

# Corrigendum: Assessing cultural intelligence, personality and identity amongst young white Afrikaans-speaking students: A preliminary study

**Authors:**

Natasha Nel<sup>1</sup>  
 J. Alewyn Nel<sup>1</sup>  
 Byron G. Adams<sup>2,3</sup>  
 Leon T. de Beer<sup>1</sup>

**Affiliations:**

<sup>1</sup>WorkWell Research Unit,  
 North-West University,  
 Potchefstroom Campus,  
 South Africa

<sup>2</sup>Department of Culture  
 Studies, Tilburg University,  
 the Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Department of Industrial  
 Psychology and People  
 Management, University of  
 Johannesburg, Kingsway  
 Campus, South Africa

**Corresponding author:**  
 Leon de Beer,  
 debeer.leon@nwu.ac.za**Dates:**  
 Published: 30 Nov. 2017

**How to cite this article:**  
 Nel, N., Nel, J.A., Adams,  
 B.G., & De Beer, L.T. (2017).  
 Corrigendum: Assessing  
 cultural intelligence,  
 personality and identity  
 amongst young white  
 Afrikaans-speaking students:  
 A preliminary study. *SA*  
*Journal of Human Resource  
 Management/SA Tydskrif vir  
 Menslikehulpbronbestuur*,  
 15(0), a1008. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v15i0.1008>

**Read online:**

Scan this QR  
 code with your  
 smart phone or  
 mobile device  
 to read online.

In the version of this article initially published, the correlation in Table 2 between '1. Cognitive CQ' (row 1, column 1) and '5. Conscientiousness' (row 5, column 1) was mistakenly reported as 1.13. The actual value should have been 0.13. Similarly, the correlation between '3. Motivational CQ' (row 3, column 3) and '7. Extroversion' (row 7, column 3) was mistakenly reported as 1.21. The actual value should have been 0.21. Lastly, the correlation between '2. Metacognitive CQ' (row 2, column 2) and '6. Emotional stability' (row 6, column 2) was mistakenly reported as 1.30. The actual value should have been 0.30. An updated Table 2 is presented here:

**TABLE 2:** Correlation coefficients between cultural intelligence, personality and identity.

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1. Cognitive CQ	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2. Metacognitive CQ	0.42†	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3. Motivational CQ	0.48†	0.54‡	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4. Behavioural CQ	0.52‡	0.55‡	0.58‡	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. Conscientiousness	0.13	0.33†	0.25	0.31†	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. Emotional stability	0.28	0.30	0.26	0.34†	0.35†	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Extroversion	0.24	0.31†	0.21	0.31†	0.51†	0.57†	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. Facilitating	0.13	0.40†	0.30†	0.26	0.45†	0.39†	0.49†	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9. Integrity	0.15	0.22	0.16	0.20	0.38†	0.47†	0.57†	0.38†	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
10. Intellect	0.18	0.47†	0.34†	0.33†	0.66‡	0.40†	0.60‡	0.61†	0.40†	1.00	-	-	-	-	-
11. Openness	0.19	0.42†	0.31†	0.32†	0.67‡	0.40†	0.63†	0.64†	0.46†	0.82†	1.00	-	-	-	-
12. Relationship harmony	0.29	0.25	0.27	0.34†	0.17	0.58†	0.56†	0.33†	0.40†	0.42†	0.40†	1.00	-	-	-
13. Soft-heartedness	0.29	0.17	0.23	0.35†	-0.01	0.56†	0.42†	0.08‡	0.30†	0.16	0.11	0.79†	1.00	-	-
14. Personal identity	-0.11	0.10	0.03	0.01	0.45†	-0.23	0.10	0.20	0.01	0.40†	0.39†	-0.19	0.38†	1.00	-
15. Ethnic identity	-0.06	0.06	-0.01	0.09	0.35†	0.18	0.22	0.29	0.18	0.28	0.40†	0.06	0.03	0.30†	1.00
16. Religious identity	0.16	0.23	0.07	0.20	0.27	0.22	0.26	0.30†	0.26	0.29	0.38†	0.12	0.01	0.14	0.32†

CQ, Cultural intelligence.

†, Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

‡, Correlation is practically significant  $r \geq 0.30$  (medium effect).

The error has been corrected in the PDF version of the article. The authors apologise for any inconvenience caused.

**Note:** Doi of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v13i1.643>

**Copyright:** © 2017. The Authors. Licensee: AOSIS. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License.