Hindawi Publishing Corporation The Scientific World Journal Volume 2014, Article ID 946394, 7 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/946394



# Research Article

# Molecular Characterization of Enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* O157 Isolated from Animal Fecal and Food Samples in Eastern China

# Shaohui Wang, Shuxiao Zhang, Zhe Liu, Pingping Liu, Zixue Shi, Jianchao Wei, Donghua Shao, Beibei Li, and Zhiyong Ma

Shanghai Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shanghai 200241, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhiyong Ma; zhiyongma@shvri.ac.cn

Received 14 February 2014; Revised 19 May 2014; Accepted 19 May 2014; Published 4 June 2014

Academic Editor: Dongsheng Zhou

Copyright © 2014 Shaohui Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Objective.* To elucidate the extent of food contamination by enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC) O157 in Eastern China. *Methods.* A total of 1100 food and animal fecal samples were screened for EHEC O157. Then, molecular characterization of each isolate was determined. *Results.* EHEC O157 was isolated as follows: pig feces, 4% (20/500); cattle feces, 3.3% (2/60); chicken feces, 1.43% (2/140); pork, 2.14% (3/140), milk, 1.67% (1/60); and chicken meat, 1.67% (1/60). The *stx1, stx2, eae*, and *hlyA* genes were present in 26.7% (8/30), 40% (12/30), 63.3% (19/30), and 50% (15/30) of the O157 isolates, respectively. Molecular typing showed that strains from fecal and food samples were clustered into the same molecular typing group. Furthermore, the isolates from pork and pig feces possessed the same characterization as the clinical strains ATCC35150 and ATCC43889. Biofilm formation assays showed that 53.3% of the EHEC O157 isolates could produce biofilm. However, composite analyses showed that biofilm formation of EHEC O157 was independent of genetic background. *Conclusions.* Animal feces, especially from pigs, serve as reservoirs for food contamination by EHEC O157. Thus, it is important to control contamination by EHEC O157 on farms and in abattoirs to reduce the incidence of foodborne infections in humans.

# 1. Introduction

Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC) is an important emerging zoonotic foodborne pathogen that can cause watery and/or bloody diarrhea, hemorrhagic colitis (HC), hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS), and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP). In humans, EHEC O157 is recognized as a major etiological agent of these diseases [1–3]. Shiga toxin, intimin, and enterohemolysin are key virulence factors for the pathogenesis of O157 and other EHEC strains, including the 2011 O104:H4 outbreak in Germany [1, 4, 5]. Biofilm is composed of surface-bound microbes enclosed in an amorphous extracellular matrix [6], which is often an assembly of exopolysaccharides, proteins, and nucleic acids [7]. Residence in a biofilm community offers certain advantages to bacteria, such as enhanced resistance to the environmental stresses.

Food contamination has been identified as a potential source of pathogenic EHEC O157 transmission in humans [8, 9]. Furthermore, the major animal reservoirs of EHEC O157 are primarily cattle, followed by sheep, goats, pigs, and chickens [10, 11]. Molecular typing methods, such as randomamplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) fingerprinting, multilocus sequence typing (MLST), and phylogenetic typing, are useful in determining the relatedness of pathogens in disease outbreak investigations by public health laboratories [12–18].

Although the prevalence of EHEC O157 in animal feces in Eastern China has been reported [11], comparative analysis of EHEC O157 in animal feces and food samples remains limited. Thus, the aim of this study was to detect and characterize EHEC O157 isolates from animal fecal and food samples in Eastern China to elucidate the extent of food contamination by animal feces.

