(s), Y)| \to 0$ . Moreover, each function  $\phi_n : A \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\phi_n(s) := \operatorname{dist}(f_n(s), Y)$  is a simple function; therefore we conclude that  $\phi$  is measurable. Now, for any  $g \in L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  and any  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\varphi}\big(\lambda(f-g)\big) &= \int_{A} \varphi\big(s,\lambda \left\|f(s) - g(s)\right\|\big) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s) \\ &\geq \int_{A} \varphi\big(s,\lambda \operatorname{dist}\big(f(s),Y\big)\big) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s) \\ &= \rho_{\varphi}(\lambda\phi). \end{split}$$

Thus, we have

$$\|f-g\|_{\varphi} \ge \|\phi\|_{\varphi}.$$

This implies  $\phi \in L^{\varphi}(A)$  and, by taking an infimum on  $g \in L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$ , we have

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\varphi}(f, L^{\varphi}(A, Y)) \geq \|\phi\|_{\varphi}.$$

(ii) We first assume that f is a simple function. Let  $f(s) := \sum_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{A_i} x_i$  where  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$  are disjoint measurable subsets in A such that  $0 < \mu(A_i) < \infty$  and  $0 \neq x_i \in X$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$ . Without loss of generality, we suppose that  $\operatorname{dist}_{\varphi}(f, L^{\varphi}(A, Y)) = 1$ . Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Since  $\phi(s) \leq 1$ .

||f(s)||, we have  $\rho_{\varphi}(\lambda \phi) \leq \rho_{\varphi}(\lambda f) < \infty$  for any  $\lambda > 0$ . Then, by the dominated convergence theorem, we find that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\int_{A_i} \varphi(s, \operatorname{dist}(x_i, Y) + \delta) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(s) \leq \int_{A_i} \varphi(s, \operatorname{dist}(x_i, Y)) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(s) + \frac{\epsilon}{m}, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Now take  $y_i \in Y$  such that  $||x_i - y_i|| < \text{dist}(x_i, Y) + \delta$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Let  $g(s) = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{A_i} y_i$ . Therefore  $||f - g||_{\varphi} \ge \text{dist}_{\varphi}(f, L^{\varphi}(A, Y)) = 1$ . By Lemma 1, we see that

$$1 \leq \|f - g\|_{\varphi} \leq \rho_{\varphi}(f - g) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{A_{i}} \varphi(s, \|x_{i} - y_{i}\|) d\mu(s)$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{A_{i}} \varphi(s, \operatorname{dist}(x_{i}, Y) + \delta) d\mu(s)$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \int_{A_{i}} \varphi(s, \operatorname{dist}(x_{i}, Y)) d\mu(s) + \frac{\epsilon}{m} \right)$$
$$= \int_{A} \varphi(s, \operatorname{dist}(f(s), Y)) d\mu(s) + \epsilon.$$

Thus,  $\rho_{\varphi}(\phi) \ge 1 - \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, we have  $\rho_{\varphi}(\phi) \ge 1$ . By Lemma 1 again, we have  $\|\phi\|_{\varphi} \ge 1$ . This means that  $\|\operatorname{dist}(f(\cdot), Y)\|_{\varphi} \ge \operatorname{dist}_{\varphi}(f, L^{\varphi}(A, Y))$ . Therefore, we have proved that  $\|\operatorname{dist}(f(\cdot), Y)\|_{\varphi} = \operatorname{dist}_{\varphi}(f, L^{\varphi}(A, Y))$  for simple functions.

