Abbas and Nazir *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013, **2013**:20 http://www.fixedpointtheoryandapplications.com/content/2013/1/20

RESEARCH

Fixed Point Theory and Applications a SpringerOpen Journal

Open Access

Common fixed point of a power graphic contraction pair in partial metric spaces endowed with a graph

Mujahid Abbas¹ and Talat Nazir^{2*}

*Correspondence: talat@ciit.net.pk ²Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Abbottabad, 22060, Pakistan Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we initiate a study of fixed point results in the setup of partial metric spaces endowed with a graph. The concept of a power graphic contraction pair of two mappings is introduced. Common fixed point results for such maps without appealing to any form of commutativity conditions defined on a partial metric space endowed with a directed graph are obtained. These results unify, generalize and complement various known comparable results from the current literature. **MSC:** 47H10; 54H25; 54E50

Keywords: partial metric space; common fixed point; directed graph; power graphic contraction pair

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Consistent with Jachymski [1], let *X* be a nonempty set and *d* be a metric on *X*. A set $\{(x,x) : x \in X\}$ is called a diagonal of $X \times X$ and is denoted by Δ . Let *G* be a directed graph such that the set V(G) of its vertices coincides with *X* and E(G) is the set of the edges of the graph with $\Delta \subseteq E(G)$. Also assume that the graph *G* has no parallel edges. One can identify a graph *G* with the pair (V(G), E(G)). Throughout this paper, the letters \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}^+ , ω and \mathbb{N} will denote the set of real numbers, the set of nonnegative real numbers, the set of nonnegative integers and the set of positive integers, respectively.

Definition 1.1 [1] A mapping $f : X \to X$ is called a Banach *G*-contraction or simply *G*-contraction if

- (a₁) for each $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$, we have $(f(x), f(y)) \in E(G)$,
- (a₂) there exists $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x,y) \in E(G)$ implies that $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$.

Let $X^f := \{x \in X : (x, f(x)) \in E(G) \text{ or } (f(x), x) \in E(G)\}.$

Recall that if $f : X \to X$, then a set $\{x \in X : x = f(x)\}$ of all fixed points of f is denoted by F(f). A self-mapping f on X is said to be

- (1) a Picard operator if $F(f) = \{x^*\}$ and $f^n(x) \to x^*$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $x \in X$;
- (2) a weakly Picard operator if $F(f) \neq \emptyset$ and for each $x \in X$, we have $f^n(x) \rightarrow x^* \in F(f)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;



© 2013 Abbas and Nazir; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. (3) orbitally continuous if for all $x, a \in X$, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}f^{n_k}(x)=a \quad \text{implies} \quad \lim_{i\to\infty}f\left(f^{n_k}(x)\right)=f(a).$$

The following definition is due to Chifu and Petrusel [2].

Definition 1.2 An operator $f: X \to X$ is called a Banach *G*-graphic contraction if

- (b₁) for each $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$, we have $(f(x), f(y)) \in E(G)$,
- (b₂) there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(f(x), f^2(x)) \le \alpha d(x, f(x))$$
 for all $x \in X^f$.

If *x* and *y* are vertices of *G*, then a path in *G* from *x* to *y* of length $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is a finite sequence $\{x_n\}, n \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., k\}$ of vertices such that $x_0 = x, x_k = y$ and $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(G)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$.

Notice that a graph G is connected if there is a path between any two vertices and it is weakly connected if \tilde{G} is connected, where \tilde{G} denotes the undirected graph obtained from G by ignoring the direction of edges. Denote by G^{-1} the graph obtained from G by reversing the direction of edges. Thus,

$$E(G^{-1}) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (y, x) \in E(G)\}.$$

Since it is more convenient to treat \tilde{G} as a directed graph for which the set of its edges is symmetric, under this convention, we have that

$$E(\widetilde{G}) = E(G) \cup E(G^{-1}).$$

If *G* is such that E(G) is symmetric, then for $x \in V(G)$, the symbol $[x]_G$ denotes the equivalence class of the relation *R* defined on V(G) by the rule:

yRz if there is a path in *G* from *y* to *z*.

A graph *G* is said to satisfy the property (A) (see also [2]) if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in *V*(*G*) with $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$.

Jachymski [1] obtained the following fixed point result for a mapping satisfying the Banach *G*-contraction condition in metric spaces endowed with a graph.

