Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Volume 2012, Article ID 204031, 11 pages
doi:10.1155/2012/204031

Research Article

More on (α, β)-Normal Operators in Hilbert Spaces

Rasoul Eskandari, Farzollah Mirzapour, and Ali Morassaei

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Zanjan, Zanjan 45195-313, Iran

Correspondence should be addressed to Ali Morassaei, morassaei@znu.ac.ir

Received 18 November 2011; Revised 3 July 2012; Accepted 20 July 2012

Academic Editor: Gerd Teschke

We study some properties of (α, β)-normal operators and we present various inequalities between the operator norm and the numerical radius of (α, β)-normal operators on Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded linear operators $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is Hilbert space.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a complex Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, $\mathcal{B}_h(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of all self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and I is the identity operator. In case of $\dim \mathcal{H} = n$, we identify $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the full matrix algebra $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries in the complex field. An operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_h(\mathcal{H})$ is called positive if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ is valid for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$, and then we write $A \geq 0$. Moreover, by $A > 0$ we mean $\langle Ax, x \rangle > 0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$. For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_h(\mathcal{H})$, we say $A \leq B$ if $B - A \geq 0$. An operator A is majorized by B, if there exists a constant λ such that $\|Ax\| \leq \lambda \|Bx\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ or equivalently $A^* A \leq \lambda^2 B^* B$ [1].

For real numbers α and β with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \leq \beta$, an operator T acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is called (α, β)-*normal* [2, 3] if

$$\alpha^2 T^* T \leq TT^* \leq \beta^2 T^* T. \tag{1.1}$$

An immediate consequence of above definition is

$$\alpha^2 \langle T^* T x, x \rangle \leq \langle TT^* x, x \rangle \leq \beta^2 \langle T^* T x, x \rangle, \tag{1.2}$$

from which we obtain

$$\alpha\|Tx\| \leq \|T^*x\| \leq \beta\|Tx\|, \tag{1.3}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Notice that, according to (1.1), if T is (α,β)-normal operator, then T and T^* majorize each other.

In [3], Moslehian posed two problems about (α,β)-normal operators as follows.

For fixed $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \neq 1$,

(i) give an example of an (α,β)-normal operator which is neither normal nor hyponormal;

(ii) is there any nice relation between norm, numerical radius, and spectral radius of an (α,β)-normal operator?

Dragomir and Moslehian answered these problems in [2], as more as, they propounded a nice example of (α,β)-normal operator that is neither normal nor hyponormal, as follows.

The matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is an (α,β)-normal with $\alpha = \sqrt{(3-\sqrt{5})/2}$ and $\beta = \sqrt{(3+\sqrt{5})/2}$.

The *numerical radius* $w(T)$ of an operator T on $\mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$w(T) = \sup\{|\langle Tx, x\rangle| : \|x\| = 1\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Obviously, by (1.4), for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$|\langle Tx, x\rangle| \leq w(T)\|x\|^2. \tag{1.5}$$

It is well known that $w(\cdot)$ is a norm on the Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded linear operators. Moreover, we have

$$w(T) \leq \|T\| \leq 2w(T) \quad (T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})). \tag{1.6}$$

For other results and historical comments on the numerical radius see [4].

The *antieigenvalue* of an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$\mu_1(T) := \inf_{Tx \neq 0} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle Tx, x\rangle}{\|Tx\|\|x\|}. \tag{1.7}$$

The vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ which takes $\mu_1(T)$ is called an antieigenvector of T. We refer more study on this matter to [4].

In this paper, we prove some properties of (α,β)-normal operators and state various inequalities between the operator norm and the numerical radius of (α,β)-normal operators in Hilbert spaces.

2. Some Properties of (α,β)-Normal Operators

In this section, we establish some properties of (α,β)-normal operators. It is easy to see that if T is an (α,β)-normal $(\alpha > 0)$ then T^* is $(1/\beta, 1/\alpha)$-normal. We find numbers $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z + T$ is (α,β)-normal where T is (α,β)-normal.

We know by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that $-1 \le \mu_1(T) \le 1$. Also we can write

$$\mu_1(T) = \inf_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ Tx\neq 0}} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle Tx, x\rangle}{\|Tx\|}. \tag{2.1}$$

We define

$$\mu_2(T) := \sup_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ Tx\neq 0}} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle Tx, x\rangle}{\|Tx\|}. \tag{2.2}$$

We know that if T is normal operator then $z + T$ is also normal.

