Research Article

Bifurcation Analysis of a Van der Pol-Duffing Circuit with Parallel Resistor

Denis de Carvalho Braga,¹ Luis Fernando Mello,² and Marcelo Messias³

¹ Instituto de Sistemas Elétricos e Energia, Universidade Federal de Itajubá, Avenida BPS 1303, Pinheirinho, 37500-903 Itajubá, MG, Brazil

² Instituto de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Itajubá, Avenida BPS 1303, Pinheirinho, 37500-903 Itajubá, MG, Brazil

³ Departamento de Matemática, Estatística e Computação, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, UNESP—Univ Estadual Paulista, Cx. Postal 266, 19060-900 Presidente Prudente, SP, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Marcelo Messias, marcelo@fct.unesp.br

Received 29 April 2009; Accepted 16 August 2009

Recommended by Dane Quinn

We study the local codimension one, two, and three bifurcations which occur in a recently proposed Van der Pol-Duffing circuit (ADVP) with parallel resistor, which is an extension of the classical Chua's circuit with cubic nonlinearity. The ADVP system presents a very rich dynamical behavior, ranging from stable equilibrium points to periodic and even chaotic oscillations. Aiming to contribute to the understand of the complex dynamics of this new system we present an analytical study of its local bifurcations and give the corresponding bifurcation diagrams. A complete description of the regions in the parameter space for which multiple small periodic solutions arise through the Hopf bifurcations at the equilibria is given. Then, by studying the continuation of such periodic orbits, we numerically find a sequence of period doubling and symmetric homoclinic bifurcations which leads to the creation of strange attractors, for a given set of the parameter values.

Copyright © 2009 Denis de Carvalho Braga et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and Statement of the Main Results

In this paper we study the local codimension one, two, and three bifurcations and the respective qualitative changes in the dynamics of the following system of nonlinear equations:

$$\dot{x} = \frac{dx}{d\tau} = -\nu \left(x^3 - \mu x - y \right), \qquad \dot{y} = \frac{dy}{d\tau} = x - \alpha y - z, \qquad \dot{z} = \frac{dz}{d\tau} = \beta y, \tag{1.1}$$



Figure 1: ADVP circuit with parallel resistor *R*_p.

where $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are the state variables and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \ge 1$, $\nu > 0$, and $\beta > 0$ are real parameters.

As far as we know, system (1.1) was proposed and firstly studied in [1], and it can be obtained from the system

$$c_1 v'_1 = -[i_N + (v_1 - v_2)/R], \quad c_2 v'_2 = (v_1 - \alpha v_2)/R - i_L, \quad i'_L = v_2/L,$$
 (1.2)

by the following changes in variables, parameters, and rescaling in time:

$$x = \sqrt{bR}v_1, \quad y = \sqrt{bR}v_2, \quad z = \sqrt{bR^3}i_L,$$

$$\mu = -(1 + aR), \quad \nu = c_2/c_1, \quad \beta = c_2R^2/L, \quad \tau = t/Rc_2.$$
(1.3)

In (1.2) v_1 and v_2 are the voltages across the capacitors C_1 and C_2 , respectively, i_N is the current through the nonlinear diode N, i_L is the current through the inductor, c_1 and c_2 are the capacitances, L is the inductance, R is the linear resistor, R_p is the parallel resistor, $\alpha = 1 + (R/R_p)$, and the prime denotes derivatives with respect to the time t. It is assumed that the current through the nonlinear diode is given by $i_N = i_N(v_1) = av_1 + bv_1^3$, with a < 0 and b > 0. See Figure 1 and [1] for more details.

Despite the simplicity of the electronic circuit shown in Figure 1, the related system (1.1) has a rich dynamical behavior, ranging from stable equilibrium points to periodic and even chaotic oscillations, depending on the parameter values. The study of simple nonlinear electronic circuits presenting chaotic behavior was initiated by Chua in the mid-1980s (see [2]) and the interest on the subject has grown in the last decades. In fact, it has useful applications like chaos synchronization which is applied in industry and secure communications, beyond other areas of sciences (see [1] and references therein and also [3, 4]). System (1.1) with $\alpha = 1$ reduces to a system equivalent to the classical Chua's differential equations with cubic nonlinearity. The authors have recently developed a complete study of degenerate Hopf bifurcations for this case in [5], answering a challenge proposed by Moiola and Chua in [6].

System (1.1) has the equilibrium point $E_0 = (0, 0, 0)$, which exists for any parameter values. For $\mu > 0$ it also has the symmetric equilibria $E_{\pm} = (\pm \sqrt{\mu}, 0, \pm \sqrt{\mu})$. Codimension one Hopf bifurcation which occurs at the equilibrium point E_0 was studied in [1], by using a result of Hsü and Kazarinoff in [7].



Figure 2: Surfaces $\{l_1 = 0\}$ for E_0 (a) and E_{\pm} (b), $C = \{l_1 = 0\} \cap \{l_2 = 0\}$.

In this paper by using the classical projection method which allows one the calculation of the Lyapunov coefficients associated to the Hopf bifurcations we study all possible bifurcations (generic and degenerate ones) which occur at the equilibria E_0 and E_{\pm} of system (1.1). In this way the analyses presented in [1] are extended and completed. More precisely, we prove the following statements.

- (a) For the equilibrium $E_0 = (0,0,0)$ the Hopf hypersurface H_1 is obtained in the space of parameters $(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta)$ and the first Lyapunov coefficient l_1 is calculated. It is shown that this coefficient vanishes on a 2-dimensional surface contained in H_1 , giving rise to codimension two Hopf bifurcations (see Figure 2(a)). Then the second Lyapunov coefficient l_2 is calculated and it is established that this coefficient is always negative on the surface $\{l_1 = 0\}$.
- (b) For the symmetric equilibria $E_{\pm} = (\pm \sqrt{\mu}, 0, \pm \sqrt{\mu})$ the Hopf hypersurface H_2 is obtained in the space of parameters $(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta)$, the first Lyapunov coefficient l_1 is calculated, and it is shown that this coefficient vanishes on a 2-dimensional surface contained in H_2 (see Figure 2(b)), giving rise to codimension two bifurcations. The second Lyapunov coefficient l_2 is calculated and it is established that this coefficient also vanishes on a 2-dimensional surface contained in H_2 (see Figure 2(b)), giving rise to codimension three bifurcations. The third Lyapunov coefficients along the curve *C* given by the intersection of the surfaces $\{l_1 = 0\}$ and $\{l_2 = 0\}$ are obtained and found to be positive.

The corresponding bifurcation diagrams are traced for the above bifurcations (see Figures 3, 4, 7, and 8 of Section 4). From statement (a) it follows that the maximum number of small periodic orbits bifurcating from the origin is 2. Furthermore, from statement (b) one can deduce that there is a region in the parameter space for which an attracting periodic orbit and two other unstable periodic orbits coexist with the attracting equilibrium points E_{\pm} . See Figures 7 and 8 in Section 4.

We go further and perform a numerical study of the continuation of periodic orbits which arise from the Hopf bifurcations at the points E_{\pm} . Through this analysis we have found

period doubling and homoclinic bifurcations which seems to lead to the creation of strange attractors of system (1.1), for some parameter values (see Section 5).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 through a linear analysis of system (1.1) we obtain the Hopf hypersurfaces for E_0 and E_{\pm} . In Section 3 following [8–10] we present a brief review of the methods used to study codimension one, two, and three Hopf bifurcations, describing in particular how to calculate the Lyapunov coefficients related to the stability of the equilibrium point as well as of the periodic orbits which appear in these bifurcations. In general the Lyapunov coefficients are very difficult to be obtained. These methods are used in Section 4 to prove the main results of this paper, described in statements (a) and (b). In Section 5 we present numerical simulations for particular values of the parameters which illustrate and corroborate the analytical results obtained. Finally, in Section 6 we make some concluding remarks.

2. Linear Analysis of System (1.1)

In a vectorial notation which will be useful in the next calculations system (1.1) can be written as

$$\mathbf{x}' = f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}) = \left(-\nu \left(x^3 - \mu x - y\right), x - \alpha y - z, \beta y\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \qquad \boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times [1, \infty) \times (0, \infty).$$
(2.2)

The origin E_0 is an equilibrium point of system (1.1) for all values of the parameters. The characteristic polynomial of the Jacobian matrix of the function f given in (2.1) at E_0 is given by

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^3 + (\alpha - \mu \nu)\lambda^2 + (\beta - \nu - \alpha \mu \nu)\lambda - \mu \beta \nu.$$
(2.3)

If $\mu > 0$ then the term $-\mu\beta\nu$ in the above polynomial is negative and this implies that E_0 is an unstable equilibrium point.

For the sake of completeness we state the following lemma (Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion) whose proof can be found in [11, page 58].

