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#### Abstract

The case $k=a$ of the 1974 conjecture of Andrews on two partition functions $A_{\lambda, k, a}(n)$ and $B_{\lambda, k, a}(n)$ was proved by the first author and Sudha (1993) and the case $k=a+1$ was established by the authors (2000). In this paper, we prove that the conjecture is false and give a revised conjecture for a particular case when $\lambda$ is even.
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1. Introduction. Andrews [3] proved a general theorem from which the well-known Rogers-Ramanujan identities, Gordon's theorem [7], the Göllnitz-Gordon identities [6] and their generalization [1], Schur's theorem and its generalization [10] could be deduced. In 1969, Andrews [2] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 [2, Theorem 2]. If $\lambda, k$, and $a$ are positive integers with $\lambda / 2 \leq a \leq k$, $k \geq 2 \lambda-1$, then for every positive integer,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\lambda, k, a}(n)=B_{\lambda, k, a}(n), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\lambda, k, a}(n)$ and $B_{\lambda, k, a}(n)$ are defined as follows.
Definition 1.2. For an even integer $\lambda$, let $A_{\lambda, k, a}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of $n$ into parts such that no part which is not equivalent to $0(\bmod \lambda+1)$ may be repeated and no part is equivalent to $0, \pm(a-\lambda / 2)(\lambda+1) \bmod [(2 k-\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)]$. For an odd integer $\lambda$, let $A_{\lambda, k, a}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of $n$ into parts such that no part which is not equivalent to $0(\bmod ((\lambda+1) / 2))$ may be repeated, no part is equivalent to $\lambda+1(\bmod 2 \lambda+2)$, and no part is equivalent to $0, \pm(2 a-\lambda)((\lambda+$ 1) $/ 2) \bmod [(2 k-\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)]$.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let $B_{\lambda, k, a}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of $n$ of the form $b_{1}+\cdots+b_{s}$ with $b_{i} \geq b_{i+1}$, no part which is not equivalent to $0(\bmod \lambda+1)$ is repeated, $b_{i}-b_{i+k-1} \geq \lambda+1$ with strict inequality if $\lambda+1 / b_{i}, \sum_{i=j}^{\lambda-j+1} f_{i} \leq a-j$ for $1 \leq j \leq(\lambda+1) / 2$, and $f_{1}+\cdots+f_{\lambda+1} \leq a-1$, where $f_{j}$ is the number of appearances of $j$ in the partition.

Since Schur's theorem [10] is the case $\lambda=k=a=2$, it is not a particular case of Theorem 1.1 as $k \geq 2 \lambda-1$ is not satisfied. Hence Andrews [2] conjectured that Theorem 1.1 may be still true if $k \geq \lambda$. In fact, he gave a proof of this result [4].

In the conclusion of [4], Andrews stated the following two conjectures.

CONJECTURE 1.4. For $\lambda / 2<a \leq k<\lambda$, let $n^{c}=(k+\lambda-a+1)(k+\lambda-a) / 2+(k-\lambda+$ 1) $(\lambda+1)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{\lambda, k, a}(n)=A_{\lambda, k, a}(n) \quad \text { for } 0 \leq n<n^{c} \\
& B_{\lambda, k, a}(n)=A_{\lambda, k, a}(n)+1 \quad \text { for } n=n^{c} . \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

CONJECTURE 1.5. For all positive integers $n, A_{4,3,3}(n)=B_{4,3,3}^{0}(n)$, where $B_{4,3,3}^{0}(n)$ denotes the number of partitions of $n$ enumerated by $B_{4,3,3}(n)$ with the added restrictions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{5 j+2}+f_{5 j+3} \leq 1 \quad \text { for } j \geq 0 \\
f_{5 j+4}+f_{5 j+6} \leq 1 \quad \text { for } j \geq 0,  \tag{1.3}\\
f_{5 j-1}+f_{5 j}+f_{5 j+5}+f_{5 j+6} \leq 3 \quad \text { for } j \geq 1
\end{gather*}
$$

Conjecture 1.5 is designed to show that when the condition $k \geq \lambda$ is removed with some additional restrictions on the summands, some partition identities can be obtained in a few cases. In 1994, Andrews et al. [5] proved Conjecture 1.5.