Theorem 2.1. *Let T be an (α,β)-normal operator on a Hilbert space such that $0 \le \alpha < 1 < \beta$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $z + T$ is (α,β)-normal, if provided one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) $\mu_1(\overline{z}T) \ge 0$,

(ii) $\mu_1(\overline{z}T) < 0, |z|^2 \ge -2|z|\|T\|\mu_1(\overline{z}T)$.

Proof. In both of above cases, we show that

$$|z|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle \overline{z}Tx, x\rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathscr{H} \text{with } \|x\| = 1, Tx \neq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

By the assumption (i), $\mu_1(\overline{z}T) \ge 0$, we have $\operatorname{Re}\langle \overline{z}Tx, x\rangle/|z|\|Tx\| \ge 0$ for every $x \in \mathscr{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$ and $Tx \neq 0$, consequently we get $\operatorname{Re}\langle \overline{z}Tx, x\rangle \ge 0$, and therefore (2.3) is valid. On the other hand, if (ii) holds and we set $B := \mu_1(\overline{z}T)$ then we get $B \le \operatorname{Re}\langle \overline{z}Tx, x\rangle/|z|\|Tx\|$ for every $x \in \mathscr{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$ and $Tx \neq 0$, consequently:

$$\inf\{B\|Tx\| : \|x\| = 1, Tx \neq 0\} \le \inf\left\{\|Tx\|\frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle \overline{z}Tx, x\rangle}{|z|\|Tx\|} : \|x\| = 1, Tx \neq 0\right\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Since $B < 0$, we obtain

$$-B\inf\{-\|Tx\| : \|x\| = 1, Tx \neq 0\} \le \inf\left\{\|Tx\|\frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle \overline{z}Tx, x\rangle}{|z|\|Tx\|} : \|x\| = 1, Tx \neq 0\right\}, \tag{2.5}$$

and so

$$B\sup\{\|Tx\| : \|x\| = 1, Tx \neq 0\} \le \inf\left\{\|Tx\|\frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle \overline{z}Tx, x\rangle}{|z|\|Tx\|} : \|x\| = 1, Tx \neq 0\right\}. \tag{2.6}$$

Now, by using the last inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|z|^2 + 2|z|\|T\|\mu_1(\overline{z}T) &= |z|^2 + 2|z|\left(\sup_{\substack{\|x\|=1\\ Tx\neq 0}}\|Tx\|\right)\left(\inf_{\substack{\|x\|=1\\ Tx\neq 0}}\left\{\frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle\overline{z}Tx,x\rangle}{|z|\|Tx\|}\right\}\right)\\
&\leq |z|^2 + 2|z|\inf_{\|x\|=1}\left\{\|Tx\|\frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle\overline{z}Tx,x\rangle}{|z|\|Tx\|}\right\}\\
&= |z|^2 + 2\inf_{\|x\|=1}\{\operatorname{Re}\langle\overline{z}Tx,x\rangle\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

This shows that (2.3) holds for (ii), too. Thus, for any $x \in \mathscr{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha^2\langle(z+T)^*(z+T)x,x\rangle &= \alpha^2\left[\left\langle|z|^2x,x\right\rangle + \langle\overline{z}Tx,x\rangle + \langle zT^*x,x\rangle\right] + \alpha^2\langle T^*Tx,x\rangle\\
&\leq \left\langle|z|^2x,x\right\rangle + \langle\overline{z}Tx,x\rangle + \langle zT^*x,x\rangle + \langle TT^*x,x\rangle\\
&= \langle(z+T)(z+T)^*x,x\rangle\\
&\leq \beta^2\left[\left\langle|z|^2x,x\right\rangle + \langle\overline{z}Tx,x\rangle + \langle zT^*x,x\rangle\right] + \beta^2\langle T^*Tx,x\rangle\\
&= \beta^2\langle(z+T)^*(z+T)x,x\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and this completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let T be an (α,β)-normal operator. We have the following.*

(i) *If $\mu_1(T) \geq 0$ then $z+T$ is (α,β)-normal operator for any $z > 0$.*

(ii) *If $\mu_2(T) \leq 0$ then $z+T$ is (α,β)-normal operator for any $z < 0$.*

Proof. (i) By the definition of the first antieigenvalue of T, for all $z > 0$ we have

$$\mu_1(\overline{z}T) = \mu_1(zT) = \mu_1(T) \geq 0. \tag{2.9}$$

By using Theorem 2.1(i) we imply that $z+T$ is an (α,β)-normal.

