RESEARCH

O Springer Plus



CrossMark

An iterative algorithm for a system of generalized implicit variational inclusions

Iqbal Ahmad¹, Vishnu Narayan Mishra^{2*}, Rais Ahmad¹ and Mijanur Rahaman¹

*Correspondence: vishnunarayanmishra@gmail.com ² Applied Mathematics and Humanties Department, Sardar Vallabhbhai National Institute of Technology, Ichchhanath Mahadev Dumas Road, Surat 395 007, India Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a system of generalized implicit variational inclusions which consists of three variational inclusions. We design an iterative algorithm with error terms based on relaxed resolvent operator due to Ahmad et al. (Stat Optim Inf Comput 4:183–193, 2016) for approximating the solution of our system. The convergence of the iterative sequences generated by the iterative algorithm is also discussed. An example is given which satisfy all the conditions of our main result.

Keywords: Relaxed, Algorithm, Solution, Convergence, System, Resolvent

Mathematics Subject Classfication: Primary 49J40, Secondary 90C33

Background

A widely studied problem known as variational inclusion problem have many applications in the fields of optimization and control, economics and transportation equilibrium, engineering sciences, etc.. Several researches used different approaches to develop iterative algorithms for solving various classes of variational inequality and variational inclusion problems. For details see Ansari et al. (2000), Cho et al. (2004), Chang et al. (2005), Ding (2003), Fang and Huang (2004), Kim and Kim (2004), Kassay and Kolumbán (1999), Kassay et al. (2002), Kazmi et al. (2009), Lan et al. (2007), Noor (2001), Siddiqi et al. (1998), Sun et al. (2008), Yan et al. (2005) and the references therein.

A problem of much more interest called system of variational inequalities (inclusions) were introduced and studied in the literature. Peng (2003), Cohen and Chaplais (1988), Bianchi (1993), and Ansari and Yao (1999) considered a system of scalar variational inequalities and Pang showed that the traffic equilibrium problem, the spatial equilibrium problem, the Nash equilibrium, and the general equilibrium problem can be modeled as a system of variational inequalities. Verma (1999, 2001, 2004a, b) introduced and studied some systems of variational inequalities and developed some iterative algorithms for approximating the solutions of system of variational inequalities in Hilbert spaces.

As generalization of system of variational inequalities, Agarwal et al. (2004) introduced a system of generalized nonlinear mixed quasi-variational inclusions and studied the sensitivity analysis of solutions. After that, Fang and Huang (2004), Verma (2005), and Fang et al. (2005) introduced and studied different system of variational inclusions



© 2016 The Author(s). This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

involving *H*-monotone operators, *A*-monotone operators, and (H, η) -monotone operators, respectively.

In this paper, we introduced and study a system of three variational inclusions and we call it system of generalized implicit variational inclusions in real Hilbert spaces. We design an iterative algorithm with error terms based on relaxed resolvent operator for solving system of generalized implicit variational inclusions. Convergence criteria is also discussed. The approach of this paper is different then the methods discussed above. An example is given in support of our main result.

Preliminaries

Let *X* be a real Hilbert space endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ and an inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, *d* is the metric induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|$, 2^X (respectively, *CB*(*X*)) is the family of all nonempty (respectively, closed and bounded) subsets of *X*, and $D(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Hausdörff metric on *CB*(*X*) defined by

$$D(P,Q) = \max\left\{\sup_{x\in P} d(x,Q), \sup_{y\in Q} d(P,y)\right\},\$$

where $d(x, Q) = \inf_{y \in Q} d(x, y)$ and $d(P, y) = \inf_{x \in P} d(x, y)$.

Let us recall the known definitions needed in the sequel.

Definition 1 A mapping $g : X \to X$ is said to be

(*i*) Lipschitz continuous if, there exists a constant $\lambda_g > 0$ such that

 $\|g(x) - g(y)\| \le \lambda_g \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in X;$

(ii) monotone if,

 $\langle g(x) - g(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x, y \in X;$

(*iii*) strongly monotone if, there exists a constant $\xi > 0$ such that

 $\langle g(x) - g(y), x - y \rangle \ge \xi ||x - y||^2, \quad \forall x, y \in X;$

(*iv*) relaxed Lipschitz continuous if, there exists a constant r > 0 such that

 $\langle g(x) - g(y), x - y \rangle \le -r ||x - y||^2, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$

Definition 2 A mapping $F : X \times X \times X \to X$ is said to be Lipschitz continuous with respect to first argument if, there exists a constant λ_{F_1} such that

$$||F(x_1, x_2, x_3) - F(y_1, x_2, x_3)|| \le \lambda_{F_1} ||x_1 - y_1||, \quad \forall x_1, y_1, x_2, x_3 \in X.$$

Similarly, we can define the Lipschitz continuity of *F* in rest of the arguments.

Definition 3 A set-valued mapping $A : X \to CB(X)$ is said to be *D*-Lipschitz continuous if, there exists a constant δ_A such that

$$D(A(x), A(y)) \le \delta_A ||x - y||, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Definition 4 Ahmad et al. (2016) Let $H : X \to X$ be a mapping and $I : X \to X$ be an identity mapping. Then, a set-valued mapping $M : X \to 2^X$ is a said to be (I - H)-monotone if, M is monotone, H is relaxed Lipschitz continuous and

$$[(I - H) + \lambda M](X) = X,$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant.

Definition 5 Ahmad et al. (2016) Let $H : X \to X$ be relaxed Lipschitz continuous mapping and $I : X \to X$ be an identity mapping. Suppose that $M : X \to 2^X$ is a set-valued, (I - H)-monotone mapping. The relaxed resolvent operator $R_{\lambda,M}^{(I-H)} : X \to X$ associated with *I*,*H* and *M* is defined by

$$R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) = \left[(I-H) + \lambda M \right]^{-1}(x), \quad \forall x \in X,$$
(1)

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant.

For the sake of convenience of readers, we give the proof following two theorems which can be found in Ahmad et al. (2016).

Theorem 1 Let $H: X \to X$ be an r-relaxed Lipschitz continuous mapping, $I: X \to X$ be an identity mapping and $M: X \to 2^X$ be a set-valued, (I - H)-monotone mapping. Then the operator $[(I - H) + \lambda M]^{-1}$ is single-valued, where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant.

Proof For any $z \in X$ and a constant $\lambda > 0$, let $x, y \in [(I - H) + \lambda M]^{-1}(z)$. Then,

$$\lambda^{-1}[z - (I - H)(x)] \in M(x); \lambda^{-1}[z - (I - H)(y)] \in M(y).$$

Since M is monotone, we have

$$\langle -(I-H)(x) + z + (I-H)(y) - z, x - y \rangle \ge 0; - \langle (I-H)(x) - (I-H)(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0; - \langle x - H(x) - y + H(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0; \langle x - H(x) - y + H(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0; \langle x - H(x) - y + H(y), x - y \rangle \le 0; \langle x - y, x - y \rangle - \langle H(x) - H(y), x - y \rangle \le 0.$$

Since *H* is *r*-relaxed Lipschitz continuous, we have

 $0 \ge \langle x - y, x - y \rangle - \langle H(x) - H(y), x - y \rangle \ge \|x - y\|^2 + r\|x - y\|^2 \ge 0,$

it follows that $(1 + r) ||x - y||^2 = 0$, which implies that x = y. Thus $[(I - H) + \lambda M]^{-1}$ is single-valued.

