Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society
Volume 2011, Article ID 506373, 12 pages
doi:10.1155/2011/506373

Research Article

Global Attractivity of a Family of Max-Type Difference Equations

Xiaofan Yang,[1] Wanping Liu,[1] and Jiming Liu[2]

[1] *College of Computer Science, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044, China*
[2] *Department of Computer Science, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong*

Correspondence should be addressed to Wanping Liu, wanping_liu@yahoo.cn

Received 20 October 2010; Accepted 13 January 2011

Academic Editor: Ibrahim Yalcinkaya

We propose to study a generalized family of max-type difference equations and then prove the global attractivity of a particular case of it under some parameter conditions. Through some numerical results of other cases, we finally pose a generic conjecture.

1. Introduction

The study of max-type difference equations is a hotspot in the area of discrete dynamics because such equations are often closely related to automatic control theory and competitive dynamics. For recent advances in this direction see [1–8] and the references therein.

Motivated by [9], Liu et al. [10] studied the following nonautonomous max-type difference equation:

$$y_n = \frac{p + r y_{n-s}}{q + \phi_n(y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{n-m}) + y_{n-s}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $p \geq 0$, $r, q > 0$, $s, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\phi_n : (\mathbb{R}^+)^m \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are mappings satisfying the condition $\beta \min\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \leq \phi_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \leq \beta \max\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, for some fixed $\beta \in (0, +\infty)$. When $p = 0$, $\beta \in (0,1)$, they proved that every positive solution to (1.1) converges to zero if $r \leq q$, while $(r-q)/(1+\beta)$ if $r > q$. If $p > 0$ and $rq \geq p$, then each positive solution to (1.1) converges to $(\sqrt{(q-r)^2 + 4p(1+\beta)} - (q-r))/(2(1+\beta))$, for some $\beta \in (0, +\infty)$, except for the case $q < r$, $\beta \in (\beta_0, +\infty)$, where $\beta_0 = 4p/(q-r)^2 + 1$. Note that the behavior of positive solutions to (1.1) for the case $q < r$, $\beta \in (\beta_0, +\infty)$, is still an unsolved open problem as was mentioned in [10].

Here, we propose to investigate the asymptotic behavior of positive solutions to the generalized family of max-type difference equations

$$x_n = \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{p_i + r_i x_{n-s}}{q_i + x_{n-s} + f_i(x_{n-1},\dots,x_{n-m})}\right\},\quad n\in\mathbb{N}_0, \tag{1.2}$$

where $p_i \ge 0$, $r_i, q_i > 0$, $s, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \ge 2$ and the functions $f_i : [0,+\infty)^m \to [0,+\infty)$, $i = 1,2,\dots,k$ satisfy the condition

$$\beta\min\{u_1,\dots,u_m\} \le f_i(u_1,u_2,\dots,u_m) \le \beta\max\{u_1,\dots,u_m\}, \tag{1.3}$$

for some fixed $\beta\in(0,1)$.

In this paper, we mainly consider the particular case that all p_i are zero, and then obviously (1.2) reduces to the following form:

$$x_n = x_{n-s}\times\max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + x_{n-s} + f_i(x_{n-1},\dots,x_{n-m})}\right\},\quad n\in\mathbb{N}_0. \tag{1.4}$$

Let x^* be a nonnegative equilibrium point of (1.4), then we have

$$x^* = x^*\times\max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + (1+\beta)x^*}\right\}. \tag{1.5}$$

It follows directly from (1.5) that if $0 < r_i \le q_i$ for all $i = 1,2,\dots,k$, then (1.4) has the unique nonnegative equilibrium $x^* = 0$, while if there exists at least one $j\in\{1,2,\dots,k\}$ such that $r_j > q_j$, then (1.4) has a zero equilibrium $x^* = 0$ and a unique positive equilibrium $x^* = \max_{1\le i\le k}\{r_i - q_i\}/(1+\beta)$.

Finally, the following two beautiful theorems are derived.

Theorem 1.1. *Consider* (1.4) *with condition* (1.3). *If $0 < r_i \le q_i$ for all $i = 1,2,\dots,k$, then every positive solution to* (1.4) *converges to the unique nonnegative equilibrium zero.*

Theorem 1.2. *Consider* (1.4) *with positive initial values and positive r_i and q_i. Let $f_i : [0,+\infty)^m \to [0,+\infty)$ be functions such that for some fixed $\beta\in(0,1)$, there hold*

$$\beta\min\{u_1,\dots,u_m\} \le f_i(u_1,\dots,u_m) \le \beta\max\{u_1,\dots,u_m\},\quad i = 1,2,\dots,k. \tag{1.6}$$

If there exists at least one $j\in\{1,2,\dots,k\}$ such that $r_j > q_j$, then the unique positive equilibrium of (1.4) *is a global attractor.*

2. Preliminary Lemmas

For the purpose of establishing the main results, some auxiliary lemmas are essential.