(ii) If $z < 0$, then

$$\mu_1(\overline{z}T) = -\mu_2(T) \geq 0. \tag{2.10}$$

By using Theorem 2.1(i) we imply that $z+T$ is an (α,β)-normal. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let T be an injective and (α,β)-normal operator with $\alpha > 0$. Then*

(i) *$\mathcal{R}(T)$ is dense,*

(ii) *T^* is injective,*

(iii) *if T is surjective then T^{-1} is also (α,β)-normal.*

Proof. Since the inequality (1.3) is valid, we obtain $\mathcal{N}(T^*) = \mathcal{N}(T)$, and therefore $\mathcal{R}(T)^{\perp} = \mathcal{N}(T^*) = \mathcal{N}(T) = 0$, thus $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ and T^* is injective. This proves (i) and (ii).

To prove (iii), we note that since T is surjective, we imply that T is invertible. On the other hand we have $(T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$. Also we know that if A and B are two positive and invertible operators with $0 < A \leq B$ then $B^{-1} \leq A^{-1}$. Since T is (α, β)-normal, by taking inverse from all sides of (1.1), we get

$$\frac{1}{\beta^2} T^{-1}(T^*)^{-1} \leq (T^*)^{-1} T^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^2} T^{-1}(T^*)^{-1}. \tag{2.11}$$

This means that $(T^{-1})^*$ is $(1/\beta, 1/\alpha)$-normal, thus T^{-1} is (α, β)-normal. □

Example 2.4. Consider the following matrix T in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.12}$$

T is an (α, β)-normal operator, with parameters $\alpha = \sqrt{(3 - \sqrt{5})/2}$ and $\beta = \sqrt{(3 + \sqrt{5})/2}$. Then $T^{-1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is (α, β)-normal.

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we call

$$r(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\} \tag{2.13}$$

the *spectral radius* of T, where $\sigma(T)$ is the spectrum of T and it is known that $r(T) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T^n\|^{1/n}$ [5, page 102].

Theorem 2.5. *Let T be an (α, β)-normal operator such that T^{2^n} is (α, β)-normal operator for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, too. Then, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \|T\| \leq r(T) \leq \|T\|. \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. For any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we have

$$\|T^* T\| = \|T\|^2. \tag{2.15}$$

In particular, if T is a self-adjoint operator then $\|T^2\| = \|T\|^2$. Thus, by the definition of (α, β)-normal operator, we have

$$\left\| T^{*2} T^2 \right\| \geq \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left\| (T^* T)^2 \right\| = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \|T\|^4. \tag{2.16}$$

By induction on n, we imply that

$$\left\|T^{*2^n}T^{2^n}\right\| \geq \frac{1}{\beta^{2^{n+1}-2}}\|T\|^{2^{n+1}}, \tag{2.17}$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
r(T)^2 = r(T^*)r(T) &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\left\|T^{*2^n}\right\|\left\|T^{2^n}\right\|\right)^{1/2^n} \\
&\geq \lim_{n\to\infty}\left\|T^{*2^n}T^{2^n}\right\|^{1/2^n} \\
&\geq \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\beta^{2^{n+1}-2}}\|T\|^{2^{n+1}}\right)^{1/2^n} \\
&= \frac{1}{\beta^2}\|T\|^2\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{\beta^{-2/2^n}} = \frac{1}{\beta^2}\|T\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Therefore, we get $(1/\beta)\|T\| \leq r(T) \leq \|T\|$. This completes the proof. □

Below, we give an example of (α,β)-normal operator such that it satisfies in Theorem 2.5.