Theorem 2 Let $H: X \to X$ be an *r*-relaxed Lipschitz continuous mapping, $I: X \to X$ be an identity mapping and $M: X \to 2^X$ be a set-valued, (I - H)-monotone mapping. Then the relaxed resolvent operator $R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}: X \to X$ is $\frac{1}{1+r}$ -Lipschitz continuous. i.e.,

$$\|R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y)\| \le \frac{1}{1+r} \|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Proof Let x and y be any given point in X. If follow from (1) that

$$R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) = [(I-H) + \lambda M]^{-1}(x),$$

$$R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) = [(I-H) + \lambda M]^{-1}(y),$$
(2)

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \left[x - (I - H)(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x)) \right] \in M\left(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x)\right),
\frac{1}{\lambda} \left[y - (I - H)(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y)) \right] \in M\left(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y)\right).$$
(3)

Since M is (I - H)-monotone i.e., M is monotone, we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \left\langle x - (I - H)(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x)) - (y - (I - H)(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y))), R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) \right\rangle \ge 0, \\
\frac{1}{\lambda} \left\langle x - y - \{(I - H)(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x)) - (I - H)(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y))\}, R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) \right\rangle \ge 0.$$
(4)

It follows that

$$\left\langle x - y, R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) \right\rangle$$

$$\geq \left\langle (I - H)(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x)) - (I - H)(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y)), R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) \right\rangle.$$

$$(5)$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, (5) and *r*-relaxed Lipschitz continuity of *H*, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| x - y \right\| \left\| R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) \right\| \\ &\geq \left\langle x - y, R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) \right\rangle \\ &\geq \left\langle R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - H(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x)) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) + H(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y)), R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y), R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle H(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x)) - H(R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y)), R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) \right\rangle \\ &\geq \left\| R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) \right\|^{2} + r \left\| R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) \right\|^{2} \\ &= (1+r) \left\| R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y) \right\|^{2}. \end{split}$$
(6)

Thus, we have

$$\left\|R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(x) - R_{\lambda,M}^{I-H}(y)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1+r} \|x-y\|,$$

i.e., the relaxed resolvent operator $R^{I-H}_{\lambda,M}$ is $\frac{1}{1+r}\text{-Lipschitz continuous.}$

System of generalized implicit variational inclusions and iterative algorithm

In this section, we introduce a system of generalized implicit variational inclusions and design an iterative algorithm with error terms for solving the system of generalized implicit variational inclusions in Hilbert spaces.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let X_i be a real Hilbert space, $H_i, g_i : X_i \to X_i, F_i, P_i : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \to X_i$ be the single-valued mappings and $A_{i1}, A_{i2}, A_{i3} : X_i \to CB(X_i)$ be the set-valued mappings. Let $I_i : X_i \to X_i$ be the identity mappings and $M_i : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{X_i}$ be the set-valued, $(I_i - H_i)$ -monotone mappings. We consider the following system of generalized implicit variational inclusions (in short, SGIVI):

Find $(x_1, x_2, x_3, u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33})$ such that for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3, u_{i1} \in A_{i1}(x_1), u_{i2} \in A_{i2}(x_2), u_{i3} \in A_{i3}(x_3)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \in F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) + P_1(u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}) + M_1(g_1(x_1), x_1), \\ 0 \in F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) + P_2(u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}) + M_2(g_2(x_2), x_2), \\ 0 \in F_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) + P_3(u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}) + M_3(g_3(x_3), x_3). \end{cases}$$
(7)

Let us see some special cases of SGIVI (7) below.

(*i*) If $F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv F(x_1, x_2)$, $F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv G(x_1, x_2)$, $F_3 \equiv 0$, $P_1(., ., .) \equiv P(., .)$, $P_2(., ., .) \equiv Q(., .)$, $P_3 \equiv 0$, $M_1(g_1(x_1), x_1) \equiv M_1(g_1(x_1))$, $M_2(g_2(x_2), x_2) \equiv M_2(g_2(x_2))$, $M_3 \equiv 0$, then problem (7) reduces to the system of generalized mixed quasi-variational inclusions with (H, η) -monotone operators, which is to find $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \in F(x_1, x_2) + P(u, v) + M_1(g_1(x_1)), \\ 0 \in G(x_1, x_2) + Q(w, z) + M_2(g_2(x_2)). \end{cases}$$
(8)

Problem (8) was introduced and studied by Peng and Zhu (2007).

(*ii*) If $F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv F(x_1, x_2)$, $F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv G(x_1, x_2)$, $F_3 \equiv 0$, $P_1 = P_2 = P_3 \equiv 0$, $g_1 \equiv I_1$ (the identity map on X_1), $g_2 \equiv I_2$ (the identity map on X_2) $g_3 \equiv 0$, $M_1(g_1(x_1), x_1) \equiv M_1(x_1)$, $M_2(g_2(x_2), x_2) \equiv M_2(x_2)$, $M_3 \equiv 0$, then problem (7) reduces to the system of variational inclusions with (H, η) -monotone operators, which is to find $(x, y) \in X_1 \times X_2$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \in F(x_1, x_2) + M_1(x_1), \\ 0 \in G(x_1, x_2) + M_2(x_2). \end{cases}$$
(9)

Problem (9) was introduced and studied by Fang et al. (2005). Now, we mention the following fixed point formulation of SGIVI (7).

Lemma 1 For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let X_i be a real Hilbert space, $H_i, g_i : X_i \to X_i$, $F_i, P_i : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \to X_i$ be single-valued mappings and $A_{i1}, A_{i2}, A_{i3} : X_i \to CB(X_i)$ be the set-valued mappings. Let $I_i : X_i \to X_i$ be the identity mappings and $M_i : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{X_i}$ be the set-valued, $(I_i - H_i)$ -monotone mappings. Then $(x_1, x_2, x_3, u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33})$ with $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$, $u_{i1} \in A_{i1}(x_1), u_{i2} \in A_{i2}(x_2), u_{i3} \in A_{i3}(x_3)$ is a solution of SGIVI (7), if and only if the following equations are satisfied:

$$g_i(x_i) = R_{\lambda_i, M_i(..x_i)}^{I_i - H_i} [(I_i - H_i)(g_i(x_i)) - \lambda_i F_i(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \lambda_i P_i(u_{i1}, u_{i2}, u_{i3})],$$

where $R_{\lambda_i,M_i(.,x_i)}^{I_i-H_i} = [(I_i - H_i) + \lambda_i M_i(.,x_i)]^{-1}$ are the relaxed resolvent operators and $\lambda_i > 0$ are constants.

Proof The proof is a direct consequence of the definition of the relaxed resolvent operator. $\hfill \Box$

We design the following iterative algorithm with error terms to approximate the solution of SGIVI (7).

