Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry Published online: March 19, 2018

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267

Accepted: January 16, 2018

© 2018 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cpb

access 2483

Karger

This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND) (http://www.karger.com/Services/OpenAccessLicense). Usage and distribution for commercial purposes as well as any distribution of modified material requires written permission.

Original Paper

Two Common MTHFR Gene Polymorphisms (C677T and A1298C) and Fetal Congenital Heart Disease Risk: An Updated Meta-**Analysis with Trial Sequential Analysis**

Rui Zhang^a Caihong Huo^b Xingning Wang^a Bo Dang^c Yaning Mu^d Yuying Wang^d

^aDepartment of Clinical laboratory, The Affiliated Hospital of Yan'an University, Yan'an University, Yan'an, ^bDepartment of Blood Transfusion, The 2nd Hospital of Yulin, Yulin City, ^cDepartment of Neurology, The Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital of Xi'an, Xi'an, ^dDepartment of Pediatrics, The Maternal and Children Health Hospital of Baoji, Baoji City, People's Republic of China

Kev Words

MTHFR • Polymorphism • Fetal congenital heart disease risk • Meta-analysis

Abstract

Background/Aims: Published studies indicated that the MTHFR gene polymorphisms C677T and A1298C are associated with congenital heart disease (CHD) risk in children, but obtained inconsistent results. Our study aims to reach a more accurate association between these two polymorphisms and CHD risk. *Methods:* Eligible studies were obtained by screening the PubMed, Embase, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Wan Fang and VIP databases based on designed searching strategy. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated. Moreover, a trial sequential analysis was introduced to confirm the positive results and an RNA secondary structure analysis was also applied to discover the potential molecular mechanism. Results: Based on thirty-two published articles, involving 6988 congenital heart disease subjects and 7579 healthy controls, the pooled results from the C677T polymorphism in the fetal population showed increased risks in allelic model (OR=1.32, 95%CI=1.14-1.53), recessive model (OR=1.69, 95%CI=1.25-2.30), dominant model (OR=1.35, 95%CI=1.11-1.64), heterozygote model (OR=1.20, 95%CI=1.01-1.41) and homozygote model (OR=1.75, 95%CI=1.31-2.33). An increased risk was only detected in the A1298C polymorphism in the overall fetal population in a recessive model (OR=1.42, 95%CI=1.10-1.84). In the subgroup stratified by region, sample size, genotyping method and source of controls, the increased risks were widely observed in both the C677T and A1298C polymorphisms with CHD risk. Furthermore, trial sequential analysis confirmed our positive results, and the RNA secondary structure analysis detected the changes in the RNA secondary structure caused by the mutant 677T allele and 1298C allele. Conclusion: In summary, we found that the MTHFR C677T polymorphism is associated with a significant increased risk in congenital heart disease

R. Zhang and C. Huo contributed equally to this work.

Bo Dang, Yaning Mu and Yuying Wang



Dept Neurology, The Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospiatl of Xi'an, Xi'an Dept Pediatrics, The Maternal and Children Heath Hospital of Baoji, Baoji City (China) E-Mail XAZYDBO04@163.com, muyaning1969@126.com, wangyuying1964@163.com

Cellular Physiology	Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;4	5:2483-2496
and Biochemistry	DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018	© 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cpb
	Zhang et al. MTHER C677T and A12	98C Polymorphisms and Fetal Congenital Heart

in the fetal population. Moreover, an increased risk in the CC genotype of MTHFR A1298C polymorphism was observed, but the protective role of the 1298C allele needs further study.

Disease Risk

© 2018 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel 2484

Introduction

Congenital heart disease (CHD) is the most frequently occurring congenital disorder in newborns and the most common type of structural malformation of the heart and lager blood vessels [1, 2]. The aetiology of CHD is unclear and CHD is multifactorial in its derivation. Different related genes interacting with each other or with environmental factors may contribute to development of CHD [3]. Folate plays a crucial role in the ontogeny of the cardiovascular system [4]. Insufficient folic acid and a high level of homocysteine (Hcy) caused by a defective folic acid pathway are described as risk factors for CHD [5]. Therefore, common polymorphisms of folate-metabolizing enzymes have gained great attention.

The *MTHFR* gene, located on 1p36.3, encodes the vital enzyme involved in the folate/ homocysteine metabolic pathway. Its transcription product is a 77 kDa protein, that catalyses the reduction of 5, 10-methylenetetrahydrofolate to 5-methytetrahydrofolate, which as a methyl donor induces Hcy remethylation to methionine [6]. Two common functional polymorphisms in the *MTHFR* gene are widely studied. The first one is the *MTHFR* C677T mutation at exon 4, which results in the conversion of the amino acid alanine to valine at position 226 in the protein [6]. The other mutation (*MTHFR* A1298C) is located at exon 7, within the presumptive regulatory domain, and results in a glutamate-to-alanine change with decreased enzyme activity *in vitro* [7].

Associations between these two *MTHFR* gene polymorphisms and CHD risk were firstly analyzed by Wenstrom et al. [8]., more and more studies were conducted to perfect this work in the recent years. However, previous case-control reports or meta-analyses have drawn inconsistent results and many biases exist in these studies. Therefore, we performed an updated meta-analysis to investigate the associations between *MTHFR* polymorphisms (C677T and A1298C) and the susceptibility to CHD. Moreover, a trial sequential analysis and a RNA secondary structure analysis were introduced in our meta-analysis to confirm our positive results and identify the potential possible mechanism respectively.

Materials and Methods

Based on the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses) checklist [9], we organized our update meta-analysis. Ethical approval was not necessary for the type of the study (meta-analysis) [10].

Identification of related Studies

A literature search was conducted by the first two investigators in the PubMed, Embase, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Wan Fang and VIP databases before August 2017 without a language limitation. The terms "*MTHFR*," "methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase," "congenital heart disease," "CHD," "ventricular septal defect," "atrial septal defect," "tetralogy of Fallot," "patent ductus arteriosus," "polymorphism," "variant," "mutant," and "polymorphisms" were used. The data that we failed to retrieve during the electronic search were obtained by reviewing the citations or contacting the corresponding author of the potential eligible articles.

Inclusion and Exclusion criteria

The included studies needed to meet the following inclusion criteria: (1) studies of the association between the *MTHFR* gene polymorphisms and congenital heart disease; (2) case-control study or cohort design in fetal population; and (3) detailed genotype data could be acquired to calculate the odds ratios (ORs), and 95% confidence intervals (CIs); The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) duplication of previous publications; (2) comment, review and editorial; (3) study without detailed genotype data or



Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry Published online: March 19, 2018

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496

DOI: 10.1159/000488267 © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cpb

Zhang et al.: MTHFR C677T and A1298C Polymorphisms and Fetal Congenital Heart Disease Risk

without a control group to conduct the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test; and (4) study departure from Hardy Weinberg equilibrium. The selection of the studies was achieved by two investigators independently. Any dispute was solved by a discussion with the corresponding author or group debates between all the authors.

Data Extraction

From each study, the following data were independently extracted by the first two investigators using a standardized form: first author's last name; year of publication; study country; region; genotyping methods; source of controls; number of cases and controls; genotype frequency in the cases and controls for the MTHFR gene; and results of the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test. Disagreements were resolved through a group discussion between authors.