The first author and Sudha [9] have proved the case $k=a$ of Conjecture 1.4 while the authors in [8] have established the case $k=a+1$ of Conjecture 1.4. The objective of the present paper is to prove that Conjecture 1.4 is false if $n$ exceeds $(2 k-a-\lambda / 2+1)(\lambda+$ 1) for even $\lambda$ and $k \geq a+2$. For odd $\lambda$, we have verified and checked that Conjecture 1.4 is false when $\lambda=11, k=9$, and $a=6$. We also give the following revised conjecture for a particular case when $\lambda$ is even.

REvised Conjecture 1.6. Let $\lambda$ be even, $a-\lambda / 2=1, \theta=k-a, \theta(\theta-1) / 2<[a-$ $\lambda / 2](\lambda+1)$, and $0 \leq \theta \leq \lambda / 2-3$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{\lambda, k, a}(n)=A_{\lambda, k, a}(n) \quad \text { for } n<\left(2 k-a-\frac{\lambda}{2}+1\right)(\lambda+1), \\
& B_{\lambda, k, a}(n)=A_{\lambda, k, a}(n)+B_{\lambda, k, a}(x),  \tag{1.4}\\
& \text { where } n=\left(2 k-a-\frac{\lambda}{2}+1\right)(\lambda+1)+x, 0 \leq x \leq \frac{\theta(\theta-1)}{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

These results support (i) Andrews' contention that $k \geq \lambda$ is essential for the truth of Theorem 1.1 and (ii) his belief that Theorem 1.1 was the best possible one, but his conjecture about first counterexamples when $k \geq \lambda$ is false.
2. Preliminaries. Let $P_{B_{\lambda, k, a}}(n)$ and $P_{A_{\lambda, k, a}}(n)$ denote the sets of partitions enumerated by $B_{\lambda, k, a}(n)$ and $A_{\lambda, k, a}(n)$, respectively. Let $P_{A}^{\prime}(n)$ (resp., $\left.P_{B}^{\prime}(n)\right)$ denote the set of partitions enumerated by $A_{\lambda, k, a}(n)$ (resp., $\left.B_{\lambda, k, a}(n)\right)$ but not by $B_{\lambda, k, a}(n)$ (resp., $A_{\lambda, k, a}(n)$ ).
$\pi \in P_{A}^{\prime}(n)$ implies that it violates one of the conditions on $f^{\prime} s$ or $b^{\prime} s$. Let $S_{j}(j=$ $1,2, \ldots, \lambda / 2)$ denote the condition $\sum_{i=j}^{\lambda-j+1} f_{i} \leq a-j$, let $S$ denote the condition $\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda+1} f_{i} \leq$ $a-1$, and let $S^{*}$ be the condition on $b^{\prime} s$.

Let $(2 k-a-\lambda / 2+1)(\lambda+1) \leq n<(2 k-a-\lambda / 2+1)(\lambda+1)+\theta(\theta-1) / 2$, where $\theta(\theta-1) / 2<(a-\lambda / 2)(\lambda+1)$ and $\theta=k-a$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{B}^{\prime}(n)=Q^{1} \cup \cdots \cup Q^{a-1} \cup R(n), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq a-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
Q^{i}= & \left\{\pi \in P_{B}^{\prime}(n):\left(a-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)(\lambda+1) \text { appears } i \text { times }\right\}, \\
R(n)= & \left\{\left(2 k-a-\frac{\lambda}{2}+1\right)(\lambda+1)+\pi: \pi\right. \text { is a partition of }  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left.n-\left(2 k-a-\frac{\lambda}{2}+1\right)(\lambda+1) \text { into parts with } C\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $C$ stands for "subjected to the conditions in the definition of B." Clearly, $\# R(n)=$ $B_{\lambda, k, a}[n-(2 k-a-\lambda / 2+1)(\lambda+1)]$.