## 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions. The EHEC O157:H7 reference strains ATCC35150 and ATCC43889 were used as positive controls for amplification by polymerase

Primers	Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Annealing temperature/°C	Product size/bp	Reference
rfbEO157-F	AAGATTGCGCTGAAGCCTTTG	55	407	[10]
rfbEO157-R	CATTGGCATCGTGTGGACAG	35	497	[19]
<i>stx1</i> -F	TGTCGCATAGTGGAACCTCA	53	655	[20]
<i>stx1</i> -R	TGCGCACTGAGAAGAAGAAGA	35	055	[20]
<i>stx2</i> -F	CCATGACAACGGACAGCAGTT	53	780	This study
<i>stx2</i> -R	CCTGTCAACTGAGCACTTTG	35	780	This study
eae-F	GGTGAAACTGTTGCCGATCT	50	1392	This study
eae-R	TTGCCATTACGGTCATAGGCG	50	1302	This study
hlyA-F	ACGATGTGGTTTATTCTGGA	50	167	This study
hlyA-R	CTTCACGTCACCATACATAT	50	107	iiis study

TABLE 1: Primers for detection of virulence genes in EHEC O157 isolates.

chain reaction (PCR) and molecular typing. All *E. coli* cells were routinely grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth at  $37^{\circ}$ C with aeration and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C in 20% glycerol for further use.

2.2. Isolation and Identification of EHEC O157. In the present study, a total of 1100 samples, including animal fecal samples (n = 800) and food samples (n = 300), which were collected once per month from July 2010 to July 2012 in Eastern China (Shanghai, Jiangsu, and Zhejiang), were used for analysis. Isolation and identification of EHEC O157 were performed according to a procedure using enrichment methods and confirmed by PCR. Briefly, preliminary identification of O157 strains was obtained through phenotype characterization using sorbitol-MacConkey agar. Isolates were confirmed by PCR for the O157 lipopolysaccharide O-antigen synthesis gene *rfbE* (Table 1) and serotyping was performed using an agglutination assay with anti-O157 sera.

*2.3. Virulence Genes Detection.* The EHEC O157 virulence genes *stx1, stx2, hlyA*, and *eae* were assessed by PCR. Descriptions of the targeted genes and primer sequences are listed in Table 1.

2.4. Quantification of Biofilm Formation. Biofilm formation assays were performed according to the methods described in a previous report [21] with some modifications. Briefly, strains were grown to the stationary phase in LB broth at 37°C and then diluted to 1:100 in LB broth without salt (LB-NS). A 200 µL aliquot of each dilution was dispensed into individual wells of a microtiter plate. Wells with sterile LB medium served as blank controls. After incubation for 24 h at 37°C, the cultured medium was removed and the wells were washed twice with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove planktonic bacteria. The biofilms grown in the microtiter plate were stained with crystal violet (1%, w/v) at room temperature for 1 h. After removing the crystal violet solution, the wells were washed five times with PBS to remove unbound dye. Then, the plates were air dried and filled with  $200\,\mu\text{L}$  of 95% ethanol to solubilize the dye from the cells. Finally, the optical density (OD) of the stained adherent bacteria was determined at 595 nm using a microplate reader

(BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA). All tests were performed three times and the results were averaged.

Strains were classified as non-biofilm producers, weak biofilm producers, moderate biofilm producers, or strong biofilm producers based on  $OD_{595}$  measurements of bacterial biofilms in accordance with previously described criteria [22]. Briefly, OD values produced by bacterial biofilms were compared to a cut-off OD value (ODc, three standard deviations above the mean OD of a blank test) and the strains were classified as follows: no biofilm production (OD < ODc), weak biofilm producer (ODc < OD < 2 ODc), moderate biofilm producer (2 ODc < OD < 4 ODc), and strong biofilm producer (4 ODc < OD).

2.5. *Phylogenetic Typing. E. coli* is classified to four main phylogenetic groups (A, Bl, B2, and D) according to the combination of two genes (*chuA* and *yjaA*) and an anonymous DNA fragment (TSPE4.C2) as described previously [22]. Thus, EHEC O157 isolates were assigned to phylogenetic groups by triplex-PCR amplification of *chuA* and *yjaA*, and the DNA fragment TSPE4.C2.

