Hindawi Publishing Corporation Abstract and Applied Analysis Volume 2012, Article ID 572493, 13 pages doi:10.1155/2012/572493

Research Article

Convergence Rates in the Strong Law of Large Numbers for Martingale Difference Sequences

Xuejun Wang, Shuhe Hu, Wenzhi Yang, and Xinghui Wang

School of Mathematical Science, Anhui University, Hefei 230039, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xinghui Wang, wangxinghuial@163.com

Received 31 May 2012; Accepted 14 June 2012

Academic Editor: Sung Guen Kim

Copyright © 2012 Xuejun Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the complete convergence and complete moment convergence for martingale difference sequence. Especially, we get the Baum-Katz-type Theorem and Hsu-Robbins-type Theorem for martingale difference sequence. As a result, the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund strong law of large numbers for martingale difference sequence is obtained. Our results generalize the corresponding ones of Stoica (2007, 2011).

1. Introduction

The concept of complete convergence was introduced by Hsu and Robbins [1] as follows. A sequence of random variables $\{U_n, n \geq 1\}$ is said to *converge completely* to a constant C if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|U_n - C| > \varepsilon\} < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. In view of the Borel-Cantelli lemma, this implies that $U_n \to C$ almost surely (a.s.). The converse is true if the $\{U_n, n \geq 1\}$ are independent. Hsu and Robbins [1] proved that the sequence of arithmetic means of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables converges completely to the expected value if the variance of the summands is finite. Erdös [2] proved the converse. The result of Hsu-Robbins-Erdös is a fundamental theorem in probability theory and has been generalized and extended in several directions by many authors. One of the most important generalizations is Baum and Katz [3] for the strong law of large numbers as follows.

Theorem A (see Baum and Katz [3]). Let $\alpha > 1/2$ and let $\alpha p > 1$. Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables. Assume further that $EX_1 = 0$ if $\alpha \le 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i)
$$E|X_1|^p < \infty$$
,

(ii)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p-2} P(\max_{1 \le k \le n} |\sum_{i=1}^k X_i| > \varepsilon n^{\alpha}) < \infty \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Motivated by Baum and Katz [3] for independent and identically distributed random variables, many authors studied the Baum-Katz-type Theorem for dependent random variables; see, for example, φ -mixing random variables, ρ -mixing random variables, negatively associated random variables, martingale difference sequence, and so forth.

Our emphasis in the paper is focused on the Baum-Katz-type Theorem for martingale difference sequence. Recently, Stoica [4, 5] considered the following series that describes the rate of convergence in the strong law of large numbers:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right| > \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right). \tag{1.1}$$

They obtained the follow results.

Theorem B (see Stoica [4]). Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be an L^p -bounded martingale difference sequence, and let $0 < 1/\alpha < 2 < p$. Then series (1.1) converges for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem C (see Stoica [5]). (i) Let $1 , <math>1 \le 1/\alpha \le p$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the series (1.1) converges for any martingale difference sequence $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ bounded in L^p .

(ii) Let $p = \alpha = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the series (1.1) converges for any martingale difference sequence $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ satisfying $\sup_{n \ge 1} E(|X_n| \ln^+ |X_n|) < \infty$.

The main purpose of the paper is to further study the Baum-Katz-type Theorem for martingale difference sequence. We have the following generalizations.

- (i) Our results include Baum-Katz-type Theorem and Hsu-Robbins-type Theorem (see Hsu and Robbins [1]) as special cases.
- (ii) Our results generalize Theorems B and C for the partial sum to the case of maximal partial sum.
- (iii) Our results not only generalize Theorem B for $0 < 1/\alpha < 2 < p$ and Theorem C (i) for $1 , <math>1 \le 1/\alpha \le p$ to the case of $\alpha > 1/2$, p > 1 and $\alpha p \ge 1$ but also generalize Theorem C (ii) for $\alpha = 1$ to the case of $\alpha \ge 1$.

Throughout the paper, let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables defined on a fixed probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Denote $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, $S_0 = 0$, $\ln^+ x = \ln \max(x, e)$, $x^+ = xI(x \geq 0)$, and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$. $a_n \ll b_n$ stands for $a_n = O(b_n)$. C, C_1 – C_4 denote positive constants which may be different in various places. $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of x. Let I(A) be the indicator function of the set A.

Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ be an increasing sequence of σ fields with $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$ for each $n \geq 1$. If X_n is \mathcal{F}_n measurable for each $n \geq 1$, then σ fields $\{\mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ are said to be adapted to the sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$, and $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ is said to be an adapted stochastic sequence.

Definition 1.1. If $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ is an adapted stochastic sequence with

$$E(X_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{1.2}$$

and $E|X_n| < \infty$ for each $n \ge 1$, then the sequence $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \ge 1\}$ is called a martingale difference sequence.

The following two definitions will be used frequently in the paper.

Definition 1.2. A real-valued function l(x), positive and measurable on $(0, \infty)$, is said to be slowly varying if

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{l(x\lambda)}{l(x)} = 1 \tag{1.3}$$

for each $\lambda > 0$.

Definition 1.3. A sequence $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ of random variables is said to be stochastically dominated by a random variable X if there exists a positive constant C, such that

$$P(|X_n| > x) \le CP(|X| > x) \tag{1.4}$$

for all $x \ge 0$ and $n \ge 1$.

Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.4. Let $\alpha > 1/2$, p > 1 and let $\alpha p \ge 1$. Let $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a martingale difference sequence, which is stochastically dominated by a random variable X. Let l(x) > 0 be a slowly varying function as $x \to \infty$. Supposing that $\sup_{i>1} E(X_i^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \le C$ a.s. if $p \ge 2$ and

$$E|X|^{p}l(|X|^{1/\alpha}) < \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} l(n) P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| S_j \right| \ge \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right) < \infty.$$
 (1.6)

Theorem 1.5. Let $\alpha > 1/2$, p > 1 and let $\alpha p > 1$. Let $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a martingale difference sequence, which is stochastically dominated by a random variable X. Let l(x) > 0 be a slowly varying function as $x \to \infty$. Supposing that $\sup_{i \geq 1} E(|X_i|^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq C$ a.s. if $p \geq 2$ and (1.5) holds, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} l(n) P\left(\sup_{j \ge n} \left| \frac{S_j}{j^{\alpha}} \right| \ge \varepsilon \right) < \infty.$$
 (1.7)

For p = 1 and l(x) = 1, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. Let $\alpha \geq 1$, and let $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a martingale difference sequence, which is stochastically dominated by a random variable X. Supposing that

$$E|X|\ln^+|X| < \infty, \tag{1.8}$$

then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha-2} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| \ge \varepsilon n^{\alpha}\right) < \infty. \tag{1.9}$$

The following theorem presents the complete moment convergence for martingale difference sequence.

Theorem 1.7. Letting the conditions of Theorem 1.4 hold, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| - \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right)^+ < \infty.$$
 (1.10)

Remark 1.8. If we take $l(x) \equiv 1$ in Theorem 1.4, then we can not only get the Baum-Katz-type Theorem for martingale difference sequence but also consider the case of $p\alpha = 1$. Furthermore, if we take $l(x) \equiv 1$, $\alpha = 1$, and p = 2 in Theorem 1.4, then we can get the Hsu-Robbins-type Theorem (see Hsu and Robbins [1]) for martingale difference sequence.

Remark 1.9. As stated above, our Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 not only generalize the corresponding results of Theorems B and C for the partial sum to the maximal partial sum but also expand the scope of α and p.

Remark 1.10. If we take $l(x) \equiv 1$ in Theorem 1.4, then we can get the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund strong law of large numbers for martingale difference sequence as follows:

$$\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \longrightarrow 0, \text{ a.s.}$$
 (1.11)

2. Preparations

To prove the main results of the paper, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (see [6, Theorem 2.11]). If $\{X_i, \mathcal{F}_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a martingale difference and q > 0, then there exists a constant C depending only on p such that

$$E\left(\max_{1\leq k\leq n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k}X_{i}\right|^{q}\right)\leq C\left\{E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}E\left(X_{i}^{2}\mid\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right)\right)^{q/2}+E\left(\max_{1\leq i\leq n}\left|X_{i}\right|^{q}\right)\right\}.\tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables, which is stochastically dominated by a random variable X. Then for any a > 0 and b > 0, the following two statements hold:

$$E[|X_n|^a I(|X_n| \le b)] \le C_1 [EX^a I(|X| \le b)] + b^a P(|X| > b),$$

$$E[|X_n|^a I(|X_n| > b)] \le C_2 E[|X|^a I(|X| > b)],$$
(2.2)

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants.