Lemma 2.1. *Consider the first-order difference equation*

$$x_n = x_{n-1} \times \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + x_{n-1}}\right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{2.1}$$

with positive initial value x_{-1} and positive r_i and q_i. If there exists at least one $j \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$ such that $r_j > q_j$, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \max\{r_i - q_i : i = 1,2,\ldots,k\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\max\{r_i - q_i : i = 1,2,\ldots,k\} = r_\tau - q_\tau$, which is positive, for some $\tau \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$. By making the variable change $x_n = (r_\tau - q_\tau)y_n$ into (2.1) and then canceling the positive term $r_\tau - q_\tau$ from the resulting equation, we can derive

$$y_n = y_{n-1} \times \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + (r_\tau - q_\tau)y_{n-1}}\right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that $\min\{a_1/b_1, a_2/b_2\} \le (a_1 + a_2)/(b_1 + b_2) \le \max\{a_1/b_1, a_2/b_2\}$ for $a_i, b_i > 0$, $i = 1,2$. Then it follows from (2.3) that

$$y_{n+1} = \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{q_i y_n + (r_i - q_i)y_n}{q_i + (r_\tau - q_\tau)y_n}\right\} \le \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{q_i y_n + (r_\tau - q_\tau)y_n}{q_i + (r_\tau - q_\tau)y_n}\right\} \le \max\{y_n, 1\}. \tag{2.4}$$

In addition, the following two inequalities hold:

$$y_{n+1} - 1 = \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i y_n}{q_i + (r_\tau - q_\tau)y_n} - 1\right\} \ge \frac{r_\tau y_n}{q_\tau + (r_\tau - q_\tau)y_n} - 1 = \frac{q_\tau(y_n - 1)}{q_\tau + (r_\tau - q_\tau)y_n}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$y_{n+1} - y_n = \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i y_n}{q_i + (r_\tau - q_\tau)y_n} - y_n\right\} \ge \frac{r_\tau y_n}{q_\tau + (r_\tau - q_\tau)y_n} - y_n = \frac{(r_\tau - q_\tau)y_n(1 - y_n)}{q_\tau + (r_\tau - q_\tau)y_n}. \tag{2.6}$$

In the following, we are confronted with three possibilities.

Case 1. If there exists $n_0 \ge -1$ such that $y_{n_0} = 1$, then it follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that $y_n = 1$ holds for all $n \ge n_0$.

Case 2. If there exists $n_0 \ge -1$ such that $y_{n_0} > 1$, then it follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$y_{n_0} \ge y_{n_0+1} \ge y_{n_0+2} \ge \cdots > 1. \tag{2.7}$$

Thus there is a finite limit $\gamma = \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n \geq 1$. By taking the limits on both sides of (2.3) and canceling the positive factor γ from the resulting equation, we obtain

$$1 = \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + (r_\tau - q_\tau)\gamma}\right\}, \tag{2.8}$$

which implies $\gamma = 1$. Because if $\gamma > 1$, then

$$1 = \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + (r_\tau - q_\tau)\gamma}\right\} < \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + (r_\tau - q_\tau)}\right\} = 1, \tag{2.9}$$

leading to a contradiction.

Case 3. If $y_n < 1$ for all $n \geq -1$, then it follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$y_{-1} < y_0 < y_1 < \cdots < y_n < \cdots < 1. \tag{2.10}$$

Therefore, the limit of y_n exists, denoted by $0 < \gamma = \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n \leq 1$. By taking the limits on both sides of (2.3) and canceling the nonzero factor γ from the resulting equation, there hold

$$1 = \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + (r_\tau - q_\tau)\gamma}\right\}, \tag{2.11}$$

which implies $\gamma = 1$. Because if $0 < \gamma < 1$, then

$$1 = \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + (r_\tau - q_\tau)\gamma}\right\} > \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + (r_\tau - q_\tau)}\right\} = 1, \tag{2.12}$$

which is a contradiction.