2.6. Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST). All EHEC O157 strains were assigned to multilocus sequence types (STs) as described previously [23] for the amplification of seven house-keeping genes (*adk*, *fumC*, *gyrB*, *icd*, *mdh*, *purA*, and *recA*). Briefly, the housekeeping genes of each strain were amplified, sequenced, and aligned against allele templates of *E. coli* retrieved from an online database (http://www.mlst .net/).

2.7. Random-Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) Fingerprinting. Based on the results obtained in preliminary experiments, only one primer, RAPD-2 (5'-TGCCCAGCCT-3'), was used for RAPD-PCR analysis of the EHEC O157 strains. Amplification reactions were performed in a 20  $\mu$ L volume containing 10  $\mu$ L of 2 × PCR Master Mix, 1.0  $\mu$ L of primer (10  $\mu$ M), 1.0  $\mu$ L of EHEC O157 DNA, and 8  $\mu$ L of sterile ddH<sub>2</sub>O. The RAPD-PCR reaction comprised the following steps: predenaturation at 95°C for 2 min, 35 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 36°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 2 min, followed by a final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min.

## 3. Results

*3.1. Prevalence of EHEC O157.* To compare the prevalence of EHEC O157 in animal fecal and food samples, 1100 isolates were characterized using selective media, PCR, and an anti-O157 sera agglutination assay. Of these, 30 (2.73%) EHEC O157 strains were successfully isolated from the obtained samples. As shown in Table 2, the proportion of EHEC O157 isolates was higher in animal feces (3.13%, 25/800) than food samples (1.67%, 5/300). In fecal samples, EHEC O157 was detected in 4% (20/500) of the pig samples, 3.3% (2/60) of the cattle samples, 1.43% (2/140) of the chicken samples, and 1% (1/100) of the duck samples. The prevalence of EHEC O157 in pork was 2.14% (3/140) and 1.67% (1/60) in both milk and chicken, respectively.

3.2. Distribution of Virulence Genes in EHEC O157. EHEC O157 isolates were screened for the presence of virulence genes. The distribution and combinations of virulence genes for each isolate are shown in Table 3. Ten virulence gene genotypes (G1–G10) were identified. The *stx1* and *stx2* genes were detected in 8 (26.7%) and 12 (40%) isolates, respectively. The *eae* and *hlyA* genes were present in 63.3% (19/30) and 50% (15/30) of the O157 isolates, respectively. Moreover, 7 (23.3%) isolates harbored the *eae* gene alone, which was the predominant genotype (G1). Five (16.7%) strains harbored all four virulence genes simultaneously (G3), which were all isolated from pig feces and pork samples. Generally, the prevalence of these genes in pig feces and pork was higher than in other samples.

3.3. Biofilm Formation. The ability of EHEC O157 isolates to form biofilm in polystyrene microtiter plates was assessed according to  $OD_{595}$  values. The results indicated that biofilm formation occurred in 16 (53.3%) of the EHEC O157 strains after a 24 h incubation at 37°C (Figure 1, Table 3). Among these strains, 20% (6/30) were strong biofilm producers, 13.3% (4/30) were moderate biofilm producers, and 20% (6/30) were weak biofilm producers, respectively.

3.4. Molecular Typing of EHEC O157. Of the individual typing techniques used in this study, phylogenetic typing showed that most of the O157 isolates belonged to group D (70%). Only 16.7% (5/30) of the strains belonged to group A, 10% (3/30) to B2, and 3.3% (1/30) to B1 (Table 3). Thus, EHEC O157 was strongly associated with phylogenetic group D.

