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## Abstract

Consider the viscosity solution to the initial boundary value problem of the diffusion equation

$$
u_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{m}\right)-u^{q_{1} m}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}},
$$

with $p>1, m>0, p_{1} \leq 2, p>2 p_{1}$, its initial value $u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \in L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega), 3>q>1$ and its boundary value $u(x, t)=0,(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty)$. If $p>1+\frac{1}{m}$, by considering the regularized problem and using Moser's iteration technique, we get the locally uniformly bounded property of the solution and the locally bounded property of the $L^{p}$-norm of the gradient. By the compactness theorem, the existence of the viscosity solution of the equation is obtained provided that

$$
\frac{m N q_{1}}{N m(p-1)-N+m q}+\frac{p_{1}(m(p-1)+m-2)}{m(p-1)-1}<1 .
$$

If $2<p<1+\frac{1}{m}$, the existence of solution is obtained in a similar way, and the extinction of the solution is proved in this case.
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## 1 Introduction

The objective of the paper is to study the nonnegative weak solution of the following nonlinear parabolic equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{m}\right)-u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} \quad \text { in } S=\Omega \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.1}\\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad x \in \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\
& u(x, t)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty), \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded open domain, $p>1$, $m>0, p_{1} \leq 2, p>2 p_{1}, N \geq 1,0 \leq u_{0}(x) \in$ $L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega), 3>q>1$, and $\nabla$ is the spatial gradient operator.

The equation of the form (1.1) was suggested as a mathematical model for a variety of problems in mechanics, physics and biology, which can be seen in [1-4] etc. It has been widely researched, whether it is linear (i.e., $m=1, p=2, p_{1}=0, m q_{1}=1$ ) or nonlinear, fast diffusion $(m(p-1)<1)$ or slow diffusion $(m(p-1)>1)$. For example, the existence of
a nonnegative solution of (1.1)-(1.3) without the damping term $-u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}$, defined in some weak sense, is well established (see [5, 6]). For other examples, Bertsh et al. [7] and Zhou et al. [8] discussed the existence and properties of viscosity solution for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=u \Delta u-\gamma|\nabla u|^{2}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a positive constant. Zhang et al. [9] discussed the existence and properties of the viscosity solution for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\Delta u-a(x)|u|^{q-1}|\nabla u|^{2}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(x)$ is a known function.
The most important characteristic of equation (1.4) or (1.5) is in that, generally, the uniqueness of the solutions is not true; one can refer to [8-12]. Thus, for the equation of the type (1.1), we should mainly consider the existence of the viscosity solution (see Definition 1.2 below) and the related properties such as large time behaviors; one can refer to [13-16] etc. for some progress on this problem.
Now, we quote the following definition.

Definition 1.1 A nonnegative function $u(x, t)$ is called a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.3) if $u$ satisfies
(i)

$$
\begin{align*}
& u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{1.6}\\
& u_{t} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad u^{m} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) ; \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S}\left[u \varphi_{t}-\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{m} \cdot \nabla \varphi-u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} \varphi\right] d x d t=0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{1}(S) ; \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|u(x, t)-u_{0}(x)\right| d x=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will get the solution of (1.1)-(1.3) by considering the regularized problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^{m}\right)-u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}, \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial value (1.2) and the homogeneous boundary value (1.3). The solutions of the regularized equation (1.10) are denoted by $u_{k}$.

Definition 1.2 If $u_{k}$ is a solution of the initial boundary value problem of (1.10)-(1.2)-(1.3), $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}=u$, a.e. in $S$, such that $u$ is a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.3), then $u$ is said to be a viscosity solution.

The main aim of the paper is to show how the damping term $-u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m p_{1}}\right|$ affects the equation, including how the damping term affects the existence of the solution and how the damping term affects the properties such as the extinction of the solution. By considering the solution $u_{k}$ of the regularized problem (1.10) and using Moser's iteration technique, we get $u_{k}$ 's local bounded properties and the local bounded properties of the $L^{p}$-norm of the gradient $\nabla u_{k}$. By the compactness theorem, we get the existence of the viscosity solution of the diffusion equation itself. Apart from the general process of the proof such as in $[3-5,7,9]$ etc., in which the main difficulty is how to prove that

$$
\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{k}^{m} \rightharpoonup(*) \chi=\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{m}, \quad \text { weakly star in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)\right),
$$

in our paper, in addition to overcoming the above difficulty, we have to solve another difficulty lying in how to prove that

$$
-u_{k}^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} \rightharpoonup(*) v=-u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}, \quad \text { weakly star in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{\frac{p}{p_{1}}}(\Omega)\right) .
$$

Also, we need to overcome the difficulty which comes from the damping term $-u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m p_{1}}\right|$ when we prove the uniqueness of the viscosity solutions of (1.1)-(1.3).
In order to get the desired results, some important relationships among the exponents $p_{1}, q_{1}, q, p, m, N$ are imposed. We also need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.3 [17] (Gagliardo-Nirenberg) If $1 \leq l<N, 1+\beta \leq q, 1 \leq r \leq q \leq(1+\beta) N l /(N-l)$, suppose that $u^{1+\beta} \in W^{1, l}(\Omega)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{q} \leq c^{1 /(1+\beta)}\|u\|_{r}^{1-\theta}\left\|u^{1+\beta}\right\|_{1, l}^{\theta /(1+\beta)}, \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta=(\beta+1)\left(r^{-1}-q^{-1}\right) /\left(N^{-1}-l^{-1}+(\beta+1) r^{-1}\right)$.

