RESEARCH





Tracial and majorisation Heinz mean-type inequalities for matrices

Seyed Mahmoud Manjegani*

*Correspondence: manjgani@cc.iut.ac.ir Department of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, 84156-13111, Iran

Abstract

The Heinz mean for every nonnegative real numbers a, b and every $0 \le \nu \le 1$ is $H_{\nu}(a,b) = \frac{a^{\nu}b^{1-\nu}+a^{1-\nu}b^{\nu}}{2}$. In this paper we present tracial Heinz mean-type inequalities for positive definite matrices and apply it to prove a majorisation version of the Heinz mean inequality.

MSC: Primary 15A42; secondary 15A45

Keywords: matrix inequality; singular values; trace; majorisation

1 Introduction

The arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for two positive real numbers a, b is $\sqrt{ab} \le \frac{a+b}{2}$, where equality holds if and only if a = b. Heinz means, introduced in [1], are means that interpolate in a certain way between the arithmetic and geometric mean. For every nonnegative real numbers a, b and $0 \le v \le 1$, the Heinz mean is defined as

$$H_{\nu}(a,b) = \frac{a^{\nu}b^{1-\nu} + a^{1-\nu}b^{\nu}}{2}$$

The function H_{ν} is symmetric about the point $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$. Note that $H_0(a, b) = H_1(a, b) = \frac{a+b}{2}$, $H_{\frac{1}{2}}(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$, and

$$H_{\frac{1}{2}}(a,b) \le H_{\nu}(a,b) \le H_{1}(a,b)$$
 (1)

for every $0 \le v \le 1$, and equality holds if and only if a = b.

Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the space of all $n \times n$ matrices. We shall denote the eigenvalues and singular values of a matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by $\lambda_j(A)$ and $\sigma_j(A)$, respectively. We assume that singular values are sorted in non-increasing order. For two Hermitian matrices $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $A \ge B$ means that A - B is positive semi-definite. In particular, $A \ge 0$ means A is positive semi-definite. Let us write A > 0 when A is positive definite. |A| shall denote the modulus $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\operatorname{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_j(A)$.

The basic properties of singular values and trace function that some of them are used to establish the matrix inequalities in this paper are collected in the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1 Assume that $X, Y \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^+, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and j = 1, 2, ..., n.

© 2016 Mahmoud Manjegani. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



- (1) $\sigma_j(X) = \sigma_j(X^*) = \sigma_j(|X|) = and \sigma_j(\alpha X) = |\alpha|\sigma_j(X).$
- (2) If $A \leq B$, then $\sigma_i(A) \leq \sigma_i(B)$.
- (3) $\sigma_i(X^r) = (\sigma_i(X))^r$, for every positive real number r.
- (4) $\sigma_i(XY^*) = \sigma_i(YX^*).$
- (5) $\sigma_j(XY) \le ||X||\sigma_j(Y).$
- (6) $\sigma_j(YXY^*) \le ||Y||^2 \sigma_j(X).$

Theorem 1.2 Assume that $X, Y \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

- (1) tr(X + Y) = tr(X) + tr(Y).
- (2) $\operatorname{tr}(XY) = \operatorname{tr}(YX)$.
- (3) $\operatorname{tr}(X) \ge 0$, and for $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^+$, $\operatorname{tr}(A) = 0$ only if A = 0.

The absolute value for matrices does not satisfy $|XY| = |X| \cdot |Y|$; however, a weaker version of this is the following:

If Y = U|Y| is the polar decomposition of *Y*, with unitary *U*, then

$$\left|XY^*\right| = U\left|\left(|X| \cdot |Y|\right)\right| U^* \tag{2}$$

and

$$\lambda_j (|XY^*|) = \sigma_j (|X| \cdot |Y|). \tag{3}$$

The Young inequality is among the most important inequalities in matrix theory. We present here the following theorem from [2, 3].

Theorem 1.3 Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be positive semi-definite. If p, q > 1 with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$, then

$$\sigma_j(AB) \le \sigma_j\left(\frac{1}{p}A^p + \frac{1}{q}B^q\right) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
(4)

where equality holds if and only if $A^p = B^q$.

Corollary 1.4 Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be positive semi-definite. If p, q > 1 with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$, then

$$\operatorname{tr}(|AB|) \le \frac{1}{p}\operatorname{tr}(A^p) + \frac{1}{q}\operatorname{tr}(B^q),\tag{5}$$

where equality holds if and only if $A^p = B^q$.

Another interesting inequality is the following version of the triangle inequality for the matrix absolute value [1, 4].