Example 2.6. Assume that $\mathscr{H}$ is a separable Hilbert space and $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathscr{H}$. We define the operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H})$ as follows:

$$Te_n = \begin{cases} e_{n-1}, & n \equiv 0 \pmod 3, \\ \dfrac{1}{2}e_{n-1}, & n \equiv 1 \pmod 3, \\ 2e_{n-1}, & n \equiv 2 \pmod 3, \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

so

$$T^*e_n = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2}e_{n+1}, & n \equiv 0 \pmod 3, \\ 2e_{n+1}, & n \equiv 1 \pmod 3, \\ e_{n+1}, & n \equiv 2 \pmod 3, \end{cases} \tag{2.20}$$

and by simple computation we get

$$TT^*e_n = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{4}e_n, & n \equiv 0 \pmod 3, \\ 4e_n, & n \equiv 1 \pmod 3, \\ e_n, & n \equiv 2 \pmod 3, \end{cases} \qquad T^*Te_n = \begin{cases} e_n, & n \equiv 0 \pmod 3, \\ \dfrac{1}{4}e_n, & n \equiv 1 \pmod 3, \\ 4e_n, & n \equiv 2 \pmod 3. \end{cases} \tag{2.21}$$

Consequently, T is $(1/4,4)$-normal operator and also T^n is $(1/4,4)$-normal operator, for any integer $n \geq 0$. Thus we have $\|T\| = 2$ and $r(T) = 1$, hence (2.14) is valid.

3. Inequalities Involving Norms and Numerical Radius

In this section we state some inequalities involving norms and numerical radius.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an (α, β)-normal operator.*

(i) *For positive real numbers p and q with $p \geq 2$ and $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1$ we have*

$$\|T + T^*\|^p + \|T - T^*\|^p \geq 2(1 + \alpha^q)^{p-1}\|T\|^p. \tag{3.1}$$

(ii) *If $0 \leq p \leq 1$ or $p \geq 2$, then we have*

$$\left(\|T + T^*\|^2 + \|T - T^*\|^2\right)^p \geq \|T\|^{2p}\varphi(\alpha, p), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\varphi(\alpha, p) = 2^p[(1 + \alpha^p)^2 + (2^p - 2^2)\alpha^p]$.

(iii) *If $\mathcal{N}(T) = 0$ and for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$ we have*

$$\left\| \frac{Tx}{\|T^*x\|} - \frac{T^*x}{\|Tx\|} \right\| \leq \rho, \tag{3.3}$$

then, we obtain

$$\alpha\|T\|^2 \leq \omega\left(T^2\right) + \frac{\rho^2}{2}\beta\|T\|^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. (i) We use the following known inequality:

$$\|a + b\|^p + \|a - b\|^p \geq 2\left(\|a\|^q + \|b\|^q\right)^{p-1}, \tag{3.5}$$

which is valid for any $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space.

Now, if we take $a = Tx$ and $b = T^*x$ in (3.5), then for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tx + T^*x\|^p + \|Tx - T^*x\|^p &\geq 2\left(\|Tx\|^q + \|T^*x\|^q\right)^{p-1} \\
&\geq 2\left(\|Tx\|^q + \alpha^q\|Tx\|^q\right)^{p-1} \\
&= 2(1 + \alpha^q)^{p-1}\|Tx\|^{q(p-1)} \\
&= 2(1 + \alpha^q)^{p-1}\|Tx\|^p.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Taking the supremum in (3.6) over $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$, we get the desired result (3.1).

(ii) We use the following inequality [6, Theorem 8, page 551]:

$$\left(\|a + b\|^2 + \|a - b\|^2\right)^p \geq 2^p\left(\left(\|a\|^p + \|b\|^p\right)^2 + \left(2^p - 2^2\right)\|a\|^p\|b\|^p\right), \tag{3.7}$$

where a and b are two vectors in a Hilbert space and $0 \leq p \leq 1$ or $p \geq 2$.

Now, if we put $a = Tx$ and $b = T^*x$ in (3.7), then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\|Tx + T^*x\|^2 + \|Tx - T^*x\|^2\right)^p \\
&\quad \geq 2^p\left(\left(\|Tx\|^p + \|T^*x\|^p\right)^2 + \left(2^p - 2^2\right)\|Tx\|^p\|T^*x\|^p\right), \\
&\quad \geq 2^p\left(\|Tx\|^{2p}(1+\alpha^p)^2 + \left(2^p - 2^2\right)\alpha^p\|Tx\|^{2p}\right) \\
&\quad = 2^p\|Tx\|^{2p}\left[(1+\alpha^p)^2 + \left(2^p - 2^2\right)\alpha^p\right] \\
&\quad = \|Tx\|^{2p}\varphi(\alpha, p).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Now, taking the supremum over $\|x\| = 1$ in (3.8), we get the desired result (3.2).