Lemma 2.3 (cf. [7]). If l(x) > 0 is a slowly varying function as $x \to \infty$, then

- (i) $\lim_{x\to\infty} (l(tx)/l(x)) = 1$ for each t>0; $\lim_{x\to\infty} (l(x+u)/l(x)) = 1$ for each $u\geq 0$,
- (ii) $\lim_{k\to\infty} \sup_{2^k < x < 2^{k+1}} (l(x)/l(2^k)) = 1$,
- (iii) $\lim_{x\to\infty} x^{\delta} l(x) = \infty$, $\lim_{x\to\infty} x^{-\delta} l(x) = 0$ for each $\delta > 0$,

- (iv) $C_1 2^{kr} l(\varepsilon 2^k) \le \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{jr} l(\varepsilon 2^j) \le C_2 2^{kr} l(\varepsilon 2^k)$ for every r > 0, $\varepsilon > 0$, positive integer k and some $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$,
- (v) $C_3 2^{kr} l(\varepsilon 2^k) \le \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} 2^{jr} l(\varepsilon 2^j) \le C_4 2^{kr} l(\varepsilon 2^k)$ for every r < 0, $\varepsilon > 0$, positive integer k and some $C_3 > 0$, $C_4 > 0$.

3. Proofs of the Main Results

Proof of Theorem 1.4. For fixed $n \ge 1$, denote

$$Y_{ni} = X_i I(|X_i| \le n^{\alpha}) - E[X_i I(|X_i| \le n^{\alpha}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (3.1)

Since $X_i = X_i I(|X_i| > n^{\alpha}) + Y_{ni} + E[X_i I(|X_i| \le n^{\alpha}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]$, we can see that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} l(n) P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| S_{j} \right| \geq \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} l(n) P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{i} I(\left|X_{i}\right| > n^{\alpha}) \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{3} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} l(n) P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} E[X_{i} I(\left|X_{i}\right| \leq n^{\alpha}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{3} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} l(n) P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} Y_{ni} \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{3} \right) \\ &:= H + I + J. \end{split}$$

$$(3.2)$$

For *H*, we have by Markov's inequality, Lemma 2.2, and (1.5) that

$$\begin{split} H &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) E\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{i} I(|X_{i}| > n^{\alpha}) \right| \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[|X_{i}| I(|X_{i}| > n^{\alpha})] \\ &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) E[|X| I(|X| > n^{\alpha})] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} E\Big[|X| I\Big(m < |X|^{1/\alpha} \leq m + 1\Big)\Big] \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E\Big[|X| I\Big(m < |X|^{1/\alpha} \leq m + 1\Big)\Big] \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E\Big[|X| I\Big(m < |X|^{1/\alpha} \leq m + 1\Big)\Big] \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log_{2} m \rfloor + 1} \sum_{n=2^{i-1}}^{2^{i}} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \end{split}$$

$$\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E \left[|X| I \left(m < |X|^{1/\alpha} \le m+1 \right) \right] \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor + 1} 2^{i\alpha(p-1)} l \left(2^i \right) \\
\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E \left[|X| I \left(m < |X|^{1/\alpha} \le m+1 \right) \right] 2^{(\lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor + 1)\alpha(p-1)} l \left(2^{\lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor + 1} \right) \\
\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E \left[|X| I \left(m < |X|^{1/\alpha} \le m+1 \right) \right] m^{\alpha(p-1)} l(m) \\
\ll E |X|^p l \left(|X|^{1/\alpha} \right) < \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

For *I*, we have by Markov's inequality and (3.3) that

$$I \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) E\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} E[X_{i} I(|X_{i}| \leq n^{\alpha}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \right| \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) E\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} E[X_{i} I(|X_{i}| > n^{\alpha}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \right| \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[|X_{i}| I(|X_{i}| > n^{\alpha})]$$

$$\ll E|X|^{p} l\left(|X|^{1/\alpha}\right) < \infty.$$
(3.4)

To prove (1.6), it suffices to show that

$$J := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} l(n) P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} Y_{ni} \right| \ge \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{3} \right) < \infty.$$
 (3.5)

For fixed $n \ge 1$, it is easily seen that $\{Y_{ni}, \mathcal{F}_i, i \ge 1\}$ is still a martingale difference. By Markov's inequality and Lemma 2.1, we have that for any $q \ge 2$,

$$J \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha q} l(n) E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} Y_{ni} \right| \right)^{q}$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha q} l(n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|Y_{ni}|^{q} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha q} l(n) E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(Y_{ni}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right) \right]^{q/2}$$

$$:= J_{1} + J_{2}. \tag{3.6}$$

We consider the following three cases.