Finally, let  $f \in E^{\varphi}(A, X)$ , there exists a sequence of simple functions  $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  convergent to  $f \mu$ -almost everywhere,  $||g_n(s)|| \le ||f(s)|| \mu$ -almost everywhere and  $||f - g_n||_{\varphi} \to 0$  as n tends to  $\infty$ . Let  $\phi_n(s) = \text{dist}(g_n(s), Y)$ . From the previous proof, we have

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\varphi} = \operatorname{dist}_{\varphi}(g_n, L^{\varphi}(A, Y)).$$

It is easy to see that  $\operatorname{dist}_{\varphi}(g_n, L^{\varphi}(A, Y)) \to \operatorname{dist}_{\varphi}(f, L^{\varphi}(A, Y))$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since  $\phi_n(s) \leq ||g_n(s)|| \leq ||f(s)|| \mu$ -almost everywhere, and  $\phi_n(s) \to \phi(s) \mu$ -almost everywhere as n tends to  $\infty$ , by Lemma 2.3.16(c) in [6], we conclude that  $\phi_n \to \phi$  in  $L^{\varphi}(A)$ . Hence, letting  $n \to \infty$ , we see that

$$\|\phi\|_{\varphi} = \operatorname{dist}_{\varphi}(f, L^{\varphi}(A, Y)),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 1** Let Y be a closed subspace of a Banach space X. Suppose  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$ . An element g of  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  is a best approximation to an element f in  $E^{\varphi}(A, X)$  if and only if g(s) is a best approximation in Y to f(s) for almost every  $s \in A$ . Furthermore, if  $\varphi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, then an element g of  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  is a best approximation to an element f in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$  if and only if g(s) is a best approximation in Y to f(s) for almost every  $s \in A$ .

**Corollary 2** Let Y be a Chebyshev subspace of a Banach space X. Suppose  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition. If  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  is proximinal in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ , then it is a Chebyshev subspace of  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ .

**Remark 1** Our results Theorem 1, Corollaries 1 and 2 cover the results for vector Orlicz spaces in [36]. Indeed, in [36] the authors only considered vector Orlicz spaces on finite

measures. Analogous results for best simultaneous approximation were obtained in [37, 38] for vector Orlicz spaces on finite measures.

Next, we transfer the proximinality of *Y* in *X* to  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ . To do so, we need some preliminaries.

**Lemma 2** Let  $(A, A, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite complete measure space. Suppose  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$ . Let Y be a proximinal subspace of a Banach space X. Suppose  $f \in L^{\varphi}(A, X)$  and g is a strongly  $\mu$ -measurable function such that g(s) is a best approximation to f(s) from Y for almost everywhere  $s \in A$ . Then g is a best approximation to f from  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$ .

*Proof* Since  $0 \in Y$ , it follows that  $||g(s)|| \le 2||f(s)|| \mu$ -almost everywhere. Thus,  $g \in L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$ . For each  $h \in L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$ , by assumption we know that  $||f(s) - g(s)|| \le ||f(s) - h(s)|| \mu$ -almost everywhere. So  $\rho_{\varphi}(\lambda(f - g)) \le \rho_{\varphi}(\lambda(f - h))$  for any  $\lambda > 0$ . Thus,  $||f - g||_{\varphi} \le ||f - h||_{\varphi}$ . This ends the proof.

**Definition 5** Let  $(T, \tau)$  be a Polish space (*i.e.* a topological space which is separable and completely metrizable). A set  $Q \subset T$  is analytic if it is empty or if there exists a continuous mapping  $f : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \to T$  satisfying  $f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}) = Q$ , where  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  denotes the space of all infinite sequences of natural numbers endowed with the Tychonoff topology.

**Definition 6** Let  $(T, \tau)$  be a Polish space, H a topological space and denote by  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all analytic subsets of T. Then a mapping  $f : T \to H$  is said to be analytic measurable if  $f^{-1}(C) \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$  for every  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is for the Borel sets of H.

**Definition** 7 Let *H*, *T* be topological spaces. Then a multifunction  $F : H \to 2^T$  is said to be upper semi-continuous if for every  $x \in H$  and for every open set *U* satisfying  $F(x) \subset U$ , there exists an open neighborhood *V* of *x* such that  $F(V) \subset U$ .

**Definition 8** A subset *C* of a topological space *T* is  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic if it can be written as  $C = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} F(\sigma)$  for some upper semi-continuous mapping  $F : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \to 2^{T}$  with compact values. In the case when *T* is a Banach space endowed with its weak topology, *C* is said to be weakly  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic.