Among 30 EHEC O157 isolates analyzed by MLST, 27 strains generated sequence tracings acceptable for an ST number available from the online MLST database (http:// www.mlst.net/). Overall, the seven-gene MLST scheme defined nine sequence types, with one ST (ST 11) encompassing 33.3% (10/30) of the EHEC O157 isolates in this study, which were the same as the reference strains ATCC35150 and ATCC43889. The second most common sequence type was ST 88, which accounted for 16.7% (5/30) of the isolates, followed by ST 641 (13.3%, 4/30) and ST 117 (10%, 3/30) (Table 3).

TABLE 2: Number of *E. coli* O157 identified from samples obtained from different sources.

Source	Number of samples	Number of <i>E. coli</i> O157	Percentage
Feces	800	25	3.13%
Pigs	500	20	4%
Cattle	60	2	3.3%
Chicken	140	2	1.43%
Duck	100	1	1%
Foods	300	5	1.67%
Pork	140	3	2.14%
Beef	20	0	0
Milk	60	1	1.67%
Chicken	60	1	1.67%
Duck	20	0	0
Total	1100	30	2.73%

RAPD analysis showed that the isolates formed 10 RAPD types (R1–R10) with bands ranging from approximately 200 to 2100 bp. A total of 9 (30%) EHEC O157 isolates were grouped into RAPD type R1. RAPD types R2, R3, and R4 were composed of 7 (23.3%), 4 (13.3%), and 4 (13.3%) isolates, respectively. The other RAPD types, R5–10, were composed of a single isolate. The predominant RAPD types were EHEC O157 from pig feces and pork samples (Table 3).

#### 4. Discussion

EHEC O157 is an important emerging zoonotic foodborne pathogen that can cause gastroenteritis often complicated by HC or HUS in humans [1–3]. Food contaminated with EHEC O157 is a principal source of infection in humans [8, 9]. Thus, the aim of this study was to isolate and characterize EHEC O157 strains from animal feces and food samples to elucidate the extent of food contaminated by animal feces in Eastern China.

In the present study, EHEC O157 was successfully isolated from 2.73% (30/1100) of the tested animal feces and food samples. Among the 30 EHEC O157 isolates, 20 (66.7%) strains were isolated from pig feces, 3 (10%) from pork, 2 (6.67%) from cattle feces, 2 (6.67%) from chicken feces, and one each from duck feces, milk, and chicken meat, respectively. Reportedly, the proportion of EHEC O157 is often higher in pig feces and pork than in cattle feces and beef [24]. Although the number of EHEC O157 isolates in pig feces or pork was higher than in cattle feces or milk, the prevalence was similar in our investigation. Two (3.3%) of the 60 cattle fecal samples were positive for EHEC O157, but none of the beef samples were. One possible explanation for this phenomenon might be that the cattle fecal samples were collected from dairy cows used for milk production. The prevalence of EHEC O157 is dependent on various factors, including the hygienic conditions of the farms and abattoirs. Also, surrounding environments can impact the distribution of EHEC O157 in animal feces, food products, and water