Lemma 2.1. The polynomial $L(\lambda) = p_0\lambda^3 + p_1\lambda^2 + p_2\lambda + p_3$, $p_0 > 0$, with real coefficients, has all roots with negative real parts if and only if the numbers p_1 , p_2 , p_3 are positive and the inequality $p_1p_2 > p_0p_3$ is satisfied.

The following proposition is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1.

Proposition 2.2. Consider $\mu < 0$ in system (1.1). If $\alpha \mu \leq -1$ then the equilibrium point E_0 is asymptotically stable for all $\beta > 0$ and $\nu > 0$. If $\alpha \mu > -1$ and

$$\beta > \beta_{1c} = \frac{\nu(\alpha - \mu\nu)(1 + \alpha\mu)}{\alpha}, \qquad (2.4)$$

then system (1.1) has an asymptotically stable equilibrium point at E_0 . If $\alpha \mu > -1$ and $0 < \beta < \beta_{1c}$ then E_0 is unstable.

For $\mu = 0$ the origin becomes a nonhyperbolic equilibrium point and the situation is highly degenerate. This case, which will not be considered in this work, can be studied by using the methods presented for instance in [12].

For $\mu > 0$ two new equilibria $E_{\pm} = (\pm \sqrt{\mu}, 0, \pm \sqrt{\mu})$ appear. System (1.1) is invariant under the change of coordinates $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (-x, -y, -z)$. So the stability of the equilibrium E_{-} can be obtained from the stability of E_{+} .

The characteristic polynomial of the Jacobian matrix of the function f given in (2.1) at E_+ is given by

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^{3} + (\alpha + 2\mu\nu)\lambda^{2} + (\beta - \nu + 2\alpha\mu\nu)\lambda + 2\mu\beta\nu.$$
(2.5)

The following proposition is also a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1.

Proposition 2.3. Consider $\mu > 0$ in system (1.1). If $2\alpha\mu \ge 1$ then the equilibrium point E_+ is asymptotically stable for all $\beta > 0$ and $\nu > 0$. If $2\alpha\mu < 1$ and

$$\beta > \beta_{2c} = \frac{\nu(\alpha + 2\mu\nu)(1 - 2\alpha\mu)}{\alpha}, \qquad (2.6)$$

then system (1.1) has an asymptotically stable equilibrium point at E_+ . If $2\alpha\mu < 1$ and $0 < \beta < \beta_{2c}$ then E_+ is unstable.

The equations $\beta = \beta_{1c}$ and $\beta = \beta_{2c}$ in (2.4) and (2.6) give the equations of the Hopf hypersurfaces H_1 and H_2 in the parameter space (μ , ν , α , β). These equations will be used in Section 4 in the study of degenerate Hopf bifurcations which occur at the equilibria E_0 and E_{\pm} of system (1.1).

3. Outline of the Hopf Bifurcation Methods

This section is a review of the projection method described in [8] for the calculation of the first and second Lyapunov coefficients associated to Hopf bifurcations, denoted by l_1 and l_2 , respectively. This method was extended to the calculation of the third and fourth Lyapunov coefficients in [9, 10]. Other equivalent definitions and algorithmic procedures to write the expressions of the Lyapunov coefficients for two-dimensional systems can be found in Andronov et al. [13] and Gasull and Torregrosa [14], among others, and can be adapted to the three-dimensional system of this paper if it is restricted to the center manifold. See also [15].

Consider the differential equation

$$\mathbf{x}' = f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}),\tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathbb{R}^4$ are, respectively, vectors representing phase variables and control parameters. Assume that f is of class C^{∞} in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4$. Suppose that (3.1) has an equilibrium point $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$ at $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \boldsymbol{\zeta}_0$ and, denoting the variable $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0$ also by \mathbf{x} , write

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_0) \tag{3.2}$$

as

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{6} C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{24} D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{120} E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{720} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{5040} L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) + O(||\mathbf{x}||^8),$$
(3.3)

where $A = f_x(0, \zeta_0)$ and, for i = 1, 2, 3,

$$B_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} F_{i}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j} \partial \xi_{k}} \bigg|_{\xi=0} x_{j} y_{k}, \qquad C_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{3} F_{i}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{j} \partial \xi_{k} \partial \xi_{l}} \bigg|_{\xi=0} x_{j} y_{k} z_{l}, \qquad (3.4)$$

and so on for D_i , E_i , K_i , and L_i .

Suppose that $(\mathbf{x}_0, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_0)$ is an equilibrium point of (3.1) where the Jacobian matrix A has a pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues $\lambda_{2,3} = \pm i\omega_0$, $\omega_0 > 0$, and admits no other eigenvalue with zero real part. Let T^c be the generalized eigenspace of A corresponding to $\lambda_{2,3}$. By this it is meant the largest subspace invariant by A on which the eigenvalues are $\lambda_{2,3}$.

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{C}^3$ be vectors such that

$$Aq = i\omega_0 q, \qquad A^{\mathsf{T}}p = -i\omega_0 p, \qquad \langle p,q \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^3 \overline{p}_i q_i = 1, \tag{3.5}$$

where A^{\top} is the transpose of the matrix *A*. Any vector $y \in T^c$ can be represented as $y = wq + \overline{w} \overline{q}$, where $w \langle p, y \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$. The two-dimensional center manifold associated to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{2,3} = \pm i\omega_0$ can be parameterized by the variables w and \overline{w} by means of an immersion of the form $\mathbf{x} = H(w, \overline{w})$, where $H : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ has a Taylor expansion of the form

$$H(w,\overline{w}) = wq + \overline{w}\,\overline{q} + \sum_{2 \le j+k \le 7} \frac{1}{j!k!} h_{jk} w^j \overline{w}^k + O\Big(|w|^8\Big), \tag{3.6}$$

with $h_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}^3$ and $h_{jk} = \overline{h}_{kj}$. Substituting this expression into (3.1) we obtain the following differential equation:

$$H_{w}w' + H_{\overline{w}}\overline{w}' = F(H(w,\overline{w})), \tag{3.7}$$

where *F* is given by (3.2). The complex vectors h_{ij} are obtained solving the system of linear equations defined by the coefficients of (3.7), taking into account the coefficients of *F*

(see [9, Remark 3.1, page 27]), so that system (3.7), on the chart w for a central manifold, writes as follows:

$$w' = i\omega_0 w + \frac{1}{2}G_{21}w|w|^2 + \frac{1}{12}G_{32}w|w|^4 + \frac{1}{144}G_{43}w|w|^6 + O(|w|^8),$$
(3.8)

with $G_{ik} \in \mathbb{C}$.

The *first Lyapunov coefficient* l_1 is defined by

$$l_1 = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} G_{21}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $G_{21} = \langle p, \mathcal{H}_{21} \rangle$, and $\mathcal{H}_{21} = C(q, q, \overline{q}) + B(\overline{q}, h_{20}) + 2B(q, h_{11})$. The *second Lyapunov coefficient* is defined by

$$l_2 = \frac{1}{12} \operatorname{Re} G_{32}, \tag{3.10}$$

where $G_{32} = \langle p, \mathcal{H}_{32} \rangle$. The expression for \mathcal{H}_{32} can be found in [9, equation (36), page 28]. The *third Lyapunov coefficient* is defined by

$$l_3 = \frac{1}{144} \operatorname{Re} G_{43}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $G_{43} = \langle p, \mathcal{A}_{43} \rangle$. The expression for \mathcal{A}_{43} can be found in [9, equation (44), page 30].

A *Hopf point* (\mathbf{x}_0 , $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_0$) of system (3.1) is an equilibrium point where the Jacobian matrix A has a pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues $\lambda_{2,3} = \pm i\omega_0$, $\omega_0 > 0$, and the other eigenvalue $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. From the Center Manifold Theorem, at a Hopf point a two-dimensional center manifold is well defined, it is invariant under the flow generated by (3.1) and can be continued with arbitrary high class of differentiability to nearby parameter values (see [8]).

A Hopf point is called *transversal* if the parameter dependent complex eigenvalues cross the imaginary axis with nonzero derivative. In a neighborhood of a transversal Hopf point with $l_1 \neq 0$ the dynamic behavior of the system (3.1), reduced to the family of parameter-dependent continuations of the center manifold, is orbitally topologically equivalent to the following complex normal form $w' = (\eta + i\omega)w + l_1w|w|^2$, where $w \in \mathbb{C}$, η , ω , and l_1 are real functions having derivatives of arbitrary higher order, which are continuations of 0, ω_0 , and the first Lyapunov coefficient at the Hopf point. See [8, 9] for details. When $l_1 < 0$ ($l_1 > 0$) one family of stable (unstable) periodic orbits can be found on this family of manifolds, shrinking to an equilibrium point at the Hopf point.