Theorem 1.3 [1] Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and G be a directed graph and let the triple (X,d,G) have a property (A). Let $f : X \to X$ be a G-contraction. Then the following statements hold:

- 1. $F_f \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $X_f \neq \emptyset$;
- 2. *if* $X_f \neq \emptyset$ *and G is weakly connected, then f is a Picard operator;*
- 3. for any $x \in X_f$ we have that $f|_{[x]_{\widetilde{G}}}$ is a Picard operator;
- 4. *if* $f \subseteq E(G)$, then f is a weakly Picard operator.

Gwodzdz-Lukawska and Jachymski [3] developed the Hutchinson-Barnsley theory for finite families of mappings on a metric space endowed with a directed graph. Bojor [4] obtained a fixed point of a φ -contraction in metric spaces endowed with a graph (see also [5]). For more results in this direction, we refer to [2, 6, 7].

On the other hand, Mathews [8] introduced the concept of a partial metric to obtain appropriate mathematical models in the theory of computation and, in particular, to give a modified version of the Banach contraction principle more suitable in this context. For examples, related definitions and work carried out in this direction, we refer to [9–19] and the references mentioned therein. Abbas *et al.* [20] proved some common fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces (see also [21]). Gu and He [22] proved some common fixed point results for self-maps with twice power type Φ -contractive condition. Recently, Gu and Zhang [23] obtained some common fixed point theorems for six self-mappings with twice power type contraction condition.

Throughout this paper, we assume that a nonempty set X = V(G) is equipped with a partial metric p, a directed graph G has no parallel edge and G is a weighted graph in the sense that each vertex x is assigned the weight p(x, x) and each edge (x, y) is assigned the weight p(x, y). As p is a partial metric on X, the weight assigned to each vertex x need not be zero and whenever a zero weight is assigned to some edge (x, y), it reduces to a loop (x, x).

Also, the subset W(G) of V(G) is said to be complete if for every $x, y \in W(G)$, we have $(x, y) \in E(G)$.

Definition 1.4 Self-mappings *f* and *g* on *X* are said to form a power graphic contraction pair if

- (a) for every vertex v in G, (v, fv) and $(v, gv) \in E(G)$,
- (b) there exists $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ an upper semi-continuous and nondecreasing function with $\phi(t) < t$ for each t > 0 such that

$$p^{\delta}(fx,gy) \le \phi\left(p^{\alpha}(x,y)p^{\beta}(x,fx)p^{\gamma}(y,gy)\right)$$
(1.1)

for all $(x, y) \in E(G)$ holds, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \ge 0$ with $\delta = \alpha + \beta + \gamma \in (0, \infty)$.

If we take f = g, then the mapping f is called a power graphic contraction.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the existence of common fixed points of a power graphic contraction pair in the framework of complete partial metric spaces endowed with a graph. Our results extend and strengthen various known results [8, 12, 13, 24].

2 Common fixed point results

We start with the following result.

Theorem 2.1 Let (X,p) be a complete partial metric space endowed with a directed graph G. If $f, g: X \to X$ form a power graphic contraction pair, then the following hold:

- (i) $F(f) \neq \emptyset$ or $F(g) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $F(f) \cap F(g) \neq \emptyset$.
- (ii) If $u \in F(f) \cap F(g)$, then the weight assigned to the vertex u is 0.
- (iii) $F(f) \cap F(g) \neq \emptyset$ provided that G satisfies the property (A).
- (iv) $F(f) \cap F(g)$ is complete if and only if $F(f) \cap F(g)$ is a singleton.

Proof To prove (i), let $u \in F(f)$. By the given assumption, $(u, gu) \in E(G)$. Assume that we assign a non-zero weight to the edge (u, gu). As $(u, u) \in E(G)$ and f and g form a power graphic contraction, we have

$$p^{\delta}(u,gu) = p^{\delta}(fu,gu)$$

$$\leq \phi(p^{\alpha}(u,u)p^{\beta}(u,fu)p^{\gamma}(u,gu))$$

$$= \phi(p^{\alpha+\beta}(u,u)p^{\gamma}(u,gu))$$

$$\leq \phi(p^{\alpha+\beta}(u,gu)p^{\gamma}(u,gu))$$

$$= \phi(p^{\delta}(u,gu))$$

$$< p^{\delta}(u,gu),$$

a contradiction. Hence, the weight assigned to the edge (u, gu) is zero and so u = gu. Therefore, $u \in F(f) \cap F(g) \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, if $u \in F(g)$, then we have $u \in F(f)$. The converse is straightforward.