Theorem 1.5 Let X and Y be $n \times n$ matrices, then there exist unitaries U, V such that

$$|X+Y| \le U|X|U^* + V|Y|V^*.$$
(6)

We are interested to find what types of inequalities (1) hold for positive semi-definite matrices *A*, *B*? For example, do we have

$$\sqrt{|AB|} \le \left| H_{\nu}(A,B) \right| \le H_1(A,B)? \tag{7}$$

Or do we have

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \le \sigma_j(H_\nu(A,B)) \le \lambda_j(H_1(A,B))?$$
(8)

Here

$$H_{\nu}(A,B) = \frac{A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu} + A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}}{2}.$$

Bhatia and Davis [5] extended inequality (1) to the matrix case, they showed that it holds for positive semi-definite matrices, in the following form:

$$|||A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}}||| \le |||H_{\nu}(A,B)||| \le |||\frac{A+B}{2}|||,$$
(9)

where $\||\cdot\||$ is any invariant unitary norm. An example shows that the first inequality in (9), to singular values, does not hold [6]. One of the results in the present article is a version of Heinz mean-type inequalities for matrices in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6 Let A, B be two positive semi-definite matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{|AB|}) \leq \operatorname{tr}(H_1(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|)) \leq \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A, B)).$$

Equality holds if and only if A = B.

For a real vector $X = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, let $X^{\downarrow} = (x_1^{\downarrow}, x_2^{\downarrow}, ..., x_n^{\downarrow})$ be the decreasing rearrangement of *X*. Let *X* and *Y* are two vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , we say *X* is (weakly) *submajorised* by *Y*, in symbols $X \prec_w Y$, if

$$\sum_{j=1}^k x_j^{\downarrow} \le \sum_{j=1}^k y_j^{\downarrow}, \quad 1 \le k \le n.$$

X is *majorised* by *Y*, in symbols $X \prec Y$, if *X* is submajorised by *Y* and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\downarrow} = \sum_{j=1}^n y_j^{\downarrow}.$$

Definition 1.7 If $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then we write $A \prec_w B$ to denote that A is *weakly majorised* by B, meaning that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(A) \le \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(B), \quad \text{for all } 1 \le k \le n.$$

If $A \prec_w B$ and

$$\mathrm{tr}(|A|) = \mathrm{tr}(|B|),$$

then we say that *A* is *majorised* by *B*, in symbols $A \prec B$.

Let S(A) denote the *n*-vector whose coordinates are the singular values of *A*. Then we write $A \prec_w B$ ($A \prec B$) when $S(A) \prec_w S(B)$ ($S(A) \prec S(B)$).

The following theorem has been proved in [1].

Theorem 1.8 If X and Y are two matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$S^{r}(XY) \prec_{w} S^{r}(X)S^{r}(Y) \quad \text{for all } r > 0.$$

$$\tag{10}$$

2 Main results

We present here the matrix inequalities that we will use in the proof of our main results. The next theorem has been proved in [6].

Theorem 2.1 For positive semi-definite matrices A and B and for all j = 1, 2, ..., n

$$\sigma_j(H_\nu(A,B)) \leq \sigma_j(H_1(A,B)),$$

for every $v \in [0,1]$ *.*

Thus, this proves that the second inequality in (8) holds. The arithmetic-geometric mean inequality

$$\sqrt{ab} \le \frac{a+b}{2}$$

is used in the matrix setting, much of this is associated with Bhatia and Kittaneh. They established the next inequality in [7]:

$$\sigma_j(A^*B) \le \lambda_j\left(\frac{AA^* + BB^*}{2}\right),\tag{11}$$

where *A* and *B* are two matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. They also studied many possible versions of this inequality in [8], and put a lot of emphasis on what they described as level three inequalities [9]. Drury [10] answered to the key question in this area in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 For positive semi-definite matrices A and B in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and for all j = 1, 2, ..., n

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \leq \lambda_j (H_1(A, B)).$$

We will show that in both Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 equality holds if and only if A = B. It is still unknown whether

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \le \sigma_j(H_\nu(A,B))$$

for every $\nu \in (0, 1)$. However, by using Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we present a different version of this inequality.

Lemma 2.3 For positive semi-definite matrices A and B in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and for all j = 1, 2, ..., n

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \le \lambda_j \left(H_1(\left| A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} \right|, \left| A^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} \right| \right) \right)$$
(12)

for every $v \in (0,1)$.