Statistical analysis

The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was evaluated for each study by a Chi-square test in the control group, and P < 0.05 was considered a significant departure from HWE. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated among five genetic models (allelic model (C677T: C versus T; A1298C: A versus C), recessive model (C677T: TT versus TC+CC; A1298C: CC versus CA+AA), dominant model (C677T: TT+TC versus CC; A1298C: CC+CA versus AA), heterozygote model (C677T: TC versus CC; A1298C: CA versus AA), and homozygote model (C677T: TT versus CC; A1298C: CC versus AA), respectively). Heterogeneity was evaluated by the Q statistic (significance level of P < 0.1) and I^2 statistic (greater than 50% as evidence of significant inconsistency). A sensitivity analysis was performed to detect the heterogeneity by omitting one study in each turn. Additionally, subgroup analyses were stratified by region, sample size, genotyping method and source of controls. The publication bias was assessed with a Begg's funnel plot and an Egg's test. Review Manager, Version 5.3 (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration; Copenhagen, Denmark) and STATA 12.0 (STATA Corp, LP) was used for all the analyses. Multiple comparisons were adjusted by the Bonferroni method and the false discovery rate (FDR) was calculated [11]. The statistically significant level was determined by a Z-test with P value less than 0.05.

Trial sequential analysis (TSA)

TSA (The Copenhagen Trial Unit, Center for Clinical Intervention Research, Denmark) is a methodology that combines an information size calculation (cumulated sample sizes of all included trials) to reduce type I errors and type II errors for a meta-analysis with the threshold of statistical significance (http://www. ctu.dk/tsa). Therefore, we introduced TSA into our meta-analysis, and the required information size was calculated in adhere to an overall type I error of 5%, a power of 90% and a relative risk reduction (RRR) assumption of 10%.

RNA secondary structure analysis

The RNAfold WebServer is one of the core programs of the Vienna RNA package (http://rna.tbi.univie. ac.at/cgi-bin/RNAWebSuite/RNAfold.cgi) [12], which can be used to predict secondary structures of single stranded RNA or RNA sequences by computing the minimum free energy (MFE) of single sequences based on the dynamic programming algorithm originally proposed by Zuker and Stiegler [13]. Therefore, we input the RNA sequence of the MTHFR C677T and A1298C polymorphisms into the RNAfold WebServer to analyse the potential secondary structure modification caused by the mutant allele.

Results

Characteristics of the Included Studies

One hundred and fifty-one articles were obtained by the online and manual search. After removing duplicates, screening the title and abstract and reading the full-text articles, forty-two articles were included in the qualitative synthesis, and then, nine articles were excluded for departure from the Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium. Finally, a total of thirty-three published articles [4, 14-45] involving 6988 cases and 7579 controls, were included in this meta-analysis (Fig. 1).



Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell

The characteristics of all the included articles are summarized in Table 1. For the C677T variant, thirty-two studies are included with 4848 cases and 5524 controls, and twelve studies with 2140 cases and 2055 controls, were included for the A1298C variant.

Disease Risk

Results of the meta-analysis of the associations between MTHFR polymorphisms and congenital heart disease risk.

Table 2 shows the pooled results of this meta-analysis and the heterogeneity of the *MTHFR* gene polymorphisms and congenital heart disease risk.

the C677T polymorphism, For significant associations were observed in all the genetic models in the overall population but with high heterogeneity as follows: T versus C (OR=1.32, 95%CI=1.14-1.53, P=0.0003); TT+TC versus CC (OR=1.35, 95%CI=1.11-1.64, P=0.002); TT VS TC+CC (OR=1.69, 95%CI=1.25-2.30, P=0.000); TC VS CC (OR=1.20, 95%CI=1.01-1.41, P=0.03); and TT VS CC (OR=1.75, 95%CI=1.31-2.33, P=0.000) (Fig. 2). However, when an adjusted p value test was conducted, the heterozygote model (TC VS CC) was a false positive. In addition, for the A1298C polymorphism, a significant association was only found in the recessive genetic model in the overall population (CC versus CA+AA: OR=1.42, 95%CI=1.10-1.84, P=0.008) (Fig. 3).



Fig. 1. Flowchart of literature search in our metaanalysis.

	CHD Control			Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Junker 2001 [14]	63	114	99	228	3.5%	1.61 [1.02, 2.53]	2001	
Yan 2003 [16]	155	187	81	103	3.1%	1.32 [0.72, 2.41]	2003	
Storti 2003 [15]	75	103	148	200	3.3%	0.94 [0.55, 1.61]	2003	
Lee 2005 [17]	103	213	81	195	3.7%	1.32 [0.89, 1.95]	2005	+
Li 2005 [18]	155	187	82	102	3.0%	1.18 [0.64, 2.19]	2005	
Shaw 2005 (19)	84	153	254	434	3.8%	0.86 [0.60, 1.25]	2005	
van Beynum 2006 [20]	86	165	122	220	3.7%	0.87 [0.58, 1.31]	2006	
Zhu 2006 [21]	49	56	81	103	2.2%	1.90 [0.76, 4.78]	2006	
Liu 2007 [23]	102	132	61	107	3.2%	2.56 [1.47, 4.48]	2007	
Galdieri 2007 [22]	28	58	20	38	2.5%	0.84 [0.37, 1.91]	2007	
van Driel 2008 [24]	130	229	132	251	3.8%	1.18 [0.83, 1.70]	2008	
Li 2009 [25]	118	144	119	168	3.3%	1.87 [1.09, 3.20]	2009	
Xu 2010 [27]	340	502	376	527	4.0%	0.84 [0.65, 1.10]	2010	
Kuehl 2010 [26]	43	55	156	290	2.9%	3.08 [1.56, 6.08]	2010	
Obermann-Borst 2011 [28]	75	139	91	183	3.6%	1.18 [0.76, 1.84]	2011	
Zhou 2012 [31]	113	136	189	277	3.4%	2.29 [1.37, 3.83]	2012	
Gong 2012 [29]	199	244	93	136	3.4%	2.04 [1.26, 3.32]	2012	
Li 2012 [33]	118	144	119	168	3.3%	1.87 [1.09, 3.20]	2012	
Wang 2013a (35)	203	236	189	277	3.6%	2.86 [1.83, 4.48]	2013	
Li 2013 [33]	118	144	119	168	3.3%	1.87 [1.09, 3.20]	2013	
Jing 2013 [32]	58	104	169	208	3.3%	0.29 [0.17, 0.49]	2013	
Xu 2013 [36]	82	105	59	105	3.1%	2.78 [1.52, 5.08]	2013	
Christensen 2013 [4]	89	157	34	69	3.2%	1.35 [0.76, 2.38]	2013	
Wang 2013 [34]	101	160	135	188	3.5%	0.67 [0.43, 1.06]	2013	
Chao 2014 [44]	7	17	15	34	1.7%	0.89 [0.27, 2.88]	2014	
Sahiner 2014 [38]	67	136	46	93	3.3%	0.99 [0.59, 1.68]	2014	
Jiang 2015 [39]	62	100	59	100	3.2%	1.13 [0.64, 2.00]	2015	
Sayin 2015 [45]	35	75	52	95	3.1%	0.72 [0.39, 1.33]	2015	
Li 2015 [41]	119	150	91	150	3.4%	2.49 [1.49, 4.16]	2015	
Koshy 2015 [40]	1	96	7	90	0.7%	0.12 [0.02, 1.04]	2015	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Feng 2016 [42]	133	147	119	168	3.0%	3.91 [2.06, 7.44]	2016	
Wang 2016 [43]	239	260	43	49	2.1%	1.59 [0.61, 4.16]	2016	
Total (95% CI)		4848		5524	100.0%	1.35 [1.11, 1.64]		•
Total events	3350		3441			. ,		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.23; C	hi ² = 133	.62. df=	= 31 (P <	0.0000	1); ² = 77	%		
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.03	3 (P = 0.0	02)						U.1 U.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Protective Risky

Fig. 2. Pooled analysis of C677T polymorphism and CHD risk in children population.

Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell Phy

Zhang et al.: MTHFR C677T and A1298C Polymorphisms and Fetal Congenital Heart Disease Risk

Table 1. Characteristic of included studies of MTHFR C677T and A1298C polymorphisms associated with congenital heart disease. HB=Hospital Based; PB=Population Based; PCR-RFLP = polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism; PCR-TAQMAN = polymerase chain reaction with Taqman probe. ; PCR-ABD = polymerase chain reaction using Assay by Design (ABD) kits from Applied Biosystems (Carlsbad, CA, USA): PCR-MassaArray Assay= Polymerase Chain Reaction with MassArray Assay. PCR-SSCP = Polymerase Chain Reaction-Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism; PCR-SNaPShot = polymerse chain reaction with SNaPShot; PCR-GeXP = Polymerse Chain Reaction with GeXP. HWE = Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; * P value for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test in controls

				Genotyping	Controls		Case							
First author	Year	Country	Region	method	Source	case	control	CC	СТ	TT	CC	СТ	TT	HWE
MTHFR C677T Polymor	phism													
Junker [14]	2001	Germany	Middle Europe	PCR-SSCP	HB	114	228	51	42	21	129	78	21	0.075
Yan [16]	2003	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	187	103	32	97	58	22	57	24	0.277
Storti [15]	2003	Italy	South Europe	PCR-SSCP	HB	103	200	28	55	20	52	108	40	0.235
Shaw [19]	2005	American	North America	PCR-TAQMAN	PB	153	434	69	68	16	180	202	52	0.684
Li [18]	2005	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	187	102	32	94	61	20	57	25	0.224
Lee [17]	2005	China	East Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	213	195	110	89	14	114	68	13	0.513
Zhu [21]	2006	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	PB	56	103	7	22	27	22	57	24	0.277
van Beynum [20]	2006	Netherlands	North Europe	PCR-SSCP	PB	165	220	79	66	20	98	104	18	0.184
Liu [23]	2007	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	132	107	30	68	34	46	48	13	0.930
Galdieri [22]	2007	Brazil	South America	PCR-SSCP	HB	58	38	30	21	7	18	14	6	0.263
van Driel [24]	2008	Netherlands	North Europe	PCR-TAQMAN	PB	229	251	99	103	27	119	107	25	0.895
Li [25]	2009	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	144	168	26	52	66	49	84	35	0.928
Xu [27]	2010	China	East Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	502	527	162	244	96	151	261	115	0.911
Kuehl [26]	2010	American	North America	PCR-TAQMAN	PB	55	290	12	33	10	134	124	32	0.682
Obermann-Borst [28]	2011	Netherlands	North Europe	PCR-TAQMAN	PB	139	183	64	66	9	92	76	15	0.900
Zhou [31]	2012	China	East Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	136	277	23	60	53	88	126	63	0.168
Li [30]	2012	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	144	168	26	52	66	49	84	35	0.928
Gong [29]	2012	China	East Asian	PCR-MassArray Assay	HB	244	136	45	123	76	43	72	21	0.309
Li [33]	2013	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	144	168	26	52	66	49	84	35	0.928
Jing [32]	2013	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	104	208	46	42	16	39	114	55	0.139
WangLN [35]	2013	China	East Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	236	277	33	92	111	88	126	63	0.168
WangBJ [34]	2013	China	East Asian	PCR-SNaPShot	HB	160	188	59	76	25	53	100	35	0.312
Christensen [4]	2013	Canada	North America	PCR-RFLP	HB	157	69	68	61	28	35	26	8	0.360
Xu [36]	2013	China	East Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	105	105	23	54	28	46	40	19	0.059
Sahiner [38]	2014	Turkey	West Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	136	93	69	53	14	47	39	7	0.779
Chao [44]	2014	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	17	34	10	5	2	19	12	3	0.586
Sayin [45]	2015	Turkey	West Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	75	95	40	33	2	43	44	8	0.484
Li [41]	2015	China	East Asian	PCR-SSCP	НВ	150	150	31	78	41	59	66	25	0.376
Koshy [40]	2015	Indian	South Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	96	90	95	1	0	83	7	0	0.701
Jiang [39]	2015	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	100	100	38	46	16	41	48	11	0.583
Feng [42]	2016	China	East Asian	PCR-GeXP	НВ	260	49	21	114	125	6	22	21	0.949
Wang [43]	2016	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	147	168	14	73	60	49	84	35	0.928
MTHFR A1298C Polymo	rphism													
Storti [15]	2003	Italy	South Europe	PCR-SSCP	HB	103	200	45	47	11	101	86	13	0.347
Galdieri [22]	2007	Brazil	South America	PCR-SSCP	HB	57	38	35	21	1	19	16	3	0.884
van Driel [24]	2008	Netherlands	North Europe	PCR-TAQMAN	PB	229	251	112	90	27	97	129	25	0.057
Xu [27]	2010	China	East Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	502	527	316	168	18	326	185	16	0.091
Obermann-Borst [28]	2011	Netherlands	North Europe	PCR-TAQMAN	PB	139	183	69	57	13	75	90	18	0.227
WangBJ [34]	2013	China	East Asian	PCR-SNaPShot	HB	170	188	115	45	10	133	47	8	0.155
Christensen [4]	2013	Canada	North America	PCR-RFLP	HB	157	69	78	67	12	38	26	5	0.849
Sahiner [38]	2014	Turkey	West Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	137	93	45	68	24	31	54	8	0.223
Huang [37]	2014	China	East Asian	PCR-MassArray Assay	HB	170	208	111	56	3	146	56	6	0.823
Sayin [45]	2015	Turkey	West Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	69	99	20	36	13	51	37	11	0.288
Li [41]	2015	China	East Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	150	150	114	36	0	131	19	0	0.408
Feng [42]	2016	China	East Asian	PCR-GeXP	HB	257	49	194	51	12	35	14	0	0.243



Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DDI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cpb

Zhang et al.: MTHFR C677T and A1298C Polymorphisms and Fetal Congenital Heart Disease Risk