(iii) We use the following reverse of Schwarz's inequality:

$$(0 \leq)\|a\|\|b\| - |\langle a, b\rangle| \leq \|a\|\|b\| - \operatorname{Re}\langle a, b\rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho^2\|a\|\|b\|, \tag{3.9}$$

which is valid for $a, b \in \mathscr{H} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\rho > 0$, with $\|(a/\|b\|) - (b/\|a\|)\| \leq \rho$ (see [7]). We take $a = Tx$ and $b = T^*x$ in (3.9) to get

$$\|Tx\|\|T^*x\| \leq |\langle Tx, T^*x\rangle| + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2\|Tx\|\|T^*x\|. \tag{3.10}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\alpha\|Tx\|^2 \leq |\langle Tx, T^*x\rangle| + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2\beta\|Tx\|^2. \tag{3.11}$$

Now, taking the supremum over $\|x\| = 1$ in recent inequality, we get the desired result (3.4). □

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that T is an (α, β)-normal operator. Then, we have*

$$\left(1 + \alpha^2\right)\|T\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\|T - T^*\|^2 + \omega\left(T^2\right). \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. By [2, Theorem 3.1], we have

$$2(1+\alpha^p)\|T\|^p \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\|T + T^*\|^p + \|T - T^*\|^p\right], \tag{3.13}$$

and also

$$\left\|\frac{T^*T + TT^*}{2}\right\|^{p/2} \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[\|T + T^*\|^p + \|T - T^*\|^p\right]. \tag{3.14}$$

On the other hand, it is known [8] that for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we have

$$\left\| \frac{A+B}{2} \right\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left\| \frac{A^*A+B^*B}{2} \right\| + \omega(B^*A)\right]. \tag{3.15}$$

By using this inequality we get

$$\left\| \frac{T+T^*}{2} \right\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left\| \frac{T^*T+TT^*}{2} \right\| + \omega\left(T^2\right)\right]. \tag{3.16}$$

If we put $p = 2$ in (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{T+T^*}{2} \right\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{4}\left(\|T+T^*\|^2 + \|T-T^*\|^2\right) + \omega\left(T^2\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\left\| \frac{T+T^*}{2} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{T-T^*}{2} \right\|^2 + \omega\left(T^2\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Thus we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\left\| \frac{T+T^*}{2} \right\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\| \frac{T-T^*}{2} \right\|^2 + \frac{\omega(T^2)}{2}. \tag{3.18}$$

Now, we take $p = 2$ in (3.13) to obtain

$$\left(1+\alpha^2\right)\|T\|^2 \leq \left\| \frac{T-T^*}{2} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{T-T^*}{2} \right\|^2 + \omega\left(T^2\right) = \frac{1}{2}\|T-T^*\|^2 + \omega\left(T^2\right). \tag{3.19}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that T is an (α, β)-normal operator. Then for any real s with $0 \leq s \leq 1$, we have*

$$\left((1-s)\frac{1}{\beta^2} + s\right)\left((1-s) + s\frac{1}{\beta^2}\right)\|T\|^4 \leq \left[1 - s + s\beta^2\right]\|T\|^2\|T-T^*\|^2 + \omega\left(T^2\right)^2. \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. By [9, Theorem 2.6] (see also [10, Theorem 2.4]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[(1-s)\|a\|^2 + s\|b\|^2\right]\left[(1-s)\|b\|^2 + s\|a\|^2\right] - |\langle a, b\rangle|^2 \\ &\quad\leq \left[(1-s)\|a\|^2 + s\|b\|^2\right]\left[(1-s)\|b-ta\|^2 + s\|tb-a\|^2\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where $0 \le s \le 1$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in \mathscr{H}$. By taking $t = 1$, $a = Tx$, and $b = T^*x$ in (3.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[(1-s)\|Tx\|^2 + s\|T^*x\|^2\right]\left[\|(1-s)T^*x\|^2 + s\|Tx\|^2\right] - |\langle Tx, T^*x\rangle|^2 \\
&\quad \le \left[(1-s)\|Tx\|^2 + s\|T^*x\|^2\right]\left[(1-s)\|T^*x - Tx\|^2 + s\|T^*x - Tx\|^2\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\frac{(1-s)}{\beta^2}\|T^*x\|^2 + s\|T^*x\|^2\right]\left[(1-s)\|T^*x\|^2 + \frac{s}{\beta^2}\|T^*x\|^2\right] - \left|\left\langle T^2x, x\right\rangle\right|^2 \\
&\quad \le \left[(1-s)\|Tx\|^2 + s\|T^*x\|^2\right]\left[(1-s)\|T^*x\|^2 + s\|Tx\|^2\right] - \left|\left\langle T^2x, x\right\rangle\right|^2 \\
&\quad \le \left[(1-s)\|Tx\|^2 + s\|T^*x\|^2\right]\left[(1-s)\|T^*x - Tx\|^2 + s\|T^*x - Tx\|^2\right] \\
&\quad \le \left[(1-s)\|Tx\|^2 + s\beta^2\|Tx\|^2\right]\|T^*x - Tx\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Finally, we take supremum over $\|x\| = 1$ from both sides of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{(1-s)}{\beta^2} + s\right)\left((1-s) + \frac{s}{\beta^2}\right)\|T^*x\|^4 \\
&\quad \le \left[(1-s)\|Tx\|^2 + s\beta^2\|Tx\|^2\right]\|T^*x - Tx\|^2 + \left|\left\langle T^2x, x\right\rangle\right|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

