

Research Article **Dynamics of the Zeros of Analytic Continued** (*h*, *q*)-Euler Polynomials

C. S. Ryoo

Department of Mathematics, Hannam University, Daejeon 306-791, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to C. S. Ryoo; ryoocs@hnu.kr

Received 14 July 2014; Accepted 17 October 2014; Published 11 November 2014

Academic Editor: Chun-Gang Zhu

Copyright © 2014 C. S. Ryoo. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we study that the (h, q)-Euler numbers $E_{n,q}^{(h)}$ and (h, q)-Euler polynomials $E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x)$ are analytic continued to $E_q^{(h)}(s)$ and $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$. We investigate the new concept of dynamics of the zeros of analytic continued polynomials related to solution of Bernoulli equation. Finally, we observe an interesting phenomenon of "scattering" of the zeros of $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$.

1. Introduction

By using software, many mathematicians can explore concepts much more easily than in the past. The ability to create and manipulate figures on the computer screen enables mathematicians to quickly visualize and produce many problems, examine properties of the figures, look for patterns, and make conjectures. This capability is especially exciting because these steps are essential for most mathematicians to truly understand even basic concept. Recently, the computing environment would make more and more rapid progress and there has been increasing interest in solving mathematical problems with the aid of computers. Mathematicians have studied different kinds of the Euler, Bernoulli, Tangent, and Genocchi numbers and polynomials. Numerical experiments of Bernoulli polynomials, Euler polynomials, Genocchi polynomials, and Tangent polynomials have been the subject of extensive study in recent year and much progress has been made both mathematically and computationally (see [1-18]). Throughout this paper, we always make use of the following notations: \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers, \mathbb{N}_0 denotes the set of nonnegative integers, \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of integers, \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers, and \mathbb{C} denotes the set of complex numbers. Let q be a complex number with |q| < 1and $h \in \mathbb{Z}$. Bernoulli equation is one of the well known nonlinear differential equations of the first order. It is written as

$$\frac{dy}{dt} + p(x)y = g(x)y^{m} \quad (m \text{ any real number}), \quad (1)$$

where p(x) and g(x) are continuous functions. For m = 0and m = 1 the equation is linear, and otherwise it is nonlinear. When m = 2, the Bernoulli equation has the solution which is the function of exponential generating function of the Euler numbers. Simsek [18] introduced the (h, q)-Euler numbers $E_{n,q}^{(h)}$ and polynomials $E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x)$. He gave recurrence identities (h, q)-Euler polynomials and the alternating sums of powers of consecutive (h, q)-integers. In [13], we described the beautiful zeros of the (h, q)-Euler polynomials $E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x)$ using a numerical investigation. Also we investigated distribution and structure of the zeros of the (h, q)-Euler polynomials $E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x)$ by using computer.

Let us define the (h, q)-Euler numbers $E_{n,q}^{(h)}$ and polynomials $E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x)$ as follows:

$$F_q^{(h)}(t) = \frac{2}{q^h e^t + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}^{(h)} \frac{t^n}{n!},$$
(2)

$$F_{q}^{(h)}(x,t) = \frac{2}{q^{h}e^{t} + 1}e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}.$$
 (3)

Observe that if $q \rightarrow 1$, then $E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x) = E_n(x)$ and $E_{n,q}^{(h)} = E_n$, where $E_n(x)$ and E_n denote the Euler polynomials and the numbers, respectively (see [2, 5, 8, 16, 17]).

Thus (h, q)-Euler numbers $E_{n,q}^{(h)}$ are defined by means of the generating function

$$F_{q}^{(h)}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}^{(h)} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m} q^{hm} e^{mt}.$$
(4)

As is well known, when m = 2 a special Bernoulli equation

$$\frac{dy}{dt} + y = \frac{1}{2}y^2 \tag{5}$$

has the solution

$$y = \frac{2}{q^{h}e^{t} + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q,}^{(h)} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}.$$
 (6)

That is, the Bernoulli equation has the solution which is the function of exponential generating function of the (h, q)-Euler numbers. Thus, a realistic study for the analytic continued polynomials $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$ is very interesting by using computer. It is the aim of this paper to observe an interesting phenomenon of "scattering" of the zeros of the analytic continued polynomials $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$ in complex plane.