-			Virule	ince genes			-			
Strains	stxI	stx2	hlyA	eae	Gene combinations	MLST	Phenotypic group	RAPD type	Biothlm formation	Source
ATCC35150	+	+	+	+	G3	ST11	D	R1	No	ATCC
ATCC43889	+	+	+	+	G3	STII	D	R1	No	ATCC
EHEC06	Ι	I	+	I	G2	ST11	D	RI	No	Pig feces
EHEC07	+	+	+	+	G3	STII	D	R1	Moderate	Pork
EHEC31	+	I	I	Ι	G3	ST11	D	R1	Weak	Pig feces
EHEC32	+	I	I	I	G5	ST11	D	R1	Strong	Pig feces
EHEC36	Ι	+	I	I	G6	ST11	D	RI	Strong	Pork
EHEC37	+	+	+	+	G3	ST11	D	R1	No	Pig feces
EHEC38	+	+	+	+	G3	ST11	D	R1	No	Pig feces
EHEC39	+	+	+	+	G3	ST11	D	R1	No	Pig feces
EHEC41	+	+	+	+	G3	ST11	D	R1	No	Pig feces
EHEC40	Ι	+	+	+	G4	ST11	D	R9	Strong	Pig feces
EHEC05	Ι	+	Ι	+	G7	ST88	Α	R2	No	Pig feces
EHEC46	Ι	+	Ι	I	G6	ST88	Α	R2	No	Pig feces
EHEC47	Ι	+	I	+	G7	ST88	Α	R2	No	Pig feces
EHEC09	Ι	I	+	I	G2	ST88	D	$\mathbb{R}^2$	Strong	Chicken feces
EHEC12	Ι	I	+	I	G2	ST88	D	$\mathbb{R}^2$	Moderate	Chicken
EHEC03	I	I	+	I	G2	ST641	D	R3	No	Chicken feces
EHEC25	I	I	+	I	G2	ST641	D	R3	No	Pork
EHEC43	I	I	I	+	G1	ST641	D	R3	No	Pig feces
EHEC45	I	I	I	+	G1	ST641	D	R3	No	Pig feces
EHEC16	I	I	I	+	GI	ST117	D	$\mathbb{R}4$	Weak	Cattle feces
EHEC18	I	+	+	+	G4	ST117	D	$\mathbb{R}4$	Weak	Pig feces
EHEC30	I	I	I	+	G1	ST117	D	$\mathbb{R}4$	Weak	Milk
EHEC44	I	I	I	+	G1	ST10	А	R10	No	Pig feces
EHEC02	+	I	I	+	G8	ST224	D	R2	Weak	Pig feces
EHEC15	I	I	+	I	G2	ST278	BI	R2	No	Cattle feces
EHEC28	I	I	I	I	G9	ST1114	B2	$\mathbb{R}4$	Moderate	Pig feces
EHEC27	I	I	I	+	G1	ST1602	А	R8	Strong	Pig feces
EHEC01	I	I	+	+	G19	Unknown	B2	R5	Moderate	Pig feces
EHEC08	I	+	+	+	G4	Unknown	B2	R6	Weak	Duck feces
EHEC26	1	I	I	+	G1	Unknown	D	R7	Strong	Pig feces

TABLE 3: Genotypic and phenotypic characteristics of EHEC OI57 isolates.

4



FIGURE 1: Biofilm formation by EHEC O157 isolates. Biofilm formation by EHEC O157 isolates on polypropylene microtiter plates following incubation for 24 h at 37°C in LB broth without salt (LB-NS). All experiments were repeated at least three times. The columns represent the mean  $\pm$  standard deviations of the data. Comparisons of the OD values produced by bacterial biofilms to the ODc values were used to classify the strains (non-biofilm producer, weak, moderate, or strong). The cut-off OD (ODc) value was defined as three standard deviations above the mean OD of a blank control. Strains were classified as follows: OD < ODc = no biofilm production; ODc < OD < (2 ODc) = weak biofilm producer; (2 ODc) < OD < (4 ODc) = moderate biofilm producer; and (4 ODc) < OD = strong biofilm producer.

sources worldwide [8, 10, 11, 25–27]. Similarly, the prevalence of EHEC O157 from different sources in different areas of China can also extensively vary [11, 28–30]. Compared to the findings of previous studies [29], the prevalence of EHEC O157 in pig and cattle feces were lower in Shanghai, which was likely due to improved hygienic conditions of farms in recent years. Moreover, our results showed that the proportion of EHEC O157 was higher in feces than in food and water samples, which was in accordance with the results of previous studies [10, 25, 26, 29, 31].