Proof We first aim to show that

$$\sigma_j(AB) \leq \sigma_j(A^{1-\nu}A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}).$$

We have

$$\sigma_{j}(AB) = \sigma_{j} \left(A^{1-\nu} A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} A^{1-\nu} A^{\nu-1} \right)$$

$$\leq \|A\|^{1-\nu} \sigma_{j} \left(A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} A^{1-\nu} \right) \|A\|^{\nu-1} \quad \text{(by part (5) Theorem 1.1)}.$$
(13)

As $\nu - 1 < 0$, the matrix $A^{\nu-1}$ exists only if A is invertible. Therefore, to prove (13) we shall assume that A is invertible. This assumption entails no loss in generality, for if A were not invertible, then we could replace A by $A + \varepsilon I$, which is invertible and which satisfies $\sigma_j((A + \varepsilon I)B) \rightarrow \sigma_j(AB)$ for every $B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and j = 1, 2, ..., n. Thus, (13) is achieved for noninvertible A as a limiting case of (13) using the invertibility of A.

By using equation (3), we get

$$\sigma_j \left(A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} A^{1-\nu} \right) = \sigma_j \left(\left| A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} \right| \cdot \left| A^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} \right| \right).$$

Hence, by using Theorem 2.2,

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \le \sqrt{\sigma_j(\left|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}\right| \cdot \left|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}\right|)} \le \lambda_j(H_1(\left|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}\right|, \left|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}\right|)).$$

Remark 2.4 Note that Lemma 2.3 generalizes Theorem 2.2, in fact, it is the special case with v = 1 of Lemma 2.3.

Theorem 2.5 Let A, B be two positive semi-definite matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\sqrt{|AB|}\right) \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(H_1\left(\left|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}\right|, \left|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}\right|\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(H_1(A, B)\right).$$

Proof By the definition of the trace, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{|AB|}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \sqrt{|AB|}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \quad \text{(by part (3) Theorem 1.1)}$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \left(H_1(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|) \right)$$

$= \operatorname{tr}(H_{1}(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|)) \quad (\text{using inequality (12)})$ $= \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu})$ $\leq \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{tr}(\nu A + (1-\nu)B) + \operatorname{tr}(\nu B + (1-\nu)A)).$

We applied (1.4) with $p = \frac{1}{\nu}$ and $q = \frac{1}{1-\nu}$ for the first summand, and $q = \frac{1}{\nu}$ and $p = \frac{1}{1-\nu}$ for the second one.

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{|AB|}) \leq \operatorname{tr}(H_1(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|))$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(\nu \operatorname{tr}(A) + (1-\nu)\operatorname{tr}(B) + (1-\nu)\operatorname{tr}(A) + \nu \operatorname{tr}(B))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(A+B) = \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A,B)).$$

Theorem 2.6 If $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ are two positive semi-definite matrices and $0 \le \nu \le 1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $\operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{|AB|}) = \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A, B)).$ (2) $\operatorname{tr}(H_1(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|)) = \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A, B)).$ (3) $\operatorname{tr}(|H_{\nu}(A, B)|) = \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A, B)).$ (4) A = B.

Proof We shall show that $(1) \Longrightarrow (2) \Longrightarrow (4) \Longrightarrow (1)$ and $(3) \Longrightarrow (2) \Longrightarrow (4) \Longrightarrow (3)$.

Let $tr(\sqrt{|AB|}) = tr(H_1(A, B))$. Then the arguments of the proof of the above theorem implies

$$tr(H_1(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|)) = tr(H_1(A, B)).$$

If the equation in part (2) holds, then from what was proved in the last theorem we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A,B)) &= \operatorname{tr}(H_1(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}| + |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{tr}(\nu A + (1-\nu)B) + \operatorname{tr}(\nu B + (1-\nu)A)) = \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A,B)). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{tr}(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|) + \operatorname{tr}(|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|) = \operatorname{tr}(\nu A + (1-\nu)B) + \operatorname{tr}(\nu B + (1-\nu)A).$$
(14)

By Corollary 1.4, this equality holds if and only if

$$\operatorname{tr}(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|) = \operatorname{tr}(\nu A + (1-\nu)B) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{tr}(|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|) = \operatorname{tr}(\nu B + (1-\nu)A),$$

and therefore $A^{1-\nu} = B^{\nu}$, $B^{1-\nu} = A^{\nu}$, which implies A = B. It is clear that (4) \Longrightarrow (1).

Now, we try to show that $(3) \Longrightarrow (2) \Longrightarrow (4) \Longrightarrow (3)$. Therefore assume (3): tr $(|H_{\nu}(A, B)|) =$ tr $(H_1(A, B))$. Then

$$\operatorname{tr}(H_{1}(A,B)) = \operatorname{tr}(|H_{\nu}(A,B)|)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu} + A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2}[\operatorname{tr}(U|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|U^{*}) + \operatorname{tr}(V^{*}|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|V)] \text{ (by the triangle inequality(6))}$$

for some unitaries *U* and $V \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Thus,

$$\operatorname{tr}(H_1(A,B)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}| + |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|)$$
$$= \operatorname{tr}(H_1(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|))$$
$$\leq \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A,B)) \quad \text{(by Theorem 2.5),}$$

thereby proving (2). (2) \implies (4) was shown in the first part. It is clear that (4) \implies (3). \Box

The following two corollaries are almost immediate from Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.7 For positive semi-definite matrices A and B in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and for all j = 1, 2, ..., n

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} = \lambda_j (H_1(A,B)),$$

if and only if A = B.