In either of the above three cases, we get $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = 1$, implying $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = r_\tau - q_\tau$. □

From Lemma 2.1, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *Consider the s-order difference equation*

$$x_n = x_{n-s} \times \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + x_{n-s}}\right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{2.13}$$

with positive initial values and $r_i, q_i > 0$. If there exists at least one $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ such that $r_j > q_j$, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \max\{r_i - q_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}. \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}_{n\geq -s}$ be an arbitrary positive solution to (2.13). Apparently we know that the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\geq -s}$ can be divided into s subsequences $\{x_{j+sk}\}_{k\geq 0}$, $j = -s, -s+1, \ldots, -1$, which are, respectively, positive solutions to the first-order equation (2.1) with positive initial values $x_{-s}, x_{-s+1}, \ldots, x_{-1}$. According to Lemma 2.1, we derive $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_{j+sk} = \max\{r_i - q_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ for all $j = -s, -s+1, \ldots, -1$, which directly lead to $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \max\{r_i - q_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $a > b > 0$, $0 < \beta < 1$, *and* $0 < \epsilon < ((1-\beta)/(1+\beta))(a-b)$. *Define two sequences* $\{m_k\}$ *and* $\{M_k\}$ *in the following way:*

$$M_1 = a - b,$$
$$m_k = M_1 - \beta\left(M_k + \frac{\epsilon}{k}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots,$$
$$M_k = M_1 - \beta\left(m_{k-1} - \frac{\epsilon}{(k-1)}\right), \quad k = 2, 3, \ldots. \tag{2.15}$$

Then $\lim_{k\to\infty} m_k = \lim_{k\to\infty} M_k$.

Proof. Observe that

$$M_2 - M_1 = -\beta((1-\beta)(a-b) - (\beta+1)\epsilon) < 0,$$
$$m_{k+1} - m_k = \beta\left[M_k - M_{k+1} + \frac{\epsilon}{k(k+1)}\right], \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots,$$
$$M_{k+1} - M_k = -\beta\left[m_k - m_{k-1} + \frac{\epsilon}{k(k-1)}\right], \quad k = 2, 3, \ldots. \tag{2.16}$$

It follows by induction that $\{m_k\}$ is increasing and $\{M_k\}$ is decreasing. Again by induction we derive $m_k < a - b$ and $M_k > 0$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Hence there are two finite limits $\xi = \lim_{k\to\infty} m_k$ and $\eta = \lim_{k\to\infty} M_k$. By taking limits on both sides of (2.15), we derive

$$\xi = a - b - \beta\eta, \qquad \eta = a - b - \beta\xi, \tag{2.17}$$

which imply $(1-\beta)(\xi - \eta) = 0$. Therefore $\xi = \eta = (a-b)/(1+\beta)$. □

3. Proofs of Main Theorems

In this section, we are in a position to prove the main theorems presented in Section 1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that for the case $r_i < q_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, the behavior of positive solutions to (1.4) is quite simple. In this case, we have that

$$x_n \leq x_{n-s} \times \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i}\right\} = \mu x_{n-s}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mu = \max_{1\le i\le k}\{r_i/q_i\} < 1$. Easily the subsequences $\{x_{ls+j}\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, $j \in \{0,1,\ldots,s-1\}$ converge to zero, hence the sequence $\{x_n\}$ also converges to zero.

For the case $r_i \le q_i$, $i = 1,2,\ldots,k$ with at least one $j \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$ such that $r_j = q_j$, we can obtain that

$$x_n \le x_{n-s} \times \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i}\right\} = x_{n-s}. \tag{3.2}$$

In this case, the subsequences $\{x_{ls+j}\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, $j = 0,1,\ldots,s-1$ are all positive and nonincreasing, thus they converge, respectively, to some nonnegative limits $\psi_j := \lim_{l\to\infty} x_{ls+j}$, $j=0,1,\ldots,s-1$.

If we replace n in (1.4) by $sl + j$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for an arbitrary fixed $j \in \{0,1,\ldots,s-1\}$ and let $l \to \infty$, we can get

$$\psi_j = \psi_j \times \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + \psi_j + f_i(\psi_{v_1},\ldots,\psi_{v_m})}\right\}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $v_i \in \{0,1,\ldots,s-1\}$, $i = 1,\ldots,m$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\psi_j \ne 0$, then we obtain that

$$1 = \frac{r_\tau}{q_\tau + \psi_j + f_\tau(\psi_{v_1},\ldots,\psi_{v_m})}, \tag{3.4}$$

with some fixed number $\tau \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$. Because $r_\tau \le q_\tau$, then it follows from (3.4) that