Case 1 ($\alpha p > 1$ and $p \ge 2$). Take q large enough such that $q > \max(p, (\alpha p - 1)/(\alpha - 1/2))$, which implies that $\alpha p - 2 - \alpha q + q/2 < -1$.

For J_1 , we have by C_r 's inequality, Lemma 2.2, (3.3), Lemma 2.3, and (1.5) that

$$\begin{split} J_{1} &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha q} l(n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[|X_{i}|^{q} I(|X_{i}| \leq n^{\alpha})] \\ &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha q} l(n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq n^{\alpha})] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha q} l(n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} n^{\alpha q} P(|X| > n^{\alpha}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha q} l(n) E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq n^{\alpha})] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1} l(n) P(|X| > n^{\alpha}) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha q} l(n) E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq n^{\alpha})] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) E[|X| I(|X| > n^{\alpha})] \\ &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha q} l(n) E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq n^{\alpha})] \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha (p - q) - 1} l(n) \sum_{j=1}^{n} j^{\alpha q} P(j - 1 < |X|^{1/\alpha} \leq j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha q} P(j - 1 < |X|^{1/\alpha} \leq j) \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^{\alpha (p - q) - 1} l(n) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha q} P(j - 1 < |X|^{1/\alpha} \leq j) \sum_{i=\lfloor \log_{2} j \rfloor}^{\infty} n^{\alpha (p - q) - 1} l(n) \\ &\ll \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha q} P(j - 1 < |X|^{1/\alpha} \leq j) j^{\alpha (p - q)} l(j) \\ &\ll \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha q} P(j - 1 < |X|^{1/\alpha} \leq j) j^{\alpha (p - q)} l(j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha p} l(j) P(j - 1 < |X|^{1/\alpha} \leq j) \\ &\ll E|X|^{p} l(|X|^{1/\alpha}) < \infty. \end{split}$$

Note that $\sup_{i\geq 1} E(X_i^2\mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq C$, a.s. if $p\geq 2$. We have by Lemma 2.3 that

$$J_{2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha q} l(n) E \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left(X_{i}^{2} I(|X_{i}| \leq n^{\alpha}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \right) \right]^{q/2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha q} l(n) E \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{i \geq 1} E \left(X_{i}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \right) \right]^{q/2}$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha q + q/2} l(n) < \infty.$$

$$(3.8)$$

Case 2 ($\alpha p > 1$ and p < 2). Take q = 2. Similar to the proof of (3.6) and (3.7), we can get that

$$J \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - 2\alpha} l(n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left[X_i^2 I(|X_i| \le n^{\alpha})\right] < \infty.$$
 (3.9)

Case 3 ($\alpha p = 1$). Note that $p = 1/\alpha < 2$. Take q = 2, and similar to the proof of (3.9), we still have $J < \infty$.

From the statements mentioned previously, we have proved (3.5). This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We have by Lemma 2.3 that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ap-2} l(n) P\left(\sup_{j \ge n} \left| \frac{S_j}{j^{\alpha}} \right| > \varepsilon\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{m-1}}^{2^{m-1}} n^{ap-2} l(n) P\left(\sup_{j \ge n} \left| \frac{S_j}{j^{\alpha}} \right| > \varepsilon\right)$$

$$\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P\left(\sup_{j \ge 2^{m-1}} \left| \frac{S_j}{j^{\alpha}} \right| > \varepsilon\right) \sum_{n=2^{m-1}}^{2^{m-1}} 2^{m(ap-2)} l(2^m)$$

$$\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{m(ap-1)} l(2^m) P\left(\sup_{j \ge 2^{m-1}} \left| \frac{S_j}{j^{\alpha}} \right| > \varepsilon\right)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{m(ap-1)} l(2^m) P\left(\sup_{k \ge m} \max_{2^{k-1} \le j < 2^k} \left| \frac{S_j}{j^{\alpha}} \right| > \varepsilon\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{m(ap-1)} l(2^m) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le 2^k} \left| S_j \right| > \varepsilon 2^{a(k-1)}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le 2^k} \left| S_j \right| > \varepsilon 2^{a(k-1)}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{k} 2^{m(ap-1)} l(2^m)$$

$$\ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(ap-1)} l(2^k) P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| S_j \right| > \varepsilon 2^{a(k-1)}\right)$$

$$\ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k+1-1} n^{ap-2} l(n) P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| S_j \right| > \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4^{\alpha}}\right) n^{\alpha}\right)$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ap-2} l(n) P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| S_j \right| > \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4^{\alpha}}\right) n^{\alpha}\right).$$