For the theory of  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic sets, we recommend [40]. Specially, all reflexive and all separable Banach spaces are weakly  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic. The following lemma is just Theorem 3.3 in [31].

**Lemma 3** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real Banach space and let Y be a proximinal, weakly  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic convex subset of X. Then, for each closed and separable set  $M \subset X$ , there exists an analytic measurable mapping  $h: M \to Y$  such that h(M) is separable in Y and h(x) is a best approximation of x in Y for any  $x \in M$ .

Thus, following the argument of the proof of (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) in [39, p.185], we have the following conclusion, the details being omitted.

**Theorem 2** Let  $(A, A, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite complete measure space. Suppose  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$ , and Y is a weakly  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic linear subspace of a real Banach space X. If Y is proximinal in X, then  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  is proximinal in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ .

**Theorem 3** Let  $(A, A, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Suppose  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$  such that  $E^{\varphi}(A) = L^{\varphi}(A)$ . Let Y be a linear subspace of a real Banach space X. If  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  is proximinal in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ , then Y is proximinal in X.

*Proof* Since the measure  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, let us choose positive measure set Q such that  $\chi_Q \in L^{\varphi}(A)$ . For any  $x \in X$ , let  $f(t) := \chi_Q(t) \cdot x$ ,  $t \in A$ . Then  $f \in L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ . By the assumption, we know that there is a g in  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  which is a best approximation element of f. Consequently, g(s) is a best approximation to f(s) in Y for almost every  $s \in A$  by Corollary 1. Therefore, there is a best approximation element of x in Y. Thus, Y is proximinal in X.

From Theorems 2 and 3, we deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 3** Let  $(A, A, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite complete measure space. Suppose  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$  such that  $E^{\varphi}(A) = L^{\varphi}(A)$ . Let Y be a weakly K-analytic linear subspace of a real Banach space X. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) *Y* is proximinal in *X*;
- (ii)  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  is proximinal in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ .

**Remark 2** An analog to Corollary 3 in terms of a modular was obtained in [39].

Finally, we give a characterization of proximinity of  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$  via the proximinity of  $L^{1}(A, Y)$  in  $L^{1}(A, X)$ . When  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$  is a Bochner-Lebesgue space, which was obtained in [29] and [32, 33] on finite measure spaces and  $\sigma$  finite measure spaces, respectively.  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$  is a Bochner-Orlicz space, which was discussed in [35].

**Theorem 4** Let  $(A, A, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite complete measure space. Suppose  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$  such that the set of simple functions,  $S(A, \mu)$ , satisfies  $S(A, \mu) \subset L^{\varphi^*}(A, \mu)$ , where  $\varphi^*$  is the conjugate function of  $\varphi$  (see [6]). Let Y be a closed subspace of a Banach space X. If  $L^1(A, Y)$  is proximinal in  $L^1(A, X)$ , then  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  is proximinal in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ .

*Proof* Since *A* is  $\sigma$ -finite, we may write  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ , where  $\{A_i\}$  is a sequence of disjoint measurable sets each of finite measure. Let  $f \in L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$ , then  $\chi_{A_n} \in L^{\varphi^*}(A)$ . Thus, by the norm conjugate formula (see Corollary 2.7.5 in [6]), we find that  $f \chi_{A_n} \in L^1(A, X)$ . By assumption, we know that there exists  $g_n \in L^1(A, Y)$  such that

 $\|f\chi_{A_n} - g_n\|_{L^1} \le \|f\chi_{A_n} - h\|_{L^1}, \quad \forall h \in L^1(A, Y).$ 

By Corollary 1, we have, for all  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\|f(t)\chi_{A_n}-g_n(t)\right\|\leq \left\|f(t)\chi_{A_n}-y\right\|$$

 $\mu$ -almost everywhere. Therefore  $g_n(t) = 0$   $\mu$ -almost every  $t \in A_n^c$ . Let  $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n$ . Since  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f \chi_{A_n}$ , it follows that for all  $h \in L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$ ,

$$||f(t) - g(t)|| \le ||f(t) - h(t)||$$

 $\mu$ -almost everywhere. Because  $0 \in Y$ , it follows that  $||g(t)|| \le 2||f(t)||$ . Thus,  $g \in L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  and

$$\|f-g\|_{\varphi} \le \|f-h\|_{\varphi}$$

for all  $h \in L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$ . This finishes the proof.