A *Hopf point of codimension* 2 is a Hopf point where l_1 vanishes. It is called *transversal* if $\{\eta = 0\}$ and $\{l_1 = 0\}$ have transversal intersections, where $\eta = \eta(\zeta)$ is the real part of the critical eigenvalues. In a neighborhood of a transversal Hopf point of codimension 2 with $l_2 \neq 0$ the dynamic behavior of the system (3.1) reduced to the family of parameter-dependent continuations of the center manifold is orbitally topologically equivalent to $w' = (\eta + i\omega_0)w + \tau w|w|^2 + l_2w|w|^4$, where η and τ are unfolding parameters. See [8, 9]. The bifurcation diagrams for $l_2 \neq 0$ can be found in [8, page 313] and in [16].

A Hopf point of codimension 3 is a Hopf point of codimension 2 where l_2 vanishes. It is called *transversal* if { $\eta = 0$ }, { $l_1 = 0$ }, and { $l_2 = 0$ } have transversal intersections. In a

neighborhood of a transversal Hopf point of codimension 3 with $l_3 \neq 0$ the dynamic behavior of the system (3.1), reduced to the family of parameter-dependent continuations of the center manifold, is orbitally topologically equivalent to $w' = (\eta + i\omega_0)w + \tau w|w|^2 + \nu w|w|^4 + l_3w|w|^6$, where η , τ , and ν are unfolding parameters. The bifurcation diagram for $l_3 \neq 0$ can be found in Takens [16].

4. Hopf Bifurcations in System (1.1)

4.1. Hopf Bifurcations at E₀

In this subsection we study the stability of E_0 under the conditions $\beta = \beta_{1c}$ and $-1 < \alpha \mu < 0$, that is, on the Hopf hypersurface H_1 complementary to the range of validity of Proposition 2.2.

The Jacobian matrix of the function f given in (2.1) at E_0 is given by

$$A = Df(E_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu \nu & \nu & 0\\ 1 & -\alpha & -1\\ 0 & \beta_{1c} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.1)

Then, using the notation of the previous section (see expression (3.3)) the multilinear symmetric functions corresponding to f can be written as

$$B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) = E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (0, 0, 0),$$

$$C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = (-6\nu x_1 y_1 z_1, 0, 0).$$
(4.2)

The eigenvalues of *A* are

$$\lambda_1 = -\alpha + \mu \nu < 0, \qquad \lambda_{2,3} = \pm i\omega_0 = \pm i\nu \sqrt{\frac{-\mu(1+\alpha\mu)}{\alpha}}, \tag{4.3}$$

and from (3.5) one has

$$q = \left(-\frac{i\omega_0 \nu}{\mu \nu - i\omega_0}, i\omega_0, \beta_{1c}\right),$$

$$\overline{p} = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \left(i\omega_0 (\mu \nu - i\omega_0), -i\omega_0 (\mu \nu - i\omega_0)^2, (\mu \nu - i\omega_0)^2\right),$$
(4.4)

where

$$\rho_0 = \beta_{1c} (\mu \nu - i\omega_0)^2 + \left(\nu + (\mu \nu - i\omega_0)^2\right) \omega_0^2.$$
(4.5)

Using these calculations we prove the next two theorems, from which statement (a) of the introduction follows.

Theorem 4.1. Consider the four-parameter family of differential equations (1.1). The first Lyapunov coefficient associated to the equilibrium E_0 is given by

$$l_1(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta_{1c}) = -\frac{3\alpha(\alpha\mu + 1)\nu^3(\alpha^2 - 2\mu\nu\alpha - \nu)}{2(\alpha^3 - 2\mu\nu\alpha^2 - \mu\nu^2)}.$$
 (4.6)

If

$$s(\mu, \nu, \alpha) = -\alpha^2 + 2\mu\nu\alpha + \nu \tag{4.7}$$

is different from zero then system (1.1) has a transversal Hopf point at E_0 for $\beta = \beta_{1c}$ and $-1 < \alpha \mu < 0$. More precisely, if $(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta_{1c}) \in S \cup U$ (see Figure 2(a)), then system (1.1) has a Hopf point of

codimension 1 at E_0 . If $(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta_{1c}) \in S$ then E_0 is asymptotically stable and for each $\beta < \beta_{1c}$, but close to β_{1c} , there exists a stable periodic orbit near the unstable equilibrium point E_0 . If $(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta_{1c}) \in U$ then E_0 is unstable and for each $\beta > \beta_{1c}$, but close to β_{1c} , there exists an unstable periodic orbit near the asymptotically stable equilibrium point E_0 .

Proof. As the function $B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (0, 0, 0)$ then the expression G_{21} in (3.9) reduces to $G_{21}\langle p, C(q, q, \overline{q}) \rangle$. Performing the calculations we get

$$G_{21} = -\frac{6\nu^4\omega_0^4}{(\mu^2\nu^2 + \omega_0^2)\left(\beta_{1c}(\mu\nu - i\omega_0)^2 + \omega_0^2(\mu^2\nu^2 - 2i\mu\omega_0\nu + \nu - \omega_0^2)\right)}.$$
(4.8)

Substituting the expressions of ω_0 and β_{1c} into the above expression of G_{21} and taking the real part we have, from (3.9), the expression of the first Lyapunov coefficient l_1 given in (4.6). Note that the sign of l_1 is given by the sign of the function *s* defined in (4.7).

It remains only to verify the transversality condition of the Hopf bifurcation. In order to do so, consider the family of differential equations (1.1) regarded as dependent on the parameter β . The real part, $\eta = \eta(\beta)$, of the pair of complex eigenvalues at the critical parameter $\beta = \beta_{1c}$ verifies

$$\eta'(\beta_{1c}) = \operatorname{Re}\left\langle p, \left. \frac{dA}{d\beta} \right|_{\beta=\beta_{1c}} q \right\rangle = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2(\alpha^3 - 2\mu\nu\alpha^2 - \mu\nu^2)} < 0.$$
(4.9)

Therefore, the transversality condition at the Hopf point holds.

The sets *S* and *U* illustrated in Figure 2(a) are defined implicitly as $\{l_1 < 0\}$ and $\{l_1 > 0\}$, respectively. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 4.2. Consider the four-parameter family of differential equations (1.1) restricted to $\beta = \beta_{1c}$ and $-1 < \alpha \mu < 0$. Then the second Lyapunov coefficient l_2 associated to the equilibrium E_0 is negative on the Hopf hypersurface where the first Lyapunov coefficient l_1 vanishes. *Proof.* Following the notation introduced in Section 3, we have $B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) = E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (0, 0, 0)$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_{32} = 3C(q, q, \overline{h}_{21}) + 6C(q, \overline{q}, h_{21}) + 3C(\overline{q}, \overline{q}, h_{30}).$$

$$(4.10)$$

The expressions of the complex vectors h_{21} and h_{30} are too long to be put in print. The interested author can encounter such expressions and all the details of the calculations presented in this paper in the website [17].

By direct calculations the second Lyapunov coefficient l_2 associated to E_0 can be written as

$$l_{2}(\mu,\nu,\alpha,\beta_{1c}) = -\frac{3\alpha^{2}(\alpha\mu+1)^{2}\nu^{5}N(\mu,\nu,\alpha,\beta_{1c})}{2\mu(-\alpha^{3}+2\mu\nu\alpha^{2}+\mu\nu^{2})^{3}(-\alpha^{3}+2\mu\nu\alpha^{2}+8\mu^{2}\nu^{2}\alpha+9\mu\nu^{2})},$$
(4.11)

where $N(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta_{1c})$ has the form

$$\alpha^{10} - 8\mu\nu\alpha^{9} + \nu(16\mu^{2}\nu - 1)\alpha^{8} + 2\mu\nu^{2}(8\mu^{2}\nu - 7)\alpha^{7} + 2\mu^{2}\nu^{3}(61 - 40\mu^{2}\nu)\alpha^{6} + 2\mu\nu^{3}(32\nu^{2}\mu^{4} - 112\nu\mu^{2} + 13)\alpha^{5} - \mu^{2}\nu^{4}(64\nu\mu^{2} + 19)\alpha^{4} + 40\mu^{3}\nu^{5}(10\mu^{2}\nu - 9)\alpha^{3} + \mu^{2}\nu^{5}(-192\nu^{2}\mu^{4} + 724\nu\mu^{2} - 121)\alpha^{2} - 8\mu^{3}\nu^{6}(43\mu^{2}\nu - 34)\alpha - 142\mu^{4}\nu^{7}.$$

$$(4.12)$$

Along the surface $\{l_1 = 0\}$ we have, from (4.7) of Theorem 4.1, $s(\mu, \nu, \alpha) = -\alpha^2 + 2\mu\nu\alpha + \nu = 0$, or equivalently, $\nu\alpha^2/(1 + 2\alpha\mu)$. Substituting this expression into (4.11) one has

$$l_{2}|_{\{l_{1}=0\}} = -\frac{9\alpha^{11}(\alpha\mu+1)(4\alpha\mu-1)}{(2\alpha\mu+1)^{4}(8\alpha\mu-1)} < 0.$$
(4.13)

Here the transversality condition is equivalent to the transversality of the hypersurfaces $\beta = \beta_{1c}$ and $l_1(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta) = 0$. The bifurcation diagram for a typical point *R* on the surface $\{l_1 = 0\}$ is depicted in Figure 3.