The desired result (1.7) follows from the inequality above and (1.6) immediately.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We use the same notation as that in Theorem 1.4. According to the proof of Theorem 1.4, we can see that $J < \infty$ for p = 1 and l(x) = 1 under the conditions of Theorem 1.6. So it suffices to show that $H < \infty$ and $I < \infty$ for p = 1 and l(x) = 1.

Similar to the proof of (3.3), we have

$$H \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} E[|X|I(|X| > n^{\alpha})]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} E[|X|I(m < |X|^{1/\alpha} \le m+1)]$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E[|X|I(m < |X|^{1/\alpha} \le m+1)] \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{-1}$$

$$\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E[|X|I(m < |X|^{1/\alpha} \le m+1)] \ln^{+} m$$

$$\ll E|X|\ln^{+}|X| < \infty.$$
(3.11)

Similar to the proof of (3.4) and (3.11), we can get that

$$I \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[|X_i|I(|X_i| > n^{\alpha})]$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} E[|X|I(|X| > n^{\alpha})] < \infty.$$
(3.12)

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have by Theorem 1.4 that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| S_{j} \right| - \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right)^{+} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{0}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| S_{j} \right| - \varepsilon n^{\alpha} > t \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{0}^{n^{\alpha}} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| S_{j} \right| - \varepsilon n^{\alpha} > t \right) dt \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| S_{j} \right| - \varepsilon n^{\alpha} > t \right) dt \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| S_{j} \right| > \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| S_{j} \right| > t \right) dt \\ &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| S_{j} \right| > t \right) dt. \end{split}$$

Hence, it suffices to show that

$$Q := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| S_j \right| > t\right) dt < \infty.$$
 (3.14)

For t > 0, denote

$$Z_{ti} = X_i I(|X_i| \le t) - E[X_i I(|X_i| \le t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$
(3.15)

Since $X_i = X_i I(|X_i| > t) + Z_{ti} + E[X_i I(|X_i| \le t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]$, it follows that

$$Q \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{i} I(|X_{i}| > t) \right| > \frac{t}{3} \right) dt$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} E[X_{i} I(|X_{i}| \leq t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \right| > \frac{t}{3} \right) dt$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} Z_{ti} \right| > \frac{t}{3} \right) dt$$

$$=: Q_{1} + Q_{2} + Q_{3}.$$
(3.16)

Similar to the proof of (3.3), we have by Markov's inequality and Lemma 2.2 that

$$Q_{1} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-1} E\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{i} I(|X_{i}| > t) \right| \right) dt$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-1} E[|X| I(|X| > t)] dt$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \int_{m^{\alpha}}^{(m+1)^{\alpha}} t^{-1} E[|X| I(|X| > t)] dt$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} m^{-1} E[|X| I(|X| > m^{\alpha})]$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} E[|X| I(|X| > m^{\alpha})] \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n)$$

$$\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} E[|X| I(|X| > m^{\alpha})] m^{\alpha p - \alpha} l(m)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) E[|X| I(|X| > n^{\alpha})] < \infty.$$
(3.17)

According to the proof of (3.17), we have by Markov's inequality and Lemma 2.2 that

$$Q_{2} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-1} E\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} E[X_{i} I(|X_{i}| \leq t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \right| \right) dt$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-1} E\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} E[X_{i} I(|X_{i}| > t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \right| \right) dt$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-1} E[|X| I(|X| > t)] dt < \infty.$$
(3.18)

For any t > 0, it is easily seen that $\{Z_{ti}, \mathcal{F}_i, i \geq 1\}$ is still a martingale difference. By Markov's inequality and Lemma 2.1, we have that for any $q \geq 2$,

$$Q_{3} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-q} E\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} Z_{ti} \right|^{q}\right) dt$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|Z_{ti}|^{q} dt$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-q} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(Z_{ti}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right)\right]^{q/2} dt$$

$$:= Q_{31} + Q_{32}.$$
(3.19)

We still consider the following three cases.