Iterative Algorithm 1 For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, given $x_i^0 \in X_i$, take $u_{i1}^0 \in A_{i1}(x_1^0)$, $u_{i2}^0 \in A_{i2}(x_2^0)$, $u_{i3}^0 \in A_{i3}(x_3^0)$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^1 &= (1 - \mu_i) x_i^0 + \mu_i [x_i^0 - g_i(x_i^0) + R_{\lambda_i, M_i(., x_i^0)}^{I_i - H_i}((I_i - H_i)(g_i(x_i^0)) - \lambda_i F_i(x_1^0, x_2^0, x_3^0) \\ &- \lambda_i P_i(u_{i1}^0, u_{i2}^0, u_{i3}^0))] + \mu_i e_i^0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_{i1}^0 \in A_{i1}(x_1^0)$, $u_{i2}^0 \in A_{i2}(x_2^0)$, $u_{i3}^0 \in A_{i3}(x_3^0)$, by Nadler's (1992) theorem, there exist $u_{i1}^1 \in A_{i1}(x_1^1)$, $u_{i2}^1 \in A_{i2}(x_2^1)$, $u_{i3}^1 \in A_{i3}(x_3^1)$, such that

$$\begin{split} \|u_{i1}^1 - u_{i1}^0\| &\leq (1+1)D_1(A_{i1}(x_1^1), A_{i1}(x_1^0), \\ \|u_{i2}^1 - u_{i2}^0\| &\leq (1+1)D_2(A_{i2}(x_2^1), A_{i2}(x_2^0)), \\ \|u_{i3}^1 - u_{i3}^0\| &\leq (1+1)D_3(A_{i3}(x_3^1), A_{i3}(x_3^0)). \end{split}$$

Again, let

$$\begin{split} x_i^2 &= (1-\mu_i)x_i^1 + \mu_i[x_i^1 - g_i(x_i^1) + R_{\lambda_i,M_i(.,x_i^1)}^{I_i - H_i}((I_i - H_i)(g_i(x_i^1)) - \lambda_i F_i(x_1^1, x_2^1, x_3^1) \\ &- \lambda_i P_i(u_{i1}^1, u_{i2}^1, u_{i3}^1))] + \mu_i e_i^1. \end{split}$$

By Nadler's (1992) theorem, there exist $u_{i1}^2 \in A_{i1}(x_1^2)$, $u_{i2}^2 \in A_{i2}(x_2^2)$, $u_{i3}^2 \in A_{i3}(x_3^2)$ such that

$$\begin{split} \|u_{i1}^2 - u_{i1}^1\| &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) D_1(A_{i1}(x_1^2), A_{i1}(x_1^1), \\ \|u_{i2}^2 - u_{i2}^1\| &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) D_2(A_{i2}(x_2^2), A_{i2}(x_2^1)), \\ \|u_{i3}^2 - u_{i3}^1\| &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) D_3(A_{i3}(x_3^2), A_{i3}(x_3^1)). \end{split}$$

Continuing the above process inductively, we can obtain the sequences $\{x_i^n\}, \{u_{i1}^n\}, \{u_{i2}^n\}, \{u_{i2}^n\}$ by the following iterative schemes:

$$x_{i}^{n+1} = (1 - \mu_{i})x_{i}^{n} + \mu_{i}[x_{i}^{n} - g_{i}(x_{i}^{n}) + R_{\lambda_{i},M_{i}(.,x_{i}^{n})}^{I_{i} - H_{i}}((I_{i} - H_{i})(g_{i}(x_{i}^{n})) - \lambda_{i}F_{i}(x_{1}^{n}, x_{2}^{n}, x_{3}^{n}) - \lambda_{i}P_{i}(u_{i1}^{n}, u_{i2}^{n}, u_{i3}^{n})] + \mu_{i}e_{i}^{n}.$$
(10)

$$\|u_{i1}^{n+1} - u_{i1}^{n}\| \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) D_1(A_{i1}(x_1^{n+1}), A_{i1}(x_1^{n}),$$
(11)

$$\|u_{i2}^{n+1} - u_{i2}^{n}\| \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) D_2(A_{i2}(x_2^{n+1}), A_{i2}(x_2^{n})),$$
(12)

$$\|u_{i3}^{n+1} - u_{i3}^n\| \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) D_3(A_{i3}(x_3^{n+1}), A_{i3}(x_3^n)), \tag{13}$$

where n = 0, 1, 2..., for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\mu_i > 0$, $\lambda_i > 0$ are constants, $e_i^n \in X_i$ $(n \ge 0)$ are errors to take into account a possible inexact computation of the resolvent operator point and $D_i(.,.)$ are the Hausdorff metrics on $CB(X_i)$.

An existence and convergence result

In this section, we will prove an existence result for SGIVI (7) and we show the convergence of iterative sequences generated by Algorithm 1, which is our main motive.

Theorem 3 For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let X_i be a Hilbert space, $I_i : X_i \to X_i$ be the identity mappings and $H_i, g_i : X_i \to X_i$ be the single-valued mappings such that g_i is ξ_i -strongly monotone, λ_{g_i} -Lipschitz continuous and H_i is λ_{H_i} -Lipschitz continuous, r_i -relaxed Lipschitz continuous. Suppose that $A_{i1}, A_{i2}, A_{i3} : X_i \to CB(X_i)$ are the set-valued mappings such that A_{i1} is $\delta_{A_{i1}}$ -D₁-Lipschitz continuous, A_{i2} is $\delta_{A_{i2}}$ -D₂-Lipschitz continuous and A_{i3} is $\delta_{A_{i3}}$ -D₃-Lipschitz continuous, respectively. Let $F_i, P_i : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \to X_i$ be the single-valued mappings such that F_i 's are Lipschitz continuous in all three arguments with constants $\lambda_{F_{i1}} > 0, \lambda_{F_{i2}} > 0, \lambda_{F_{i3}} > 0$, respectively and P_i 's are Lipschitz continuous in all three arguments with constants $\lambda_{P_{i1}} > 0, \lambda_{P_{i2}} > 0, \lambda_{P_{i3}} > 0$, respectively. Suppose that $M_i : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{X_i}$ are the set-valued, $(I_i - H_i)$ -monotone mappings. Assume that there exist constants $\lambda_i > 0$ and $h_i > 0$ such that the following conditions hold:

$$\left\| R_{\lambda_{i},M_{i}(,x)}^{I_{i}-H_{i}}(z) - R_{\lambda_{i},M_{i}(,y)}^{I_{i}-H_{i}}(z) \right\| \leq h_{i} \|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X_{i},$$
(14)

