



# On sequences with prescribed metric discrepancy behavior

Christoph Aistleitner<sup>1</sup> · Gerhard Larcher<sup>1</sup>

Received: 23 July 2015 / Accepted: 11 December 2015 / Published online: 21 January 2016  
© The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** An important result of H. Weyl states that for every sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of distinct positive integers the sequence of fractional parts of  $(a_n \alpha)_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo one for almost all  $\alpha$ . However, in general it is a very hard problem to calculate the precise order of convergence of the discrepancy  $D_N$  of  $(\{a_n \alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  for almost all  $\alpha$ . By a result of R. C. Baker this discrepancy always satisfies  $ND_N = \mathcal{O}(N^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon})$  for almost all  $\alpha$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In the present note for arbitrary  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  we construct a sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that for almost all  $\alpha$  we have  $ND_N = \mathcal{O}(N^\gamma)$  and  $ND_N = \Omega(N^{\gamma - \varepsilon})$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , thereby proving that any prescribed metric discrepancy behavior within the admissible range can actually be realized.

**Keywords** Discrepancy theory · Metric number theory

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 11K38 · 11J83

## 1 Introduction

Weyl [12] proved that for every sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of distinct positive integers the sequence  $(\{a_n \alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo one for almost all reals  $\alpha$ . Here, and in the sequel,  $\{\cdot\}$  denotes the fractional part function. The speed of convergence

---

Communicated by J. Schoißengeier.

✉ Christoph Aistleitner  
christoph.aistleitner@jku.at

Gerhard Larcher  
gerhard.larcher@jku.at

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Financial Mathematics and Applied Number Theory, University Linz, Linz, Austria

towards the uniform distribution is measured in terms of the discrepancy, which—for an arbitrary sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of points in  $[0, 1)$ —is defined by

$$D_N = D_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sup_{0 \leq a < b \leq 1} \left| \frac{\mathcal{A}_N([a, b))}{N} - (b - a) \right|,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_N([a, b)) := \#\{1 \leq n \leq N \mid x_n \in [a, b)\}$ . For a given sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  it is usually a very hard and challenging problem to give sharp estimates for the discrepancy  $D_N$  of  $(\{a_n \alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  valid for almost all  $\alpha$ . For general background on uniform distribution theory and discrepancy theory see for example the monographs [6, 9].

A famous result of Baker [3] states that for any sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of distinct positive integers for the discrepancy  $D_N$  of  $(\{a_n \alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  we have

$$ND_N = \mathcal{O}(N^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log N)^{\frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon}) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty \quad (1)$$

for almost all  $\alpha$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Note that (1) is a general upper bound which holds for *all* sequences  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ ; however, for some specific sequences the precise typical order of decay of the discrepancy of  $(\{a_n \alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  can differ significantly from the upper bound in (1). The fact that (1) is essentially optimal (apart from logarithmic factors) as a general result covering all possible sequences can for example be seen by considering so-called lacunary sequences  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ , i.e., sequences for which  $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \geq 1 + \delta$  for a fixed  $\delta > 0$  and all  $n$  large enough. In this case for  $D_N$  we have

$$\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{ND_N}{\sqrt{2N \log \log N}} \leq c_\delta$$

for almost all  $\alpha$  (see [10]), which shows that the exponent  $1/2$  of  $N$  on the right-hand side of (1) cannot be reduced for this type of sequence. For more information concerning possible improvements of the logarithmic factor in (1), see [5].

Quite recently in [2] it was shown that also for a large class of sequences with polynomial growth behavior Baker's result is essentially best possible. For example, the following result was shown there: let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree larger or equal to 2. Then for the discrepancy  $D_N$  of  $(\{f(n)\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  for almost all  $\alpha$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$ND_N = \Omega(N^{\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon}).$$

On the other hand there is the classical example of the Kronecker sequence, i.e.,  $a_n = n$ , which shows that the actual metric discrepancy behavior of  $(\{a_n \alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  can differ vastly from the general upper bound in (1). Namely, for the discrepancy of the sequence  $(\{n\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  for almost all  $\alpha$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$ND_N = \mathcal{O}(\log N (\log \log N)^{1 + \varepsilon}), \quad (2)$$

which follows from classical results of Khintchine in the metric theory of continued fractions (for even more precise results, see [11]). The estimate (2) of course also holds for  $a_n = f(n)$  with  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  of degree 1. In [2] further examples for  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  were given, where  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  has polynomial growth behavior of arbitrary degree, such that for the discrepancy of  $(\{a_n \alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  we have

$$ND_N = \mathcal{O}((\log N)^{2+\varepsilon})$$

for almost all  $\alpha$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; see there for more details.