By using computer, the (h, q)-Euler numbers $E_{n,q}^{(h)}$ can be determined explicitly. A few of them are

$$E_{0,q}^{(h)} = \frac{2}{1+q^{h}},$$

$$E_{1,q}^{(h)} = -\frac{2q^{h}}{(1+q^{h})^{2}},$$

$$E_{2,q}^{(h)} = -\frac{2q^{h}}{(1+q^{h})^{2}} + \frac{4q^{2h}}{(1+q^{h})^{3}},$$

$$E_{3,q}^{(h)} = -\frac{2q^{h}}{(1+q^{h})^{2}} + \frac{12q^{2h}}{(1+q^{h})^{3}} - \frac{12q^{3h}}{(1+q^{h})^{4}}.$$
(7)

Theorem 1. *For* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *, we have*

$$E_{n,q,}^{(h)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} E_{k,q}^{(h)} x^{n-k}.$$
(8)

By Theorem 1, after some elementary calculations, we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x) dx = \sum_{l=0}^{n} {n \choose l} E_{l,q}^{(h)} \int_{a}^{b} x^{n-l} dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} {n+1 \choose l} E_{l,q}^{(h)} x^{n-l+1} \Big|_{a}^{b} \qquad (9)$$
$$= \frac{E_{n+1,q}^{(h)}(b) - E_{n+1,q}^{(h)}(a)}{n+1}.$$

Since $E_{n,q}^{(h)}(0) = E_{n,q}^{(h)}$, by (9), we obtain

$$E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x) = E_{n,q}^{(h)} + n \int_0^x E_{n-1,q}^{(h)}(t) dt \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(10)

Then, it is easy to deduce that $E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x)$ are polynomials of degree *n*. Here is the list of the first (h, q)-Euler's polynomials:

$$\begin{split} E_{0,q}^{(h)}(x) &= \frac{2}{1+q^{h}}, \\ E_{1,q}^{(h)}(x) &= -\frac{2q^{h}}{\left(1+q^{h}\right)^{2}} + \frac{2x}{1+q^{h}}, \\ E_{2,q}^{(h)}(x) &= -\frac{2q^{h}}{\left(1+q^{h}\right)^{2}} + \frac{4q^{2h}}{\left(1+q^{h}\right)^{3}} - \frac{4q^{h}x}{\left(1+q^{h}\right)^{2}} + \frac{2x^{2}}{1+q^{h}}, \\ E_{3,q}^{(h)}(x) &= -\frac{2q^{h}}{\left(1+q^{h}\right)^{2}} + \frac{12q^{2h}}{\left(1+q^{h}\right)^{3}} - \frac{12q^{3h}}{\left(1+q^{h}\right)^{4}} \\ &+ \frac{12q^{2h}x}{\left(1+q^{h}\right)^{3}} - \frac{6q^{h}x}{\left(1+q^{h}\right)^{2}} - \frac{6q^{h}x^{2}}{\left(1+q^{h}\right)^{2}} + \frac{2x^{3}}{1+q^{h}}. \end{split}$$
(11)

2. Analytic Continuation of (*h*, *q*)-Euler Numbers $E_{n,q}^{(h)}$

In this section, we introduce the (h, q)-Euler zeta function and Hurwitz (h, q)-Euler zeta function. By (h, q)-Euler zeta function, we consider the function $E_q^{(h)}(s)$ as the analytic continuation of (h, q)-Euler numbers. For more studies in this subject, you may see [2–5, 7–9, 12, 13, 18].

From (4), we note that

$$\frac{d^{k}}{dt^{k}}F_{q}^{(h)}(t)\Big|_{t=0} = 2\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m} q^{hm} m^{k}$$

$$= E_{k,q}^{(h)}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$
(12)

By using the above equation, we are now ready to define (h, q)-Euler zeta functions.

Definition 2. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. Consider

$$\zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(s) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{hn}}{n^s}.$$
(13)

Observe that $\zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(s)$ is a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} . Clearly, $\lim_{q \to 1} \zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(s) = \zeta_E(s)$ (see [3, 4, 7–9, 12, 13, 18]). Notice that the (h, q)-Euler zeta function can be analytically continued to the whole complex plane, and these zeta functions have the values of the (h, q)-Euler numbers at negative integers.