Case 1 ($\alpha p > 1$ and $p \ge 2$). Take q large enough such that $q > \max(p, (\alpha p - 1)/(\alpha - 1/2))$, which implies that $\alpha p - 2 - \alpha q + q/2 < -1$. We have by Lemma 2.2 and (3.17) that

$$Q_{31} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[|X_{i}|^{q} I(|X_{i}| \leq t)] dt$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-q} E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq t)] dt$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} P(|X| > t) dt$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-q} E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq t)] dt$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-1} E[|X| I(|X| > t)] dt$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-q} E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq t)] dt.$$
(3.20)

Hence, similar to the proof of (3.7), we can see that

$$Q_{31} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \int_{m^{\alpha}}^{(m+1)^{\alpha}} t^{-q} E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq t)] dt$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n) \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} m^{\alpha - 1 - \alpha q} E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq (m+1)^{\alpha})]$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\alpha - 1 - \alpha q} E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq (m+1)^{\alpha})] \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} l(n)$$

$$\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\alpha - 1 - \alpha q} E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq (m+1)^{\alpha})] m^{\alpha p - \alpha} l(m)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha q} l(n) E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq (n+1)^{\alpha})]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha q} l(n) E[|X|^{q} I(n^{\alpha} < |X| \leq (n+1)^{\alpha})]$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha q} l(n) E[|X|^{q} I(|X| \leq n^{\alpha})]$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} E[|X|^{p} l(|X|^{1/\alpha}) I(n^{\alpha} < |X| \leq (n+1)^{\alpha})] + E|X|^{p} l(|X|^{1/\alpha})$$

$$\ll E|X|^{p} l(|X|^{1/\alpha}) < \infty.$$

Note that $\sup_{i>1} E(X_i^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq C$, a.s. if $p \geq 2$. We have by Lemma 2.3 that

$$Q_{32} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-q} E \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left(X_{i}^{2} I(|X_{i}| \leq n^{\alpha}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \right) \right]^{q/2} dt$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-q} E \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{i \geq 1} E \left(X_{i}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \right) \right]^{q/2} dt$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha + q/2} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-q} dt$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha q + q/2} l(n) < \infty.$$
(3.22)

Case 2 ($\alpha p > 1$ and p < 2). Take q = 2. Similar to the proof of (3.19) and (3.21), we can get that

$$Q_3 \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} l(n) \int_{n^{\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|Z_{ti}|^2 dt < \infty.$$
 (3.23)

Case 3 ($\alpha p = 1$). Note that $p = 1/\alpha < 2$. Take q = 2, and similar to the proof of (3.23), we still have $Q_3 < \infty$.

From the statements mentioned previously, we have proved (3.14). This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

Acknowledgments

The authors are most grateful to the Editor Sung Guen Kim and anonymous referees for careful reading of the paper and valuable suggestions which helped in improving an earlier version of this paper. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11171001, 11126176), Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (1208085QA03), Provincial Natural Science Research Project of Anhui Colleges (KJ2010A005), Doctoral Research Start-up Funds Projects of Anhui University, the Academic Innovation Team of Anhui University (KJTD001B), and The Talents Youth Fund of Anhui Province Universities (2010SQRL016ZD, 2011SQRL012ZD).

References

- [1] P. L. Hsu and H. Robbins, "Complete convergence and the law of large numbers," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 25–31, 1947.
- [2] P. Erdös, "On a theorem of Hsu and Robbins," Annals of Mathematical Statistics, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 286–291, 1949.
- [3] L. E. Baum and M. Katz, "Convergence rates in the law of large numbers," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 120, no. 1, pp. 108–123, 1965.
- [4] G. Stoica, "Baum-Katz-Nagaev type results for martingales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 336, no. 2, pp. 1489–1492, 2007.
- [5] G. Stoica, "A note on the rate of convergence in the strong law of large numbers for martingales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 381, no. 2, pp. 910–913, 2011.
- [6] P. Hall, Martingale Limit Theory and Its Application, Academic Presss, New York, NY, USA, 1980.
- [7] Z. D. Bai and C. Su, "The complete convergence for partial sums of i.i.d. random variables," *Science in China Series A*, vol. 28, no. 12, pp. 1261–1277, 1985.

















Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com