The pathogenesis of EHEC O157 is associated with several virulence factors, such as Shiga toxin 1 and 2 (encoded by stx1 and stx2, resp.), intimin (encoded by eae), and enterohemolysin (encoded by hlyA) [1, 4]. Shiga toxins inhibit protein synthesis resulting in the death of host cells and are key virulence factors of other Shiga toxin-producing E. coli infections, including the recent O104:H4 outbreak in Germany in 2011 [5]. Intimin is a type III secretion system effector protein that facilitates the intimate adherence of E. coli O157 cells to the intestinal epithelium. Enterohemolysin has been implicated as a causative agent of enterocyte effacement [1, 4]. Thus, the distribution of virulence genes in EHEC O157 isolates was screened by PCR analysis. Our results showed that more of the isolates harbored the stx2 gene than stx1 (40% versus 26.7%, resp.). A large proportion (63.3%) of the O157 isolates was positive for the eae gene, and 15 (50%) isolates harbored the hlyA gene. However, different distributions of these four virulence genes in EHECO157 were

observed by other researchers [25, 32], which might be due to the different sources and pathogenesis of *E. coli* collections. Composite analysis showed that these virulence genes were mostly prevalent in isolates from pig feces and pork than other samples. Similar results were also reported previously [31]. Among the genotypes, 5 (16.7%) isolates from pig feces and pork possessed a combination of all four virulence genes found in clinic strains ATCC35150 and ATCC43889.

Our findings indicated that the use of molecular typing provides valuable information that may also be useful in pinpointing sources of food contamination [14, 16, 33]. In the present study, three different methods, including phylogenetic typing, MLST, and RAPD fingerprinting, were used to analyze the relatedness of EHEC O157 strains isolated from fecal and food samples. Of the individual typing techniques used in this study, MLST and RAPD fingerprinting showed higher levels of discriminating power than phylogenetic typing. Moreover, the results of the MLST and RAPD fingerprinting techniques were similar. Results of phylogenetic typing showed that most (70%) of the O157 strains were classified as group D. Parallel findings, in which EHEC O157:H7 strains were almost exclusively group D, have been reported elsewhere [17, 18]. In this study, seven selected housekeeping genes were chosen to assess potential sequence diversity by MLST typing according to a protocol found at http://www.mlst.net/. The results showed that O157 isolates predominantly belonged to types ST11, ST88, ST641, and ST117. However, different ST types were obtained in

other studies, which were due to the selection of different housekeeping and virulence genes [3, 14–16].

Composite analysis of all three molecular typing methods revealed a relationship between the phylogenetic group and MLST and RAPD typing. For example, the largest cluster of MLST type (ST11) was comprised mainly of strains belonging to ECOR group D and RAPD type R1. In fact, all of the R1 type isolates were identified as ST11 and ECOR group D. Molecular characterization of EHEC O157 showed that the isolates from fecal and food samples were clustered into the same predominant group, indicating that animal feces might be a reservoir for EHEC O157. Thus, close monitoring of possible food contamination by EHEC O157 should be reinforced.

Biofilm formation aids in the survival of bacteria and enhances their ability to survival in environment. Thus, the ability of the EHEC O157 isolates to form biofilms was assessed in this study. The results indicated that biofilm formation occurred in 16 (53.3%) of the EHEC O157 strains after 24 h of incubation at 37°C. However, the composite analysis between molecular typing and biofilm formation revealed that biofilm formation of EHEC O157 was independent of the three molecular genetic typing methods used in this study, which was consistent with the conclusions of another study [17].

# 5. Conclusions

EHEC O157 was isolated from fecal and food samples and then characterized. The results showed that isolates from fecal and food samples harbored the same gene combinations. Moreover, these isolates were clustered into the same molecular typing group, indicating that animal feces are reservoirs of EHEC O157. Thus, it is important to control food contamination with EHEC O157 on farms and in abattoirs to reduce the incidence of foodborne infections in humans.

## **Conflict of Interests**

None of the authors had any conflict of interests in the writing of this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81201266) and The National Basic Fund for Institutes, which is supported by Shanghai Veterinary Research Institute (2013JB05). The authors wish to thank Mr. Qiuhua Zhao from the Minhang Center of Animal Disease Prevention and Control (Shanghai, China) for supplying the animal fecal samples.