and

$$\begin{cases} \kappa_{i} = 1 - \mu_{i} + \mu_{i}h_{i} + \mu_{i}\sqrt{1 - 2\xi_{i} + \lambda_{g_{i}}^{2}} + \frac{\mu_{i}\lambda_{g_{i}} + \mu_{i}\lambda_{H_{i}}\lambda_{g_{i}}}{1 + r_{i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\mu_{j}\lambda_{j}\lambda_{F_{ji}}}{1 + r_{j}} < 1, \\ \nu_{i} = \mu_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\mu_{j}\lambda_{j}\lambda_{P_{ji}}\delta_{A_{ji}}}{1 + r_{j}}\right) < 1, \\ \kappa_{i} + \nu_{i} < 1 \text{ and } 2\xi_{i} < 1 + \lambda_{g_{i}}^{2}, \quad for each i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \|e_{1}^{q} - e_{1}^{q-1}\|\kappa^{-q} < \infty, \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \|e_{2}^{q} - e_{2}^{q-1}\|\kappa^{-q} < \infty, \\ \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \|e_{3}^{q} - e_{3}^{q-1}\|\kappa^{-q} < \infty, \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} e_{1}^{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} e_{2}^{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} e_{3}^{n} = 0, \quad for each \kappa \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

$$(15)$$

Then, the SGIVI (7) admits a solution $(x_1, x_2, x_3, u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33})$ and the iterative sequences $\{x_i^n\}, \{u_{i1}^n\}, \{u_{i2}^n\}, \{u_{i3}^n\}$ generated by iterative Algorithm1 strongly converge to $x_i, u_{i1}, u_{i2}, u_{i3}$, respectively, for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. *Proof* For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let $d_i^n = [(I_i - H_i)(g_i(x_{i}^n)) - \lambda_i F_i(x_1^n, x_2^n, x_3^n) - \lambda_i P_i(u_{i1}^n, u_{i2}^n, u_{i3}^n)]$.

Using Algorithm 1, condition (14) and Theorem 2, we have

$$\begin{split} \|x_{1}^{n+1} - x_{1}^{n}\| \\ &= \|(1 - \mu_{1})x_{1}^{n} + \mu_{1}[x_{1}^{n} - g_{1}(x_{1}^{n}) + R_{\lambda_{1},M_{1}(.,x_{1}^{n})}^{l_{1}-H_{1}}(d_{1}^{n})] + \mu_{1}e_{1}^{n} - (1 - \mu_{1})x_{1}^{n-1} \\ &- \mu_{1}[x_{1}^{n-1} - g_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1}) + R_{\lambda_{1},M_{1}(.,x_{1}^{n-1})}^{l_{1}-H_{1}}(d_{1}^{n-1})] - \mu_{1}e_{1}^{n-1}\| \\ &\leq (1 - \mu_{1})\|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1}\| + \mu_{1}\|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1} - (g_{1}(x_{1}^{n}) - g_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1}))\| \\ &+ \mu_{1}\|R_{\lambda_{1},M_{1}(.,x_{1}^{n})}^{l_{1}-H_{1}}(d_{1}^{n}) - R_{\lambda_{1},M_{1}(.,x_{1}^{n})}^{l_{1}-H_{1}}(d_{1}^{n-1})\| + \mu_{1}\|R_{\lambda_{1},M_{1}(.,x_{1}^{n})}^{l_{1}-H_{1}}(d_{1}^{n-1}) \\ &- R_{\lambda_{1},M_{1}(.,x_{1}^{n-1})}^{l_{1}-H_{1}}(d_{1}^{n-1})\| + \mu_{1}\|e_{1}^{n} - e_{1}^{n-1}\| \\ &\leq (1 - \mu_{1})\|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1}\| + \mu_{1}\|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1} - (g_{1}(x_{1}^{n}) - g_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1}))\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_{1}}{1 + r_{1}}\|d_{1}^{n} - d_{1}^{n-1}\| + \mu_{1}h_{1}\|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1} - (g_{1}(x_{1}^{n}) - g_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1}))\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_{1}}{1 + r_{1}}\|d_{1}^{n} - d_{1}^{n-1}\| + \mu_{1}\|e_{1}^{n} - e_{1}^{n-1}\| . \end{split}$$
(16)

As g_1 is ξ_1 -strongly monotone and λ_{g_1} -Lipschitz continuous, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1} - (g_{1}(x_{1}^{n}) - g_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1}))\|^{2} \\ &= \|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1}\|^{2} - 2\left\langle x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1}, g_{1}(x_{1}^{n}) - g_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1})\right\rangle + \|g_{1}(x_{1}^{n}) - g_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1})\|^{2} \\ &\leq (1 - 2\xi_{1} + \lambda_{g_{1}}^{2})\|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1}\|^{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(17)

As g_1 is λ_{g_1} -Lipschitz continuous, F_1 is Lipschitz continuous in all three arguments with constants $\lambda_{F_{11}}$, $\lambda_{F_{12}}$ and $\lambda_{F_{13}}$, respectively, P_1 is Lipschitz continuous in all three arguments with constants $\lambda_{P_{11}}$, $\lambda_{P_{12}}$ and $\lambda_{P_{13}}$, respectively, A_{11} is $\delta_{A_{11}}$ - D_1 -Lipschitz continuous, A_{12} is $\delta_{A_{12}}$ - D_2 -Lipschitz continuous and A_{13} is $\delta_{A_{13}}$ - D_3 -Lipschitz continuous, respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|d_{1}^{n} - d_{1}^{n-1}\| \\ &= \|(l_{1} - H_{1})(g_{1}(x_{1}^{n})) - \dot{\lambda}_{1}F_{1}(x_{1}^{n}, x_{2}^{n}, x_{3}^{n}) - \dot{\lambda}_{1}P_{1}(u_{1}^{n}, u_{1}^{n}, u_{1}^{n}) \\ &- (l_{1} - H_{1})(g_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1})) + \dot{\lambda}_{1}F_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1}, x_{2}^{n-1}, x_{3}^{n-1}) + \dot{\lambda}_{1}P_{1}(u_{11}^{n-1}, u_{12}^{n-1}, u_{13}^{n-1})\| \\ &\leq \|g_{1}(x_{1}^{n}) - g_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1})\| + \|H_{1}(g_{1}(x_{1}^{n})) - H_{1}(g_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1}))\| \\ &+ \dot{\lambda}_{1}\|F_{1}(x_{1}^{n}, x_{2}^{n}, x_{3}^{n}) - F_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1}, x_{2}^{n-1}, x_{3}^{n-1})\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\|P_{1}(u_{11}^{n}, u_{12}^{n}, u_{13}^{n}) \\ &- P_{1}(u_{11}^{n-1}, u_{12}^{n-1}, u_{13}^{n-1})\| \\ &\leq \|g_{1}(x_{1}^{n}) - g_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1})\| + \|H_{1}(g_{1}(x_{1}^{n})) - H_{1}(g_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1}))\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\|P_{1}(u_{11}^{n}, u_{12}^{n}, u_{13}^{n}) \\ &- F_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1}, x_{2}^{n}, x_{3}^{n}) + f_{1}(y_{1}^{n-1}, x_{2}^{n-1}, x_{3}^{n-1})\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\|P_{1}(u_{11}^{n-1}, u_{12}^{n-1}, u_{13}^{n}) \\ &- F_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1}, x_{2}^{n-1}, x_{3}^{n}) - F_{1}(x_{1}^{n-1}, x_{2}^{n-1}, x_{3}^{n-1})\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\|P_{1}(u_{11}^{n-1}, u_{12}^{n-1}, u_{13}^{n})\| \\ &+ \dot{\lambda}_{1}\|F_{1}(u_{11}^{n-1}, u_{12}^{n-1}, u_{13}^{n}) + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\|P_{1}(u_{11}^{n-1}, u_{12}^{n-1}, u_{13}^{n-1})\| \\ &+ \dot{\lambda}_{1}\|F_{1}(u_{11}^{n-1}, u_{12}^{n-1}, u_{13}^{n-1}) - P_{1}(u_{11}^{n-1}, u_{12}^{n-1}, u_{13}^{n-1})\| \\ &+ \dot{\lambda}_{1}\|P_{1}(u_{11}^{n-1}, u_{12}^{n-1}, u_{13}^{n-1}) + \dot{\lambda}_{1}h_{1}\|u_{11}^{n} - u_{11}^{n-1}\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{12}}\|x_{2}^{n} \\ &- x_{2}^{n-1}\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{13}}\|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1}\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{11}}\|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1}\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{12}}\|x_{2}^{n} \\ &- x_{2}^{n-1}\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{13}}\|x_{3}^{n} - x_{3}^{n-1}\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{11}}\|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1}\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{12}}\|x_{2}^{n} \\ &- x_{2}^{n-1}\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{13}}\|x_{3}^{n} - x_{3}^{n-1}\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{11}}\|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{12}}\|x_{2}^{n} - x_{2}^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{12}}\|x_{2}^{n} - x_{2}^{n-1}\| + \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{13}}\|x_{3}^{n} - x_{3}^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \dot{\lambda}_{1}\lambda_{F_{12}}\|x_{2}^{n} - x_{2}^{n-1}\| +$$