FIGURE 1: The curve $E_q^{(h)}(s)$ runs through the points of all $E_{n,q}^{(h)}$ except $E_{0,q}^{(h)}$

Theorem 3. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(-k) = E_{k,q}^{(h)}.$$
(14)

Observe that $\zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(s)$ function interpolates $E_{k,q}^{(h)}$ numbers at nonnegative integers.

By using (3), we note that

$$\frac{d^{k}}{dt^{k}}F_{q}^{(h)}(x,t)\bigg|_{t=0} = 2\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m} q^{hm} (x+m)^{k}$$

$$= E_{k,q}^{(h)}(x), \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$
(15)

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^k \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q,}^{(h)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}\right)\Big|_{t=0} = E_{k,q}^{(h)}(x), \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(16)

By (16), we are now ready to define the Hurwitz-type (h, q)-Euler zeta functions.

Definition 4. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. Consider

$$\zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(s,x) = 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{hn}}{(n+x)^s}.$$
(17)

Note that $\zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(s,x)$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb C$ (see [3, 4, 7–9, 12, 13, 18]). Relation between $\zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(s, x)$ and $E_{k,q}^{(h)}(x)$ is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *For* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *, we have*

$$\zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(-k,x) = E_{k,q}^{(h)}(x).$$
(18)

We now consider the function $E_q^{(h)}(s)$ as the analytic continuation of (h, q)-Euler numbers. From the above analytic continuation of (h, q)-Euler numbers, we consider

$$E_{n,q}^{(h)} \longmapsto E_q^{(h)}(s),$$

$$\zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(-n) = E_{n,q}^{(h)} \longmapsto \zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(-s) = E_q^{(h)}(s).$$
(19)

In Figure 1(a), we choose q = -1/2 and h = 3. In

Figure 1(b), we choose q = 1/2 and h = 3. All the (h, q)-Euler numbers $E_{n,q}^{(h)}$ agree with $E_q^{(h)}(n)$, the analytic continuation of (h, q)-Euler numbers evaluated at n(see Figure 1),

$$E_{n,q}^{(h)} = E_q^{(h)}(n) \quad \text{for } n \ge 1$$

except $E_q^{(h)}(0) = \frac{-2q^h}{1+q^h}, \qquad \text{but } E_{0,q}^{(h)} = \frac{2}{1+q^h}.$ (20)

In fact, we can express $E_q^{(h)'}(s)$ in terms of $\zeta_{E,q}^{(h)'}(s)$, the derivative of $\zeta_E^{(h)}(s)$, as follows:

$$E_{q}^{(h)}(s) = \zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(-s),$$

$$E_{q}^{(h)'}(s) = -\zeta_{E,q}^{(h)'}(-s),$$
(21)

$$E_q^{(h)'}(2n+1) = -\zeta_{E,q}^{(h)'}(-2n-1) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

From the relation (21), we can define the other analytic continued half of (h, q)-Euler numbers

$$E_{q}^{(h)}(s) = \zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(-s), \qquad E_{q}^{(h)}(-s) = \zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(s)$$
$$\implies E_{q}^{(h)}(-n) = \zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(22)



FIGURE 2: The curve $E_q^{(h)}(s)$ runs through the points $E_{-n,q}^{(h)}$.



FIGURE 3: The curve of $E_q^{(h)}(s, w), 2 \le s \le 3, -0.1 \le w \le 0.1.$

By (22), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E_{-n,q}^{(h)} = \zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(n) = -2q^h.$$
(23)

The curve $E_q^{(h)}(s)$ runs through the points $E_{-n,q}^{(h)} = E_q^{(h)}(-n)$ and grows $\sim -2q^h$ asymptotically as $-n \rightarrow \infty$ (see Figure 2). In Figure 2(a), we choose q = -1/2 and h = 3. In Figure 2(b), we choose q = 1/2 and h = 3.