**Theorem 5** Let  $(A, A, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite complete measure space. Suppose  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$  satisfies  $E^{\varphi}(A) = L^{\varphi}(A)$  and, for each  $t \in A$ ,  $\varphi(t, \cdot)$  is strictly increasing. Let Y be a closed subspace of a Banach space X. If  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  is proximinal in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ , then  $L^{1}(A, Y)$  is proximinal in  $L^{1}(A, X)$ .

*Proof* We use the idea from [35]. Indeed in [35] the authors only considered Banach space valued Orlicz spaces on the unit interval. Since, for each  $t \in A$ ,  $\varphi(t, \cdot)$  is strictly increasing, let  $\varphi^{-1}(t, \cdot)$  be its inverse function, which means, for each  $s \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $\varphi(t, \varphi^{-1}(t, s)) = s$ . Define the map  $J : L^1(A, X) \to L^{\varphi}(A, X)$  by setting

$$J(f)(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi^{-1}(t, \|f(t)\|)}{\|f(t)\|} f(t), & f(t) \neq 0; \\ 0, & f(t) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $||J(f)(t)|| = \varphi^{-1}(t, ||f(t)||)$ . Therefore  $\rho_{\varphi}(J(f)) = ||f||_{L^1}$ . So *J* is injective. Moreover, if  $g \in L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ , let

$$f(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(t, \|g(t)\|)}{\|g(t)\|} g(t), & g(t) \neq 0; \\ 0, & g(t) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f(t) \in X$  and  $||f(t)|| = \varphi(t, ||g(t)||)$ . Thus,  $f \in L^1(A, X)$ . In addition, for  $g(t) \neq 0$ ,

$$J(f)(t) = \frac{\varphi^{-1}(t,\varphi(t,\|g(t)\|))}{\varphi(t,\|g(t)\|)}f(t) = \frac{\|g(t)\|}{\varphi(t,\|g(t)\|)}f(t) = g(t).$$

If g(t) = 0, then f(t) = 0 also, thus J(f)(t) = 0 = g(t). Hence *J* is surjective and  $J(L^1(A, Y)) = L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  also.

Now, let  $f \in L^1(A, X)$ . Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $f(t) \neq 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere, for otherwise we can restrict our measure to the support of f. Since  $J(f) \in L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ , by the assumption, we know that there exists some  $g \in L^1(A, Y)$  such that

$$\left\|J(f) - J(g)\right\|_{\varphi} \le \left\|J(f) - J(\nu)\right\|_{\varphi}$$

for all  $v \in L^1(A, Y)$ . By Corollary 1, we see that, for all  $y \in Y$ ,

$$||J(f)(t) - J(g)(t)|| \le ||J(f)(t) - y||$$

 $\mu$ -almost everywhere. Multiplying both sides of the last inequality by  $\frac{\|f(t)\|}{\varphi^{-1}(t,\|f(t)\|)}$ , we obtain, for all  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\|f(t) - \frac{\|f(t)\|}{\varphi^{-1}(t, \|f(t)\|)} \frac{\varphi^{-1}(t, \|g(t)\|)}{\|g(t)\|} g(t)\right\| \le \|f(t) - y\|.$$

Let  $h(t) = \frac{\|f(t)\|}{\varphi^{-1}(t,\|g(t)\|)} \frac{\varphi^{-1}(t,\|g(t)\|)}{\|g(t)\|} g(t)$ . Since h(t) is a best approximation of f(t) in Y, and  $0 \in Y$ , it follows that  $\|h(t)\| \le 2\|f(t)\|$ . Therefore,  $h \in L^1(A, Y)$ . Thus, for all  $w \in L^1(A, Y)$ ,

$$||f(t) - h(t)|| \le ||f(t) - w(t)||$$

 $\mu$ -almost everywhere. Thus, by Corollary 1 *h* is a best approximation of *f* in  $L^1(A, Y)$ . This finishes the proof.