Using (17) and (18), (16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{1}^{n+1} - x_{1}^{n}\| &\leq \left(1 - \mu_{1} + \mu_{1}h_{1} + \mu_{1}\sqrt{1 - 2\xi_{1} + \lambda_{g_{1}}^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{\mu_{1}(\lambda_{g_{1}} + \lambda_{1}\lambda_{F_{11}} + \lambda_{H_{1}}\lambda_{g_{1}} + \lambda_{1}\lambda_{P_{11}}\delta_{A_{11}}(1 + \frac{1}{n}))}{1 + r_{1}}\right)\|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_{1}(\lambda_{1}\lambda_{F_{12}} + \lambda_{1}\lambda_{P_{12}}\delta_{A_{12}}(1 + \frac{1}{n}))}{1 + r_{1}}\|x_{2}^{n} - x_{2}^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_{1}(\lambda_{1}\lambda_{F_{13}} + \lambda_{1}\lambda_{P_{13}}\delta_{A_{13}}(1 + \frac{1}{n}))}{1 + r_{1}}\|x_{3}^{n} - x_{3}^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \mu_{1}\|e_{1}^{n} - e_{1}^{n-1}\|. \end{aligned}$$
(19)

Using the same arguments as for (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{2}^{n+1} - x_{2}^{n}\| &\leq \frac{\mu_{2}(\lambda_{2}\lambda_{F_{21}} + \lambda_{2}\lambda_{P_{21}}\delta_{A_{21}}(1+\frac{1}{n}))}{1+r_{2}} \|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \left(1 - \mu_{2} + \mu_{2}h_{2} + \mu_{2}\sqrt{1 - 2\xi_{2} + \lambda_{2}^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{\mu_{2}(\lambda_{g_{2}} + \lambda_{2}\lambda_{F_{22}} + \lambda_{H_{2}}\lambda_{g_{2}} + \lambda_{2}\lambda_{P_{22}}\delta_{A_{22}}(1+\frac{1}{n}))}{1+r_{2}}\right) \|x_{2}^{n} - x_{2}^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_{2}(\lambda_{2}\lambda_{F_{23}} + \lambda_{2}\lambda_{P_{23}}\delta_{A_{23}}(1+\frac{1}{n}))}{1+r_{2}} \|x_{3}^{n} - x_{3}^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \mu_{2}\|e_{2}^{n} - e_{2}^{n-1}\|. \end{aligned}$$
(20)

Using the same arguments as for (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{3}^{n+1} - x_{3}^{n}\| &\leq \frac{\mu_{3}(\lambda_{3}\lambda_{F_{31}} + \lambda_{3}\lambda_{P_{31}}\delta_{A_{31}}(1+\frac{1}{n}))}{1+r_{3}} \|x_{1}^{n} - x_{1}^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_{3}(\lambda_{3}\lambda_{F_{32}} + \lambda_{3}\lambda_{P_{32}}\delta_{A_{32}}(1+\frac{1}{n}))}{1+r_{3}} \|x_{2}^{n} - x_{2}^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \left(1 - \mu_{3} + \mu_{3}h_{3} + \mu_{3}\sqrt{1 - 2\xi_{3} + \lambda_{g_{3}}^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{\mu_{3}(\lambda_{g_{3}} + \lambda_{3}\lambda_{F_{33}} + \lambda_{H_{3}}\lambda_{g_{3}} + \lambda_{3}\lambda_{P_{33}}\delta_{A_{33}}(1+\frac{1}{n}))}{1+r_{3}}\right) \|x_{3}^{n} - x_{3}^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \mu_{3}\|e_{3}^{n} - e_{3}^{n-1}\|. \end{aligned}$$
(21)