Now, let $u \in F(f) \cap F(g)$. Assume that the weight assigned to the vertex u is not zero, then from (1.1), we have

$$p^{\delta}(u,u) = p^{\delta}(fu,gu)$$

$$\leq \phi \left(p^{\alpha}(u,u)p^{\beta}(u,fu)p^{\gamma}(u,gu) \right)$$

$$= \phi \left(p^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}(u,u) \right)$$

$$= \phi \left(p^{\delta}(u,u) \right)$$

$$< p^{\delta}(u,u),$$

a contradiction. Hence, (ii) is proved.

To prove (iii), we will first show that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $fx_{2n} = x_{2n+1}$ and $gx_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$, and $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$.

Let x_0 be an arbitrary point of X. If $fx_0 = x_0$, then the proof is finished, so we assume that $fx_0 \neq x_0$. As $(x_0, fx_0) \in E(G)$, so $(x_0, x_1) \in E(G)$. Also, $(x_1, gx_1) \in E(G)$ gives $(x_1, x_2) \in E(G)$. Continuing this way, we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ with $fx_{2n} = x_{2n+1}$ and $gx_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We may assume that the weight assigned to each edge (x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) is non-zero for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If not, then $x_{2k} = x_{2k+1}$ for some k, so $fx_{2k} = x_{2k+1} = x_{2k}$, and thus $x_{2k} \in F(f)$. Hence, $x_{2k} \in F(f) \cap F(g)$ by (i). Now, since $(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \in E(G)$, so from (1.1), we have

$$p^{\delta}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = p^{\delta}(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})$$

$$\leq \phi \left(p^{\alpha}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) p^{\beta}(x_{2n}, fx_{2n}) p^{\gamma}(x_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}) \right)$$

$$= \phi \left(p^{\alpha}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) p^{\beta}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) p^{\gamma}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \right)$$

$$= \phi \left(p^{\alpha+\beta}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) p^{\gamma}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \right)$$

$$< p^{\alpha+\beta}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) p^{\gamma}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}),$$

which implies that

$$p^{\alpha+\beta}(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2}) < p^{\alpha+\beta}(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}),$$

a contradiction if $\alpha + \beta = 0$. So, take $\alpha + \beta > 0$, and we have

 $p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) < p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Again from (1.1), we have

$$p^{\delta}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) = p^{\delta}(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2})$$

$$= p^{\delta}(fx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1})$$

$$\leq \phi(p^{\alpha}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1})p^{\beta}(x_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+2})p^{\gamma}(x_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}))$$

$$= \phi(p^{\alpha}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})p^{\beta}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3})p^{\gamma}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}))$$

$$= \phi(p^{\alpha+\gamma}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})p^{\beta}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}))$$

$$< p^{\alpha+\gamma}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})p^{\beta}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}),$$

which implies that

$$p^{\alpha+\gamma}(x_{2n+2},x_{2n+3}) < p^{\alpha+\gamma}(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2}).$$

We arrive at a contradiction in case $\alpha + \gamma = 0$. Therefore, we must take $\alpha + \gamma > 0$; consequently, we have

$$p(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) < p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence,

$$p^{\delta}(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \phi\left(p^{\delta}(x_{n-1}, x_n)\right) < p^{\delta}(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$
(2.1)

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the decreasing sequence of positive real numbers $\{p^{\delta}(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ converges to some $c \ge 0$. If we assume that c > 0, then from (2.1) we deduce that

$$0 < c \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \phi \left(p^{\delta}(x_{n-1}, x_n) \right) \leq \phi(c) < c,$$

a contradiction. So, c = 0, that is, $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{\delta}(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ and so we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$. Also,

$$p^{\delta}(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \phi\left(p^{\delta}(x_{n-1}, x_n)\right) \leq \cdots \leq \phi^n\left(p^{\delta}(x_0, x_1)\right).$$

$$(2.2)$$

Now, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m > n,

$$p^{\delta}(x_n, x_m) \le p^{\delta}(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p^{\delta}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p^{\delta}(x_{m-1}, x_m)$$

- $p^{\delta}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) - p^{\delta}(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}) - \dots - p^{\delta}(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1})$
 $\le \phi^n (p^{\delta}(x_0, x_1)) + \phi^{n+1} (p^{\delta}(x_0, x_1)) + \dots + \phi^{m-1} (p^{\delta}(x_0, x_1))$

implies that $p^{\delta}(x_n, x_m)$ converges to 0 as $n, m \to \infty$. That is, $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$. Since (X, p) is complete, following similar arguments to those given in Theorem 2.1 of [9], there exists a $u \in X$ such that $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n\to\infty} p(x_n, u) = p(u, u) = 0$. By the given hypothesis, $(x_{2n}, u) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that the weight assigned to the edge (u, gu) is zero. If not, then as f and g form a power graphic contraction, so we have

$$p^{\delta}(x_{2n+1}, u) = p^{\delta}(fx_{2n}, gu)$$

$$\leq \phi \left(p^{\alpha}(x_{2n}, u) p^{\beta}(x_{2n}, fx_{2n}) p^{\gamma}(u, gu) \right)$$

$$= \phi \left(p^{\alpha}(x_{2n}, u) p^{\beta}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) p^{\gamma}(u, gu) \right).$$
(2.3)