From Theorems 4 and 5, we deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 4** Let  $(A, A, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite complete measure space. Suppose  $\varphi \in \Phi(A, \mu)$  such that  $E^{\varphi}(A) = L^{\varphi}(A)$ , for each  $t \in A$ ,  $\varphi(t, \cdot)$  is strictly increasing and the set of simple functions  $S(A, \mu)$  satisfies  $S(A, \mu) \subset L^{\varphi^*}(A, \mu)$ . Let Y be a closed subspace of a Banach space X. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $L^1(A, Y)$  is proximinal in  $L^1(A, X)$ ;

(ii)  $L^{\varphi}(A, Y)$  is proximinal in  $L^{\varphi}(A, X)$ .

**Remark 3** When  $(A, \mu)$  is a finite measure and  $\varphi$  is a Orlicz function that satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ condition, the result of Corollary 4 was obtained in [36]. While  $(A, \mu)$  is the unit interval
and  $\varphi$  is a Young function that satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, the result of Corollary 4 was
obtained in [35].

#### Competing interests

The author declare that he has no competing interests.

#### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referee for carefully reading which made the presentation more readable and for his or her suggestion for references [36–38]. The author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11361020) and the National Natural Science Foundation of Hainan Providence (113004).

#### Received: 22 December 2013 Accepted: 27 March 2014 Published: 09 Apr 2014

#### References

- 1. Musielak, J: Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces. Springer, Berlin (1983)
- 2. Kováčik, O, Rákosník, J: On spaces L<sup>p(x)</sup> and W<sup>k,p(x)</sup>. Czechoslov. Math. J. 41, 592-618 (1991)
- Almeida, A, Drihem, D: Maximal, potential and singular type operators on Herz spaces with variable exponents. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 394, 781-795 (2012)
- 4. Almeida, A, Hästö, P: Besov spaces with variable smoothness and integrability. J. Funct. Anal. 258, 1628-1655 (2010)
- 5. Diening, L, Hästö, P, Roudenko, S: Function spaces of variable smoothness and integrability. J. Funct. Anal. 256, 1731-1768 (2009)
- Diening, L, Harjulehto, P, Hästö, P, Růžička, M: Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents. Springer, Berlin (2011)
- Harjulehto, P, Hästö, P, Le, UV, Nuortio, M: Overview of differential equations with non-standard growth. Nonlinear Anal. 72, 4551-4574 (2010)
- Izuki, M: Boundedness of sublinear operators on Herz spaces with variable exponent and application to wavelet characterization. Anal. Math. 36, 33-50 (2010)
- 9. Izuki, M: Boundedness of commutators on Herz spaces with variable exponent. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 59, 199-213 (2010)
- Izuki, M: Vector-valued inequalities on Herz spaces and characterizations of Herz-Sobolev spaces with variable exponent. Glas. Mat. 45, 475-503 (2010)
- 11. Kempka, H: 2-Microlocal Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces of variable integrability. Rev. Mat. Complut. 22, 227-251 (2009)
- 12. Kempka, H: Atomic, molecular and wavelet decomposition of generalized 2-microlocal Besov spaces. J. Funct. Spaces Appl. 8, 129-165 (2010)
- 13. Nakai, E, Sawano, Y: Hardy spaces with variable exponents and generalized Campanato spaces. J. Funct. Anal. 262, 3665-3748 (2012)
- 14. Samko, S: Variable exponent Herz spaces. Mediterr. J. Math. 10, 2007-2025 (2013)
- 15. Xu, J: Variable Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math. 33, 511-522 (2008)