4.2. Hopf Bifurcations at E_{\pm}

In this subsection we study the stability of E_{\pm} under the conditions $\beta = \beta_{2c}$ and $0 < 2\alpha\mu < 1$, that is, on the Hopf hypersurface H_2 complementary to the range of validity of Proposition 2.3.

The Jacobian matrix of the function f given in (2.1) calculated at E_+ is given by

$$A = Df(E_{+}) = \begin{pmatrix} -2\mu\nu & \nu & 0\\ 1 & -\alpha & -1\\ 0 & \beta_{2c} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.14)



Figure 3: (a) Typical points N_1 , N_2 , N_3 , N_4 , N_5 , and N_6 in the parameter space near the point *R*. (b) Phase portraits of system (1.1) for the flow restricted to the center manifold and its continuation. For parameters at N_1 the equilibrium is asymptotically stable; for parameters at N_2 the equilibrium is a weak stable focus (Hopf point with l_1 negative); for parameters at N_3 the equilibrium is unstable and a stable limit cycle appears from a Hopf bifurcation; for parameters at N_4 the equilibrium is an weak unstable focus (Hopf point with l_1 positive) and there is a stable limit cycle; for parameters at N_5 the equilibrium is asymptotically stable and an unstable limit cycle appears from a Hopf bifurcation, so there are two limit cycles encircling the equilibrium; for parameters at N_6 the equilibrium is asymptotically stable and the two cycles collide, giving rise to a nondegenerate fold bifurcation of the cycles.

Then, using the notation of Section 3 (see expression (3.3)) the multilinear symmetric functions corresponding to f can be written as

$$B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (-6\nu\sqrt{\mu}x_1y_1, 0, 0), \qquad C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = (-6\nu x_1y_1z_1, 0, 0),$$

$$D = E = K = L = (0, 0, 0).$$
(4.15)

The eigenvalues of A are

$$\lambda_1 = -\alpha - 2\mu\nu < 0, \qquad \lambda_{2,3} = \pm i\omega_0 = \pm i\nu\sqrt{\frac{2\mu(1 - 2\alpha\mu)}{\alpha}}, \tag{4.16}$$

and from (3.5) one has

$$q = \left(\frac{i\omega_0 \nu}{2\mu\nu + i\omega_0}, i\omega_0, \beta_{2c}\right),\tag{4.17}$$

$$\overline{p} = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \Big(-i\omega_0 (2\mu\nu + i\omega_0), -i\omega_0 (2\mu\nu + i\omega_0)^2, (2\mu\nu + i\omega_0)^2 \Big),$$
(4.18)

where

$$\rho_0 = \beta_{2c} (2\mu\nu + i\omega_0)^2 + \left(\nu + (2\mu\nu + i\omega_0)^2\right)\omega_0^2.$$
(4.19)

Using these calculations we prove the next three theorems, from which statement (b) of introduction follows.

Theorem 4.3. Consider the four-parameter family of differential equations (1.1). The first Lyapunov coefficient associated to the equilibrium E_+ is given by

$$l_1(\mu,\nu,\alpha,\beta_{2c}) = -\frac{3\alpha(2\alpha\mu - 1)\nu^3 g(\mu,\nu,\alpha)}{(\alpha^3 + 4\mu\nu\alpha^2 + 2\mu\nu^2)(\alpha^3 + 4\mu\nu\alpha^2 - 12\mu^2\nu^2\alpha + 8\mu\nu^2)},$$
(4.20)

where

$$g(\mu,\nu,\alpha) = \alpha^5 + 8\mu\nu\alpha^4 + \nu(4\mu^2\nu - 1)\alpha^3 + 2\mu\nu^2(5 - 24\mu^2\nu)\alpha^2 + 80\mu^2\nu^3\alpha - 20\mu\nu^3.$$
(4.21)

If $g(\mu, \nu, \alpha)$ is different from zero then system (1.1) has a transversal Hopf point at E_+ for $\beta = \beta_{2c}$ and $0 < 2\alpha\mu < 1$.

More precisely, if $(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta_{2c}) \in S \cup U$ (see Figure 2(b)) then system (1.1) has a Hopf point of codimension 1 at E_+ . That is, if $(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta_{2c}) \in S$ then E_+ is asymptotically stable and for each $\beta < \beta_{2c}$, but close to β_{2c} , there exists a stable periodic orbit near the unstable equilibrium point E_+ ; if $(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta_{2c}) \in U$ then E_+ is unstable and for each $\beta > \beta_{2c}$, but close to β_{2c} , there exists an unstable periodic orbit near the asymptotically stable equilibrium point E_+ .

Proof. The theorem follows by expanding the expressions in definition of the first Lyapunov coefficient (3.9). It relies on extensive calculations involving the vector q in (4.17), the vector p in (4.18) and the multilinear functions B and C. The inclusion here of the enormous expressions obtained from the mentioned calculations would not bring any contribution in clarifying the reading of the text. Then the detailed calculations related to this proof, corroborated by Computer Algebra, have been posted in [17], including its implementation using the software MATHEMATICA 5 [18].

Notice that the sign of the first Lyapunov coefficient is given by the sign of the function -g defined in (4.21) since the denominator of l_1 and the expression $3(2\alpha\mu - 1)\nu^3$ are negative. In Figure 2(b) the surface $\{l_1 = 0\}$ and the regions *S* and *U* are illustrated. These regions are defined implicitly as $\{l_1 < 0\}$ and $\{l_1 > 0\}$, respectively.

It remains only to verify the transversality condition of the Hopf bifurcation. In order to do so, consider the family of differential equations (1.1) regarded as dependent on the parameter β . The real part, $\eta = \eta(\beta)$, of the pair of complex eigenvalues at the critical parameter $\beta = \beta_{2c}$ verifies

$$\eta'(\beta_{2c}) = \operatorname{Re}\left\langle p, \left. \frac{dA}{d\beta} \right|_{\beta = \beta_{2c}} q \right\rangle = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2(\alpha^3 + 4\mu\nu\alpha^2 + 2\mu\nu^2)} < 0.$$
(4.22)

Therefore, the transversality condition holds at the Hopf point. The theorem is proved. \Box

Theorem 4.4. Consider the four-parameter family of differential equations (1.1) restricted to $\beta = \beta_{2c}$ and $0 < 2\alpha\mu < 1$. Then the second Lyapunov coefficient l_2 associated to the equilibrium E_+ is given by

$$l_{2}(\mu,\nu,\alpha,\beta_{2c}) = \frac{\alpha^{2}(2\alpha\mu-1)\nu^{5}h(\mu,\nu,\alpha)}{4\mu(\alpha^{3}+4\mu\nu\alpha^{2}-32\mu^{2}\nu^{2}\alpha+18\mu\nu^{2})d(\mu,\nu,\alpha)},$$
(4.23)