and we use triangle inequality for supremums to complete the proof. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let T be an (α, β)-normal operator. Then, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\beta}\|T\|^2 \le \|T\|\|T - T^*\| + \omega\left(T^2\right). \tag{3.25}$$

Proof. By using the inequality (3.21) we get

$$\left((1-s) + s\alpha^2\right)\left((1-s)\alpha^2 + s\right)\|T\|^4 \le \left[1 - s + s\alpha^2\right]\|T\|^2\|T - T^*\|^2 + w\left(T^2\right)^2. \tag{3.26}$$

We take $s = 0$ in inequalities (3.20) and (3.26) to imply

$$\max\left\{\frac{1}{\beta^2}, \alpha^2\right\}\|Tx\|^4 \le \|Tx\|^2\|T - T^*\|^2 + \omega\left(T^2\right)^2. \tag{3.27}$$

Thus, $\max\{1/\beta, \alpha\}\|Tx\|^2 \le \|Tx\|\|Tx - T^*x\| + \omega(T^2)$. Now, taking supremum overall x with $\|x\| = 1$, the desired inequality is obtained. □

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to sincerely thank the anonymous referee for several useful comments improving the paper and also Professor Mehdi Hassani for a useful discussion.

References

[1] P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, vol. 19, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1982.
[2] S. S. Dragomir and M. S. Moslehian, "Some inequalities for (α, β)-normal operators in Hilbert spaces," *Facta Universitatis*, vol. 23, pp. 39–47, 2008.
[3] M. S. Moslehian, "On (α, β)-normal operators in Hilbert spaces, IMAGE 39," *Problem*, pp. 39–34, 2007.
[4] K. E. Gustafson and D. K. M. Rao, *Numerical Range*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1997.
[5] J. Weidmann, *Linear Operators in Hilbert Spaces*, vol. 68, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1980.
[6] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, vol. 61, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1993.
[7] S. S. Dragomir, "A potpourri of Schwarz related inequalities in inner product spaces. I," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 3, article 59, 2005.
[8] S. S. Dragomir, "A survey of some recent inequalities for the norm and numerical radius of operators in Hilbert spaces," *Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 154–175, 2007.
[9] S. S. Dragomir, "A potpourri of Schwarz related inequalities in inner product spaces. II," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 1, article 14, 2006.
[10] S. S. Dragomir, "Some inequalities for normal operators in Hilbert spaces," *Acta Mathematica Vietnamica*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 291–300, 2006.


Advances in
Operations Research
Advances in
Decision Sciences
Journal of
Applied Mathematics
Algebra
Journal of
Probability and Statistics
The Scientific
World Journal
International Journal of
Differential Equations
Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com
International Journal of
Combinatorics
Advances in
Mathematical Physics
Journal of
Complex Analysis
Journal of
Mathematics
Mathematical Problems
in Engineering
Abstract and
Applied Analysis
Discrete Dynamics in
Nature and Society
International
Journal of
Mathematics and
Mathematical
Sciences
Journal of
Discrete Mathematics
Journal of
Function Spaces
International Journal of
Stochastic Analysis
Journal of
Optimization