Lemma 1.4 [18] Let $y(t)$ be a nonnegative function on ( $0, T$ ]. If it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)+A t^{\lambda \theta-1} y^{1+\theta}(t) \leq B t^{-k} y(t)+C t^{-\delta}, \quad 0<t \leq T \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, \theta>0, \lambda \theta \geq 1, B, C \geq 0, k \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) \leq A^{-\frac{1}{\theta}}\left(2 \lambda+2 B T^{1-k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} t^{-\lambda}+2 C\left(\lambda+B T^{1-k}\right)^{-1} t^{1-\delta}, \quad 0<t \leq T . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove the following theorems. As usual, the constants $c$ in what follows may be different from one to another.

Theorem 1.5 If $0 \leq u_{0}(x)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& p>1+\frac{1}{m},  \tag{1.14}\\
& u_{0}(x) \in L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega),  \tag{1.15}\\
& p_{1} \leq 2, \quad 2 p_{1}<p, \quad 3>q>1,  \tag{1.16}\\
& \frac{m N q_{1}}{N m(p-1)-N+m q}+\frac{p_{1}(m(p-1)+m-2)}{m(p-1)-1}<1, \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

then (1.1)-(1.3) has a weak viscosity solution which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{m} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{q+1-\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{m}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq c\left(1+t^{-\lambda}\right)(1+t)^{-1 /\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right)}, \quad t>0 \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=N\left(p q+\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right) N\right)^{-1}$. Moreover, if $p>2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p} \leq c\left(1+t^{-\mu}\right)(1+t)^{-\sigma}, \quad t>0, \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=1+\frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1}, \sigma=\frac{p\left(m\left(2 q_{1}+1\right)-1\right)+m p_{1}}{(m(p-1)-1)\left(p-p_{1}\right)}$.

The condition (1.17) is only used to prove (1.9); if $p_{1}=0=q_{1}$, this is a natural condition. We conjecture that this condition can be weakened.

Theorem 1.6 Let $u$ be a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.3). If $p>1+\frac{1}{m}, p_{1}+q_{1}>p-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} u(\cdot, s) \subset \operatorname{supp} u(\cdot, t) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s, t$ with $0<s<t$.

Theorem 1.7 If $2<p<1+\frac{1}{m}<p+q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq u_{0} \in L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega), \quad 3>q>1, \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1.1)-(1.3) has a weak solution which satisfies (1.18), and there exists a positive $T>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \equiv 0, \quad \forall(x, t) \in(x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times(T, \infty) \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the damping term disappears in (1.1), say, if (1.1) without $-u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m p_{1}}\right|$ by [19], then we know that the extinction of the solution as Theorem 1.7 is true. For other related works on equation (1.1), one can refer to the references [20-31] etc. We use some ideas in [19] and [30].

## 2 The $L^{\infty}$ estimate of the solution

Consider the regularized problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^{m}\right)-u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}  \tag{2.1}\\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0 k}(x), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{2.2}\\
& u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, t \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0 \leq u_{0 k}(x)$ is a suitably smooth function such that

$$
u_{0}(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{0 k}\right\|_{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\left.\left|-u^{m q_{1}}\right| \nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}\left|=m^{p_{1}}\right| u^{m q_{1}+p_{1}(m-1)}|\nabla u|^{p_{1}} \mid,
$$

if let

$$
b(x, t, z, p)=-\left.m^{p_{1}}\left|z^{m q_{1}+p_{1}(m-1)}\right| p\right|^{p_{1}} \mid .
$$

Then, if $|z| \leq M$, since $p_{1} \leq 2$,

$$
|b| \leq c|p|^{2}
$$

by Chapter 8 of [32], viewing (2.1) as a divergent form of a quasilinear parabolic equation, we know that (2.1)-(2.3) has a unique nonnegative classical solution $u_{k}$. In what follows, in the proof of the related lemmas, we only denote $u_{k}$ as $u$ for simplicity.

Lemma 2.1 If $p>1+\frac{1}{m}, u_{k}$ is the solution of (2.1)-(2.3), then $u_{k}^{m} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{k}^{m}\right\|_{q-1+\frac{1}{m}} \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}}}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $3>q>1$.

Proof Let $A_{n}=(q-2) n^{3-q}, B_{n}=(3-q) n^{2-q}$ and

$$
f_{n}(s)= \begin{cases}s^{q-1} & \text { if } s \geq \frac{1}{n} \\ A_{n} s^{2}+B_{n} s & \text { if } 0 \leq s<\frac{1}{n}\end{cases}
$$

The condition $3>q>1$ assures that $f\left(u^{m}\right)$ defined above is nonnegative. If we multiply (2.1) by $f_{n}\left(u^{m}\right)$ and integral on $\Omega$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} f_{n}\left(u^{m}\right) \operatorname{div}\left(\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^{m}\right) d x \\
& \quad=-\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2} f_{n}^{\prime}\left(u^{m}\right) d x \\
& \quad \leq-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p} f_{n}^{\prime}\left(u^{m}\right) d x=-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \int_{0}^{u^{m}}\left(f_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d s\right|^{p} d x,  \tag{2.5}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} f_{n}\left(u^{m}\right) u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} d x \leq 0 . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

From the above calculation, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} f_{n}\left(u^{m}\right) u_{t} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \int_{0}^{u^{m}}\left(f_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d s\right|^{p} d x \leq 0 .
$$

By the Poincare inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} f_{n}\left(u^{m}\right) u_{t} d x+c \int_{\Omega}\left|\int_{0}^{u^{m}}\left(f_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d s\right|^{p} d x \leq 0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.7). We can deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} u^{m(q-1)+1} d x+c \int_{\Omega} u^{m\left[q-1+\frac{1}{m}+p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right]} d x \leq 0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Jessen inequality, from (2.8) we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}+c\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}+p-1-\frac{1}{m}} \leq 0
$$

then

$$
\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{q+1-\frac{1}{m}} \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}}} .
$$

We get the desired result.