where the function $h(\mu, \nu, \alpha)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & 21\mu\alpha^{20} + \left(588\mu^{2}\nu - 4\right)\alpha^{19} + 3\mu\nu\left(1876\mu^{2}\nu - 53\right)\alpha^{18} + 4\nu\left(3192\nu^{2}\mu^{4} - 294\nu\mu^{2} + 1\right)\alpha^{17} \\ & + \mu\nu^{2}\left(-121296\nu^{2}\mu^{4} + 14156\nu\mu^{2} - 65\right)\alpha^{16} - 12\mu^{2}\nu^{3}\left(59248\nu^{2}\mu^{4} - 18704\nu\mu^{2} + 473\right)\alpha^{15} \\ & + \mu\nu^{3}\left(114240\nu^{3}\mu^{6} + 716976\nu^{2}\mu^{4} - 62932\nu\mu^{2} + 199\right)\alpha^{14} \\ & + 16\mu^{2}\nu^{4}\left(477120\nu^{3}\mu^{6} - 165272\nu^{2}\mu^{4} + 769\nu\mu^{2} + 119\right)\alpha^{13} \\ & + 4\mu^{3}\nu^{5}\left(1634304\nu^{3}\mu^{6} - 4121136\nu^{2}\mu^{4} + 751264\nu\mu^{2} - 16945\right)\alpha^{12} \\ & - 16\mu^{2}\nu^{5}\left(2225664\nu^{4}\mu^{8} - 327552\nu^{3}\mu^{6} - 585624\nu^{2}\mu^{4} + 53587\nu\mu^{2} - 262\right)\alpha^{11} \\ & - 4\mu^{3}\nu^{6}\left(6967296\nu^{4}\mu^{8} - 29565184\nu^{3}\mu^{6} + 7648944\nu^{2}\mu^{4} + 81592\nu\mu^{2} - 11129\right)\alpha^{10} \\ & + 16\mu^{4}\nu^{7}\left(4644864\nu^{4}\mu^{8} + 773376\nu^{3}\mu^{6} - 8268704\nu^{2}\mu^{4} + 1627004\nu\mu^{2} - 41405\right)\alpha^{9} \\ & + 4\mu^{3}\nu^{7}\left(-80474112\nu^{4}\mu^{8} + 32219456\nu^{3}\mu^{6} + 13655344\nu^{2}\mu^{4} - 1903712\nu\mu^{2} + 17531\right)\alpha^{8} \\ & + 16\mu^{4}\nu^{8}\left(5308416\nu^{4}\mu^{8} - 35076672\nu^{3}\mu^{6} - 13899000\nu^{2}\mu^{4} + 4382138\nu\mu^{2} - 130059\right)\alpha^{6} \\ & - 16\mu^{4}\nu^{9}\left(11446272\nu^{4}\mu^{8} - 35076672\nu^{3}\mu^{6} - 10290192\nu^{2}\mu^{4} + 2504248\nu\mu^{2} - 37769\right)\alpha^{5} \\ & + 32\mu^{5}\nu^{10}\left(10175616\nu^{3}\mu^{6} - 15588272\nu^{2}\mu^{4} - 317442\nu\mu^{2} + 129593\right)\alpha^{4} \\ & - 64\mu^{6}\nu^{11}\left(4720704\nu^{2}\mu^{4} - 3846404\nu\mu^{2} + 142349\right)\alpha^{3} \\ & + 128\mu^{5}\nu^{11}\left(1199432\nu^{2}\mu^{4} - 495365\nu\mu^{2} + 13321\right)\alpha^{2} \\ & - 256\mu^{6}\nu^{12}\left(157944\mu^{2}\nu - 25841\right)\alpha + 4308992\mu^{7}\nu^{13}, \end{aligned}$$

and the function $d(\mu, \nu, \alpha)$ in the denominator is given by

$$\left(\alpha^{6} + 8\mu\nu\alpha^{5} + 4\mu^{2}\nu^{2}\alpha^{4} + 2\mu\nu^{2}\left(5 - 24\mu^{2}\nu\right)\alpha^{3} + 40\mu^{2}\nu^{3}\alpha^{2} - 24\mu^{3}\nu^{4}\alpha + 16\mu^{2}\nu^{4}\right)^{3}.$$
 (4.25)

If $(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta_{2c}) \in C_1 \cup C_2$ (see Figure 2(b)), then system (1.1) has a transversal Hopf point of codimension 2 at E_+ . More specifically, if $(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta_{2c}) \in C_1$ then the Hopf point at E_+ is asymptotically stable and the bifurcation diagram is illustrated in Figure 3 for a typical point R. If $(\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta_{2c}) \in C_2$ then the Hopf point at E_+ is unstable and the bifurcation diagram is drawn in Figure 4 for a typical point T.



Figure 4: (a) Typical points M_1 , M_2 , M_3 , M_4 , M_5 , and M_6 in the parameter space near the point *T*. (b) Phase portraits of system (1.1) for the flow restricted to the center manifold and its continuation. For parameters at M_1 the equilibrium is unstable; for parameters at M_2 the equilibrium is an weak unstable focus (Hopf point with l_1 positive); for parameters at M_3 the equilibrium is stable and an unstable limit cycle appears from a Hopf bifurcation; for parameters at M_4 the equilibrium is an weak stable focus (Hopf point with l_1 negative) and there is an unstable limit cycle; for parameters at M_5 the equilibrium is unstable and a stable limit cycle appears from a Hopf bifurcation, so there are two limit cycles encircling the equilibrium; for parameters at M_6 the equilibrium is unstable and the two cycles collide corresponding to a nondegenerate fold bifurcation of the cycles.

Proof. The theorem follows by expanding the expressions in definition (3.10) of the second Lyapunov coefficient. It relies on extensive calculation involving the vector q in (4.17), the vector p in (4.18) and the multilinear functions B, C, D, and E. The calculations in this proof, corroborated by Computer Algebra, have been posted in [17].

In Figure 2 we present a geometric synthesis interpreting the long calculations involved in this proof. The sign of $-h(\mu, \nu, \alpha)$ gives the sign of the second Lyapunov coefficient since the function $d(\alpha, \mu, \nu)$ in the denominator of l_2 is positive. The graph of $h(\mu, \nu, \alpha) = 0$ on the surface $\{l_1 = 0\}$, which determines the curve *C*, and the signs of the first and second Lyapunov coefficients are also illustrated. As follows, $l_2 < 0$ on the open region on the surface $\{l_1 = 0\}$, denoted by C_1 . On this region a typical reference point *R* is depicted. Also $l_2 > 0$ on the open region on the surface $\{l_1 = 0\}$, denoted by C_2 . This region contains the typical reference point, denoted by *T*.

The bifurcation diagrams of system (1.1) at the points *R* and *T* are illustrated in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. The theorem is proved.

Remark 4.5. There are numerical evidences that the sign of the third Lyapunov coefficient is always positive along the curve *C* given by the intersection of the surfaces $\{l_1 = 0\}$ and $\{l_2 = 0\}$ (see Figure 2(b)). For $\alpha = 1$ see the article in [5]. For $\alpha = 2$ see Theorem 4.6 in what follows. Figure 5 shows the behavior of the third Lyapunov coefficient as a function of α , for (μ, ν, β) on the curve *C*. So the bifurcation diagrams depicted in Figures 7 and 8 are essentially the same for all values of $\alpha \ge 1$.

All the calculations presented here are illustrated in Figure 6 for the cases $\alpha = 2$ (a) and $\alpha = 3$ (b). In this figure are depicted the surfaces $\beta = \beta_{2c}$, the solid curves where $l_1 = 0$, the regions *S* and *U* where $l_1 < 0$ and $l_1 > 0$, respectively, the dashed curves where $l_2 = 0$ and the arcs C_1 and C_2 where $l_2 < 0$ and $l_2 > 0$, respectively. The bifurcation diagrams for typical points R_1 and R_2 are depicted in Figure 3 while the bifurcation diagrams for typical points T_1 and T_2 are shown in Figure 4. In the next theorem we analyze the sign of the third Lyapunov



Figure 5: Graph of l_3 with respect to α .



Figure 6: (a) Hopf surface $\beta = \beta_c$ and curves $\{l_1 = 0\}$ (solid) and $\{l_2 = 0\}$ (dashed) for $\alpha = 2$. (b) Hopf surface $\beta = \beta_c$ and curves $\{l_1 = 0\}$ (solid) and $\{l_2 = 0\}$ (dashed) for $\alpha = 3$.

coefficient at the point Q_1 , that is, for the case $\alpha = 2$ or equivalently for $R = R_p$. For the case $\alpha = 3$ the calculations are very similar.

Theorem 4.6. Consider $\alpha = 2$ in system (1.1). Then there is a unique point $Q_1 = Q_{\alpha=2} = (\mu, \nu, \beta_{2c})$ where the surfaces $\{l_1 = 0\}$ and $\{l_2 = 0\}$ intersect transversally on the Hopf hypersurface H_2 . For the parameter values at the point Q_1 , system (1.1) has a transversal Hopf point of codimension 3 at E_+ which is unstable since $l_3(Q_1) > 0$. The bifurcation diagram of system (1.1) at the point Q_1 is illustrated in Figure 7. In Figure 8 the bifurcation diagram of system (1.1) at a typical point R_1 of Figure 7 is drawn.



Figure 7: Bifurcation diagram of system (1.1) for α = 2 at the point Q_1 .





Figure 8: (a) Typical points V_1 , V_2 , V_3 , V_4 , V_5 , V_6 , V_7 , V_8 , and V_9 in the parameter space near the point R_1 (see Figure 7). (b) Phase portraits of system (1.1) for the flow restricted to the center manifold and its continuation at the points V_i , i = 1, ..., 9 of Figure 8(a).