From the method explained in [8, 9], it follows that the partitions violating $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\lambda / 2}$ will be mapped onto $Q^{1} \cup \cdots \cup Q^{a-1}$. If $a-\lambda / 2=1$, then $S$ reduces to $S_{1}$. As such, any contribution to $R(n)$ can come only from those partitions of $P_{A}^{\prime}$ which violate $S^{*}$ but do not violate any of $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\lambda / 2}$. For the counterexample in Section 3, we enumerate separately the partitions counted by $R(n)$. If there are no partitions of $n$ violating only $S^{*}$, then for such $n$, we have that $P_{A}^{\prime}(n)$ is the union of the partitions violating $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\lambda / 2}$ and $Q^{a-1}$ is the set containing $a-1$ times $\lambda+1$. This set is identified with the first stage of $S_{1}$ where all the parts from $1, \ldots, \lambda$ appear. $Q^{a-2}$ will be the union of the two sets, one containing $a-2$ times $\lambda+1$ and the other containing $a-2$ times $\lambda+1$ plus a part between 1 and $\lambda$. These two sets are, respectively, identified with the first stage of $S_{2}$ where all the parts from $2, \ldots, \lambda-1$ appear, and the second stage of $S_{1}$ in which all the parts except one part from $1, \ldots, \lambda$ appear and so on.
3. Counterexample. Let $\lambda=12, k=11, a=7, \theta=4, a-\lambda / 2=1, \theta(\theta-1) / 2=6<$ $(a-\lambda / 2)(\lambda+1)=13$, and $n^{c}=136$. In this case,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\lambda / 2}=S_{6}: f_{7}+f_{6} \leq 1, \quad S_{5}: f_{8}+f_{7}+f_{6}+f_{5} \leq 2, \quad S_{4}: f_{9}+\cdots+f_{4} \leq 3, \\
& S_{3}: f_{10}+\cdots+f_{3} \leq 4, \quad S_{2}: f_{11}+\cdots+f_{2} \leq 5, \quad S_{1}: f_{12}+\cdots+f_{1} \leq 6, \\
& S: f_{13}+\cdots+f_{1} \leq 6 ;  \tag{3.1}\\
& P_{B}^{\prime}(n)=Q^{1} \cup \cdots \cup Q^{6} \cup R(n),
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q^{i}=\left\{\pi \in P_{B}^{\prime}(n): 13\right.$ appears $i$ times $\}, 1 \leq i \leq 6$, and $R(n)=\{130+\pi: \pi$ is a partition of $n-130$ into parts with $C\}$. Here $\# R(n)=B_{12,11,7}(x)$, where $x=n-130$. We now prove

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{12,11,7}(n)=A_{12,11,7}(n), \quad n<130,  \tag{3.2}\\
B_{12,11,7}(n)=A_{12,11,7}(n)+B_{12,11,7}(x), \quad n=130+x, 0 \leq x<6,  \tag{3.3}\\
B_{12,11,7}(136)=A_{12,11,7}(136)+B_{12,11,7}(6)-1=A_{12,11,7}(136)+3, \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

since $B(6)=4$ as $6,5+1,4+2$, and $3+2+1$ are the only relevant partitions of 6 enumerated by $B$.

Proof of (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4). Equation (3.2) follows from [8]. We now prove that for $1 \leq n<136$, there are no partitions of $n$ violating only $S^{*}$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
18+17+16+15+14+12+11+10+9+8+6 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the only partition of 136 violating only $S^{*}$.
In [8, 9] we have shown that for $n<130$, if a partition violates $S^{*}$, then it violates either $S$ or $S_{1}$. However, for $130 \leq n \leq 136$, we now investigate such partitions.