Combining (19) to (21), we have

$$\begin{split} \|x_1^{n+1} - x_1^n\| + \|x_2^{n+1} - x_2^n\| + \|x_3^{n+1} - x_3^n\| \\ &\leq \left(1 - \mu_1 + \mu_1h_1 + \mu_1\sqrt{1 - 2\xi_1 + \xi_{21}^2} \\ + \frac{\mu_1(\lambda_{21} + \lambda_1\lambda_{211} + \lambda_{11}\lambda_{211} + \lambda_1\lambda_{11}\lambda_{11} + \lambda_{21}^n)}{1 + r_1} \|x_2^n - x_2^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_1(\lambda_1\lambda_{212} + \lambda_1\lambda_{213}\lambda_{12}(1 + \frac{1}{n}))}{1 + r_1} \|x_3^n - x_3^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_2(\lambda_2\lambda_{21} + \lambda_2\lambda_{213}\lambda_{12}(1 + \frac{1}{n}))}{1 + r_1} \|x_3^n - x_3^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_2(\lambda_2\lambda_{21} + \lambda_2\lambda_{213}\lambda_{213}(1 + \frac{1}{n}))}{1 + r_2} \|x_3^n - x_1^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \left(1 - \mu_2 + \mu_2h_2 + \mu_2\sqrt{1 - 2\xi_2 + \xi_{22}^2} \\ &+ \frac{\mu_2(\lambda_{22} + \lambda_2\lambda_{22} + \lambda_2\lambda_{22}\lambda_{23}\lambda_{23}(1 + \frac{1}{n}))}{1 + r_2} \|x_3^n - x_3^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_3(\lambda_3\lambda_{213} + \lambda_3\lambda_{213}\lambda_{33}(1 + \frac{1}{n}))}{1 + r_2} \|x_3^n - x_3^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_3(\lambda_3\lambda_{213} + \lambda_3\lambda_{213}\lambda_{33}(1 + \frac{1}{n}))}{1 + r_2} \|x_3^n - x_3^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_3(\lambda_3\lambda_{212} + \lambda_3\lambda_{213}\lambda_{33}\lambda_{33}(1 + \frac{1}{n}))}{1 + r_3} \|x_3^n - x_3^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_3(\lambda_3\lambda_{213} + \lambda_3\lambda_{213}\lambda_{33}\lambda_{33}(1 + \frac{1}{n}))}{1 + r_3} \|x_3^n - x_3^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_3(\lambda_3\lambda_{213} + \lambda_3\lambda_{213}\lambda_{23}\lambda_{23}(1 + \frac{1}{n}))}{1 + r_3} \|x_3^n - x_3^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu_1\|e_1^n - e_1^{n-1}\| + \mu_2\|e_2^n - e_2^{n-1}\| + \mu_3\|e_3^n - e_3^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \mu_1\|e_1^n - e_1^{n-1}\| + \mu_2\|e_2^n - e_2^{n-1}\| + \mu_3\|e_3^n - e_3^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \mu_1\|e_1^n - e_1^{n-1}\| + \mu_2\|e_2^n - e_2^{n-1}\| + \mu_3\|e_3^n - e_3^{n-1}\| \\ &+ (1 - \mu_1 + \mu_1h_1 + \mu_1\sqrt{1 - 2\xi_1 + \xi_{23}^n} + \frac{\mu_1\lambda_2(x_1 + \mu_1\lambda_{11}\lambda_{23})}{1 + r_1} + \frac{\mu_1\lambda_1\lambda_{21}}{1 + r_1} + \frac{\mu_2\lambda_2\lambda_{22}}{1 + r_2} \\ &+ \left(1 - \mu_2 + \mu_2h_2 + \mu_2\sqrt{1 - 2\xi_2 + \xi_{22}^n} + \frac{\mu_3\lambda_3\lambda_{23}}{1 + r_3} + \frac{(\mu_1\lambda_1\lambda_{21}\lambda_{21}\lambda_{21}}{1 + r_2} + \frac{\mu_1\lambda_3\lambda_3\lambda_{23}}{1 + r_3} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\mu_1\lambda_1\lambda_{21}\lambda_{21}\lambda_{21}}{1 + r_2} + \frac{\mu_2\lambda_2\lambda_{22}}{1 + r_2} + \frac{\mu_3\lambda_3\lambda_{23}}{1 + r_3} \\ \\ &+ \left(\frac{\mu_1\lambda_1\lambda_{21}\lambda_{21}\lambda_{21}}}{1 + r_3} + \frac{\mu_2\lambda_2\lambda_{22}}{1 + r_2} + \frac{\mu_3\lambda_3\lambda_{23}\lambda_{23}}{1 + r_3} \\ \\ &+ \left(\frac{\mu_1\lambda_1\lambda_{21}\lambda_{21}\lambda_{21}}{1 + r_3} + \frac{\mu_2\lambda_2\lambda_{22}}{1 + r_2} + \frac{\mu_3\lambda_3\lambda_{23}}{1 + r_3} \\ \\ &+ \left(\frac{\mu_1\lambda_1\lambda_{21}\lambda_{21}\lambda_{22}}{1 + r_3} + \frac{\mu_2\lambda_2\lambda_{22}}{1 + r_2} + \frac{\mu_3\lambda_3\lambda_{23}}{1 + r_3} \\ \\ &+ \left(\frac{\mu_1\lambda_1\lambda_{21}\lambda_{21}\lambda_{22$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \|x_{i}^{n+1} - x_{i}^{n}\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(1 - \mu_{i} + \mu_{i}h_{i} + \mu_{i}\sqrt{1 - 2\xi_{i} + \lambda_{g_{i}}^{2}} + \frac{\mu_{i}\lambda_{g_{i}} + \mu_{i}\lambda_{H_{i}}\lambda_{g_{i}}}{1 + r_{i}} \right) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\mu_{j}\lambda_{j}\lambda_{F_{ji}}}{1 + r_{j}} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\mu_{j}\lambda_{j}\lambda_{P_{ji}}\delta_{A_{ji}}}{1 + r_{j}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right) \|x_{i}^{n} - x_{i}^{n-1}\| \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i}\|e_{i}^{n} - e_{i}^{n-1}\| \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\kappa_{i} + \nu_{i}^{n})\|x_{i}^{n} - x_{i}^{n-1}\| + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i}\|e_{i}^{n} - e_{i}^{n-1}\|,$$
(22)

where $\kappa_i = 1 - \mu_i + \mu_i h_i + \mu_i \sqrt{1 - 2\xi_i + \lambda_{g_i}^2} + \frac{\mu_i \lambda_{g_i} + \mu_i \lambda_{H_i} \lambda_{g_i}}{1 + r_i} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\mu_j \lambda_j \lambda_{F_{j_i}}}{1 + r_j}$ and $\nu_i^n = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\mu_j \lambda_j \lambda_{P_{j_i}} \delta_{A_{j_i}}}{1 + r_j} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right).$

It follows from (22) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \|x_{i}^{n+1} - x_{i}^{n}\| \le \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha^{n} \|x_{i}^{n} - x_{i}^{n-1}\| + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i} \|e_{i}^{n} - e_{i}^{n-1}\|,$$
(23)

where

$$\alpha^{n} = \max\{\kappa_{1} + \nu_{1}^{n}, \kappa_{2} + \nu_{2}^{n}, \kappa_{3} + \nu_{3}^{n}\}, \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots.$$

Letting $\alpha = \max{\{\kappa_1 + \nu_1, \kappa_2 + \nu_2, \kappa_3 + \nu_3\}}$, where

$$v_i = \mu_i \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\mu_j \lambda_j \lambda_{P_{ji}} \delta_{A_{ji}}}{1+r_j}, \quad for \ each \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$

then $\alpha^n \to \alpha$ and $\nu_i^n \to \nu_i$, as $n \to \infty$, for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. From condition (15), we know that $0 < \alpha < 1$ and hence there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_0 \in (\alpha, 1)$ such that $\alpha^n \le \alpha_0$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Therefore, it follows from (23) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \|x_{i}^{n+1} - x_{i}^{n}\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_{n_{0}} \|x_{i}^{n} - x_{i}^{n-1}\| + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i} \|e_{i}^{n} - e_{i}^{n-1}\|, \text{ for all } n \geq n_{0},$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \|x_{i}^{n+1} - x_{i}^{n}\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_{0}^{n-n_{0}} \|x_{i}^{n_{0}+1} - x_{i}^{n_{0}}\| + \sum_{p=1}^{n-n_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i} \alpha_{0}^{p-1} \iota_{i}^{n-(p-1)}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_{0},$$