Lemma 2.2 If $p>1+\frac{1}{m}, u_{k}$ is the solution of (2.1)-(2.3), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{k}^{m}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c t^{-\lambda}, \quad 0<t \leq 1  \tag{2.9}\\
& \left\|u_{k}^{m}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}}}, \quad t \geq 1 \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda=\frac{N}{\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right) N+q}$.

Proof Multiply (2.1) by $u^{m(l-1)}$ and integral on $\Omega$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} u^{m(l-1)} u_{t} d x= & \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}\left(\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^{m}\right) u^{m(l-1)} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} u^{m(l-1)} d x \\
= & -(l-1) \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2} u^{m(l-2)} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} u^{m(l-1)} d x \\
\leq & -(l-1) \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2} u^{m(l-2)} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

which deduces that

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{l-1+\frac{1}{m}}^{l-1+\frac{1}{m}}+c\left(l-1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{2-p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{m \frac{p+l-1+\frac{1}{m}-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}\right|^{p} d x \leq 0 .
$$

Set $L=l-1+\frac{1}{m}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{L}^{L}+c L^{2-p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{m \frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}\right|^{p} d x \leq 0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant independent of $l$.
Now, if we choose $L_{1}=q-1-\frac{1}{m}, L_{n}=r L_{n-1}-\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right), \theta_{n}=r N\left(1-L_{n-1} L_{n}^{-1}\right)(p+N(r-$ 1) $)^{-1}, \mu_{n}=\left(L_{n}+p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right) \theta_{n}^{-1}-L_{n}, r>1+\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right) q^{-1}, n=2,3, \ldots$, by Lemma 1.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{L_{n}} \leq c^{p /\left(L_{n}+p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right)}\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{L_{n-1}}^{1-\theta_{n}}\left\|\nabla u^{m\left(L_{n}+p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right) / p}\right\|_{p}^{p \theta_{n} /\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}+L_{n}\right)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose $L=L_{n}$ in (2.11), by (2.12) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{L_{n}}^{L_{n}}+c^{-p \mid \theta_{n}} L_{n}^{2-p}\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{L_{n}}^{L_{n}+\mu_{n}}\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{L_{n-1}}^{p-1-\frac{1}{m}-\mu_{n}} \leq 0, \quad 0<t \leq 1 . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove that there exist two bounded sequences $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{L_{n}} \leq \xi_{n} t^{-\lambda_{n}}, \quad 0<t \leq 1 . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n=1$, by Lemma 2.1, $\lambda_{1}=0, \xi_{1}=\sup _{t \geq 0}\left\|u^{m}(t)\right\|_{q-1-\frac{1}{m}}$ makes (2.14) sure. If (2.14) is true for $n-1$, then from (2.13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{L_{n}}^{L_{n}}+c^{-p / \theta_{n}} L_{n}^{2-p}\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{L_{n}}^{L_{n}+\mu_{n}} \xi_{n-1}^{p-1-\frac{1}{m}-\mu_{n}} t^{-\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}-\mu_{n}\right) \lambda_{n-1}} \leq 0, \quad 0<t \leq 1 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can choose

$$
\lambda_{n}=\left(\lambda_{n-1}\left(\mu_{n}-p+1+\frac{1}{m}\right)+1\right) \mu_{n}^{-1}, \quad \xi_{n}=\xi_{n-1}\left(c^{p / \theta_{n}} L_{n}^{p-1} \lambda_{n}\right)^{1 / \mu_{n}}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots,
$$

by Lemma 1.4 and (2.15), (2.14) is true.
Moreover, by Lemma 1.4, as $n \rightarrow \infty, \lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda=\frac{N}{\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right) N+q}$. It is easy to see that $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Thus (2.9) is true.
To prove (2.10), we set $\tau=\log (1+t), t \geq 1, w(\tau)=(1+t)^{\frac{1}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}}} u^{m}(t)$. By (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau}\|w(\tau)\|_{L}^{L}+c L^{2-p}\left\|\nabla w^{\frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq \frac{L}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}}\|w(\tau)\|_{L^{\prime}}^{L}, \quad \tau \geq \log 2 . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.1 in [31], we can get (2.10); we omit details here.