We deduce, by taking upper limit as $n \to \infty$ in (2.3), that

$$p^{\delta}(u,gu) \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \phi \left(p^{\alpha}(x_{2n},u) p^{\beta}(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) p^{\gamma}(u,gu) \right)$$
$$\leq \phi \left(p^{\alpha}(u,u) p^{\beta}(u,u) p^{\gamma}(u,gu) \right)$$
$$\leq \phi \left(p^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}(u,gu) \right)$$
$$< p^{\delta}(u,gu),$$

a contradiction. Hence, u = gu and $u \in F(f) \cap F(g)$ by (i).

Finally, to prove (iv), suppose the set $F(f) \cap F(g)$ is complete. We are to show that $F(f) \cap F(g)$ is a singleton. Assume on the contrary that there exist u and v such that $u, v \in F(f) \cap F(g)$ but $u \neq v$. As $(u, v) \in E(G)$ and f and g form a power graphic contraction, so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< p^{\delta}(u,v) = p^{\delta}(fu,fv) \\ &\leq \phi \left(p^{\alpha}(u,v) p^{\beta}(u,fu) p^{\gamma}(v,gv) \right) \\ &= \phi \left(p^{\alpha}(u,v) p^{\beta}(u,u) p^{\gamma}(v,v) \right) \\ &\leq \phi \left(p^{\delta}(u,v) \right), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence, u = v. Conversely, if $F(f) \cap F(g)$ is a singleton, then it follows that $F(f) \cap F(g)$ is complete.

Corollary 2.2 Let (X,p) be a complete partial metric space endowed with a directed graph G. If we replace (1.1) by

$$p^{\delta}(f^{s}x,g^{t}y) \leq \phi(p^{\alpha}(x,y)p^{\beta}(x,f^{s}x)p^{\gamma}(y,g^{t}y)),$$

$$(2.4)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \ge 0$ with $\delta = \alpha + \beta + \gamma \in (0, \infty)$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$, then the conclusions obtained in *Theorem 2.1 remain true.*

Proof It follows from Theorem 2.1, that $F(f^s) \cap F(g^t)$ is a singleton provided that $F(f^s) \cap F(g^t)$ is complete. Let $F(f^s) \cap F(g^t) = \{w\}$, then we have $f(w) = f(f^s(w)) = f^{s+1}(w) = f^s(f(w))$, and $g(w) = g(g^t(w)) = g^{t+1}(w) = g^t(g(w))$ implies that fw and gw are also in $F(f^s) \cap F(g^t)$. Since $F(f^s) \cap F(g^t)$ is a singleton, we deduce that w = fw = gw. Hence, $F(f) \cap F(g)$ is a singleton.

The following remark shows that different choices of α , β and γ give a variety of power graphic contraction pairs of two mappings.

Remarks 2.3 Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space endowed with a directed graph *G*.

(R1) We may replace (1.1) with the following:

$$p^{3}(fx,gy) \le \phi\left(p(x,y)p(x,fx)p(y,gy)\right)$$
(2.5)

to obtain conclusions of Theorem 2.1. Indeed, taking $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$ in Theorem 2.1, one obtains (2.5).

(R2) If we replace (1.1) by one of the following condition:

$$p^{2}(fx,gy) \leq \phi\left(p(x,y)p(x,fx)\right), \tag{2.6}$$

$$p^{2}(fx,gy) \leq \phi\left(p(x,y)p(y,gy)\right), \tag{2.7}$$

$$p^{2}(fx,gy) \leq \phi\left(p(x,fx)p(y,gy)\right),$$
(2.8)

then the conclusions obtained in Theorem 2.1 remain true. Note that

- (i) if we take $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$ in (1.1), then we obtain (2.6),
- (ii) take $\alpha = \gamma = 1$, $\beta = 0$ in (1.1) to obtain (2.7),
- (iii) use $\beta = \gamma = 1$, $\alpha = 0$ in (1.1) and obtain (2.8).