Table 2. Pooled ORs and 95%CIs of the relationship between MTHFR polymorphisms and congenital heart disease risk. CI= confidence interval.a P value for between-study heterogeneity based on Q test;Bon= p value in Bonferroni test; FDR= false discovery rate. Significant results are marked in bold

		Allelic genetic model		Dominant genetic model			Recessive genetic model			Heterozygote	genetic mo	Homozygote genetic model				
Subgroup	Ν	OR[95%CI	P*	12	OR[95%CI]	P*	12	OR[95%CI]	P*	12	OR[95%CI]	Р*	12	OR[95%CI]	Р*	12
MTHFR C677T																
Region																
Middle Europe	1	1.63 [1.16,	0.005	N	1.61 [1.02,	0.040	Ν	1.23 [0.63, 2.38]	0.550	N	1.36 [0.83,	0.220	N	2.53 [1.27,	0.008	N
East Asian	20	1.48 [1.21,	0.000	87	1.55 [1.17,	0.002	82	2.29 [1.63, 3.23]	0.000	88	1.29 [1.02,	0.030	70	2.10 [1.43,	0.000	84
South Europe	1	0.97 [0.69,	0.840	N	0.94 [0.55,	0.820	N	0.62 [0.34, 1.14]	0.120	N	0.95 [0.54,	0.850	N	0.93 [0.46,	0.840	N
North America	3	1.30 [0.81,	0.270	80	1.46 [0.72,	0.290	81	0.81 [0.17, 3.83]	0.790	92	1.40 [0.71,	0.340	77	1.63 [0.68,	0.280	72
South America	1	0.83 [0.45,	0.560	N	0.84 [0.37,	0.680	N	1.33 [0.42, 4.21]	0.630	N	0.90 [0.37,	0.820	N	0.70 [0.20,	0.570	N
North Europe	3	1.08 [0.91,	0.390	0	1.07 [0.85,	0.540	0	1.08 [0.73, 1.60]	0.690	0	1.04 [0.79,	0.760	21	1.21 [0.81,	0.350	0
West Asian	2	0.89 [0.60,	0.550	37	0.87 [0.58,	0.480	0	0.83 [0.10, 6.95]	0.870	81	0.87 [0.58,	0.750	0	0.69 [0.14,	0.640	65
South Asian	1	0.13 [0.02,	0.060	N	0.12 [0.02,	0.050	N	Not estimable	NA	N	0.12 [0.02,	0.050	N	Not estimable	NA	N
Sample Size																
≤300	13	1.32 [1.08,	0.006	59	1.35 [1.01,	0.040	58	2.41 [1.81, 3.21]	0.000	40	1.24 [0.95,	0.110	47	1.91 [1.36,	0.000	38
>300	19	1.34 [1.10,	0.004	88	1.36 [1.06,	0.020	83	1.49 [0.98, 2.26]	0.070	92	1.18 [0.96,	0.130	70	1.73 [1.17,	0.006	86
Genotyping		-			-						-			-		
PCR-RFLP	14	1.36 [1.03,	0.030	85	1.20 [0.84,	0.300	75	2.26 [1.51, 3.39]	0.000	80	1.07 [0.77,	0.690	67	1.95 [1.16,	0.010	81
PCR-SSCP	11	1.34 [1.06,	0.020	85	1.25 [0.94,	0.120	75	1.48 [1.07, 2.06]	0.020	72	1.18 [0.91,	0.210	65	1.77 [1.13,	0.010	81
PCR-TAQMAN	4	1.17 [0.88,	0.270	69	1.29 [0.85,	0.230	71	0.58 [0.30, 1.10]	0.100	71	1.29 [0.86,	0.210	66	1.27 [0.71,	0.420	59
PCR-MassArray	1	1.79 [1.33,	0.000	N	2.04 [1.26,	0.004	N	5.26 [3.12, 8.86]	0.000	N	1.63 [0.98,	0.060	N	3.46 [1.83,	0.000	N
PCR-SNaPShot	1	0.79 [0.58.	0.120	N	2.86 [1.83.	0.000	N	0.85 [0.48, 1.49]	0.560	N	1.95 [1.20.	0.007	N	0.64 [0.34.	0.170	N
PCR-GeXP	1	1.24 [0.79,	0.360	N	3.91 [2.06,	0.000	N	11.46 [6.91,	0.000	N	1.48 [0.54,	0.450	N	1.70 [0.61,	0.310	N
Source of		-			-			-			-			-		
PB	6	1.22 [0.96,	0.100	68	1.22 [0.89,	0.210	62	0.82 [0.45, 1.49]	0.510	78	1.15 [0.85,	0.370	57	1.46 [0.92,	0.110	55
НВ	26	1.34 [1.12,	0.001	85	1.37 [1.08,	0.009	79	2.03 [1.47, 2.80]	0.000	87	1.20 [0.99,	0.070	65	1.81 [1.29,	0.000	82
		-			-						-			-		
MTHFR A1298C Polymo	rphism															
Region																
South Europe	1	1.30 [0.90,	0.160	N	1.31 [0.82,	0.260	N	0.95 [0.40, 2.22]	0.900	N	1.23 [0.74,	0.420	N	1.90 [0.79,	0.150	N
South America	1	0.62 [0.32,	0.170	N	0.63 [0.27,	0.270	N	0.34 [0.03, 3.36]	0.360	N	0.71 [0.30,	0.440	N	0.18 [0.02,	0.150	N
North Europe	2	0.82 [0.67,	0.070	0	0.68 [0.51,	0.006	0	1.04 [0.66, 1.65]	0.850	0	0.64 [0.47,	0.003	0	0.88 [0.54,	0.590	0
East Asian	5	1.13 [0.93,	0.220	26	1.17 [0.88,	0.280	45	1.31 [0.54, 3.19]	0.560	55	1.13 [0.83,	0.430	55	1.20 [0.72,	0.490	0
West Asian	2	1.50 [0.96,	0.070	56	1.61 [0.64,	0.310	78	2.32 [0.95, 5.70]	0.070	54	1.44 [0.52,	0.490	81	2.48 [1.28,	0.007	0
Sample Size		-			-						-			-		
≤300	5	1.31 [0.93,	0.130	60	1.36 [0.87,	0.180	63	2.05 [1.02, 4.10]	0.040	39	1.36 [0.86,	0.190	59	1.58 [0.71,	0.260	40
>300	7	0.99 [0.87,	0.890	8	0.94 [0.76,	0.570	40	1.09 [0.75, 1.57]	0.660	20	0.90 [0.72,	0.360	47	1.11 [0.80,	0.550	0
Genotyping																
PCR-RFLP	2	1.49 [0.91,	0.120	59	1.67 [0.76,	0.200	75	1.84 [0.93, 3.62]	0.080	0	1.72 [0.89,	0.110	53	1.96 [0.78,	0.150	38
PCR-SSCP	5	1.15 [0.88.	0.310	56	1.13 [0.82.	0.440	52	1.37 [0.64, 2.95]	0.420	60	1.10 [0.80.	0.580	49	1.40 [0.78.	0.260	32
PCR-TAOMAN	2	0.82 [0.67.	0.070	0	0.68 [0.51.	0.006	0	1.04 [0.66, 1.65]	0.850	0	0.64 [0.47.	0.003	0	0.88 [0.54.	0.590	0
PCR-SNaPShot	1	1.17 [0.80.	0.410	N	1.24 [0.70.	0.460	N	1.33 [0.51, 3.45]	0.560	N	1.11 [0.69.	0.680	N	1.45 [0.55.	0.450	N
PCR-MassArrav	1	1.14 [0.78	0.490	N	1.25 [0.81.	0.310	N	0.51 [0.13. 2.07]	0.350	N	1.32 [0.84.	0.230	N	0.66 [0.16.	0.560	N
PCR-GeXP	1	1.03 [0.55.	0.940	N	0.81 [0.41	0.550	N	26.22 [1.54	0.020	N	0.66 [0.33	0.230	N	4.56 [0.26	0.300	N
Source of	-				[0.11)	2.550		[100.1			[0000					
РВ	2	0.82 [0.67	0.070	0	0.68 [0.51.	0.006	0	1.04 [0.66. 1.65]	0.850	0	0.64 [0.47.	0.003	0	0.88 [0.54.	0.590	0
НВ	10	1.19 [1.01,	0.040	38	1.20 [0.97,	0.090	44	1.49 [0.91, 2.43]	0.110	47	1.16 [0.93,	0.190	45	1.50 [1.04,	0.030	73