If a partition violates $S^{*}$, then there exist a partition

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=b_{1}+\cdots+b_{i}+\cdots+b_{i+10}+\cdots+b_{s} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and an integer $i$ with $b_{i}-b_{i+10}<13$. We get the following possibilities.
CASE 1. If $b_{i+10} \geq 13$, then the number being partitioned is greater than or equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(12+x_{11}\right)+\cdots+\left(12+x_{1}\right)+\cdots \\
& 11(12+1), \quad \text { where } x_{11}-x_{1}<13 \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

If (3.7) contains the part 13 more than 6 times, then it violates $S$. Let $x \leq 6$ be the number of 13 's and let $y$ denote the number of terms greater than 13 in (3.7) so that $x+y=11$. Then (3.7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
13 x+(12+2)+\cdots+(12+11-x)=11(13)+\frac{(11-x)(11-x-1)}{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n^{c}$ denote the $n$ in the conjecture. If $k=a+\theta$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{c} & =\left(2 k-a-\frac{\lambda}{2}+1\right)(\lambda+1)+\frac{\theta(\theta-1)}{2} \\
& =k(\lambda+1)+\left(k-a-\frac{\lambda}{2}+1\right)(\lambda+1)+\frac{(k-a)(k-a-1)}{2} \\
& <k(\lambda+1)+\left(k-a-\frac{\lambda}{2}+1\right)(\lambda+1)+\frac{(k-x)(k-x-1)}{2}  \tag{3.9}\\
& <k(\lambda+1)+\frac{(k-x)(k-x-1)}{2} \text { since } k-a-\frac{\lambda}{2}+1<0 .
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, we have that $n^{c}<11(13)+(11-x)(11-x-1) / 2$.
CASE 2. Let $b_{i+10}<13$ and $b_{i}<13$. Then (3.6) violates $S_{1}$.
CASE 3. Let $b_{i+10}<13$ and $b_{i} \geq 13$. Let $\beta$ denote the number of parts among $1,2, \ldots, 13$. If $\beta \geq 7$, then (3.6) violates $S$ or $S_{1}$. Hence, $1 \leq \beta \leq 6$. Let $\alpha$ denote the number of parts 13 so that $5 \leq \alpha \leq 10$ and $\alpha+\beta=11$. Then the number being partitioned is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(12+x_{\alpha}\right)+\cdots+\left(12+x_{1}\right)+y_{1}+\cdots+y_{\beta} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(12+x_{\alpha}\right)-y_{\beta}<13$, we have $x_{\alpha}=y_{\beta}$. Now, $x_{1} \geq 2, x_{2} \geq 3, \ldots, x_{\alpha} \geq \alpha+1$. Thus, $y_{\beta} \geq \alpha+1, \ldots, y_{1} \geq \alpha+\beta=11$. Hence, (3.10) is greater than or equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(12+\alpha+1)+\cdots+(12+2)+(\alpha+\beta)+\cdots+(\alpha+1) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{13 \alpha+(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta+1)}{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta=1,2,3,4,5$. Then (3.10) is, respectively, 196, 183, 170, 157, and 144 , all of which are greater than $136=n^{c}$.

Now let $\beta=6$. Since we have to choose 6 parts from $1,2, \ldots, 13$ and 5 parts greater than 13 for a partition violating $S^{*}$ (and not violating any of $S, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{6}$ ), it is clear that the minimum part should be 6 . Let $S_{1}^{*}=\{6,7\}$ and $S_{2}^{*}=\{8,9,10,11,12,13\}$. Since $f_{6}+f_{7} \leq 1$, we can choose either 6 or 7 from $S_{1}^{*}$ and the other five must be from $S_{2}^{*}$. Also there are 5 parts greater than 13. In this case, the minimum value of $n$ will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
6+8+9+10+11+12+14+15+16+17+18=136 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for all $130 \leq n<136$, there are no partitions of $n$ violating only $S^{*}$. It is easy to see that when $n=136$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
18+\cdots+14+12+\cdots+8+6 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the only partition of 136 violating only $S^{*}$. Thus we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{A}^{\prime}(n)=\left\{\text { union of the partitions violating } S_{1}, \ldots, S_{6}\right\} \text { for } 1 \leq n<136 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