Computer Assisted Proof

The point Q_1 is the intersection of the surfaces $\{l_1 = 0\}$ and $\{l_2 = 0\}$ on the Hopf hypersurface $\beta = \beta_{2c}$ taking into account $\alpha = 2$. It is defined and obtained as the solution of the equations $g(\mu, \nu, \alpha) = 0$ (see Theorem 4.3) and $h(\mu, \nu, \alpha) = 0$ (see Theorem 4.4). The point Q_1 has coordinates

$$\mu = 0.01528952519719747820..., \qquad \nu = 4.03634524181150646828...,$$

$$\beta_{2c} = 4.02335387131139691747....$$
(4.26)

The existence and uniqueness of Q_1 with the previous coordinates has been established numerically with the software MATHEMATICA 5 [18] (see also [17]) and can be checked by the Newton-Kantorovich Theorem [19].

For the point Q_1 take five decimal round-off coordinates $\mu = 0.01528$, $\nu = 4.03634$, and $\beta_{2c} = 4.02335$ for simplicity. For these values of the parameters one has

$$\begin{split} p &= (-0.10542 + 0.46290i, 0.21084 + 0.10811i, 0.22356 - 0.43599i), \\ q &= (3.78949 + 0.96718i, 0.48359i, 4.02335), \\ h_{11} &= (-371.10203, 0, -371.10203), \\ h_{20} &= (82.25866 + 60.23037i, -1.95710 + 26.99100i, 112.27829 + 8.14125i), \\ h_{30} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1209.86465 + 3423.09545i \\ -366.52849 + 1469.19120i \\ 4074.40090 + 1016.46677i \end{pmatrix}, \\ G_{21} &= -2878.05753i, \\ h_{21} &= \begin{pmatrix} 13925.76364 + 2288.71956i \\ -623.13603 - 1297.11421i \\ 13152.94476 + 5184.29327i \end{pmatrix}, \\ h_{40} &= \begin{pmatrix} -83816.60065 + 166465.53895i \\ -47712.54683 + 79760.69093i \\ 165895.88420 + 99238.29710i \end{pmatrix}, \\ h_{31} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2.26342 \cdot 10^6 + 1.12088 \cdot 10^6i \\ -87119.52533 + 376583.80975i \\ 2.56884 \cdot 10^6 + 435080.93606i \end{pmatrix}, \\ h_{22} &= \begin{pmatrix} -8.42248 \cdot 10^6, 0, -8.42248 \cdot 10^6 \end{pmatrix}, \\ G_{32} &= -9.92235 \cdot 10^7i, \end{split}$$

$$h_{32} = \begin{pmatrix} 5.84180 \cdot 10^8 + 1.09060 \cdot 10^8 i \\ -1.70175 \cdot 10^7 - 6.11162 \cdot 10^7 i \\ 5.51875 \cdot 10^8 + 2.34141 \cdot 10^8 i \end{pmatrix},$$

$$h_{41} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.25475 \cdot 10^8 + 1.68063 \cdot 10^8 i \\ -1.64672 \cdot 10^7 + 6.39292 \cdot 10^7 i \\ 2.25787 \cdot 10^8 + 5.77662 \cdot 10^7 i \end{pmatrix},$$

$$h_{42} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.93748 \cdot 10^{11} + 9.38389 \cdot 10^{10} i \\ -7.94067 \cdot 10^9 + 2.60031 \cdot 10^{10} i \\ 2.15396 \cdot 10^{11} + 4.67300 \cdot 10^{10} i \end{pmatrix},$$

$$h_{33} = \begin{pmatrix} -7.28682 \cdot 10^{11}, 0, -7.28682 \cdot 10^{11} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$G_{43} = 1.17553 \cdot 10^{10} - 1.14289 \cdot 10^{13} i.$$

(4.27)

From (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), and (4.27) one has

$$l_1(Q_1) = 0, \qquad l_2(Q_1) = 0, \qquad l_3(Q_1) = \frac{1}{144} \operatorname{Re} G_{43} = \frac{1}{144} \left(1.17553 \cdot 10^{10} \right).$$
(4.28)

The previous calculations have also been corroborated with 20 decimals round-off precision performed using the software MATHEMATICA 5 [18]; see [17].

The gradients of the functions l_1 , given in (4.20), and l_2 , given in (4.23), at the point Q_1 are, respectively,

$$(181.95647, -14.19675), (-5.26376 \cdot 10^6, 4.12653 \cdot 10^6).$$
 (4.29)

The transversality condition at Q_1 is equivalent to the nonvanishing of the determinant of the matrix whose columns are the above gradient vectors, which was evaluated and has furnished the value $6.76121 \cdot 10^8 > 0$. The transversality condition being satisfied, the bifurcation diagrams in Figures 7 and 8 follow from the work of Takens [16], taking into account the orientation and signs.

Define $l_0 = \beta - \beta_{2c}$. The open region in the parameter space where system (1.1) has three small periodic orbits bifurcating from the equilibria E_{\pm} can be described by $l_2 < 0$, $l_1 > 0$, and $l_0 > 0$ with $|l_2| \gg l_1 \gg l_0 > 0$. See Figure 7. The phase portraits of system (1.1) for the flow restricted to the center manifold and its continuation are shown in Figure 8(b). For parameters at V_1 the equilibrium is unstable; for parameters at V_2 the equilibrium is an weak unstable focus (Hopf point with positive l_1); for parameters at V_3 the equilibrium is stable and an unstable limit cycle appears from the Hopf bifurcation; for parameters at V_4 the equilibrium is an weak stable focus (Hopf point with negative l_1) and there is an unstable limit cycle; for parameters at V_5 the equilibrium is unstable and a stable limit cycle appears from the Hopf bifurcation, so there are two limit cycles encircling the equilibrium; for parameters at V_6 the equilibrium is unstable and two cycles collide corresponding to

a nondegenerate fold bifurcation of the cycles; for parameters at V_7 the equilibrium is stable and two cycles collide corresponding to a nondegenerate fold bifurcation of the cycles; for parameters at V_8 the equilibrium is stable and two cycles collide corresponding to a nondegenerate fold bifurcation of the cycles; for parameters at V_9 the equilibrium is stable and there are three limit cycles encircling the equilibrium.

5. Numerical Simulations

In this section we present some numerical simulations of system (1.1) for several values of the parameters ν , μ , α , and β . The main purpose is to illustrate the creation of stable and unstable limit cycles through the Hopf bifurcations at the equilibria E_0 and E_{\pm} , proved to occur in the previous sections. The simulations were developed using the Software MAPLE 8, under the Runge-Kutta method of fourth order with several different stepsizes. We also perform a numerical study of the continuation of periodic orbits which arise from the Hopf bifurcations at the point E_{\pm} . Throughout this analysis we have found period doubling and homoclinic bifurcations which seems to lead to the creation of strange attractors for (1.1), for some parameter values. For the study of homoclinic bifurcations in three-dimensional systems see [20, 21].

5.1. Bifurcations at E_0

For $\mu < 0$, system (1.1) has the origin $E_0 = (0, 0, 0)$ as its unique equilibrium point. According to Theorem 4.1, the system undergoes a Hopf bifurcation when the parameter β crosses the critical value $\beta_{1c} = \nu(\alpha - \mu\nu)(1 + \alpha\mu)/\alpha$ with $-1 < \alpha\mu < 0$. This type of bifurcation is illustrated in Figures 9 and 10. To draw these figures we have taken $\nu = 3$, $\mu = -0.25$, $\alpha = 2$ and have varied the parameter β , whose values are presented in the captions of the figures. Observe that for this parameter values we have $\beta_{1c} = 2.0625$. One can observe that when the parameter β tends to the critical value $\beta = \beta_{1c}$ from above the spiralling behavior of the solution becomes slower as expected, corroborating the correctness of the calculations presented in the previous sections (see Figures 9(a) and 9(b)); the limit cycle which arises when the parameter β crosses $\beta = \beta_{1c}$ is very small (observe the range of the state variables (x, y, z) in Figure 10). The numerical analysis performed suggests that such small limit cycle which birth in the Hopf bifurcation for $\beta = \beta_{1c}$ dies for $\beta = 0$; that is, the cycle exists for $\beta \in (0, 2.0625)$. One can observe that as the parameter β stay away from the critical value β_{1c} the solutions tend faster to the limit cycle (see Figure 11). The shape and behavior of the limit cycles in Figure 11 resemble the one of the Van der Pol system, see [22, page 267].