3. Analytic Continuation of Euler Polynomials $E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x)$

In this section, we observe the analytic continued (h, q)-Euler polynomials. Looking back at (13) and (22), for consistency

with the definition of $E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x) = E_q^{(h)}(n, x)$, (h, q)-Euler polynomials should be analogously redefined as

$$E_{q}^{(h)}(0,x) = -q^{h} E_{0,q}^{(h)}(x),$$

$$E_{q}^{(h)}(n,x) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} {n \choose l} E_{l,q}^{(h)} x^{n-l}.$$
(24)

Let $\Gamma(s)$ be the gamma function. The analytic continuation can be then obtained as

$$n \longmapsto s \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \longmapsto w \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$E_{0,q}^{(h)} \longmapsto E_q^{(h)}(0) = -\frac{1}{q^h} \zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(0),$$

$$E_{k,q}^{(h)} \longmapsto E_q^{(h)}(k+s-[s]) = \zeta_{E,q}^{(h)}(-(k+(s-[s]))),$$

$$\binom{n}{k} \longmapsto \frac{\Gamma(1+s)}{\Gamma(1+k+(s-[s]))\Gamma(1+[s]-k)}$$

$$\Longrightarrow E_{n,q}^{(h)}(w) \longmapsto E_q^{(h)}(s,w)$$

$$= \sum_{k=-1}^{[s]} \frac{\Gamma(1+s) E_q^{(h)}(k+s-[s]) w^{[s]-k}}{\Gamma(1+k+(s-[s]))\Gamma(1+[s]-k)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{[s]+1} \frac{\Gamma(1+s) E_q^{(h)}((k-1)+s-[s]) w^{[s]+1-k}}{\Gamma(k+(s-[s]))\Gamma(2+[s]-k)},$$
(25)

where [s] gives the integer part of *s*, and so s - [s] gives the fractional part.



FIGURE 4: Stacks of zeros of $E_q^{(h)}(n, w)$ for $1 \le n \le 30$.

By (25), we obtain analytic continuation of (h, q)-Euler polynomials for q = -1/2 and h = 3 as follows:

$$\begin{split} E_q^{(h)} &(0,w) \approx 2.28571, \\ E_q^{(h)} &(1,w) \approx 0.326531 + 2.28571w, \\ E_q^{(h)} &(2,w) \approx 0.41982 + 0.65306w + 0.28571w^2, \\ E_q^{(h)} &(2.2,w) \approx 0.45027 + 0.74682w + 0.38478w^2 \\ &\quad + 0.02373w^3, \\ E_q^{(h)} &(2.4,w) \approx 0.48668 + 0.85139w + 0.50111w^2 \\ &\quad + 0.06112w^3, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} E_q^{(h)} \left(2.6, w\right) &\approx 0.53037 + 0.96937w + 0.63694w^2 \\ &\quad + 0.11503w^3, \\ E_q^{(h)} \left(2.8, w\right) &\approx 0.58293 + 1.10403w + 0.79519w^2 \\ &\quad + 0.18867w^3, \\ E_q^{(h)} \left(2.9, w\right) &\approx 0.61316 + 1.178859w + 0.88385w^2 \\ &\quad + 0.23401w^3, \\ E_q^{(h)} \left(3, w\right) &\approx 0.64639 + 1.25947w + 0.97959w^2 \\ &\quad + 2.28571w^3. \end{split}$$

(26)



FIGURE 5: Zeros of $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$ for s = 28, 28.8, 28.9, 29.

By using (26), we plot the deformation of the curve $E_q^{(h)}(2, w)$ into the curve of $E_q^{(h)}(3, w)$ via the real analytic continuation $E_q^{(h)}(s, w), 2 \le s \le 3, w \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Figure 3).

Next, we investigate the beautiful zeros of the $E_q^{(h)}(n, w)$ by using a computer. We plot the zeros of $E_q^{(h)}(n, w)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, q = 1/2, h = 3, and $w \in \mathbb{C}$ (Figure 4). In Figure 4(b), we draw x and y axes but no z axis in three dimensions. In Figure 4(c), we draw y and z axes but no x axis in three dimensions. In Figure 4(d), we draw x and z axes but no y axis in three dimensions. In Figure 4, we observe that $E_q^{(h)}(n, w)$, $w \in \mathbb{C}$, has $\operatorname{Im}(w) = 0$ reflection symmetry analytic complex functions (Figure 4). The obvious corollary is that the zeros of $E_q^{(h)}(n, w)$ will also inherit these symmetries:

if
$$E_q^{(h)}(n, w_0) = 0$$
, then $E_q^{(h)}(n, w_0^*) = 0$, (27)

where * denotes complex conjugation.