$$q_\tau + \psi_j + f_\tau(\psi_{v_1},\ldots,\psi_{v_m}) = r_\tau \le q_\tau. \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore we have

$$\psi_j + f_\tau(\psi_{v_1},\ldots,\psi_{v_m}) = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

leading to $\psi_j = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence we have that $\psi_j = 0$, $j = 0,1,\ldots,s-1$, and every positive solution to (1.4) converges to zero, if $r_i \le q_i$ for all $i = 1,2,\ldots,k$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $\max\{r_i - q_i : i = 1,2,\ldots,k\} = r_\tau - q_\tau > 0$ for some $\tau \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$. Let ϵ be an arbitrary fixed real number with $0 < \epsilon < ((1-\beta)/(1+\beta))(r_\tau - q_\tau)$. Define two sequences $\{M_k\}$ and $\{m_k\}$ in the way shown in (2.15) with $a = r_\tau, b = q_\tau$. □

Let $\{x_n\}$ be an arbitrary positive solution to (1.4). Next, we proceed by proving two claims.

Claim 1. There exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_1 - \epsilon \le x_n \le M_1 + \epsilon$ for all $n \ge N_1$.

Proof of Claim 1. Note that

$$x_n \le x_{n-s} \times \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + x_{n-s}}\right\}, \quad n = 0,1,2,\ldots. \tag{3.7}$$

Consider the following difference equation:

$$z_n^{(1)} = z_{n-s}^{(1)} \times \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + z_{n-s}^{(1)}}\right\}, \quad n = 0,1,2,\ldots. \tag{3.8}$$

Let $\{z_n^{(1)}\}$ be a positive solution to (3.7) with the initial values $z_{-1}^{(1)} = x_{-1}$, $z_{-2}^{(1)} = x_{-2},\ldots,z_{-s}^{(1)} = x_{-s}$.

Note that the mapping $h(x) = rx/(q+x)$ is strictly increasing on the interval $(0,+\infty)$. It follows by induction that $x_n \le z_n^{(1)}$ for all $n \ge -s$. By Lemma 2.2, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n^{(1)} = r_\tau - q_\tau = M_1$. Hence there is an integer $N_1' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \le M_1 + \epsilon$ for $n \ge N_1'$.

Let $t = \max\{s, m\}$. Note that

$$x_n \ge x_{n-s} \times \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + x_{n-s} + \beta(M_1+\epsilon)}\right\}, \quad n \ge N_1' + t. \tag{3.9}$$

Consider the difference equation

$$y_n^{(1)} = y_{n-s}^{(1)} \times \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + y_{n-s}^{(1)} + \beta(M_1+\epsilon)}\right\}, \quad n \ge N_1' + t, \tag{3.10}$$

with $y_{N_1'+t-1}^{(1)} = x_{N_1'+t-1}$, $y_{N_1'+t-2}^{(1)} = x_{N_1'+t-2},\ldots,y_{N_1'}^{(1)} = x_{N_1'}$. Note the monotonicity of $h(x)$, it follows by induction that $x_n \ge y_n^{(1)}$ for all $n \ge N_1'$. By Lemma 2.2, we get that $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n^{(1)} = m_1$. Thus there exists an integer $N_1 \ge N_1'$ such that $x_n \ge m_1 - \epsilon$ for all $n \ge N_1$. □

Working inductively, we will reach the following claim.

Claim 2. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$m_k - \frac{\epsilon}{k} \le x_n \le M_k + \frac{\epsilon}{k}, \tag{3.11}$$

for all $n \ge N_k$.

Proof of Claim 2. Obviously, the case $k = 1$ follows directly from Claim 1. In the following, we proceed by induction. Assume that the assertion is true for $k = \omega(\omega \ge 1)$. Then it suffices to prove the assertion is also true for $k = \omega + 1$.