## 3 The $L^{\infty}$ estimation of the gradient

Lemma 3.1 If $p>\max \left\{2,1+\frac{1}{m}\right\}, u_{k}$ is the solution of (2.1)-(2.3), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right\|_{p} \leq c t^{-\left(1+\frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1}\right)}, \quad 0<t \leq 1,  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left\|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right\|_{p} \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{p\left(m\left(2 q_{1}+1\right)-1\right)+m p_{1}}{(m(p-1)-1)\left(p-p_{1}\right)}}, \quad t \geq 1 . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof If we multiply (3.1) by $u_{t}^{m}$ and integral on $\Omega$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& m \int_{\Omega} u^{m-1}\left(u_{t}\right)^{2} d x \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}\left(\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^{m}\right) u_{t}^{m} d x-\int_{\Omega} u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} u_{t}^{m} d x  \tag{3.3}\\
& \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}\left(\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^{m}\right) u_{t}^{m} d x \\
& \quad=-\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^{m} \nabla u_{t}^{m} d x=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|_{t}^{2} d x \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}}\left(s+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} d s d x=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \Gamma_{k}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& \left.\left.\left|-\int_{\Omega} u^{m q_{1}}\right| \nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} u_{t}^{m} d x\left|\leq \frac{m}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{m-1}\left(u_{t}\right)^{2} d x+c \int_{\Omega}\right| u^{m}\right|^{2 q_{1}+\frac{m-1}{m}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2 p_{1}} d x \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.3)-(3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{m-1}\left(u_{t}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{1}{m} \frac{d}{d t} \Gamma_{k}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}\right) \leq c \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{m}\right|^{2 p_{1}+\frac{m-1}{m}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2 p_{1}} d x \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we multiply (3.1) by $u^{m}$ and integral on $\Omega$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{d t} u^{m+1} d x & =\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}\left(\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^{m}\right) u^{m} d x-\int_{\Omega} u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} u^{m} d x \\
& =-\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} u^{m} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{k}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}\right) & \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2} d x \\
& =-\frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{d t} u^{m+1} d x-\int_{\Omega} u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} u^{m} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m+1}\left\|u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right\|_{2}\left\|u^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{t}\right\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{m} \frac{d}{d t} \Gamma_{k}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}\right)+(m+1)^{2}\left\|u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right\|_{2}^{-2} \Gamma_{k}^{2}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq c \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{m}\right|^{2 q_{1}+\frac{m-1}{m}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2 p_{1}} d x \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $2 \gamma=2 q_{1}+1-\frac{1}{m}$, for $\forall a \in[0,2 \gamma]$, if we notice that $p>2 p_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{m}\right|^{2 a}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2 p_{1}} d x \leq\left\|u^{m}(t)\right\|_{\infty}^{a}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{m}\right|^{\frac{(2 \gamma-a) p}{p-2 p_{1}}} d x\right)^{\frac{p-2 p_{1}}{p}}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{2 p_{1}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $2 \gamma \geq\left(p-2 p_{1}\right)(N+1) / N$, let $a=\left(2 \gamma-\left(p-2 p_{1}\right)\left(1+\frac{q}{N}\right)\right)^{+}$. By Lemma 1.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{m}\right|^{\frac{(2 \gamma-a) p}{p-2 p_{1}}} d x\right)^{\frac{p-2 p_{1}}{p}} \leq c\left\|u^{m}(t)\right\|_{s}^{(2 \gamma-a)(1-\theta)}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{p-2 p_{1}}, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta=\left(s^{-1}-\left(1-\frac{2 p_{1}}{p}\right)(2 \gamma-a)^{-1}\right) /\left(N^{-1}-p^{-1}+s^{-1}\right)$, and $s=\left(2 \gamma-p+2 p_{1}-a\right) N /\left(p-2 p_{1}\right)$ when $2 \gamma \geq\left(p-2 p_{1}\right)(1+q / N)$, $s=q$, when $\left(p-2 p_{1}\right)\left(1+N^{-1}\right) \leq 2 \gamma \leq\left(p-2 p_{1}\right)(1+q / N)$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, from (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{m}\right|^{2 a}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2 p_{1}} d x \leq c t^{-\lambda a}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq c t^{-\lambda a} \Gamma_{k}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}\right), \quad 0<t \leq 1 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the same time, if we choose $q=2$ in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{1+\frac{1}{m}}=\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} d x\right)^{\frac{m}{m+1}} \leq c t^{-\left(p-1-\frac{m}{m+1}\right)^{-1}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} d x \leq c t^{-\frac{m+1}{m(p-1)-1}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}(t)+c t^{\frac{m+1}{m(p-1)-1}} \Gamma_{k}^{2}(t) \leq c t^{-\lambda a} \Gamma_{k}(t), \quad 0<t \leq 1 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $2 \gamma<\left(p-2 p_{1}\right)(N+1) / N$ and $p-2 p_{1} \leq 2 a \leq 2 \gamma$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{m}\right|^{2 a}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2 p_{1}} d x \leq c\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{1}^{2 a(1-\theta)}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{2 a \theta+2 p_{1}} \leq c\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq c \Gamma_{k}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}\right) \\
0<t \leq 1 \tag{3.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $2 \gamma<\left(p-2 p_{1}\right)(N+1) / N$ and $p-2 \geq 2 a \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{m}\right|^{2 a}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2} d x \leq c\left(1+\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) \leq c\left(1+\Gamma_{k}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}\right)\right) \\
0<t \leq 1 \tag{3.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

The inequalities (3.13) and (3.14) mean that the inequality (3.12) is still true when $2 \gamma<$ $\left(p-2 p_{1}\right)(N+1) / N$. Using Lemma 1.4, we get

$$
\Gamma_{k}(t) \leq c t^{-\left(1+\frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1}\right)}, \quad 0<t \leq 1
$$

which means (3.1) is true. Now, we will prove (3.2). For $t \geq 1$, by (2.10) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{m}\right|^{2 a}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2 p_{1}} d x & \leq c\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{2}\left\|u^{m}(t)\right\|_{2 \gamma p / p-2 p_{1}}^{2 \gamma} \\
& \leq c(1+t)^{-2 \gamma /\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right)}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{2 p_{1}} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{k}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2}}\left(s^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} d s \leq c\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{p}=c\left(\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{p}^{2 p_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p}{2 p_{1}}}  \tag{3.16}\\
& \left\|u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} d x\right)^{2} \leq c(1+t)^{-\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^{-1}} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.7), using (3.15)-(3.17) yields

$$
\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}(t)+c(1+t)^{-\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^{-1}} \Gamma_{k}^{2}(t) \leq c(1+t)^{2 \gamma /\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right)}\left(\Gamma_{k}(t)\right)^{\frac{2 p_{1}}{p}},
$$

and using the Young inequality gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}(t)+c(1+t)^{-\left(p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^{-1}} \Gamma_{k}^{2}(t) & \leq c(1+t)^{\frac{-m\left(2 \gamma p+p_{1}\right)}{(m(p-1)-1)\left(p-p_{1}\right)}} \\
& =c(1+t)^{-\frac{p\left(m\left(2 q_{1}+1\right)-1\right)+m p_{1}}{(m(p-1)-1)\left(p-p_{1}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which means (3.2) is true.