while

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{A}^{\prime}(136)=\left\{\text { union of the partitions violating } S_{1}, \ldots, S_{6}\right\}+1 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now establish a bijection of $Q^{1} \cup \cdots \cup Q^{6}$ onto $P_{A}^{\prime}(n)$ which is explained in Table 3.1. This also proves (3.3) and (3.4). Before writing the table, we observe that for a partition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi+13 \times i+\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 6 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

belonging to $P_{B}^{\prime}, \pi$ is a partition of $\left(n-13 \times i-\alpha_{1}-\cdots-\alpha_{j}\right)$ into parts greater than 13 with $C$, where $1 \leq \alpha_{j}<\cdots<\alpha_{1} \leq 12$, and for a partition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi+\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{j} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

belonging to $P_{A}^{\prime}, \pi$ is a partition of ( $n-\beta_{1}-\cdots-\beta_{j}$ ) into parts greater than $\beta_{1}$ such that 13 is not a part, where $1 \leq \beta_{j}<\cdots<\beta_{1} \leq 12$.

Remark 3.1. In Table 3.1, some partitions in $Q^{2}$ are not covered. They are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\pi+13 \times 2+x_{1}+x_{2}+1: 2 \leq x_{2} \leq 11,3 \leq x_{1} \leq 12,\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq(7,6)\right\} \\
& \quad \cup\left\{\pi+13 \times 2+12+x_{1}+x_{2}: 3 \leq x_{1} \leq 11,2 \leq x_{2} \leq 10,\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq(7,6)\right\} . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we split $13 \times 2$ into pairs $(\alpha, \beta)$ and $(\gamma, \delta)$ in the following order:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(7,6)(8,5)(9,4)(10,3)(11,2)(12,1) . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 3.1

| $P_{B_{12,11,7}}^{\prime}(n)$ | $P_{A_{12,11,7}}^{\prime}(n)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $Q^{6}=\{\pi+13 \times 6\}$ | 1st stage of $S_{1}=\{\pi+12+\cdots+1\}$ |
| $Q^{5}=\{\pi+13 \times 5\}$ | 1st stage of $S_{2}=\{\pi+11+\cdots+2\}$ |
| $\cup\left\{\pi+13 \times 5+\left(13-x_{1}\right):\right.$ | 2nd stage of $S_{1}=\left\{\pi+12+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$ |
| $\left.1 \leq\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 12\right\}$ | $+\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+2+1:$ |
|  | $\left.1 \leq x_{1} \leq 12\right\}$ |
| $Q^{4}=\{\pi+13 \times 4+x: x=0,1,2,12\}$ | 1st stage of $S_{3}=\{\pi+10+\cdots+3+x: x$ |
|  | $=0,1,2,12\}$ |
| $\cup\left\{\pi+13 \times 4+\left(13-x_{1}\right):\right.$ | 2nd stage of $S_{2}=\left\{\pi+11+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$ |
| $\left.2<\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 11\right\}$ | $\left.+\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+2: 2 \leq x_{1}<11\right\}$ |
| $\cup\left\{\pi+13 \times 4+\left(13-x_{1}\right)+\left(13-x_{2}\right):\right.$ | 3rd stage of $S_{1}=\left\{\pi+12+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$ |
| $\left.1 \leq\left(13-x_{2}\right)<\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 12\right\}$ | $+\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+\left(x_{2}+1\right)+\left(x_{2}-1\right)$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & +\cdots+1: 1 \leq x_{2}<x_{1} \leq 12 \\ & \left.\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \neq(7,6)\right\} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $=(7,6)$, then it w |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q^{3}=\{\pi+13 \times 3+x: x=0,1,2,11,12\} \\
& \cup\left\{\pi+13 \times 3+\left(13-x_{1}\right):\right. \\
& \left.\quad 3 \leq\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 10\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\pi+13 \times 3+\left(13-x_{1}\right)+\left(13-x_{2}\right):\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad 2 \leq\left(13-x_{2}\right)<\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 11\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cup\{\pi+13 \times 3+x+y:(x, y) \\
& \quad=\text { all possible pairs of } 1,2,11,12 \\
& \quad \text { except }(11,2)\} \\
& \cup\left\{\pi+13 \times 3+\left(13-x_{1}\right)+\cdots\right. \\
& \quad+\left(13-x_{3}\right): 1 \leq\left(13-x_{3}\right) \\
& \left.\quad<\left(13-x_{2}\right)<\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 12\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{2}= & \{\pi+13 \times 2+x: x=0,1,2 \\
& 3,10,11,12\} \\
\cup & \left\{\pi+13 \times 2+\left(13-x_{1}\right):\right. \\
& \left.4 \leq\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 9\right\} \\
\cup & \pi \\
& \pi+13 \times 2+\left(13-x_{1}\right)+\left(13-x_{2}\right): \\
& \left.3 \leq\left(13-x_{2}\right)<\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 10\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note 1. If $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=(7,6)$, then it will be covered in the 3rd stage of $S_{2}$.
1st stage of $S_{4}=\{\pi+9+\cdots+4+x: x=$