These results may seduce to the hypothesis that for all choices of  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  for the discrepancy of  $(\{a_n \alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  for almost all  $\alpha$  we either have

$$ND_N = \mathcal{O}(N^\varepsilon) \tag{3}$$

or

$$ND_N = \Omega(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}). \tag{4}$$

This hypothesis, however, is wrong as was shown in [1]: let  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be the sequence of those positive integers with an even sum of digits in base 2, sorted in increasing order; that is  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1} = (3, 5, 6, 9, 10, \dots)$ . Then for the discrepancy of  $(\{a_n \alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  for almost all  $\alpha$  we have

$$ND_N = \mathcal{O}(N^{\kappa+\varepsilon})$$

and

$$ND_N = \Omega(N^{\kappa-\varepsilon})$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $\kappa$  is a constant with  $\kappa \approx 0.404$ . Interestingly, the precise value of  $\kappa$  is unknown; see [8] for the background.

The aim of the present paper is to show that the example above is not a singular counter-example, but that indeed “everything” between (3) and (4) is possible. More precisely, we will show the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of positive integers such that for the discrepancy of the sequence  $(\{a_n \alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  for almost all  $\alpha$  we have*

$$ND_N = \mathcal{O}(N^\gamma)$$

and

$$ND_N = \Omega(N^{\gamma-\varepsilon})$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

## 2 Proof of the theorem

For the proof we need an auxiliary result which easily follows from classical work of Behnke [4].

**Lemma 1** *Let  $(e_k)_{k \geq 1}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then for almost all  $\alpha$  there is a constant  $K(\alpha, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $M_r \leq e_r$  such that for the discrepancy of the sequence  $(\{n^2\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  we have*

$$M_r D_{M_r} \geq K(\alpha, \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{e_r}{(\log e_r)^{1+\varepsilon}}}.$$

*Proof* For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $a_k(\alpha)$  denote the  $k$ th continued fraction coefficient in the continued fraction expansion of  $\alpha$ . Then it is well-known that for almost all  $\alpha$  we have  $a_k(\alpha) = \mathcal{O}(k^{1+\varepsilon})$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given and let  $\alpha$  and  $c(\alpha, \varepsilon)$  be such that

$$a_k(\alpha) \leq c(\alpha, \varepsilon) k^{1+\varepsilon} \tag{5}$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ .

Let  $q_l$  the  $l$ th best approximation denominator of  $\alpha$ . Then

$$q_{l+1} \leq (c(\alpha, \varepsilon) l^{1+\varepsilon} + 1)q_l. \tag{6}$$

Since  $q_l \geq 2^{\frac{l}{2}}$  in any case, we have  $l \leq \frac{2 \log q_l}{\log 2}$ , and we obtain

$$q_{l+1} \leq c_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) q_l (\log q_l)^{1+\varepsilon}, \tag{7}$$

for an appropriate constant  $c_1(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ . In [4] it was shown in Satz XVII that for every real  $\alpha$  we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i n^2 \alpha} \right| = \Omega(N^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Indeed, if we follow the proof of this theorem we find that even the following was shown: for every  $\alpha$  and for every best approximation denominator  $q_l$  of  $\alpha$  there exists an  $Y_l < \sqrt{q_l}$  such that  $|\sum_{n=1}^{Y_l} e^{2\pi i n^2 \alpha}| \geq c_{\text{abs}} \sqrt{q_l}$ . Here  $c_{\text{abs}}$  is a positive absolute constant (not depending on  $\alpha$ ).

Let now  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  be given and let  $l$  be such that  $q_l \leq e_r < q_{l+1}$ , and let  $M_r := Y_l$  from above. Then by (6) and (7) we obtain, for an appropriate constant  $c_2(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{M_r} e^{2\pi i n^2 \alpha} \right| &\geq c_{\text{abs}} \sqrt{q_l} \\ &\geq c_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{q_{l+1}}{(\log q_l)^{1+\varepsilon}}} \\ &\geq c_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{e_l}{(\log e_l)^{1+\varepsilon}}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that (see Chapter 2, Corollary 5.1 of [9])

$$M_r D_{M_r} \geq \frac{1}{4} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{M_r} e^{2\pi i n^2 \alpha} \right|,$$