Subgroup analysis

To excavate the potential associations and underlying heterogeneity source from the pooled results, a subgroup analysis was performed and four subgroups (Region, Sample size, Genotyping method and source of controls) were stratified (Table 3).

In the subgroup analysis of Region, significant associations were found for these two polymorphisms. In the Middle Europe subgroup, the allelic genetic model (OR=1.63, 95%



Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 OCI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 OCI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 OCI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 OCI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 OCI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 OCI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 OCI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Ce

Zhang et al.: MTHFR C677T and A1298C Polymorphisms and Fetal Congenital Heart Disease Risk

Table 3. Subgroup analysis of the associations of MTHFR polymorphisms with congenital heart disease risk. OR=odd ratio; CI=Confidence Interval; HB=Hospital Based; PB=Population Based; # P value for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test in controls; * P value for meta-analysis. PCR-RFLP = polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism. PCR-TAQMAN = polymerase chain reaction with Taqman probe; PCR-ABD = polymerase chain reaction using Assay by Design (ABD) kits from Applied Biosystems (Carlsbad, CA, USA): PCR-MassaArray Assay= Polymerase Chain Reaction with MassArray Assay. PCR-SSCP = Polymerase Chain Reaction-Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism; PCR-SNaPShot = polymerse chain reaction with SNaPShot; PCR-GeXP = Polymerse Chain Reaction with GeXP. Significant results are marked in bold

				Genotyping	Controls			Case				Control			
First author	Year	Country	Region	method	Source	case	control	CC	СТ	TT	CC	СТ	TT	HWE	
MTHFR C677T Polymor	phism														
Junker [14]	2001	Germany	Middle Europe	PCR-SSCP	HB	114	228	51	42	21	129	78	21	0.075	
Yan [16]	2003	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	187	103	32	97	58	22	57	24	0.277	
Storti [15]	2003	Italy	South Europe	PCR-SSCP	HB	103	200	28	55	20	52	108	40	0.235	
Shaw [19]	2005	American	North America	PCR-TAQMAN	РВ	153	434	69	68	16	180	202	52	0.684	
Li [18]	2005	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	187	102	32	94	61	20	57	25	0.224	
Lee [17]	2005	China	East Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	213	195	110	89	14	114	68	13	0.513	
Zhu [21]	2006	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	РВ	56	103	7	22	27	22	57	24	0.277	
van Beynum [20]	2006	Netherlands	North Europe	PCR-SSCP	РВ	165	220	79	66	20	98	104	18	0.184	
Liu [23]	2007	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	132	107	30	68	34	46	48	13	0.930	
Galdieri [22]	2007	Brazil	South America	PCR-SSCP	HB	58	38	30	21	7	18	14	6	0.263	
van Driel [24]	2008	Netherlands	North Europe	PCR-TAQMAN	РВ	229	251	99	103	27	119	107	25	0.895	
Li [25]	2009	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	144	168	26	52	66	49	84	35	0.928	
Xu [27]	2010	China	East Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	502	527	162	244	96	151	261	115	0.911	
Kuehl [26]	2010	American	North America	PCR-TAQMAN	РВ	55	290	12	33	10	134	124	32	0.682	
Obermann-Borst [28]	2011	Netherlands	North Europe	PCR-TAQMAN	РВ	139	183	64	66	9	92	76	15	0.900	
Zhou [31]	2012	China	East Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	136	277	23	60	53	88	126	63	0.168	
Li [30]	2012	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	144	168	26	52	66	49	84	35	0.928	
Gong [29]	2012	China	East Asian	PCR-MassArray Assay	HB	244	136	45	123	76	43	72	21	0.309	
Li [33]	2013	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	144	168	26	52	66	49	84	35	0.928	
Jing [32]	2013	China	East Asian	PCR-RFLP	HB	104	208	46	42	16	39	114	55	0.139	
WangLN [35]	2013	China	East Asian	PCR-SSCP	HB	236	277	33	92	111	88	126	63	0.168	
WangBJ [34]	2013	China	East Asian	PCR-SNaPShot	HB	160	188	59	76	25	53	100	35	0.312	
Christensen [4]	2013	Canada	North America	PCR-RFLP	HB	157	69	68	61	28	35	26	8	0.360	



Fig. 3. Pooled analysis of A1298C polymorphism and CHD risk in children population.

KARGER

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Published online: March 19, 2018 Published online: March 19, 2018 Published online: March 19, 2018 Publ





Fig. 4. Sensitivity analysis of C677T and A1298C polymorphism and CHD risk.



Fig. 5. Publication bias of C677T and A1298C polymorphism and CHD risk.

CI=1.16-2.30, P=0.005), dominant genetic model (TT+TC versus CC (OR=1.61, 95% CI=1.02-2.53, P=0.04) and homozygote genetic model (OR=2.53, 95% CI=1.27-5.02, P=0.008) of the C677T polymorphism were associated with CHD risk. All five-genetic model of the C677T polymorphism in the East Asian were observed to be associated with CHD risk but also with high heterogeneity. For A1298C polymorphism, the dominant genetic model (OR=0.68, 95% CI=0.51-0.90, P=0.006) and heterozygote genetic model (OR=0.64, 95%CI=0.47-0.86, P=0.003) in the North Europe subgroup and the homozygote genetic model (OR=2.48, 95% CI=1.28-4.81, P=0.007) in the West Asian subgroup were related to CHD risk.

In the subgroup analysis of Sample size, for the C677T polymorphism, wide significant associations with reduced heterogeneity were observed in the no more than 300 subgroup as follows: the allelic genetic model (OR=1.32, 95% CI=1.08-1.80, P=0.006); the dominant genetic model (OR=1.35, 95% CI=1.01-1.80, P=0.04); the recessive genetic model (OR=2.41, 95% CI=1.81-3.21, P=0.000); and the homozygote genetic model (OR=1.91, 95% CI=1.36-2.67, P=0.0002)). As for more than 300 subgroup, the allelic genetic model (OR=1.34, 95% CI=1.10-1.64, P=0.004), dominant genetic model (OR=1.36, 95% CI=1.06-1.76, P=0.02) and homozygote genetic model (OR=1.73, 95% CI=1.17-2.56, P=0.006) were associated with CHD risk. However, for the A1298C polymorphism, a significant association with reduced heterogeneity was only detected in the recessive genetic model (OR=2.05, 95% CI=1.02-4.10, P=0.04) in the no more than 300 subgroup.