Lemma 3.2 If $p>1+\frac{1}{m}, u_{k}$ is the solution of (2.1)-(2.3), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u_{k}^{m-1}\left(u_{k t}\right)^{2} d x d s \leq c t^{-\left(1+\frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1}\right)}+c t^{-\left(\lambda \gamma+\frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1}\right)}, \quad 0<t \leq T \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof From (2.9), (3.1) and (3.7), (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{t}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{m-1}\left(u_{t}\right)^{2} d x d s & \leq \Gamma_{k}(t)+c \int_{t}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{m}\right|^{2 q_{1}+\frac{m-1}{m}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2 p_{1}} d x d s \\
& \leq \Gamma_{k}(t)+c \int_{t}^{T} s^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(2 q_{1}+\frac{m-1}{m}\right)} \Gamma_{k}(s) d s \\
& \leq c t^{-\left(1+\frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1}\right)}+c t^{-\left(\lambda \gamma+\frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1}\right)} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 The proof of Theorem 1.5

The proof of Theorem 1.5 From Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, using the compactness theory (cf. [17]), there is a sequence (still denoted as $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ ) of $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ such that when $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{k} \rightharpoonup(*) u, \quad \text { weakly star in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{m(q-1)+1}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{4.1}\\
& u_{k t} \rightharpoonup u_{t}, \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \\
& \nabla u_{k}^{m} \rightharpoonup u^{m}, \quad \text { weakly in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}\left(0, \infty ; L^{p}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{4.2}\\
& \left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k x_{i}}^{m} \rightharpoonup(*) \chi_{i}, \quad \text { weakly star in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{4.3}\\
& u_{k}^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} \rightharpoonup(*) v, \quad \text { weakly in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{\frac{p}{p_{1}}}(\Omega)\right), \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\chi=\left\{\chi_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq N\right\}$ and every $\chi_{i}$ is a function in $L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)\right), v \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}(0, \infty$; $\left.L^{\frac{p}{p_{1}}}(\Omega)\right)$. (4.1) and (4.2) are clearly true. In what follows, we only need to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{m} \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{\frac{p}{p_{1}}}(\Omega)\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S}\left(u \varphi_{t}-\chi \cdot \nabla \varphi-v \varphi\right) d x d t=0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(S) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, if we can prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{S}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{m} \cdot \nabla \varphi d x d t=\iint_{S} \chi \cdot \nabla \varphi d x d t, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(S)  \tag{4.8}\\
& \iint_{S} u_{k}^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} \varphi d x d t=\iint_{S} \nu \varphi d x d t, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(S) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

then (4.5),(4.6) and (1.8) are true.
First, for any $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(S), 0 \leq \psi \leq 1, v^{m} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S} \psi\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k}^{m}-\left|\nabla v^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla v^{m}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{k}^{m}-v^{m}\right) d x d t \geq 0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we multiply by $u_{k}^{m} \psi$ the two sides of (2.1), then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{S} \psi\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{m+1} \iint_{S} \psi_{t} u_{k}^{m+1} d x d t-\iint_{S} u_{k}^{m}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{k}^{m} \cdot \nabla \psi d x d t \\
& \quad-\iint_{S} u_{k}^{m\left(q_{1}+1\right)}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} \psi d x d t \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Noticing that when $1<p<2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2} \geq\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}-\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right| \leq\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and when $p \geq 2$, we get

$$
\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2} \geq\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p}, \quad\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right| \leq\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p-1}+1\right)
$$

By (4.10), (4.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{m+1} \iint_{S} \psi_{t} u_{k}^{m+1} d x d t-\iint_{S} u_{k}^{m}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{k}^{m} \cdot \nabla \psi d x d t \\
& \quad-\iint_{S} u_{k}^{m\left(q_{1}+1\right)}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} \psi d x d t+\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \operatorname{mes} \Omega \\
& \quad-\iint_{S} \psi\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k}^{m} \cdot \nabla v^{m} d x d t \\
& \quad-\iint_{S} \psi\left|\nabla v^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla v^{m} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{k}^{m}-v^{m}\right) d x d t \geq 0 \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{k}^{m}=\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k}^{m}+\frac{p-2}{2 k} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{s}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} d s \nabla u_{k}^{m}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p-2}{2 k} \iint_{S} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{s}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} d s \nabla u_{k}^{m} \cdot \nabla \psi u_{k}^{m} d x d t=0
$$

if we let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.12), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{m+1} \iint_{S} \psi_{t} u^{m+1} d x d t-\iint_{S} \nu \psi d x d t \\
& \quad-\iint_{S} \psi \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla v^{m} d x d t-\iint_{S} \psi\left|\nabla v^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla v^{m} \cdot \nabla\left(u^{m}-v^{m}\right) d x d t \geq 0 \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we choose $\varphi=\psi u^{m}$ in (4.7),

$$
\frac{1}{m+1} \iint_{S} \psi_{t} u^{m+1} d x d t-\iint_{S} \nu \psi d x d t-\iint_{S} \psi \chi \cdot \nabla \psi u^{m} d x d t=\iint_{S} \psi \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla u^{m} d x d t
$$

From this formula and (4.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S} \psi\left(\chi-\left|\nabla v^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla v^{m}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u^{m}-v^{m}\right) d x d t \geq 0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v^{m}=u^{m}-\lambda \varphi, \lambda \geq 0, \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(S)$. Then

$$
\iint_{S} \psi\left(\chi_{i}-\left|\nabla\left(u^{m}-\lambda \varphi\right)\right|^{p-2}\left(u^{m}-\lambda \varphi\right)_{x_{i}}\right) \varphi_{x_{i}} d x d t \geq 0
$$