5.2. Bifurcations at E_{\pm}

For $\mu > 0$, system (1.1) has the origin E_0 and also the symmetric equilibria $E_{\pm} = (\pm \sqrt{\mu}, 0, \pm \sqrt{\mu})$. According to Theorem 4.3, the system undergoes a Hopf bifurcation when the parameter β crosses the critical value $\beta = \beta_{2c} = \nu(\alpha + 2\mu\nu)(1 - 2\alpha\mu)/\alpha$, for $0 < \alpha\mu < 1/2$. This type of bifurcation is illustrated in Figures 12 and 13, where we have taken $\nu = 10$, $\mu = 0.1$, $\alpha = 2$ and have varied the parameter β , whose values are presented in the captions of the figures. Observe that for these values of the parameters ν , μ , and α we have $\beta_{2c} = 12$. In the figures are shown the 1-dimensional unstable manifolds of E_0 , which spiral to the equilibria E_{\pm} for



Figure 9: Phase portrait of system (1.1) near the equilibrium point E_0 for the parameter values $\nu = 3$, $\mu = -0.25$, $\alpha = 2$, and (a) $\beta = \beta_{1c} + 0.5 = 2.5625$; (b) $\beta = \beta_{1c} + 0.05 = 2.1125$. Time of integration is [5,350] for (a) [5,450] for (b). Initial condition is (0.001, -0.001, 0.001). Stepsize = 0.1. In both cases the point E_0 is a stable focus, but the convergence in the case (b) is slower than in (a) since the parameter β is closer to the critical value $\beta_{1c} = 2.0625$. Eigenvalues at E_0 are (a) $\lambda_1 = -2.624$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -0.062 \pm 0.853i$; (b) $\lambda_1 = -2.737$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -0.006 \pm 0.760i$.



Figure 10: Limit cycle created in the Hopf bifurcation for system (1.1) at the point E_0 . The parameter values are $\nu = 3$, $\mu = -0.25$, $\alpha = 2$, and $\beta = \beta_{1c} - 0.1 = 1.9625$. Time of integration is [450,750] in (a) and [900,950] in (b). Initial condition is (0.001, -0.001, 0.001). Stepsize = 0.1. The point E_0 is an unstable focus and a limit cycle arises around it. Eigenvalues at E_0 are $\lambda_1 = -2.774$, $\lambda_{2,3} = 0.012 \pm 0.728i$.

 $\beta > \beta_{2c}$. Observe that when the parameter β tends to the critical value $\beta = \beta_{2c}$ the spiralling behavior of the solutions becomes slower (Figure 12(b)) ; for $\beta < \beta_{2c}$ the unstable manifolds spiral to the symmetric limit cycles arise in the Hopf bifurcation for $\beta = \beta_{2c}$ (Figure 13).

We observe that when the parameter β moves away from the critical value β_{2c} , the numerical simulations performed suggest that firstly a duplication of period occurs with the limit cycles and then two double symmetric homoclinic loops to the origin E_0 appear (see Figure 14). After this, a strange attractor seems to exist near these homoclinic loops (Figure 15). This is one of the mechanisms through which system (1.1) enters into chaotic regime. Observe that it begins with the creation of the limit cycles in the Hopf bifurcations which takes place at the points E_{\pm} for the critical value of parameter $\beta = \beta_{2c}$. For the case $\alpha = 1$ another mechanism giving rise to chaotic attractor is described in [5].



Figure 11: Limit cycle created in the Hopf bifurcation for system (1.1) at the point E_0 . The parameter values are $\nu = 3$, $\mu = -0.25$, $\alpha = 2$, and $\beta = \beta_{1c} - 1 = 1.0625$ in (a); $\beta = \beta_{1c} - 2 = 0.0625$ in (b). Time of integration is [0,150] in (a) and [0,100] in (b). Initial condition is (0.001, -0.001, 0.001). Stepsize = 0.1. As the parameter β stay away from the critical value β_{1c} the solutions tend faster to the limit cycle. Eigenvalues at E_0 are (a) $\lambda_1 = -2.985$, $\lambda_{2,3} = 0.117 \pm 0.502i$; (b) $\lambda_1 = -3.203$, $\lambda_2 = 0.418$, $\lambda_3 = 0.034$.



Figure 12: Phase portraits of system (1.1) before the Hopf bifurcation at the points E_{\pm} . The parameter values are $\nu = 10$, $\mu = 0.1$, $\alpha = 2$, and $\beta = \beta_{2c} + 0.8 = 12.8$ in (a); $\beta = \beta_{2c} + 0.2 = 12.2$ in (b). Time of integration is [-4.5, 50] for (a); [-4.5, 270] for (b). Initial conditions are (0.0001, 0, 0.0001) and (-0.0001, 0, -0.0001). Stepsize = 0.05. Eigenvalues at E_0 are (a) $\lambda_1 = 1.951$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -1.475 \pm 2.093i$; (b) $\lambda_1 = 1.987$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -1.493 \pm 1.976i$. Eigenvalues at E_{\pm} are (a) $\lambda_1 = -3.928$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -0.035 \pm 2.552i$; (b) $\lambda_1 = -3.981$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -0.009 \pm 2.475i$.

5.3. Bifurcation at the Critical Point Q_1 in the Parameter Space

As stated in Theorem 4.6, the most complex Hopf bifurcation at the points E_{\pm} occurs at the point $Q_1 = (\mu, \nu, \beta_{2c})$ in the parameter space, when we consider $\alpha = 2$ fixed. In this subsection we present some numerical simulations of the solutions of system (1.1) for (μ, ν, β) near and at the point $Q_1 = (\mu_{Q_1}, \nu_{Q_1}, \beta_{Q_1}) = (0.015289525, 4.036345241, 4.023353871)$. In Figure 16 we show an approximation of the unstable manifolds of the equilibrium E_0 . These manifolds spiral to the equilibria E_{\pm} if $\beta > \beta_{Q_1} = 4.023353871$ and this spiralling behavior become slower as β tends to $\beta_{Q_1} = \beta_{2c}$ from above (for $\mu = \mu_{Q_1}$ and $\nu = \nu_{Q_1}$ fixed).

For (μ, α, β) at the point Q_1 (see Theorem 4.6) the system presents a highly degenerate behavior. In fact, due to the vanishing of the first and second Lyapunov coefficients, l_1 and l_2 , the solutions near the equilibria E_{\pm} behave like periodic solutions, for a long time of



Figure 13: Phase portraits of system (1.1) after the Hopf bifurcation at the points E_{\pm} . The parameter values are $\nu = 10$, $\mu = 0.1$, $\alpha = 2$, and $\beta = \beta_{2c} - 0.2 = 11.8$. The equilibria become unstable and two symmetric limit cycles arise. Time of integration is [-4.5, 270] for (a); [500, 550] for (b). Initial conditions are (0.0001, 0, 0.0001), (-0.0001, 0, -0.0001), (0.5, 0.01, 0.5), and (-0.5, 0.01, -0.5) for (a); (0.0001, 0, 0.0001) and (-0.0001, 0, -0.0001) for (b). Stepsize = 0.05. Eigenvalues at E_0 are $\lambda_1 = 2.012$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -1.506 \pm 1.895i$. Eigenvalues at E_{\pm} are $\lambda_1 = -4.018$, $\lambda_{2,3} = 0.009 \pm 2.423i$.



Figure 14: (a) Period doubling bifurcation for the limit cycle created in the Hopf bifurcation at the point E_{\pm} . The parameter values are $\nu = 10$, $\mu = 0.1$, $\alpha = 2$, and $\beta = \beta_{2c} - 1.13 = 10.87$. (b) Double symmetric homoclinic loop to the origin. Parameter values $\nu = 10$, $\mu = 0.1$, $\alpha = 2$, and $\beta = \beta_{2c} - 1.214322 = 10.785678$. Initial conditions are (0.0001, 0, 0.0001) and (-0.0001, 0, -0.0001). Eigenvalues at E_0 are (a) $\lambda_1 = 2.073$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -1.536\pm 1.697i$; (b) $\lambda_1 = 2.079$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -1.539\pm 1.678i$. Eigenvalues at E_{\pm} are (a) $\lambda_1 = -4.104$, $\lambda_{2,3} = 0.052\pm 2.300i$; (b) $\lambda_1 = -4.111$, $\lambda_{2,3} = 0.055\pm 2.289i$.

integration. This behavior is shown in Figure 17. Although we have proved theoretically the instability of the equilibria E_{\pm} in Theorem 4.6, since $l_3(Q_1) > 0$, the solutions move away from these points very slowly, with repeller rate as 10^{-9} . Then, it is not detected numerically and the solutions near the equilibria E_{\pm} seem to be periodic as shown in Figure 17. In addition there is also a "big" periodic orbit encircling the equilibria E_{\pm} . It would be very interesting to observe how the real electronic circuit behave for the parameter values at the bifurcation point Q_1 .

For $\beta < \beta_{Q_1}$, the equilibria become unstable and the solutions starting near them tend to a "big" periodic orbit (see Figure 18), which seems to be the unique attractor of the system in this case.



Figure 15: Strange attractor created after the existence of the double homoclinic loop shown in Figure 14. Parameter values are $\nu = 10$, $\mu = 0.1$, $\alpha = 2$, and $\beta = \beta_{2c} - 1.743 = 10.257$. Initial conditions are (0.0001, 0, 0.0001) and (-0.0001, 0, -0.0001). Eigenvalues at E_0 are $\lambda_1 = 2.115$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -1.557 \pm 1.556i$; eigenvalues at E_{\pm} are $\lambda_1 = -4.161$, $\lambda_{2,3} = 0.080 \pm 2.218i$.