As for the subgroup analysis stratified by the genotyping method, extensive significant associations were found in the C677T polymorphism by PCR-RFLP for the allelic genetic model (OR=1.36, 95% CI=1.03-1.80, P=0.03), recessive genetic model (OR=2.26, 95% CI=1.51-3.39, P=0.0001), homozygote genetic model (OR=1.95, 95% CI=1.16-3.29, P=0.0001), by PCR-SSCP for the allelic genetic model (OR=1.34, 95% CI=1.06-1.71, P=0.02), recessive genetic model (OR=1.48, 95% CI=1.07-2.06, P=0.02), homozygote genetic model **KARGER**

1.73



2491



Fig. 6. Trial sequential analysis of C677T and A1298C polymorphism and CHD risk.



Fig. 7. RNAfold Webserver analysis of C677T and A1298C polymorphism and CHD risk.

(OR=1.77, 95% CI=1.13-2.79, P=0.01), by the PCR-MassArray Assay for the allelic genetic model (OR=2.05, 95% CI=1.02-4.10, P=0.04), dominant genetic model (OR=2.04, 95% CI=1.26-3.32, P=0.004), recessive genetic model (OR=5.26, 95% CI=3.12-8.86, P=0.000), and homozygote genetic model (OR=3.46, 95% CI=1.83-6.55, P=0.0001), by PCR-SNaPShot for the dominant genetic model (OR=2.86, 95% CI=1.83-4.48, P=0.000) and heterozygote genetic model (OR=3.91, 95% CI=1.20-3.15, P=0.04), by PCR-GeXP for the dominant genetic model (OR=3.91, 95% CI=2.06-7.44, P=0.0001), recessive genetic model (OR=11.46, 95% CI=6.91-19.03, P=0.000)). However, for A1298C polymorphism, significant associations were only observed by PCR-TAQMAN (dominant genetic model: OR=0.68, 95% CI=0.51-0.90, P=0.006; heterozygote genetic model: OR=0.64, 95% CI=0.47-0.86, P=0.003) and PCR-GeXP (recessive genetic model: OR=26.22, 95% CI=1.54-445.28, P=0.02).

In the subgroup analysis of the source of controls, for the C677T polymorphism, significant associations were only observed in the hospital based subgroup (Allelic genetic model: OR=1.34, 95% CI=1.12-1.59, P=0.001; dominant genetic model: OR=1.37, 95% CI=1.08-1.73, P=0.009; recessive genetic model: OR=2.03, 95% CI=1.47-2.80, P=0.0001;

KARGER

Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry

Zhang et al.: MTHFR C677T and A1298C Polymorphisms and Fetal Congenital Heart Disease Risk

homozygote genetic model: OR=1.81, 95% CI=1.29-2.53, P=0.0006). For the A1298C polymorphism, the heterozygote genetic model (OR=0.64, 95% CI=0.47-0.86, P=0.003) in the population based subgroup and the allelic genetic model (OR=1.19, 95% CI=1.01-1.41, P=0.04) and homozygote genetic model (OR=1.50, 95% CI=1.04-2.16, P=0.03) in the hospital based subgroup were associated with CHD risk.

Sensitivity analyses

The sensitivity analysis was conducted by sequentially omitting 1 individual study every time to weigh the influence of each study on the overall meta-analysis. No significant change in the heterogeneity was observed for these two polymorphisms (Fig. 4).

Publication bias

No publication bias was detected among the studies regarding the association between the C677T and A1298C polymorphism and congenital heart defect risk (Fig. 5).

Trial sequential analysis

According to the settings mentioned in the method section, we calculated the required information size for the *MTHFR* C677T and A1298C polymorphisms (Fig. 6). The number of patients included in the meta-analysis exceeded the required information size for the two polymorphisms, which indicated our positive results were confirmed by TSA.

RNA secondary structure analysis

We conducted an RNA secondary structure analysis of the *MTHFR* C677T and A1298C polymorphisms with the RNAfold Webserver. Fig. 7 shows the significant changes in the RNA structure under both the minimum free energy and the centroid secondary structure, which indicated the two variants might affect the stability of the RNA secondary structure.

Discussion

The methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) is the crucial enzyme concatenating the folate pathway and homocysteine metabolism [46]. Low folate and high homocysteine are a closely related with the occurrence of congenital heart disease [5, 47], which indicates that single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the *MTHFR* gene may be genetic risk factors for these disorders. The *MTHFR* C677T and A1298C SNPs are common and functional, with enough data for us to perform a subgroup analysis and a trial sequential analysis. Moreover, the mutant 677T and 1298C alleles are related to the decreased activity of the MTHFR enzyme [48]. Therefore, we chose these two polymorphisms to investigate their associations with CHD risk and significant increased risks were widely observed in both the overall and subgroup analyses.

An increased risk of CHD was detected in the *MTHFR* C677T polymorphism from the overall analysis. The putative risk allele-677T had a 32% increased risk of CHD risk against the C-allele. A 35% increase in CHD risk in the TT+TC genotypes was also detected. The TC and TT genotypes increased CHD risk by 20% and 75% compared to the CC genotype respectively. In addition, a 69% increased risk was also found in the TT genotypes compared to TC+CC genotypes. Moreover, the increased risk of the T allele, TT, TC and TT+TC genotypes was widely observed in the subgroup analysis stratified by region, sample size, genotyping method and source of controls. Furthermore, the TSA test confirmed our positive results. The extensive increased risk of the C677T polymorphism in CHD implied this polymorphism was a strong genetic risk factor for fetal heart defects.

As for the *MTHFR* A1298C polymorphism, the increased risk of the CC genotype was widely detected both from the pooled analysis and the subgroup analysis (West Asian subgroup, no more than 300 subgroup, PCR-GeXP subgroup and hospital-based subgroup), and the positive result was verified by TSA. Interesting results sprouted in the North Europe



Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry

Zhang et al.: MTHFR C677T and A1298C Polymorphisms and Fetal Congenital Heart Disease Risk

and PCR-TAQMAN subgroup; a protective role for the CA+AA and CA genotypes was observed. Several studies also report the protective role of 1298C allele, and Hobbs et al. suggested three possible explanations for the phenomenon, including: (i) an unknown functional polymorphism in linkage disequilibrium with A1298C, (ii) error-free DNA synthesis with abundant purines and pyrimidines caused by the lower activity of the MTHFR enzyme, and (iii) the selective survival of the 1298A allele [49-51]. In summary, the CC genotype of the *MTHFR* A1298C polymorphism had an increased risk of CHD, but the protective role of 1298C allele should be interpreted with caution.