Let $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S} \psi\left(\chi_{i}-\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} u_{x_{i}}^{m}\right) \varphi_{x_{i}} d x d t \geq 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(S) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if we choose $\lambda \leq 0$, we are able to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S} \psi\left(\chi_{i}-\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} u_{x_{i}}^{m}\right) \varphi_{x_{i}} d x d t \leq 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(S) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if we choose $\psi$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset \operatorname{supp} \psi$, and on $\operatorname{supp} \varphi, \psi=1$, then from (4.15)(4.16), we can get (4.8). By (4.7) and (4.8), we have

$$
\iint_{S}\left(u \varphi_{t}-\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{m} \cdot \nabla \varphi-v \varphi\right) d x d t=0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(S)
$$

which means (4.9) is true, and so (1.8) is true.
Secondly, we are to prove (1.9).
For small $r>0$, denote $\Omega_{r}=\{x \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) \leq r\}$. For any $\eta>0$, let

$$
\operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}(s)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } s>\eta \\ \frac{s}{\eta} & \text { if }|s| \leq \eta \\ -1 & \text { if } s<-\eta\end{cases}
$$

For any given small $r>0$ and large enough $k, l$, we declare that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left|u_{k}(x, t)-u_{l}(x, t)\right| d x \leq \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left|u_{k}(x, 0)-u_{l}(x, 0)\right| d x+c_{r}(t) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{r}(t)$ is independent of $k, l$, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} c_{r}(t)=0$. By (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}} \varphi\left(u_{k t}-u_{l t}\right) d x d \tau \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}} \nabla \varphi\left[\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{k}^{m}-\left(\left|\nabla u_{l}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{l}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{l}^{m}\right] d x d \tau \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left(u_{k}^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}-u_{l}^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{l}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}\right) \varphi d x d \tau=0 \\
& \forall \varphi \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $\xi(x) \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{r}\right)$ such that

$$
0 \leq \xi \leq 1 ;\left.\quad \xi\right|_{\Omega_{2 r}}=1
$$

and choose $\varphi=\xi \operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}\left(u_{k}^{m}-u_{l}^{m}\right)$ in (4.18), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}} \xi \operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}\left(u_{k}^{m}-u_{l}^{m}\right)\left(u_{k t}-u_{l t}\right) d x d \tau \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left[\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{k}^{m}-\left(x\left|\nabla u_{l}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{l}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{l}^{m}\right] \\
& \quad \times \nabla \xi \operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}\left(u_{k}^{m}-u_{l}^{m}\right) d x d \tau \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left[\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{k}^{m}-\left(x\left|\nabla u_{l}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{l}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{l}^{m}\right] \\
& \quad \times \nabla\left(u_{k}^{m}-u_{l}^{m}\right) \xi \operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}^{m}-u_{l}^{m}\right) d x d \tau \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left(u_{k}^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}-u_{l}^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{l}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}\right) \xi \operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}\left(u_{k}^{m}-u_{l}^{m}\right) d x d \tau=0 \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

If we notice that the third term on the left-hand side of (4.19) tends to zero when $\eta \rightarrow 0$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}} \xi \operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}\left(u_{k}^{m}-u_{l}^{m}\right)\left(u_{k t}-u_{l t}\right) d x d \tau \\
& \quad+\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left[\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{k}^{m}-\left(\left|\nabla u_{l}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{l}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{l}^{m}\right] \\
& \quad \times \nabla \xi \operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}\left(u_{k}^{m}-u_{l}^{m}\right) d x d \tau \\
& \quad+\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left(u_{k}^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}-u_{l}^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{l}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}\right) \xi \operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}\left(u_{k}^{m}-u_{l}^{m}\right) d x d \tau=0 . \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

At the same time,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}} \xi \operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}\left(u_{k}^{m}-u_{l}^{m}\right)\left(u_{k t}-u_{l t}\right) d x d \tau \\
&=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}} \xi \operatorname{sgn}\left(u_{k}^{m}-u_{l}^{m}\right)\left(u_{k t}-u_{l t}\right) d x d \tau \\
&=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}} \xi \operatorname{sgn}\left(u_{k}-u_{l}\right)\left(u_{k t}-u_{l t}\right) d x d \tau \\
&=\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}} \xi \operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}\left(u_{k}-u_{l}\right)\left(u_{k t}-u_{l t}\right) d x d \tau \\
&=\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}} \xi\left(\int_{0}^{u_{k}-u_{l}} \operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}(s) d s\right)_{\tau} d x d \tau \\
& \quad=\left.\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}} \xi \int_{0}^{u_{k}-u_{l}} \operatorname{sgn}_{\eta}(s) d s\right|_{0} ^{t} d x \\
&=\int_{\Omega_{r}} \xi\left|u_{k}-u_{l}\right| d x-\int_{\Omega_{r}} \xi\left|u_{0 k}-u_{0 l}\right| d x . \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.20) and (4.21), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{2 r}} \xi\left|u_{k}-u_{l}\right| d x \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left|u_{0 k}-u_{0 l}\right| d x+c \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left[\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+\left(\left|\nabla u_{l}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{l}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right] d x d \tau \\
& \quad+\left.\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left|u_{k}^{m q_{1}}\right| \nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}-u_{l}^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{l}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} \mid d x d \tau \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.1, if $0<t \leq 1$,

$$
\left.\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left|u_{k}^{m q_{1}}\right| \nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}-u_{k}^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} \mid d x d \tau \leq c \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{r}} t^{-\epsilon} d x d \tau,
$$

where

$$
\epsilon=\frac{m N q_{1}}{N m(p-1)-N+m q}+\frac{p_{1}(m(p-1)+m-2)}{m(p-1)-1}<1
$$

which means (4.17) is true.