where $\iota_i^n = ||e_i^n - e_i^{n-1}||$, for all $n \ge n_0$. Hence, for any $m \ge n > n_0$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \|x_{i}^{m} - x_{i}^{n}\| &\leq \sum_{q=n}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \|x_{i}^{q+1} - x_{i}^{q}\| \\ &\leq \sum_{q=n}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_{0}^{q-n_{0}} \|x_{i}^{n_{0}+1} - x_{i}^{n_{0}}\| + \sum_{q=n}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{q-n_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i} \alpha_{0}^{p-1} \iota_{i}^{q-(p-1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{q=n}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_{0}^{q-n_{0}} \|x_{i}^{n_{0}+1} - x_{i}^{n_{0}}\| \\ &+ \sum_{q=n}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{q-n_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i} \alpha_{0}^{q} \frac{\iota_{i}^{q-(p-1)}}{\alpha_{0}^{q-(p-1)}}. \end{split}$$

$$(24)$$

Since $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \iota_1^q \kappa^{-q} < \infty$, $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \iota_2^q \kappa^{-q} < \infty$, and $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \iota_3^q \kappa^{-q} < \infty$, for all $\kappa \in (0, 1)$, and $\alpha_0 < 1$, it follows from (24) that $||x_1^m - x_1^n|| \to 0$, $||x_2^m - x_2^n|| \to 0$ and $||x_3^m - x_3^n|| \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$, and so $\{x_1^n\}, \{x_2^n\}$ and $\{x_3^n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X_1, X_2 and X_3 , respectively. Thus, there exist $x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2$ and $x_3 \in X_3$ such that $x_1^n \to x_1, x_2^n \to x_2$ and $x_3^n \to x_3$, as $n \to \infty$.

Now, we prove that $u_{i1}^n \rightarrow u_{i1} \in A_{i1}(x_1)$, $u_{i2}^n \rightarrow u_{i2} \in A_{i2}(x_2)$, $u_{i3}^n \rightarrow u_{i3} \in A_{i3}(x_3)$, for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. In fact, it follows from the Lipschitz continuity of A_{i1} , A_{i2} , A_{i3} and (11)–(13) that

$$\|u_{i1}^n - u_{i1}^{n-1}\| \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \delta_{A_{i1}} \|x_1^n - x_1^{n-1}\|,\tag{25}$$

$$\|u_{i2}^n - u_{i2}^{n-1}\| \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \delta_{A_{i2}} \|x_2^n - x_2^{n-1}\|,\tag{26}$$

$$\|u_{i3}^n - u_{i3}^{n-1}\| \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \delta_{A_{i3}} \|x_3^n - x_3^{n-1}\|, \quad \text{for each } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

$$(27)$$

From (25)–(27), we know that $\{u_{i1}^n\}, \{u_{i2}^n\}$ and $\{u_{i3}^n\}$ are also Cauchy sequences. Therefore, there exist $u_{i1} \in X_1, u_{i2} \in X_2$ and $u_{i3} \in X_3$ such that $u_{i1}^n \to u_{i1}, u_{i2}^n \to u_{i2}, u_{i3}^n \to u_{i3}$, as $n \to \infty$.

Further, for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$d(u_{i1}, A_{i1}(x_1)) \leq ||u_{i1} - u_{i1}^n|| + d(u_{i1}^n, A_{i1}(x_1))$$

$$\leq ||u_{i1} - u_{i1}^n|| + D_1(A_{i1}(x_1^n), A_{i1}(x_1))$$

$$\leq ||u_{i1} - u_{i1}^n|| + \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)\delta_{A_{i1}}||x_1^n - x_1|| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

Since A_{i1} is closed, we have $u_{i1} \in A_{i1}(x_1)$. Similarly, $u_{i2} \in A_{i2}(x_2)$, $u_{i3} \in A_{i3}(x_3)$, respectively. By continuity of the mappings g_i , H_i , F_i , P_i , $R_{\lambda_i,M_i}^{I_i-H_i}$ and iterative Algorithm 1, we know that u_{i1}, u_{i2}, u_{i3} satisfy the following relation:

$$g_i(x_i) = R_{\lambda_i, M_i(.., x_i)}^{I_i - H_i} [(I_i - H_i)(g_i(x_i)) - \lambda_i F_i(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \lambda_i P_i(u_{i1}, u_{i2}, u_{i3})].$$

By Lemma 1, $(x_1, x_2, x_3, u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}, u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33})$ is a solution of SGIVI (7). This completes the proof.

Remark 1 It is to be noted that the techniques used to prove the convergence result Theorem 3 is different than others. For more details, we refer to Shang and Bouffanais (2014a, b).

The following example ensures that all the conditions of Theorem 3 are fulfilled.

Example 1 For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let $X_i = \mathbb{R}$ and $g_i : X_i \to X_i$ be the mappings defined by

$$g_i(x) = \frac{x}{103i}, \quad \forall x \in X_i.$$

Suppose that the mappings $H_i : X_i \to X_i$ are defined by

$$H_i(x) = -\frac{(1+i)x}{2}, \quad \forall x \in X_i,$$

and the mappings $M_i : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{X_i}$ are defined by

$$M_i(x,y) = \frac{(1+i)x}{2}, \quad \forall (x,y) \in X_i \times X_i.$$

Then, it is easy to check that g'_i s are $\frac{1}{100i}$ -Lipschitz continuous and $\frac{1}{105i}$ -strongly monotone, H'_i s are *i*-Lipschitz continuous and *i*-relaxed Lipschitz continuous, and M'_i s are monotone mappings.

In addition, it is easy to verify that for $\lambda_i = 1$, $[(I_i - H_i) + M_i(., y)](X_i) = X_i$, which shows that M_i 's are $(I_i - H_i)$ -monotone mappings. Hence, the relaxed resolvent operators $R_{\lambda_i,M_i}^{I_i-H_i}: X_i \to X_i$ associated with I_i , H_i and M_i are of the form:

$$R_{\lambda_i,M_i}^{I_i-H_i}(x) = \frac{x}{2+i}, \quad \forall x \in X_i$$

It is easy to see that the relaxed resolvent operators defined above are single-valued. Now,

$$\begin{split} \left\| R_{\lambda_{i},M_{i}}^{I_{i}-H_{i}}(x) - R_{\lambda_{i},M_{i}}^{I_{i}-H_{i}}(y) \right\| &= \left\| \frac{x}{2+i} - \frac{y}{2+i} \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2+i} \|x - y\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1+i} \|x - y\|. \end{split}$$

Hence, the resolvent operators $R_{\lambda_i,M_i}^{I_i-H_i}$ are $\frac{1}{1+i}$ -Lipschitz continuous. Let the mappings $F_i : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$F_i(x) = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + 1}{3480i}, \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},$$

and the mappings $P_i : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$P_i(x) = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + i + 1}{3370i}, \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

It can be verified that F_i 's are $\frac{1}{1150i}$ -Lipschitz continuous in first argument, $\frac{1}{2300i}$ -Lipschitz continuous in second argument and $\frac{1}{3450i}$ -Lipschitz continuous in third argument, P_i 's are $\frac{1}{1100i}$ -Lipschitz continuous in first argument, $\frac{1}{2200i}$ -Lipschitz continuous in second argument and $\frac{1}{3300i}$ -Lipschitz continuous in third argument. Suppose that $A_{i1}, A_{i2}, A_{i3} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the identity mappings. Then, clearly A_{i1} 's, A_{i2} 's and A_{i3} 's are $1-D_i$ -Lipschitz continuous mappings. Hence, all the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied.