Corollary 2.8 For positive semi-definite matrices A and B in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and for all j = 1, 2, ..., n

$$\sigma_j(H_\nu(A,B)) = \lambda_j(H_1(A,B)),$$

for $v \in [0,1]$ if and only if A = B.

We do not know whether

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \le \sigma_j(H_\nu(A,B)) \le \lambda_j(H_1(A,B))$$

for every $\nu \in [0,1]$.

To answer this question, just we need to know whether

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \le \sigma_j(H_\nu(A,B))$$

for every $\nu \in [0,1]$.

In the rest of this paper, we apply the results of singular value inequalities for the means to present a new majorisation version of the means.

Lemma 2.9 Let A and B be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then

$$S^{\frac{1}{2}}(AB) \prec_w \frac{1}{2} \bigl(S(A) + S(B) \bigr).$$

Proof By Theorem 1.8,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j (AB)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j (A)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_j (B)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for every } 1 \le k \le n.$$

By using an arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for singular values of A and B,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(AB)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2}\lambda_j(A) + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2}\lambda_j(B) \quad \text{for every } 1 \le k \le n.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j(AB)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_j(A) + \lambda_j(B) \quad \text{for every } 1 \le k \le n$$

which implies $S^{\frac{1}{2}}(AB) \prec_w \frac{1}{2}(S(A) + S(B))$.

Lemma 2.10 If A and $B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\sqrt{|AB|} \prec_w H_1(A,B).$$

Proof It is direct result of the definition of the majorisation and Theorem 2.2. \Box

Lemma 2.11 If A and B are positive semi-definite $\in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$H_{\nu}(A,B) \prec_{w} H_{1}(A,B).$$

Proof It is direct result of definition of the majorisation and Theorem 2.1.

It is interesting to know whether

$$\sqrt{|AB|} \prec_{w} H_i(A, B).$$

Lemma 2.12 If A and B are positive semi-definite $\in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\sqrt{|AB|} \prec_{\scriptscriptstyle W} H_1(|A^{\scriptscriptstyle \nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\scriptscriptstyle \nu}|).$$

Proof It is direct result of definition of the majorisation and Lemma 2.3. \Box

The results to this point lead to the following theorem about majorisation for positive definite matrices.

Theorem 2.13 For every two positive matrices A and B in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $S^{\frac{1}{2}}(AB) \prec \frac{1}{2}(S(A) + S(B)).$
- (2) $\sqrt{|AB|} \prec (H_1(A,B)).$
- (3) $H_{\nu}(A,B) \prec H_1(A,B)$.
- (4) $\sqrt{|AB|} \prec_{w} H_1(|A^{v}B^{1-v}|, |A^{1-v}B^{v}|).$
- (5) A = B.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Department of Mathematical Sciences at Isfahan University of Technology, Iran.

Received: 14 November 2015 Accepted: 7 January 2016 Published online: 21 January 2016

References

- 1. Bhatia, R: Matrix Analysis. Springer, New York (1997)
- 2. Ando, T: Matrix Young inequalities. Oper. Theory, Adv. Appl. 75, 33-38 (1995)
- 3. Hirzallah, O, Kittaneh, F: Matrix Young inequalities for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Linear Algebra Appl. 308, 77-84 (2000)
- 4. Thompson, RC: Convex and concave functions of singular values of matrix sums. Pac. J. Math. 66, 285-290 (1976) 5. Bhatia, R, Davis, C: More matrix forms of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 14,
- 132-136 (1993) 6. Audenaert, KMR: A singular value inequality for Heinz mean. Linear Algebra Appl. 422, 279-283 (2007)
- 7. Bhatia, R, Kittaneh, F: On the singular values of a product of operators. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 11, 272-277 (1990) 8. Bhatia, R, Kittaneh, F: Notes on matrix arithmetic-geometric mean inequalities. Linear Algebra Appl. 308, 203-211
- (2000)9. Bhatia, R, Kittaneh, F: On the singular values of a product of operators. Linear Algebra Appl. 428, 2177-2191 (2008)
- 10. Drury, SW: On a question of Bhatia and Kittaneh. Linear Algebra Appl. 437, 1955-1960 (2012)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com