Now, for any given small $r$, if $k, l$ are large enough, by (4.17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left|u(x, t)-u_{0}(x)\right| d x \leq & \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left|u(x, t)-u_{k}(x, t)\right| d x+\int_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left|u_{0 k}(x)-u_{0 l}(x)\right| d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left|u_{l}(x, t)-u_{0 l}(x)\right| d x+\int_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left|u_{0 l}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$, we get (1.9).

## 5 The uniqueness of the viscosity solution

As we have said in the introduction, the uniqueness of the solutions of (1.1)-(1.3) is not true generally. But we are able to prove the uniqueness of the viscosity solution.

Theorem 5.1 If $u_{0}(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, in addition, $|\nabla u|<c, 2 \geq p_{1} \geq 1$, then the viscosity solution of (1.1)-(1.3) is unique.

Proof Let $u, v$ be two viscosity solutions of (1.1)-(1.3). Then there are two sequences $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{l}\right\}$, which are the solutions of (1.10)-(1.2)-(1.3), such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}=u, \quad \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} v_{l}=v, \quad \text { a.e. in } S . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, since $u_{0}(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c, \quad\left\|v_{l}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
w=u_{k}-v_{l}, \quad w_{1}=u_{k}^{m}-v_{l}^{m} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{t}=\left(a_{i l}(x, t) w_{1 x_{l}}\right)_{x_{i}}+b(x, t, w, \nabla w), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, \infty),  \tag{5.3}\\
& w(x, 0)=u_{0 k}(x)-v_{0 l}(x), \quad x \in \Omega,  \tag{5.4}\\
& w(x, t)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty), \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i l}(x, t)= & \int_{0}^{1}\left|s \nabla u^{m}+(1-s) \nabla v^{m}\right|^{p-2} d s \cdot \delta_{i l} \\
& +\int_{0}^{1}(p-2)\left|s \nabla u^{m}+(1-s) \nabla v^{m}\right|^{p-4}\left(s u_{x_{i}}^{m}+(1-s) v_{x_{i}}^{m}\right)\left(s u_{x_{l}}^{m}+(1-s) v_{x_{l}}^{m}\right) d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $p_{1} \geq 1$, using the convexity of the function $s^{p_{1}}$, by (5.2), we have

$$
|b(x, t, w, \nabla w)|=\left.\left|u^{m q_{1}}\right| \nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}-\left.v^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla v^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}|\leq c| \nabla\left(u^{m}-v^{m}\right)\right|^{p_{1}} \leq c|\nabla w|^{p_{1}} \leq c|\nabla w|^{2} .
$$

By Chapter 8 of [32], we know that

$$
\left\|u_{k}(x, t)-v_{l}(x, t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq c\left\|u_{0 k}-v_{0 l}\right\| .
$$

Let $k, l \rightarrow \infty$, we know that the uniqueness of the viscosity solution (1.1)-(1.3) is true.

Suppose that the viscosity solution of (1.1)-(1.3) is unique in what follows. Then, by considering the regularized problem (1.10) with (1.2)-(1.3), we easily get the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2 Let u be a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.3). If v satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{t} \geq \operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla v^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla v^{m}\right)-v^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla v^{m}\right|^{p_{1}} \quad \text { in } S=\Omega \times(0, \infty),  \tag{5.6}\\
& v(x, 0) \geq u_{0}(x), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{5.7}\\
& v(x, t)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty), \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \geq v(x, t), \quad \forall(x, t) \in S \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we will prove Theorem 1.6. Let

$$
v(x, t)=u_{k r}(x, t)=r u_{k}\left(x, r^{m(p-1)-1} t\right), \quad r \in(0,1)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{t}(x, t)=\operatorname{div}\left(\left|D v^{m}\right|^{p-2} D v^{m}\right)-r^{m\left(p-1-q_{1}-p_{1}\right)} v^{m q_{1}}\left|D v^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}, \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, \infty),  \tag{5.10}\\
& v(x, 0)=r u_{k}(x, 0), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{5.11}\\
& v(x, t)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty) \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Noticing that we supposed

$$
p_{1}+q_{1}>p-1, \quad 0<r<1,
$$

which implies that

$$
r^{m\left(p-1-q_{1}-p_{1}\right)}>1,
$$

and using the argument similar to that in the proof Lemma 3.5 of [5], we can prove

$$
u_{k} \geq u_{k r} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{u_{k}\left(x, r^{m(p-1)-1} t\right)-u_{k}(x, t)}{\left(r^{m(p-1)-1}-1\right) t} \geq \frac{r-1}{\left(1-r^{m(p-1)-1}\right) t} u_{k}\left(x, r^{m(p-1)-1} t\right)
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k t} \geq-\frac{u_{k}}{(m(p-1)-1) t} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have proved Theorem 1.6.