Note that

$$x_n \le x_{n-s} \times \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + x_{n-s} + \beta(m_\omega - \epsilon/\omega)}\right\}, \quad n \ge N_\omega + t. \tag{3.12}$$

Consider the difference equation

$$z_n^{(\omega+1)} = z_{n-s}^{(\omega+1)} \times \max_{1\le i\le k}\left\{\frac{r_i}{q_i + z_{n-s}^{(\omega+1)} + \beta(m_\omega - \epsilon/\omega)}\right\}, \quad n \ge N_\omega + t, \tag{3.13}$$

with $z^{(\omega+1)}_{N_\omega+t-1} = x_{N_\omega+t-1}, z^{(\omega+1)}_{N_\omega+t-2} = x_{N_\omega+t-2}, \ldots, z^{(\omega+1)}_{N_\omega} = x_{N_\omega}$. Note the monotonicity of $h(x)$, it follows by induction that $x_n \leq z^{(\omega+1)}_n$ for all $n \geq N_\omega$. By Lemma 2.2, we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} z^{(\omega+1)}_n = M_{\omega+1}$. So there is an integer $N'_{\omega+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \leq M_{\omega+1} + \epsilon/(\omega+1)$ for all $n \geq N'_{\omega+1}$. Then note that

$$x_n \geq x_{n-s} \times \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\left\{ \frac{r_i}{q_i + x_{n-s} + \beta(M_{\omega+1} + \epsilon/(\omega+1))} \right\}, \quad n \geq N'_{\omega+1} + t. \tag{3.14}$$

Consider the following difference equation

$$y^{(\omega+1)}_n = y^{(\omega+1)}_{n-s} \times \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\left\{ \frac{r_i}{q_i + y^{(\omega+1)}_{n-s} + \beta(M_{\omega+1} + \epsilon/(\omega+1))} \right\}, \quad n \geq N'_{\omega+1} + t, \tag{3.15}$$

with $y^{(\omega+1)}_{N'_{\omega+1}+t-1} = x_{N'_{\omega+1}+t-1}, z^{(\omega+1)}_{N'_{\omega+1}+t-2} = x_{N'_{\omega+1}+t-2}, \ldots, z^{(\omega+1)}_{N'_{\omega+1}} = x_{N'_{\omega+1}}$. By the monotonicity of $h(x)$, it follows by induction that $x_n \geq y^{(\omega+1)}_n$ for all $n \geq N'_{\omega+1}$. By Lemma 2.2, we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} y^{(\omega+1)}_n = m_{\omega+1}$. So there is an integer $N_{\omega+1} \geq N'_{\omega+1}$ such that $x_n \geq m_{\omega+1} - \epsilon/(\omega+1)$ for all $n \geq N_{\omega+1}$. □

From Claim 2, we derive

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} m_k = \lim_{k\to\infty}\left(m_k - \frac{\epsilon}{k} \right) \leq \underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} x_n \leq \overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} x_n \leq \lim_{k\to\infty}\left(M_k + \frac{\epsilon}{k} \right) = \lim_{k\to\infty} M_k. \tag{3.16}$$

This plus Lemma 2.3 leads to that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{k\to\infty} m_k = \lim_{k\to\infty} M_k = \frac{r_\tau - q_\tau}{1+\beta}. \tag{3.17}$$

4. Simulations and Future Work

In the previous section, we proved the global attractivity of (1.2) when all p_i are zero. In this section, we investigate the dynamic behavior of (1.2) provided that all p_i are not zero. First, it is trivial to confirm that when all p_i are not zero, (1.2) has the following unique positive equilibrium point $x^* = \max_{1\leq i\leq k}\{\sqrt{(q_i - r_i)^2 + 4p_i(1+\beta)} + r_i - q_i\}/(2(1+\beta))$. In the following, some numerical results are presented.

Experiment 1. Consider the first-order difference equation

$$x_n = \max\left\{ \frac{0.2 + 0.6x_{n-1}}{0.6 + x_{n-1} + 0.3x_{n-1}}, \frac{rx_{n-1}}{q + x_{n-1} + 0.3x_{n-1}} \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $r, q > 0$ and the initial value $x_0 > 0$. (See Figures 1 and 2).



(a) Initial value $x_0 = 0.2$ (b) Initial value $x_0 = 0.7$

Figure 1: $r = 1$, $q = 2$; $x^* = \sqrt{26}/13 \approx 0.3922$.



(a) Initial value $x_0 = 0.5$ (b) Initial value $x_0 = 0.9$

Figure 2: $r = 2$, $q = 1$; $x^* = 10/13 \approx 0.7692$.

Experiment 2. Consider the second-order difference equation

$$x_n = \max\left\{\frac{0.5 + x_{n-2}}{1 + x_{n-2} + 0.5x_{n-1}}, \frac{0.8 + rx_{n-2}}{q + x_{n-2} + 0.5x_{n-1}}\right\}, \quad n \geq 2, \tag{4.2}$$

where $r, q > 0$ and the initial values $x_0, x_1 > 0$. (See Figures 3 and 4).