$$
0,1,2,11,12\}
$$

2nd stage of $S_{3}=\left\{\pi+10+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$

$$
\left.+\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+3: 3 \leq x_{1} \leq 10\right\}
$$

3rd stage of $S_{2}=\left\{\pi+11+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+\left(x_{2}+1\right)+\left(x_{2}-1\right) \\
& +\cdots+2: 2 \leq x_{2}<x_{1} \leq 11 \\
& \left.\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \neq(7,6)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note 2. If $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=(7,6)$, then it will be covered in the 3rd stage of $S_{3}$.

4th stage of $S_{4}=\{\pi+9+\cdots+4+x+y$ :
$(x, y)=$ all possible pairs of
$1,2,11,12$ except $(11,2)\}$

4th stage of $S_{1}=\left\{\pi+12+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+\left(x_{3}+1\right)+\left(x_{3}-1\right) \\
& +\cdots+1: 1 \leq x_{3}<x_{2}<x_{1} \leq 12 \\
& \left.\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \neq(7,6)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note 3. If $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=(7,6)$, then it will be covered in the 4th stage of $S_{2}$.
1st stage of $S_{5}=\{\pi+8+7+6+5+x$ :

$$
x=0,1,2,3,10,11,12\}
$$

2nd stage of $S_{4}=\left\{\pi+9+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$

$$
\left.+\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+4: 4 \leq x_{1} \leq 9\right\}
$$

3rd stage of $S_{3}=\left\{\pi+10+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+\left(x_{2}+1\right) \\
& +\left(x_{2}-1\right)+\cdots+3 \\
& : 3 \leq x_{2}<x_{1} \leq 10 \\
& \left.\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \neq(7,6)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 3.1. Continued.

| $P_{B_{12,11,7}}^{\prime}(n)$ |
| :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \cup\{\pi+13 \times 2+x+y:(x, y) \\ & \quad=\text { all possible pairs of } 1,2,3 \\ & \quad 11,12 \text { except }(10,3)\} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \cup\left\{\pi+13 \times 2+\left(13-x_{1}\right)+\cdots\right. \\ & \quad+\left(13-x_{3}\right): 2 \leq\left(13-x_{3}\right) \\ & \quad<\left(13-x_{2}\right)<\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \cup\left\{\pi+13 \times 2+\left(13-x_{1}\right)+\cdots\right. \\ & \quad+\left(13-x_{4}\right): 1 \leq\left(13-x_{4}\right) \\ & \left.\quad<\cdots<\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 12\right\} \end{aligned}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q^{1}=\{\pi+13+x: \\
&x=0,1,2,3,4,9,10,11,12\} \\
& \cup\left\{\pi+13+\left(13-x_{1}\right):\right. \\
&\left.5 \leq\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 8\right\} \\
& \ddots\left\{\pi+13+\left(13-x_{1}\right)+\left(13-x_{2}\right):\right. \\
&\left.4 \leq\left(13-x_{2}\right)<\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 9\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\cup\{\pi+13+x+y:(x, y)
$$