which is a special case of Koksma’s inequality, the result follows. □

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1* Let  $(m_j)_{j \geq 1}$  and  $(e_j)_{j \geq 1}$  be two strictly increasing sequences of positive integers, which will be determined later. We will consider the following strictly increasing sequence of positive integers, which will be our sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &1, 2, 3, \dots, \underbrace{m_1}_{=:A_1}, \\ &A_1 + 1^2, A_1 + 2^2, A_1 + 3^2, A_1 + 4^2, \dots, \underbrace{A_1 + e_1^2}_{=:B_1}, \\ &B_1 + 1, B_1 + 2, B_1 + 3, \dots, \underbrace{B_1 + m_2}_{=:A_2}, \\ &A_2 + 1^2, A_2 + 2^2, A_2 + 3^2, A_2 + 4^2, \dots, \underbrace{A_2 + e_2^2}_{=:B_2}, \\ &B_2 + 1, B_2 + 2, B_2 + 3, \dots, \underbrace{B_2 + m_3}_{=:A_3}, \\ &A_3 + 1^2, A_3 + 2^2, A_3 + 3^2, A_3 + 4^2, \dots, \underbrace{A_3 + e_3^2}_{=:B_3}, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let

$$F_s := \sum_{i=1}^s m_i + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} e_i \quad \text{and} \quad E_s := \sum_{i=1}^s m_i + \sum_{i=1}^s e_i.$$

The sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is constructed in such a way that it contains sections where it grows like  $(n)_{n \geq 1}$  as well as sections where it grows like  $(n^2)_{n \geq 1}$ . By this construction we exploit both the strong upper bounds for the discrepancy of  $(\{n\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  and the strong lower bounds for the discrepancy of  $(\{n^2\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$ , in an appropriately balanced way, in order to obtain the desired discrepancy behavior of the sequence  $(\{a_n\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$ . In our argument we will repeatedly make use of the fact that

$$D_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) = D_N(\{x_1 + \beta\}, \dots, \{x_N + \beta\}) \tag{8}$$

for arbitrary  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in [0, 1]$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , which allows us to transfer the discrepancy bounds for  $(\{n\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(\{n^2\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  directly to the shifted sequences  $(\{(M + n)\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(\{(M + n^2)\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  for some integer  $M$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be such that it satisfies (5) with  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then it is also well-known (see for example [9]) that for the discrepancy  $D_N$  of the sequence  $(\{n\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  we have

$$ND_N \leq \bar{c}_1(\alpha) (\log N)^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{9}$$

for all  $N \geq 2$ .

By the above mentioned general result of Baker, that is by (1), we know that for almost all  $\alpha$  for the discrepancy  $D_N$  of the sequence  $(\{n^2\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  we have

$$ND_N \leq c_3(\alpha, \varepsilon) N^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log N)^{\frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon}$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all  $N \geq 2$ , for an appropriate constant  $c_3(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ . Actually an even slightly sharper estimate was given for the special case of the sequence  $(\{n^2\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$  by Fiedler et al. [7], who proved that

$$ND_N \leq c_4(\alpha, \varepsilon) N^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log N)^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon} \tag{10}$$

for almost all  $\alpha$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $N \geq 2$ .

Assume that  $\alpha$  satisfies (10) with  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{8}$ . Then

$$ND_N \leq \bar{c}_2(\alpha) N^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log N)^{\frac{3}{8}} \tag{11}$$

for all  $N \geq 2$ . Now for such  $\alpha$  and for arbitrary  $N$  we consider the discrepancy  $D_N$  of the sequence  $(\{a_n\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$ .

*Case 1* Let  $N = F_l$  for some  $l$ . Then  $ND_N \leq E_{l-1}D_{E_{l-1}} + (N - E_{l-1})D_{E_{l-1}, F_l}$ , where  $D_{x,y}$  denotes the discrepancy of the point set  $(\{a_n\alpha\})_{n=x+1, x+2, \dots, y}$ . Hence by (8), (9) and by the trivial estimate  $D_{B_{l-1}} \leq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 ND_N &\leq E_{l-1} + \bar{c}_1(\alpha) (\log m_l)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
 &\leq 2 (\log m_l)^2 \\
 &\leq 2 (\log N)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $l$  large enough, provided that [condition (i)]  $m_l$  is chosen such that  $(\log m_l)^2 \geq E_{l-1}$ .