Experiment 3. Consider the third-order difference equation

$$x_n = \max\left\{\frac{0.5 + x_{n-3}}{1 + x_{n-3} + 0.9\sqrt{\left(x_{n-1}^2 + x_{n-2}^2\right)/2}}, \frac{3x_{n-3}}{2 + x_{n-3} + 0.9\sqrt{\left(x_{n-1}^2 + x_{n-2}^2\right)/2}}\right\}, \quad n \geq 3, \tag{4.3}$$

where the initial values $x_0, x_1, x_2 > 0$. (See Figure 5).



(a) Initial values $x_0 = 0.2$, $x_1 = 0.4$

(b) Initial values $x_0 = 0.8$, $x_1 = 0.3$

Figure 3: $r = 1$, $q = 2$; $x^* = \sqrt{3}/3 \approx 0.5774$.



(a) Initial values $x_0 = 1.3$, $x_1 = 1.0$

(b) Initial values $x_0 = 0.5$, $x_1 = 0.9$

Figure 4: $r = 2$, $q = 1$; $x^* = (\sqrt{5.8} + 1)/3 \approx 1.1361$.

Inspired by this work and the results of [10], here we pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.1. *Consider* (1.2) *with nonnegative* p_i *and positive* r_i *and* q_i*. Let* $f_i : [0, +\infty)^m \to [0, +\infty)$*,* $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ *be* k *functions such that for some fixed* $\beta \in (0, 1)$*, there hold*

$$\beta \min\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\} \leq f_i(u_1, \ldots, u_k) \leq \beta \max\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}. \tag{4.4}$$

If $r_i q_i \geq p_i$ *for all* $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$*, then every positive solution to* (1.2) *converges to the equilibrium point*

$$x^* = \frac{1}{2(1+\beta)} \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ \sqrt{(q_i - r_i)^2 + 4p_i(1+\beta)} + r_i - q_i \right\}. \tag{4.5}$$



(a) Initial values $x_0 = 0.1$, $x_1 = 0.3$, $x_2 = 0.5$

(b) Initial values $x_0 = 0.3$, $x_1 = 0.7$, $x_2 = 1.0$

(c) Initial values $x_0 = 0.8$, $x_1 = 0.3$, $x_2 = 0.1$

(d) Initial values $x_0 = 1.1$, $x_1 = 0.8$, $x_2 = 0.6$

Figure 5: $x^* = 10/19 \approx 0.5263$.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (no. CDJXS10181130), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 10771227), and the New Century Excellent Talent Project of China (no. NCET-05-0759).

References

[1] K. S. Berenhaut, J. D. Foley, and S. Stević, "Boundedness character of positive solutions of a max difference equation," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 1193–1199, 2006.

[2] G. Ladas, "On the recursive sequence $x_n = \max\{A_1/x_{n-1}, A_2/x_{n-2}, \ldots, A_p/x_{n-p}\}$," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 339–341, 1996.

[3] W. Liu and X. Yang, "Quantitative bounds for positive solutions of a Stević difference equation," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2010, Article ID 235808, 14 pages, 2010.

[4] S. Stević, "On the recursive sequence $x_{n+1} = \max\{c, x_n^p/x_{n-1}^p\}$," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 791–796, 2008.

[5] S. Stević, "Global stability of a difference equation with maximum," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 210, no. 2, pp. 525–529, 2009.

[6] S. Stević, "Boundedness character of a class of difference equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 839–848, 2009.

[7] S. Stević, "Global stability of a max-type difference equation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 216, no. 1, pp. 354–356, 2010.

[8] I. Szalkai, "On the periodicity of the sequence $x_{n+1} = \max\{A_0/x_n, A_1/x_{n-1}, \ldots, A_k/x_{n-k}\}$," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 25–29, 1999.

[9] B. D. Iričanin, "Dynamics of a class of higher order difference equations," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2007, Article ID 73849, 6 pages, 2007.

[10] W. Liu, X. Yang, and J. Cao, "On global attractivity of a class of nonautonomous difference equations," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2010, Article ID 364083, 13 pages, 2010.


Advances in Operations Research
Advances in Decision Sciences
Journal of Applied Mathematics
Algebra
Journal of Probability and Statistics
The Scientific World Journal
International Journal of Differential Equations
Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com
International Journal of Combinatorics
Advances in Mathematical Physics
Journal of Complex Analysis
Journal of Mathematics
Mathematical Problems in Engineering
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society
International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences
Journal of Discrete Mathematics
Journal of Function Spaces
International Journal of Stochastic Analysis
Journal of Optimization