Previous meta-analysises have also drawn a conclusion showing a significant association between the MTHFR polymorphism and CHD [52-57]. The differences between our analysis and the former analyses was the sample size and the exclusion of studies with departure from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. We added new references to expand the sample size for better reaching the significant results. Moreover, studies with departure from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium were excluded for homogeneity in the control groups, which would make our results more reliable and stable. Additionally, a trial sequential analysis was conducted to strengthen our positive results. Furthermore, the *MTHFR* C677T polymorphism was highly associated with homocysteine concentrations in the large scale, methodologically independent genome-wide association study [58]. However, no genome-wide association study about the A1298C polymorphism is reported so far.

Reduced MTHFR enzyme activity decreases the synthesis of 5-methyl-tetrahydrofolate (the substrate vital for DNA synthase), interrupts the homocysteine remethylation to methionine (a decreased pool of which may affect DNA methylation), and induces hyperhomocysteinemia [45]. Hyperhomocysteinemia initiates apoptosis in neural crest cells and has embryotoxic effects in heart cells in animal models [59, 60]. Although the *MTHFR* C677T and A1298C polymorphisms both diminish MTHFR enzyme activity, they act in different ways. The 677T variant causes a thermolabile form of the enzyme and is associated with elevated homocysteine levels, but for 1298C, it reduced the enzyme activity by conformational changes of the enzyme that occur after S-adenosyl-methionine regulatory domain binding [61, 62]. Our RNA secondary structure analysis showed that the mutant 677T and 1298C alleles changed the space conformation of the RNA secondary structure of the *MTHFR* gene and influenced the stability of this gene, which may be an explanation for the enzyme induced by the 1298C allele.

Several limitations were existed in this meta-analysis. First, only English and Chinese databases were searched in our study; a selection of the literature without other language may bias the results. Second, the individual patient heterogeneity and confounding factors might have distorted the analysis. Third, the sample size of the included studies was relatively small in some subgroups, especially for the A1298C polymorphism, which implied that part of our results should be explained with caution. In addition, the potential influence of maternal environment factors on these two polymorphisms is worthy of consideration.

Conclusion

The *MTHFR* C677T polymorphism was associated with a significant increase in congenital heart defect risk in the fetal population based on our analysis. Moreover, the increased risk in the CC genotype of the *MTHFR* A1298C polymorphism was observed, but the protective role of the 1298C allele needs further study.

Disclosure Statement

No conflict of interests exists.



Cellular Physiology

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496

and Biochemistry Published online: March 19, 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cpb

Zhang et al.: MTHFR C677T and A1298C Polymorphisms and Fetal Congenital Heart Disease Risk

References

- 1 Mitchell SC, Korones SB, Berendes HW: Congenital heart disease in 56, 109 births. Incidence and natural history. Circulation 1971;43:323-332.
- 2 van der Bom T, Zomer AC, Zwinderman AH, Meijboom FJ, Bouma BJ, Mulder BJ: The changing epidemiology of congenital heart disease. Nat Rev Cardiol 2011;8:50-60.
- 3 Shi H, Yang S, Liu Y, Huang P, Lin N, Sun X, Yu R, Zhang Y, Qin Y, Wang L: Study on Environmental Causes and SNPs of MTHFR, MS and CBS Genes Related to Congenital Heart Disease. PloS One 2015;10:e0128646.
- 4 Christensen KE, Zada YF, Rohlicek CV, Andelfinger GU, Michaud JL, Bigras JL, Richter A, Dube MP, Rozen R: Risk of congenital heart defects is influenced by genetic variation in folate metabolism. Cardiol Young 2013;23:89-98.
- 5 Hall J, Solehdin F: Folic acid for the prevention of congenital anomalies. Eur J Pediatr 1998;157:445-450.
- 6 Biselli PM, Guerzoni AR, de Godoy MF, Eberlin MN, Haddad R, Carvalho VM, Vannucchi H, Pavarino-Bertelli EC, Goloni-Bertollo EM: Genetic polymorphisms involved in folate metabolism and concentrations of methylmalonic acid and folate on plasma homocysteine and risk of coronary artery disease. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2010;29:32-40.
- 7 Hernandez-Diaz S, Werler MM, Walker AM, Mitchell AA: Folic acid antagonists during pregnancy and the risk of birth defects. N Engl J Med 2000;343:1608-1614.
- 8 Wenstrom KD, Johanning GL, Johnston KE, DuBard M: Association of the C677T methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase mutation and elevated homocysteine levels with congenital cardiac malformations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2001;184:806-812; discussion 812-807.
- 9 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P: Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Int J Surg 2010;8:336-341.
- 10 Sun Y, Chen J, Li H, Jiang J, Chen S: Steroid Injection and Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Agents for Shoulder Pain: A PRISMA Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e2216.
- 11 Xin XY, Ding JQ, Chen SD: Apolipoprotein E promoter polymorphisms and risk of Alzheimer's disease: evidence from meta-analysis. J Alzheimers Dis 2010;19:1283-1294.
- 12 Gruber AR, Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Neubock R, Hofacker IL: The Vienna RNA websuite. Nucleic Acids Res 2008;36:W70-74.
- 13 Zuker M, Stiegler P: Optimal computer folding of large RNA sequences using thermodynamics and auxiliary information. Nucleic Acids Res 1981;9:133-148.
- 14 Junker R, Kotthoff S, Vielhaber H, Halimeh S, Kosch A, Koch HG, Kassenbohmer R, Heineking B, Nowak-Gottl U: Infant methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase 677TT genotype is a risk factor for congenital heart disease. Cardiovasc Res 2001;51:251-254.
- 15 Storti S, Vittorini S, Iascone MR, Sacchelli M, Collavoli A, Ripoli A, Cocchi G, Biagini A, Clerico A: Association between 5, 10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T and A1298C polymorphisms and conotruncal heart defects. Clin Chem Lab Med 2003;41:276-280.
- 16 Yan L, Li Y: Relationship of MTHFR gene C677T polymorphism with congenital heart disease. Beijing Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban 2003;35:448-449.
- 17 Lee CN, Su YN, Cheng WF, Lin MT, Wang JK, Wu MH, Hsieh FJ: Association of the C677T methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase mutation with congenital heart diseases. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2005;84:1134-1140.
- 18 Li Y, Cheng J, Zhu WL, Dao JJ, Yan LY, Li MY, Li SQ: [Study of serum Hcy and polymorphisms of Hcy metabolic enzymes in 192 families affected by congenital heart disease]. Beijing Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban 2005;37:75-80.
- 19 Shaw GM, Iovannisci DM, Yang W, Finnell RH, Carmichael SL, Cheng S, Lammer EJ: Risks of human conotruncal heart defects associated with 32 single nucleotide polymorphisms of selected cardiovascular disease-related genes. Am J Med Genet A 2005;138:21-26.
- 20 van Beynum IM, Kapusta L, den Heijer M, Vermeulen SH, Kouwenberg M, Daniels O, Blom HJ: Maternal MTHFR 677C>T is a risk factor for congenital heart defects: effect modification by periconceptional folate supplementation. Eur Heart J 2006;27:981-987.
- 21 Zhu WL, Li Y, Yan L, Dao J, Li S: Maternal and offspring MTHFR gene C677T polymorphism as predictors of congenital atrial septal defect and patent ductus arteriosus. Mol Hum Reprod 2006;12:51-54.