*Case 2* Let  $F_l < N \leq E_l$  for some  $l$ . Then by Case 1 and by (8) and (11) we have for  $l$  large enough that

$$\begin{aligned}
 ND_N &\leq F_l D_{F_l} + (N - F_l) D_{F_l, N} \\
 &\leq 2 (\log F_l)^2 + \bar{c}_2(\alpha) (N - F_l)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log(N - F_l))^{\frac{3}{8}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $0 < N - F_l < e_l$ .

We choose [condition (ii)]

$$e_l := \left\lceil \frac{F_l^{2\gamma}}{\log(F_l^{2\gamma})} \right\rceil. \tag{12}$$

Note that conditions (i) and (ii) do not depend on  $\alpha$ . Now assume that  $l$  is so large that  $2 (\log F_l)^2 < \frac{F_l^\gamma}{2}$ . Then

$$\frac{F_l^\gamma}{2} \leq 2 (\log F_l)^2 + (e_l \log e_l)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2 F_l^\gamma$$

and (note that  $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ )

$$F_l < N \leq E_l = F_l + e_l \leq 2 F_l. \tag{13}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 ND_N &\leq \max(1, \bar{c}_2(\alpha)) 2 F_l^\gamma \\
 &\leq \max(1, \bar{c}_2(\alpha)) 2 N^\gamma.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Case 3* Let  $E_l < N < F_{l+1}$  for some  $l$ . Then by Case 2 and by (8) and (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 ND_N &\leq E_l D_{E_l} + (N - E_l) D_{E_l, N} \\
 &\leq 2 \max(1, \bar{c}_2(\alpha)) E_l^\gamma + \bar{c}_1(\alpha) (\log(N - E_l))^2 \\
 &\leq 3 \max(1, \bar{c}_2(\alpha)) N^\gamma
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $N$  large enough.

It remains to show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $ND_N \geq N^{\gamma-\varepsilon}$  for infinitely many  $N$ . Let  $l$  be given and let  $M_l \leq e_l$  with the properties given in Lemma 1. Let  $N := F_l + M_l$ . Then by Lemma 1, Case 1, (8), (12) and (13) for  $l$  large enough we have

$$\begin{aligned}
ND_N &\geq M_l D_{F_l, N} - F_l D_{F_l} \\
&\geq K(\alpha, \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{e_l}{(\log e_l)^{1+\varepsilon}}} - 2(\log m_l)^2 \\
&\geq \frac{F_l^\gamma}{(\log F_l)^3} \\
&\geq N^{\gamma-\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments** Open access funding provided by Austrian Science Fund (FWF). C. Aistleitner is supported by a Schrödinger scholarship of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), and by FWF Project I1751-N26. C. Aistleitner and G. Larcher are supported by FWF Project F5507-N26, which is part of the Special Research Program “Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications”.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

1. Aistleitner, C., Hofer, R., Larcher, G.: On parametric Thue-Morse sequences and lacunary trigonometric products. [arXiv:1502.06738](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.06738)
2. Aistleitner, C., Larcher, G.: Metric results on the discrepancy of sequences  $(a_n \alpha)_{n \geq 1}$  modulo one for integer sequences  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of polynomial growth. *Mathematika* (To appear). [arXiv:1507.00207](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00207)
3. Baker, R.C.: Metric number theory and the large sieve. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **24**(1), 34–40 (1981)
4. Behnke, H.: Zur Theorie der diophantischen Approximationen. *Abh. Math. Sem. Hambg.* **3**, 261–318 (1924)
5. Berkes, I., Philipp, W.: The size of trigonometric and Walsh series and uniform distribution mod 1. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **50**(3), 454–464 (1994)
6. Drmota, M., Tichy, R.F.: Sequences, discrepancies and applications. In: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1651. Springer, Berlin (1997)
7. Fiedler, H., Jurkat, W., Körner, O.: Asymptotic expansions of finite theta series. *Acta Arith.* **32**, 129–146 (1977)
8. Fouvry, E., Mauduit, C.: Sommes des chiffres et nombres presque premiers. *Math. Ann.* **305**(3), 571–599 (1996)
9. Kuipers, L., Niederreiter, H.: *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*. Wiley, New York (1974)
10. Philipp, W.: Limit theorems for lacunary series and uniform distribution mod 1. *Acta Arith.* **26**(3), 241–251 (1974/1975)
11. Schoissengeier, J.: A metrical result on the discrepancy of  $(n\alpha)$ . *Glasg. Math. J.* **40**(3), 393–425 (1998)
12. Weyl, H.: Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen modulo Eins. *Math. Ann.* **77**, 313–352 (1916)