# References

 C. L. Gyles, "Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*: an overview," *Journal of Animal Science*, vol. 85, no. 13, supplement, pp. E45–E62, 2007.

- [2] A. Sugiyama, Y. Iwade, S. Akachi et al., "An outbreak of Shigatoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in a nursery school in Mie Prefecture," *Japanese Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 398–400, 2005.
- [3] Y. Xiong, P. Wang, R. Lan et al., "A novel *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 clone causing a major hemolytic uremic syndrome outbreak in China," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 7, no. 4, Article ID e36144, 2012.
- [4] D. Law, "Virulence factors of *Escherichia coli* O157 and other Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli*," *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, vol. 88, no. 5, pp. 729–745, 2000.
- [5] C.-J. Wu, P.-R. Hsueh, and W.-C. Ko, "A new health threat in Europe: Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O104:H4 infections," *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 390–393, 2011.
- [6] R. M. Donlan and J. W. Costerton, "Biofilms: survival mechanisms of clinically relevant microorganisms," *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 167–193, 2002.
- [7] M. E. Davey and G. A. O'Toole, "Microbial biofilms: from ecology to molecular genetics," *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 847–867, 2000.
- [8] A. V. Tutenel, D. Pierard, J. Van Hoof, M. Cornelis, and L. De Zutter, "Isolation and molecular characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157 isolated from cattle, pigs and chickens at slaughter," *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 63– 69, 2003.
- [9] M. Maruzumi, M. Morita, Y. Matsuoka, A. Uekawa, T. Nakamura, and K. Fuji, "Mass food poisoning caused by beef offal contaminated by *Escherichia coli* O157," *Japanese Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 58, no. 6, p. 397, 2005.
- [10] W. A. Ferens and C. J. Hovde, "Escherichia coli O157:H7: animal reservoir and sources of human infection," Foodborne Pathogens and Disease, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 465–487, 2011.
- [11] Y. Yan, Y. Shi, D. Cao, X. Meng, L. Xia, and J. Sun, "Prevalence of Stx phages in environments of a pig farm and lysogenic infection of the field *E. coli* O157 isolates with a recombinant converting phage," *Current Microbiology*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 458– 464, 2011.
- [12] S. Radu, O. W. Ling, G. Rusul, M. I. A. Karim, and M. Nishibuchi, "Detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 by multiplex PCR and their characterization by plasmid profiling, antimicrobial resistance, RAPD and PFGE analyses," *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 131–139, 2001.
- [13] J.-Y. Kim, S.-H. Kim, N.-H. Kwon et al., "Isolation and identification of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 using different detection methods and molecular determination by multiplex PCR and RAPD," *Journal of Veterinary Science*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 7–19, 2005.
- [14] S. Rajkhowa, J. Scaria, D. L. Garcia, K. A. Musser, B. L. Akey, and Y.-F. Chang, "Analysis of *Escherichia coli* O157 clinical isolates by multilocus sequence typing," *BMC Research Notes*, vol. 3, article 343, 2010.
- [15] X. W. Ji, Y. L. Liao, Y. F. Zhu et al., "Multilocus sequence typing and virulence factors analysis of *Escherichia coli* O157 strains in China," *Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 849–855, 2010.
- [16] A. C. Noller, M. C. McEllistrem, O. C. Stine et al., "Multilocus sequence typing reveals a lack of diversity among *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolates that are distinct by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 675–679, 2003.
- [17] F. T. Biscola, C. M. Abe, and B. E. C. Guth, "Determination of adhesin gene sequences in, and biofilm formation by, O157

and non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from different sources," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 77, no. 7, pp. 2201–2208, 2011.