$=$ all possible pairs of $1,2,3,4,9$, $10,11,12$ except $(9,4)\}$
$\cup\left\{\pi+13+\left(13-x_{1}\right)+\cdots\right.$
$+\left(13-x_{3}\right): 3 \leq\left(13-x_{3}\right)$
$\left.<\left(13-x_{2}\right)<\left(13-x_{1}\right) \leq 10\right\}$
$\cup\left\{\pi+13+\left(13-x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(13-x_{4}\right):\right.$
$2 \leq\left(13-x_{4}\right)<\cdots<\left(13-x_{1}\right)$
$\leq 11\}$
$\cup\left\{\pi+13+\left(13-x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(13-x_{5}\right):\right.$
$1 \leq\left(13-x_{5}\right)<\cdots<\left(13-x_{1}\right)$
$\leq 12\}$
$P_{A_{12,11,7}}(n)$
Note 4. If $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=(7,6)$, then it will be covered in the 3rd stage of $S_{4}$.

4th stage of $S_{5}=\{\pi+8+7+6+5+$
$x+y:(x, y)=$ all possible
pairs of $1,2,3,10,11,12$
except $(10,3)\}$
4th stage of $S_{2}=\left\{\pi+11+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$
$+\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+\left(x_{3}+1\right)$
$+\left(x_{3}-1\right)+\cdots+2: 2 \leq x_{3}$
$\left.<x_{2}<x_{1} \leq 11\right\}$
$\left.\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \neq(7,6)\right\}$
Note 5. If $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=(7,6)$, then it will be covered in the 4th stage of $S_{3}$.

5th stage of $S_{1}=\left\{\pi+12+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+\left(x_{4}+1\right) \\
& +\left(x_{4}-1\right)+\cdots+1: 1 \leq x_{4} \\
& \left.<\cdots<x_{1} \leq 12\right\} \\
& \left.\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \neq(7,6)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note 6. If $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=(7,6)$, then it will be covered in the 5th stage of $S_{2}$.

1st stage of $S_{6}=\{\pi+7+6+x$ :
$x=0,1,2,3,4,9,10,11,12\}$
2nd stage of $S_{5}=\left\{\pi+8+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$
$+\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+5$
$\left.: 5 \leq x_{1} \leq 8\right\}$
3rd stage of $S_{4}=\left\{\pi+9+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+\left(x_{2}+1\right) \\
& +\left(x_{2}-1\right)+\cdots+4: 4 \leq x_{2} \\
& \left.<x_{1} \leq 9\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

4th stage of $S_{5}=\{\pi+7+6+x+y:(x, y)$
$=$ all possible pairs of 1,2 ,
$3,4,9,10,11,12$ except $(9,4)\}$
4th stage of $S_{3}=\left\{\pi+10+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+\left(x_{3}+1\right) \\
& +\left(x_{3}-1\right)+\cdots+3: 3 \leq x_{3} \\
& \left.<x_{2}<x_{1} \leq 10\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

5th stage of $S_{2}=\left\{\pi+11+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$

$$
+\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+\left(x_{4}+1\right)
$$

$$
+\left(x_{4}-1\right)+\cdots+2: 2 \leq x_{4}
$$

$$
\left.<\cdots<x_{1} \leq 11\right\}
$$

6th stage of $S_{1}=\left\{\pi+12+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+\left(x_{5}+1\right) \\
& +\left(x_{5}-1\right)+\cdots+1: 1 \leq x_{5} \\
& \left.<\cdots<x_{1} \leq 12\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We arrange $\pi+\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta+x_{1}+x_{2}+y(y=12$ or 1$)$ in the decreasing order and associate it to the rearranged partition $\pi^{*}$ which belongs to $P_{A}^{\prime}$.

A similar procedure is adopted for some partitions in $Q^{1}$ which are also not covered in Table 3.1. This completes the proof of (3.3) and (3.4).
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