Remark 2 We choose $\lambda_{g_i} = \frac{1}{100i}$, $\xi_i = \frac{1}{105i}$, $\lambda_{H_i} = i$, $r_i = i$, $\lambda_{F_{i1}} = \frac{1}{1150i}$, $\lambda_{F_{i2}} = \frac{1}{2300i}$, $\lambda_{F_{i3}} = \frac{1}{3450i}$, $\lambda_{P_{i1}} = \frac{1}{1100i}$, $\lambda_{P_{i2}} = \frac{1}{2200i}$, $\lambda_{P_{i3}} = \frac{1}{3300i}$, $\delta_{A_{i1}} = 1$, $\delta_{A_{i2}} = 1$, $\delta_{A_{i3}} = 1$, $\lambda_i = 1$, one can easily verify that for $h_i = \frac{1}{1000i}$ and $\mu_i = 1$, the condition (15) of Theorem 3 is satisfied.

Remark 3 We remark that our results can be further considered in Banach spaces and also the techniques of this paper may be helpful for solving a system of *n*-variational inclusions.

Conclusion

System of variational inclusions can be viewed as natural and innovative generalizations of the system of variational inequalities. Two of the most difficult and important problems related to inclusions are the establishment of generalized inclusions and the development of an iterative algorithm. In this article, a new system of three variational inclusions is introduced and studied which is more general than many existing system of variational inclusions in the literature. An iterative algorithm is established with error terms to approximate the solution of our system, and convergence criteria is also discussed.

We remark that our results are new and useful for further research and one can extend these results in higher dimensional spaces. Much more work is needed in all these areas to develop a sound basis for applications of the system of general variational inclusions in engineering and physical sciences.

Authors' contributions

The authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India. ² Applied Mathematics and Humanties Department, Sardar Vallabhbhai National Institute of Technology, Ichchhanath Mahadev Dumas Road, Surat 395 007, India.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh and Sardar Vallabhbhai National Institute of Technology, Surat, for providing excellent facilities to carry out this work.

The authors express their sincere thanks to the referee for his/her careful reading and suggestions that helped to improve this paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 26 April 2016 Accepted: 26 July 2016 Published online: 08 August 2016

References

Agarwal RP, Huang NJ, Tan MY (2004) Sensitivity analysis for a new system of generalized nonlinear mixed quasi-variational inclusions. Appl Math Lett 17:345–352

- Ahmad I, Rahaman M, Ahmad R (2016) Relaxed resolvent operator for solving a variational inclusion problem. Stat Optim Inf Comput 4:183–193
- Ansari QH, Schaible S, Yao JC (2000) System of vector equilibrium problems and its applications. J Optim Theory Appl 107:547–557
- Ansari QH, Yao JC (1999) A fixed point theorem and its applications to a system of variational inequalities. Bull Austral Math Soc 59(3):433–442

Bianchi M (1993) Pseudo P-monotone Operators and variational inequalities. Report 6, Istitute di econometria e Matematica per le decisioni economiche, Universita Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Milan

Chang SS, Kim JK, Kim KH (2005) On the existence and iterative approximation problems of solutions for set-valued variational inclusions in Banach spaces. Comput Math Appl 49:365–374

Cho YJ, Fang YP, Huang NJ (2004) Algorithms for systems of nonlinear variational inequalities. J Korean Math Soc 41:489–499

Cohen G, Chaplais F (1988) Nested monotony for variational inequalities over a product of spaces and convergence of iterative algorithms. J Optim Theory Appl 59:360–390

- Ding XP (2003) Existence and algorithms of solutions for nonlinear mixed variational-like inequalities in Banach spaces. J Comput Appl Math 157:419–434
- Fang YP, Huang NJ (2004) *H*-monotone operators and system of variational inclusions. Commun Appl Nonlinear Anal 11(1):93–101
- Fang YP, Huang NJ (2004) Existence results for systems of strongly implicit vector variational inequalities. Acta Math Hung 103:265–277

Fang YP, Huang NJ, Thompson HB (2005) A new system of variational inclusions with (H, η)-monotone operators in Hilbert spaces. Comput Math Appl 49(2–3):365–374

Kassay G, Kolumbán J (1999) System of multi-valued variational inequalities. Publ Math Debrecen 54:267–279

Kassay G, Kolumbán J, Páles Z (2002) Factorization of minty and stampacchia variational inequality system. Eur J Oper Res 143:377–389

Kazmi KR, Bhat MI, Ahmad N (2009) An iterative algorithm based on *M*-proximal mappings for a system of generalized implicit variational inclusions in Banach spaces. Comput Appl Math 233:361–371

- Kim JK, Kim DS (2004) A new system of generalized nonlinear mixed varuational inequalities in Hilbert spaces. J Korean Math Soc 11(1):203–210
- Lan HY, Kim JH, Cho YJ (2007) On a new system of nonlinear A-monotone multivalued variational inclusions. J Math Anal Appl 327:481–493
- Nadler JSB (1992) Multivalued contraction mappings. Pac J Math 30:475-488
- Noor MA (2001) Tree-step-iterative algorithms for multivalued quasi variational inclusions. J Math Anal Appl 255:589–604 Peng JW (2003) System of generalized set-valued quasi-variational-like inequalities. Bull Austral Math Soc 68:501–515 Peng JW, Zhu D (2007) A new system of generalized mixed quasi-variatinal inclusions with (H, η)-monotone operators. J
- Math Anal Appl 327:175–187 Shang Y, Bouffanais R (2014a) Influence of the number of topologically interacting neighbors on swarm dynamics. Sci
- Rep 4:4184. doi:10.1038/srep04184

Shang Y, Bouffanais R (2014b) Consensus reaching in swarms ruled by a hybrid metric-topological distance. Eur Phys J B 87(12):294. doi:10.1140/epjb/e2014-50094-4

Siddiqi AH, Ahmad R, Husain S (1998) A perturbed algorithm for generalized nonlinear quasivariational inclusions. Math Comput Appl 3(3):177–184

Sun J, Zhang L, Xiao X (2008) An algorithm based on resolvent operators for solving variational inequalities in Hilbert spaces. Nonlinear Anal 69(10):3344–3357

- Verma RU (1999) On a new system of nonlinear variational inequalities and associated iterative algorithms. Math Sci Res Hot-line 3(8):65–68
- Verma RU (2001) Iterative algorithms and a new system of nonlinear quasivariatinal inequalities. Adv Nonlinear Var Inequal 4(1):1286–1292

Verma RU (2004) Generalized system for relaxed cocoercive variational inequalities and problems and projection methods. J Optim Theory Appl 121(1):203–210

Verma RU (2004) A-monotononicity and applications to nonlinear variational inclusion problems. J Appl Math Stoch Anal 17(2):193–195

Verma RU (2005) Nonlinear A-monotone mixed variational inclusion problems based on resolvent operator techniques. Math Sci Res J 9(10):255–267

Yan WY, Fang YP, Huang NJ (2005) A new system of set-valued variational inclusions with h-monotone operators. Math Inequal Appl 8(3):537–546