- [18] J. R. Johnson, K. L. Owens, C. R. Clabots, S. J. Weissman, and S. B. Cannon, "Phylogenetic relationships among clonal groups of extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* as assessed by multilocus sequence analysis," *Microbes and Infection*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 1702–1713, 2006.
- [19] S. Sánchez, R. Martínez, A. García et al., "Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from extensive cattle of the fighting bulls breed," *Research in Veterinary Science*, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. 208–210, 2010.
- [20] J. Bai, X. Shi, and T. G. Nagaraja, "A multiplex PCR procedure for the detection of six major virulence genes in *Escherichia coli* O157:H7," *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 85–89, 2010.
- [21] S. Wang, C. Niu, Z. Shi et al., "Effects of ibeA deletion on virulence and biofilm formation of avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli*," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 279–287, 2011.
- [22] O. Clermont, S. Bonacorsi, and E. Bingen, "Rapid and simple determination of the *Escherichia coli* phylogenetic group," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 4555–4558, 2000.
- [23] T. Wirth, D. Falush, R. Lan et al., "Sex and virulence in *Escherichia coli*: an evolutionary perspective," *Molecular Microbiology*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 1136–1151, 2006.
- [24] S.-K. Lim, H.-S. Lee, H.-M. Nam et al., "Antimicrobial resistance observed in *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from fecal samples of cattle and pigs in Korea during 2003-2004," *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, vol. 116, no. 2, pp. 283–286, 2007.
- [25] C. N. Ateba and M. Mbewe, "Detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 virulence genes in isolates from beef, pork, water, human and animal species in the northwest province, South Africa: public health implications," *Research in Microbiology*, vol. 162, no. 3, pp. 240–248, 2011.
- [26] Y. Sasaki, Y. Tsujiyama, M. Kusukawa, M. M. S. Katayama, and Y. Yamada, "Prevalence and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 and O26 in beef farms," *Veterinary Microbiology*, vol. 150, no. 1-2, pp. 140–145, 2011.
- [27] J. Madic, N. Vingadassalon, C. P. de Garam et al., "Detection of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* serotypes O26:H11, O103:H2, O111:H8, O145:H28, and O157:H7 in raw-milk cheeses by using multiplex real-time PCR," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 77, no. 6, pp. 2035–2041, 2011.
- [28] Z. Zhou, Y. Nishikawa, P. Zhu et al., "Isolation and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from beef, pork and cattle fecal samples in Changchun, China," *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*, vol. 64, no. 11, pp. 1041– 1044, 2002.
- [29] J. Wang, L.-P. Shen, P.-H. Liu et al., "Study on *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 among animal and animal's products in Shanghai," *Progress in Veterinary Medicine*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 87–90, 2005.
- [30] J.-G. Xu, B.-K. Cheng, and H.-Q. Jing, "Escherichia coli O157: H7 and Shiga-like-toxin-producing Escherichia coli in China," World Journal of Gastroenterology, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 191–194, 1999.
- [31] C. N. Ateba and C. C. Bezuidenhout, "Characterisation of Escherichia coli O157 strains from humans, cattle and pigs in the North-West Province, South Africa," *International Journal* of Food Microbiology, vol. 128, no. 2, pp. 181–188, 2008.
- [32] E. Şeker, Y. Kuyucuoğlu, B. Sareyyüpoğlu, and H. YardiMci, "PCR detection of shiga toxins, enterohaemolysin and intimin

virulence genes of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 strains isolated from faeces of anatolian water buffaloes in Turkey," *Zoonoses and Public Health*, vol. 57, no. 7-8, pp. e33–e37, 2010.

[33] B. Swaminathan, T. J. Barrett, S. B. Hunter, and R. V. Tauxe, "PulseNet: the molecular subtyping network for foodborne bacterial disease surveillance, United States," *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 382–389, 2001.



International Journal of

Microbiology





Veterinary Medicine International



The Scientific World Journal













International Journal of Genomics



International Journal of Cell Biology



Case Reports in Veterinary Medicine



Journal of Parasitology Research







International Journal of Evolutionary Biology



Applied & Environmental Soil Science