Finally, we investigate the beautiful zeros of the $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$ by using a computer. We plot the zeros of $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$ for s = 28, 20.8, 20.9, 29, q = -1/2, h = 3, and $w \in \mathbb{C}$ (Figure 5).



FIGURE 6: Stacks of zeros of $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$ for $1 \le n \le 30$.

In Figure 5(a), we choose s = 28. In Figure 5(b), we choose s = 28.8. In Figure 5(c), we choose s = 28.9. In Figure 5(d), we choose s = 29.

Since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q^{-1}}^{(h)} (1-x) \frac{(-1)^n t^n}{n!} = \frac{2}{q^{-h} e^{-t} + 1} e^{(1-x)(-t)}$$
$$= q^h \left(\frac{2}{q^h e^t + 1}\right) e^{xt} \qquad (28)$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^h E_{n,q}^{(h)} (x) \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

we obtain

$$q^{h}E_{n,q}^{(h)}(x) = (-1)^{n}E_{n,q^{-1}}^{(h)}(1-x).$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Observe that $E_n(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{C}$, has $\operatorname{Re}(x) = 1/2$ reflection symmetry in addition to the usual $\operatorname{Im}(x) = 0$ reflection symmetry analytic complex functions (see [14]). The question is, what happens with the reflexive symmetry (29), when one considers (h, q)-Euler polynomials? Prove that $E_q^{(h)}(n, w)$, $w \in$ \mathbb{C} , has no $\operatorname{Re}(w) = 1/2$ reflection symmetry analytic complex functions (Figure 4). However, we observe that $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$,





 $w \in \mathbb{C}$, has Im(x) = 0 reflection symmetry analytic complex functions (Figure 5).

Stacks of zeros of $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$ for s = n + 1/2, $h = 3, 1 \le n \le$ 30 from a 3-D structure are presented (Figure 6).

In Figure 6(b), we draw y and z axes but no x axis in three dimensions. In Figure 6(c), we draw x and y axes but no z axis in three dimensions. In Figure 6(d), we draw x and z axes but no y axis in three dimensions.

Our numerical results for approximate solutions of real zeros of $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$, q = -1/2, h = 3 are displayed. We observe a remarkably regular structure of the complex roots of (h, q)-Euler polynomials. We hope to verify a remarkably regular structure of the complex roots of (h, q)-Euler polynomials (Table 1).

Next, we calculated an approximate solution satisfying $E_q^{(h)}(s, w), q = -1/2, h = 3, w \in \mathbb{R}$. The results are given in Table 2.

In Figure 7, we plot the real zeros of the (h, q)-Euler polynomials $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$ for s = n + 1/2, q = -1/2, h = 3, and $w \in \mathbb{C}$ (Figure 7). In Figure 7(a), we choose s = n + 1/2. In Figure 7(b), we choose s = n. We want to find a formula that best fits a given set of data points. The least squares method is used to fit polynomials or a set of functions to a given set of data points. Using the least squares method, we can find *a* and *b* such that x = a + bn is the least squares fit to the data given in Table 2. The graph of the data points is shown in Figure 7. We obtain x = -0.0818486 - 0.138376n for $n = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$ We also obtain x = -1.48453 - 1.22923n for $n = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$ and x = -0.850454 - 0.134332n for $n = 1.5, 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, \ldots$ The real zero $x \sim -\infty$ asymptotically as $n \to \infty$.

The (h, q)-Euler polynomials $E_q^{(h)}(n, w)$ are polynomials of degree *n*. Thus, $E_q^{(h)}(n, w)$ has *n* zeros and $E_q^{(h)}(n + 1, w)$

TABLE 1: Numbers of real and complex zeros of $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$.

S	Real zeros	Complex zeros
1.5	2	0
2.5	1	2
3.5	2	2
4.5	1	4
5.5	2	4
6.5	1	6
7.5	2	6
8	0	8
8.5	1	8
9	1	8
9.5	2	8
10	0	10
10.5	1	10
11	1	10
11.5	2	10

has n + 1 zeros. When discrete n is analytic continued to continuous parameter s, it naturally leads to the following question.

How does $E_q^{(h)}(s, w)$, the analytic continuation of $E_q^{(h)}(n, w)$, pick up an additional zero as *s* increases continuously by one?