(R3) Also, if we replace (1.1) by one of the following conditions:

$$p(fx,gy) \le \phi(p(x,y)), \tag{2.9}$$

$$p(fx,gy) \le \phi(p(x,fx)), \tag{2.10}$$

$$p(fx,gy) \le \phi(p(y,gy)), \tag{2.11}$$

then the conclusions obtained in Theorem 2.1 remain true. Note that

- (iv) take $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = \gamma = 0$ in (1.1) to obtain (2.9),
- (v) to obtain (2.10), take $\beta = 1$, $\alpha = \gamma = 0$ in (1.1),
- (vi) if one takes $\gamma = 1$, $\alpha = \beta = 0$ in (1.1), then we obtain (2.11).

Remark 2.4 If we take f = g in a power graphic contraction pair, then we obtain fixed point results for a power graphic contraction.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Lahore University of Management Sciences, Lahore, 54792, Pakistan. ²Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Abbottabad, 22060, Pakistan.

Received: 12 October 2012 Accepted: 14 January 2013 Published: 30 January 2013

References

- Jachymski, J: The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 136, 1359-1373 (2008)
- Chifu, Cl, Petrusel, GR: Generalized contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, 161 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-161
- 3. Gwozdz-Lukawska, G, Jachymski, J: IFS on a metric space with a graph structure and extensions of the Kelisky-Rivlin theorem. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **356**, 453-463 (2009)
- Bojor, F: Fixed point of φ-contraction in metric spaces endowed with a graph. An. Univ. Craiova, Ser. Math. Comput. Sci. 37(4), 85-92 (2010)
- Bojor, F: Fixed point theorems for Reich type contractions on metric spaces with a graph. Nonlinear Anal. 75, 3895-3901 (2012)
- Aleomraninejad, SMA, Rezapoura, S, Shahzad, N: Some fixed point results on a metric space with a graph. Topol. Appl. 159, 659-663 (2012)
- Nicolae, A, O'Regan, D, Petrusel, A: Fixed point theorems for singlevalued and multivalued generalized contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph. Georgian Math. J. 18, 307-327 (2011)
- 8. Matthews, SG: Partial metric topology. In: Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications. Ann. New York Acad. Sci., vol. 728, pp. 183-197 (1994)
- 9. Abbas, M, Nazir, T: Fixed point of generalized weakly contractive mappings in ordered partial metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**, 1 (2012)
- 10. Abbas, M, Nazir, T, Romaguera, S: Fixed point results for generalized cyclic contraction mappings in partial metric spaces. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat. (2011). doi:10.1007/s13398-011-0051-5
- 11. Altun, I, Simsek, H: Some fixed point theorems on dualistic partial metric spaces. J. Adv. Math. Stud. 1, 1-8 (2008)
- 12. Altun, I, Sola, F, Simsek, H: Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. Topol. Appl. 157, 2778-2785 (2010)
- Altun, I, Erduran, A: Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011, Article ID 508730 (2011)
- Bukatin, MA, Shorina, SY: Partial metrics and co-continuous valuations. In: Nivat, M (ed.) Foundations of Software Science and Computation Structure. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1378, pp. 125-139. Springer, Berlin (1998)
- 15. Bukatin, M, Kopperman, R, Matthews, S, Pajoohesh, H: Partial metric spaces. Am. Math. Mon. 116, 708-718 (2009)
- 16. Oltra, S, Valero, O: Banach's fixed theorem for partial metric spaces. Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste 36, 17-26 (2004)
- 17. Romaguera, S: A Kirk type characterization of completeness for partial metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010, Article ID 493298 (2010)
- 18. Schellekens, MP: The correspondence between partial metrics and semivaluations. Theor. Comput. Sci. **315**, 135-149 (2004)
- 19. Valero, O: On Banach fixed point theorems for partial metric spaces. Appl. Gen. Topol. 6, 229-240 (2005)
- 20. Abbas, M, Nazir, T, Radenović, S: Common fixed points of four maps in partially ordered metric spaces. Appl. Math. Lett. 24, 1520-1526 (2011)
- Altun, I, Simsek, H: Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010, Article ID 621492 (2010)
- Gu, F, He, Z: The common fixed point theorems for a class of twice power type Φ-contraction mapping. J. Shangqiu Teach. Coll. 22(5), 27-33 (2006)
- 23. Gu, F, Zhang, D: The common fixed point theorems for six self mappings with twice power type contraction condition. Thai J. Math. **10**(3), 587-603 (2012)
- 24. Aydi, H: Some fixed point results in ordered partial metric spaces (2011). arxiv:1103.3680v1 [math.GN]

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-20

Cite this article as: Abbas and Nazir: Common fixed point of a power graphic contraction pair in partial metric spaces endowed with a graph. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013 2013:20.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com