- Xu, J: The relation between variable Bessel potential spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 19, 599-605 (2008)
- Cheng, C, Xu, J: Geometric properties of Banach space valued Bochner-Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent. J. Math. Inequal. 7, 461-475 (2013)
- Cao, J, Chang, DC, Yang, D, Yang, S: Weighted local Orlicz-Hardy spaces on domains and their applications in inhomogeneous Dirichlet and Neumann problems. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 365, 4729-4809 (2013)
- Liang, Y, Huang, J, Yang, D: New real-variable characterizations of Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 395, 413-428 (2012)
- 20. Yang, D, Yang, S: Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with divergence operators on unbounded strongly Lipschitz domains of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Indiana Univ. Math. J. **61**, 81-129 (2012)
- 21. Yang, D, Yang, S: Local Hardy spaces of Musielak-Orlicz type and their applications. Sci. China Math. 55, 1677-1720 (2012)
- 22. Yang, D, Yang, S: Musielak-Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with operators and their applications. J. Geom. Anal. 24, 495-570 (2014)
- Chen, Y, Levine, S, Rao, R: Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 66, 1383-1406 (2006)
- 24. Li, F, Li, Z, Pi, L: Variable exponent functionals in image restoration. Appl. Math. Comput. 216, 870-882 (2010)
- 25. Růžička, M: Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory. Springer, Berlin (2000)
- 26. Abu-Sirhan, E: Simultaneous approximation in function spaces. In: Neamtu, M, Schumaker, L (eds.) Approximation Theory XIII: San Antonio, pp. 321-329 (2010)
- 27. Abu-Sirhan, E: Best simultaneous approximation in function and operator spaces. Turk. J. Math. 36, 101-112 (2012)
- 28. Khalil, R: Best approximation in  $L_p(l, X)$ . Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **94**, 277-279 (1983)
- 29. Khalil, R, Deeb, W: Best approximation in  $L_p(I, X)$ . J. Approx. Theory **59**, 296-299 (1989)
- 30. Khalil, R, Saidi, F: Best approximation in *L*<sub>1</sub>(*I*,*X*). Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **123**, 183-190 (1995)
- 31. Light, WA: Proximinality in *L*<sub>p</sub>(*S*, *Y*). Rocky Mt. J. Math. **19**, 251-259 (1989)
- 32. Mendoza, J: Proximinality in  $L_p(\mu, X)$ . J. Approx. Theory **93**, 331-343 (1998)
- You, ZY, Guo, TX: Pointwise best approximation in the space of strongly measurable functions with applications to best approximation in L<sup>p</sup>(μ, X). J. Approx. Theory **78**, 314-320 (1994)
- 34. Xu, J: Proximinality in Banach space valued Bochner-Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent (submitted)
- 35. Khandaqji, M, Khalil, R, Hussein, D: Proximinality in Orlicz-Bochner function spaces. Tamkang J. Math. 34, 71-75 (2003)
- 36. Al-Minawi, H, Ayesh, S: Best approximation in Orlicz spaces. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 14, 245-252 (1991)
- Khandaqji, M, Al-Sharif, S: Best simultaneous approximation in Orlicz spaces. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2007, Article ID 68017 (2007)
- 38. Shen, ZS, Yang, ZY: Best simultaneous approximation in  $L^{\Phi}(I, X)$ . J. Math. Res. Expo. **30**, 863-868 (2010)
- 39. Micherda, B: On proximinal subspaces of vector-valued Orlicz-Musielak spaces. J. Approx. Theory 174, 182-191 (2013)
- Kakol, J, Kubiś, W, López-Pellicer, M: Descriptive Topology in Selected Topics of Functional Analysis. Springer, Berlin (2011)

#### 10.1186/1029-242X-2014-146

Cite this article as: Xu: Proximinality in Banach space valued Musielak-Orlicz spaces. Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2014, 2014:146

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com