## 6 The proof of Theorem 1.7

If $1<p<1+\frac{1}{m}$, from the process of the proof of Lemma 2.1, we also have (2.8), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} u_{k}^{m(q-1)+1} d x+c \int_{\Omega} u_{k}^{m\left[q-1+\frac{1}{m}+p-1-\frac{1}{m}\right]} d x \leq 0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, since $1<p<1+\frac{1}{m}$, the Jessen inequality is invalid now, and (2.4) may not be true. However, in this case, (6.1) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} u_{k}^{m(q-1)+1} d x \leq 0 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the information of $u^{m} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega)\right)$ provided that $u_{0} \in L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega)$.
Lemma 6.1 Suppose that $p<1+\frac{1}{m}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q+p>1+\frac{1}{m} . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u_{k}$ is the solution of (2.1)-(2.3), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{k}^{m}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c t^{-\lambda}, \quad 0<t \leq 1  \tag{6.4}\\
& \left\|u_{k}^{m}\right\|_{L} \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{L \theta_{1}}}, \quad t \geq 1 \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L=2-m(p-1)+\frac{1}{m}, \theta_{1}=\frac{(2-\theta)[m(L+p-1)-1]}{m L \theta}-1$ and $0<\theta<1$.
Proof Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we multiply (2.1) by $u^{m(l-1)}$ and integral on $\Omega$, and then we get the following inequality (6.6), which is just the same as (2.11).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{L}^{L}+c L^{2-p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{m \frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}\right|^{p} d x \leq 0 \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{L_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences just the same as those in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Since (6.3) implies that $L_{n}+p-1-\frac{1}{m}>0$ and $\lambda_{n}>0$, we can deduce the conclusions (6.4) similarly as in Lemma 2.2.
To prove (6.5), we also set $\tau=\log (1+t), t \geq 1, w(\tau)=(1+t)^{\frac{1}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}}} u^{m}(t)$. By (6.6), we have

$$
\frac{d}{d \tau}\|w(\tau)\|_{L}^{L}-\frac{L}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}}\|w(\tau)\|_{L}^{L}+c L^{2-p}\left\|\nabla w^{\frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq 0, \quad \tau \geq \log 2,
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau}\|w(\tau)\|_{L}^{L}+c L^{2-p}(1+t)^{-\frac{m(L+p-1)-1}{p(1-m(p-1))}+1+\frac{m}{1-m(p-1)}}\left\|\nabla w^{\frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq 0, \quad \tau \geq \log 2 . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg Lemma 1.3, let $1+\beta=\frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}$. Then

$$
\left\|\nabla w^{1+\beta}\right\|_{p}^{p} \geq\left(c^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}}\|w\|_{q}\|w\|_{r}^{1-\theta}\right)^{\frac{(1+\beta) p}{\theta}}
$$

If we choose $r=q=L$, then from the above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla w^{\frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}\right\|_{p}^{p} \geq c^{\frac{p}{\theta}}\|w\|_{L}^{(2-\theta) \frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{\theta}} . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.7), (6.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d \tau}\|w(\tau)\|_{L}^{L}+c^{-\frac{p}{\theta}} L^{2-p}(1+t)^{-\frac{m(L+p-1)-1}{p(1-m(p-1))}+1+\frac{m}{1-m(p-1)}}\|w\|_{L}^{(2-\theta) \frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{\theta}} \leq 0, \\
& \quad \tau \geq \log 2 \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we choose the constant $l=3-m(p-1)$, i.e.,

$$
L=l-1+\frac{1}{m}=2-m(p-1)+\frac{1}{m},
$$

then

$$
-\frac{m(L+p-1)-1}{p(1-m(p-1))}+1+\frac{m}{1-m(p-1)}=0 .
$$

By (6.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau}\|w(\tau)\|_{L}^{L}+c^{-\frac{p}{\theta}} L^{2-p}\|w\|_{L}^{L} \frac{(2-\theta)[m(L+p-1)-1}{m L \theta} \leq 0, \quad \tau \geq \log 2 \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\theta_{1}=\frac{(2-\theta)[m(L+p-1)-1]}{m L \theta}-1
$$

Since $0<\theta<1, \theta_{1}>0$, by Lemma 1.4, we have

$$
\|w(\tau)\|_{L} \leq c \tau^{-\frac{1}{L \theta_{1}}},
$$

which implies that

$$
\left\|u^{m}(t)\right\|_{L} \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{L \theta_{1}}}
$$

If $2<p \leq 1+\frac{1}{m}$, which implies that $m>1$, then we can get the conclusions of Lemma 3.1 in a similar way. As in the proof of Theorem 1.5, we get the existence of the solution for the system (1.1)-(1.3) in this case.

Proposition 6.2 Let $u$ be a weak solution of(1.1)-(1.3). If $p<1+\frac{1}{m}$, then there exists a finite time $T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \equiv 0 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times(T, \infty)$.

To prove this proposition, we use the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [19], in which the extinction of the solution for the equation

$$
u_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u^{m}\right)
$$

was studied. In detail, we define an auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=\left(k(T-t)_{+}^{\frac{1}{1+1 / m-p}} \log \left(l+x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{N}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k=\left\{\frac{(p-1)(m+1-m p) N^{\frac{p}{2}}}{(2 l)^{p}(\log (2 l))^{1 / m}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{1+1 / m-p}}, \\
& T=\left(\frac{\max \left|u_{0}\right|}{k \log 2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+1 / m-p}}, \\
& l=\sup _{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \in \Omega}\left\{\left|x_{1}\right|,\left|x_{2}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{N}\right|\right\}+2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \geq \operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla v^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla v^{m}\right) \geq \operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla v^{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla v^{m}\right)-u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}
$$

on account of the non-positivity of the damping term $-u^{m q_{1}}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{p_{1}}$.
If we notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, 0) \geq u_{0}(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad v(x, t) \geq 0, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty) \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

applying Lemma 6.1, by (6.12)-(6.13), we have

$$
u(x, t) \leq v(x, t),
$$

for all $(x, t) \in S$. By the definition of $v(x, t)$, we have

$$
u(x, t) \leq v(x, t)=0, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \Omega \times(T, \infty)
$$

The proof of the proposition is complete.
Theorem 1.7 is a direct corollary of the proposition.
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