This introduces the exciting concept of the dynamics of the zeros of analytic continued Euler polynomials, the idea of looking at how the zeros move about in the *w* complex plane as we vary the parameter *s*.

-	
S	w
6	×
6.5	-9.47111
7	-1.06648
7.5	-10.6998, -1.8568
8	×
8.5	-11.9289
9	-1.34687
9.5	-13.1581, -2.12315
10	×
10.5	-14.3874
11	-1.62471
11.5	-15.6169, -2.3908

TABLE 2: Approximate solutions of $E_q^{(h)}(s, w) = 0, h = 3, w \in \mathbb{R}$.

To have a physical picture of the motion of the zeros in the complex w plane, imagine that each time as s increases gradually and continuously by one, an additional real zero flies in from positive infinity along the real positive axis, gradually slowing down as if "it is flying through a viscous medium."

For more studies and results in this subject, you may see [6, 11–15].

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- R. Ayoub, "Euler and the zeta function," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 81, pp. 1067–1086, 1974.
- [2] A. Bayad, "Modular properties of elliptic Bernoulli and Euler functions," *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 389–401, 2010.
- [3] L.-C. Jang, "On multiple generalized w-Genocchi polynomials and their applications," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2010, Article ID 316870, 8 pages, 2010.
- [4] J. Y. Kang, H. Y. Lee, and N. S. Jung, "Some relations of the twisted *q*-Genocchi numbers and polynomials with weight α and weak weight β," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 860921, 9 pages, 2012.
- [5] M.-S. Kim and S. Hu, "On *p*-adic Hurwitz-type Euler zeta functions," *Journal of Number Theory*, vol. 132, no. 12, pp. 2977– 3015, 2012.
- [6] T. Kim, C. S. Ryoo, L. C. Jang, and S. H. Rim, "Exploring the *q*-Riemann zeta function and *q*-Bernoulli polynomials," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, no. 2, pp. 171–181, 2005.
- [7] T. Kim and S. H. Rim, "Generalized Carlitz's Euler Numbers in the *p*-adic number field," *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 2, pp. 9–19, 2000.
- [8] T. Kim, "Euler numbers and polynomials associated with zeta functions," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2008, Article ID 581582, 11 pages, 2008.

- [9] H. Ozden and Y. Simsek, "A new extension of *q*-Euler numbers and polynomials related to their interpolation functions," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 934–939, 2008.
- [10] S.-H. Rim, K. H. Park, and E. J. Moon, "On Genocchi numbers and polynomials," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2008, Article ID 898471, 7 pages, 2008.
- [11] C. S. Ryoo, T. Kim, and R. P. Agarwal, "A numerical investigation of the roots of *q*-polynomials," *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, vol. 83, no. 2, pp. 223–234, 2006.
- [12] C. S. Ryoo, "A numerical computation of the roots of *q*-Euler polynomials," *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, vol. 12, pp. 148–156, 2010.
- [13] C. S. Ryoo, "Structure of the roots of (*h*, *q*)-euler polynomials," *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 458–465, 2012.
- [14] C. S. Ryoo, "Analytic continuation of EULer polynomials and the EULer zeta function," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2014, Article ID 568129, 6 pages, 2014.
- [15] C. S. Ryoo, "Calculating zeros of the second kind (*h*, *q*)-Bernoulli polynomials," *Neural, Parallel and Scientific Computations*, vol. 20, no. 3-4, pp. 271–282, 2012.
- [16] Y. Simsek, "Generating functions of the twisted Bernoulli numbers and polynomials associated with their interpolation functions," *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 251–278, 2008.
- [17] Y. Simsek, "Twisted (h, q)-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials related to twisted (h, q)-zeta function and *L*-function," *Journal* of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 324, no. 2, pp. 790–804, 2006.
- [18] Y. Simsek, "Complete sum of products of (*h*, *q*)-extension of Euler polynomials and numbers," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 1331–1348, 2010.



The Scientific World Journal





Decision Sciences







Journal of Probability and Statistics



Hindawi Submit your manuscripts at





International Journal of Differential Equations





International Journal of Combinatorics





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society







Journal of Function Spaces



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis



Journal of Optimization