Figure 16: Phase portraits of system (1.1) before the Hopf bifurcation at the points E_{\pm} . The parameter values are near the point Q_1 of Theorem 4.6 in the parameter space: $\mu = \mu_{Q_1} = 0.015289525$, $\nu = \nu_{Q_1} = 4.036345241$, and $\beta = \beta_Q + 0.5 = 4.523353871$ in (a); $\beta = \beta_Q + 0.01 = 4.033353871$ in (b). Time of integration is [-4, 50] (a); [-4, 1380] (b). Initial conditions are (0.001, 0, 0.001) and (-0.001, 0, -0.001). Stepsize = 0.01. Eigenvalues at E_0 are (a) $\lambda_1 = 0.280$, $\lambda_2 = -1.602$, $\lambda_3 = -0.617$; (b) $\lambda_1 = 0.357$, $\lambda_2 = -1.937$, $\lambda_3 = -0.358$. Eigenvalues at E_{\pm} are (a) $\lambda_1 = -1.891$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -0.115 \pm 0.530i$; (b) $\lambda_1 = -2.119$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -0.002 \pm 0.484i$.

6. Concluding Remarks

In this paper we analyze the Lyapunov stability of the equilibria E_0 and E_{\pm} of a Van der Pol-Duffing system with a parallel resistor given by (1.1). Through these analyses we obtain the hypersurfaces in the 4-dimensional parameter space for which the system presents Hopf bifurcations at these equilibria (Figure 2). Then we make an extension of the analysis to the more degenerate cases, happening in the locus on the Hopf hypersurfaces where the Lyapunov coefficients associated to the Hopf bifurcations vanish. The bifurcation analysis at E_0 (resp., E_{\pm}) is pushed forward to the calculation of the second (resp., second and third)



Figure 17: Phase portrait of system (1.1) for the parameters at the point $Q1 = (\mu_{Q_1}, \nu_{Q_1}, \beta_{Q_1})$ of Theorem 4.6 in the parameter space: (μ, ν, β) . The solutions near the equilibria E_{\pm} behave like periodic solutions. Time of integration is [10500, 12500]. There are several initial conditions. Stepsize = 0.1. There is also a "big" periodic orbit encircling the equilibria E_{\pm} . Eigenvalues at E_0 are $\lambda_1 = 0.359$, $\lambda_2 = -1.942$, $\lambda_3 = -0.355$. Eigenvalues at E_{\pm} are $\lambda_1 = -2.123$, $\lambda_{2,3} = -0.312 \times 10^{-10} \pm 0.483i \approx \pm 0.483i$.



Figure 18: Phase portrait of system (1.1) for $\mu = \mu_{Q_1}$, $\nu = \nu_{Q_1}$, and $\beta = 3.973353871 < \beta_{Q_1}$. The three equilibria are unstable and there exists a "big" stable periodic orbit encircling them. Eigenvalues at E_0 are $\lambda_1 = 0.368$, $\lambda_2 = -1.969$, $\lambda_3 = -0.337$. Eigenvalues at E_{\pm} are $\lambda_1 = -2.144$, $\lambda_{2,3} = 0.010 \pm 0.478i$.

Lyapunov coefficients, which makes possible the determination of the Lyapunov stability at the equilibria as well as the largest number of small periodic orbits bifurcating of these points.

With the analytic data provided in the analysis performed here, the bifurcation diagrams are established. Figures 3, 4, and 8 provide a qualitative synthesis of the dynamical conclusions achieved for the parameter values where system (1.1) has the most complex equilibria. For parameters inside the open "tongue" (see Figures 7 and 8) there are one attracting periodic orbit and two other unstable periodic orbits coexisting with the attracting equilibrium points E_{\pm} .

The calculations of the Lyapunov coefficients, being extensive, rely on Computer Algebra and numerical evaluations carried out with the software MATHEMATICA 5 [18]. In

the website [17] have been posted the main steps of the calculations in the form of notebooks for MATHEMATICA 5 as well as in pdf format.

Moreover numerical simulations were performed for several values of the parameters, which illustrate and corroborate some of the analytical results stated. The most significant phase portraits are shown in Section 6. Some global phenomena suggested by the numerical analysis performed, as the existence of strange attractors and a double symmetric homoclinic loop for system (1.1), are also briefly commented in Section 6. Although it is out of the main purpose of this note, we have included it here because the existence of these attractors is in some sense related to the Hopf bifurcations which occur at the equilibria.

Acknowledgments

The first author is supported by CAPES. The second author developed this work under the Project CNPq 473747/2006-5. The third author is partially supported by CNPq under the Project 478544/2007-3. The authors also would like to thank the financial support from PROINTER/PROPe/UNESP and FUNDUNESP.

References

- [1] A. E. Matouk and H. N. Agiza, "Bifurcations, chaos and synchronization in ADVP circuit with parallel resistor," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 341, no. 1, pp. 259–269, 2008.
- [2] L. O. Chua, "Chua's circuit: an overview ten years later," Journal of Circuits, Systems and Computers, vol. 4, pp. 117–159, 1994.
- [3] G. J. Fodjouong, H. B. Fotsin, and P. Woafo, "Synchronizing modified van der Pol-Duffing oscillators with offset terms using observer design: application to secure communications," *Physica Scripta*, vol. 75, no. 5, pp. 638–644, 2007.
- [4] K. Murali and M. Lakshmanan, "Transmission of signals by synchronization in a chaotic van der Pol-Duffing oscillator," *Physical Review E*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. R1624–R1626, 1993.
- [5] M. Messias, D. C. Braga, and L. F. Mello, "Degenerate Hopf bifurcations in Chua's system," International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 497–515, 2009.
- [6] J. L. Moiola and L. O. Chua, "Hopf bifurcations and degeneracies in Chua's circuit—a perspective from a frequency domain approach," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 295–303, 1999.
- [7] I. D. Hsü and N. D. Kazarinoff, "Existence and stability of periodic solutions of a third-order nonlinear autonomous system simulating immune response in animals," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A*, vol. 77, no. 1-2, pp. 163–175, 1977.
- [8] Y. A. Kuznetsov, Elements of Applied Bifurcation Theory, vol. 112 of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 3rd edition, 2004.
- [9] J. Sotomayor, L. F. Mello, and D. C. Braga, "Bifurcation analysis of the Watt governor system," Computational & Applied Mathematics, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 19–44, 2007.
- [10] J. Sotomayor, L. F. Mello, and D. C. Braga, "Lyapunov coefficients for degenerate Hopf bifurcations," http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.3949.
- [11] L. S. Pontryagin, Ordinary Differential Equations, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, USA, 1962.
- [12] A. Algaba, E. Freire, E. Gamero, and A. J. Rodríguez-Luis, "On the Takens-Bogdanov bifurcation in the Chua's equation," *IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences*, vol. E82-A, no. 9, pp. 1722–1728, 1999.
- [13] A. A. Andronov, E. A. Leontovich, I. I. Gordon, and A. G. Maĭer, *Theory of Bifurcations of Dynamic Systems on a Plane*, Halsted Press, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1973.
- [14] A. Gasull and J. Torregrosa, "A new approach to the computation of the Lyapunov constants," Computational & Applied Mathematics, vol. 20, no. 1-2, pp. 149–177, 2001.
- [15] F. Fernández-Sánchez, E. Freire, and A. J. Rodríguez-Luis, "Analysis of the T-point-Hopf bifurcation," *Physica D*, vol. 237, no. 3, pp. 292–305, 2008.
- [16] F. Takens, "Unfoldings of certain singularities of vectorfields: generalized Hopf bifurcations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 14, pp. 476–493, 1973.

- [17] Site with the files used in computer assited arguments in this work, http://www4.fct.unesp.br/ dmec/marcelo/ADVP.
- [18] S. Wolfram, The Mathematica Book, Wolfram Media, Champaign, Ill, USA, 5th edition, 1999.
- [19] J. Stoer and R. Bulirsch, Introduction to Numerical Analysis, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1980.
- [20] M. Belhaq, M. Houssni, E. Freire, and A. J. Rodríguez-Luis, "Asymptotics of homoclinic bifurcation in a three-dimensional system," *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 135–155, 2000.
- [21] M. Belhaq and F. Lakrad, "Analytics of homoclinic bifurcations in three-dimensional systems," International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 2479–2486, 2002.
- [22] M. W. Hirsch, S. Smale, and R. L. Devaney, Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems, and an Introduction to Chaos, vol. 60 of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Elsevier, San Diego, Calif, USA, 2nd edition, 2004.



Advances in **Operations Research**



The Scientific World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society



International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences





Journal of **Function Spaces**



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