Cellular Physiology and Biochemistr

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496

and Biochemistry Published online: March 19, 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cpb

Zhang et al.: MTHFR C677T and A1298C Polymorphisms and Fetal Congenital Heart Disease Risk

- 22 Galdieri LC, Arrieta SR, Silva CM, Pedra CA, D'Almeida V: Homocysteine concentrations and molecular analysis in patients with congenital heart defects. Arch Med Res 2007;38:212-218.
- 23 Liu YS, Yin XG, Wang JF, Yu LH, Liu HP, Meng FC, Liu DM: Relationship between genetic polymorphism of homocysteine metabolism enzyme and congenital hear t disease. Chin J Cardiovasc Rev 2007;5:210-213.
- 24 van Driel LM, Verkleij-Hagoort AC, de Jonge R, Uitterlinden AG, Steegers EA, van Duijn CM, Steegers-Theunissen RP: Two MTHFR polymorphisms, maternal B-vitamin intake, and CHDs. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 2008;82:474-481.
- 25 Li D, Jing XA, Hou HF, Fan H: A case-control study on the correlation between congenital heart disease and MTHFR gene as well as nutrition factors. Chin Prev Med 2009;10:990-993.
- 26 Kuehl K, Loffredo C, Lammer EJ, Iovannisci DM, Shaw GM: Association of congenital cardiovascular malformations with 33 single nucleotide polymorphisms of selected cardiovascular disease-related genes. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 2010;88:101-110.
- 27 Xu J, Xu X, Xue L, Liu X, Gu H, Cao H, Qiu W, Hu Z, Shen H, Chen Y: MTHFR c.1793G>A polymorphism is associated with congenital cardiac disease in a Chinese population. Cardiol Young 2010;20:318-326.
- 28 Obermann-Borst SA, van Driel LM, Helbing WA, de Jonge R, Wildhagen MF, Steegers EA, Steegers-Theunissen RP: Congenital heart defects and biomarkers of methylation in children: a case-control study. Eur J Clin Invest 2011;41:143-150.
- 29 Gong D, Gu H, Zhang Y, Gong J, Nie Y, Wang J, Zhang H, Liu R, Hu S, Zhang H: Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T and reduced folate carrier 80 G>A polymorphisms are associated with an increased risk of conotruncal heart defects. Clin Chem Lab Med 2012;50:1455-1461.
- 30 Li D, Ji L, Wang CL, Yuan CH: Studies on correlations between congenital heart disease and MTHFR C677T polymorhosm or unexpected stressful life events during pregnancy. Chin Prev Med 2012:428-431.
- 31 Zhou SY, Zhou JP, Wang LN, Xu N, Chang MX, Wang YL, Wang FY, Li CM: Study on the association between MTHFR gene polymorphism and tetralogy of fallot. Shandong Med 2012;52:1-3.
- 32 Jing XA, Wang HY, Li D, Ye WJ, Tan L: Associations of MTHFR gene polymorphism and environmental factors with congenital heart disease. Chin J Public Health 2013;29:347-349.
- 33 Li D, Ji L, Liu YZ, Yu KK, Wang CL, Yuan CH: Correlationship between congenital heart disease and MTHFR gene as well as illness duing pregnancy: a case-control study. Chin Prev Med 2013;14:586-589.
- 34 Wang B, Liu M, Yan W, Mao J, Jiang D, Li H, Chen Y: Association of SNPs in genes involved in folate metabolism with the risk of congenital heart disease. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2013;26:1768-1777.
- 35 Wang LN: Relationship between 5, 10-methylenetetrahydrofolate gene polymorphism and congenital heart disease in nuclear family. Chin J Appl Clin Pediatr Cardiol 2013;28:4.
- 36 Xu R, Huo Q, Zhang L, Ma L, Zhou Y: The association study on MTHFR gene polymorphism C677T and simple congenital heart disease in Uyghur and Han populations in Xinjiang. J Xinjiang Med Univ 2013;36:748-751+755.
- 37 Huang J, Mei J, Jiang L, Jiang Z, Liu H, Ding F: MTHFR rs1801133 C>T polymorphism is associated with an increased risk of tetralogy of Fallot. Biomed Rep 2014;2:172-176.
- 38 Sahiner UM, Alanay Y, Alehan D, Tuncbilek E, Alikasifoglu M: Methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphisms and homocysteine level in heart defects. Pediatr Int 2014;56:167-172.
- Jiang Y, Mei J, Zhang W, Qian X, Zhang S, Liu C, Yang H: [Correlation between offspring congenital heart disease and MTHFR 677C/T polymorphism and general status of pregnant women]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi 2015;36:1072-1076.
- 40 Koshy T, Venkatesan V, Perumal V, Hegde S, Paul SF: The A1298C Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase Gene Variant as a Susceptibility Gene for Non-Syndromic Conotruncal Heart Defects in an Indian Population. Pediatr Cardiol 2015;36:1470-1475.
- 41 Li D, Yu K, Ma Y, Liu Y, Ji L: [Correlationship between congenital heart disease and polymorphism of MTHFR gene]. Wei Sheng Yan Jiu 2015;44:933-938.
- 42 Feng ZS, Zhao MC, Wang L, Li GX, Tao SG, Wen LL, Wang K, Ma XJ: The investigation of correlation between MTHF R gene polymorphism and congenital heart disease by means of GeXP multiplex analysis technique. J Hebei Med 2016;38:1143-1146.
- 43 Wang Y, Zhang H, Yue S, Zhang K, Wang H, Dong R, Yang X, Liu Y, Ma Y: Evaluation of High Resolution Melting for MTHFR C677T Genotyping in Congenital Heart Disease. PloS One 2016;11:e0151140.
- 44 Chao CS, Wei J, Huang HW, Yang SC: Correlation between methyltetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) polymorphisms and isolated patent ductus arteriosus in Taiwan. Heart Lung Circ 2014;23:655-660.



Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 and Biochemistry Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 DOI: 10.1159/000488267 Published online: March 19, 2018 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:2483-2496 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:

Published online: March 19, 2018 www.karger.com/cpb Zhang et al.: MTHFR C677T and A1298C Polymorphisms and Fetal Congenital Heart Disease Risk

- 45 Sayin Kocakap BD, Sanli C, Cabuk F, Koc M, Kutsal A: Association of MTHFR A1298C polymorphism with conotruncal heart disease. Cardiol Young 2015;25:1326-1331.
- 46 Brouns R, Ursem N, Lindemans J, Hop W, Pluijm S, Steegers E, Steegers-Theunissen R: Polymorphisms in genes related to folate and cobalamin metabolism and the associations with complex birth defects. Prenat Diagn 2008;28:485-493.
- 47 Leclerc D, Rozen R: [Molecular genetics of MTHFR: polymorphisms are not all benign]. Med Sci (Paris) 2007;23:297-302.
- 48 Weisberg I, Tran P, Christensen B, Sibani S, Rozen R: A second genetic polymorphism in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) associated with decreased enzyme activity. Mol Genet Metab 1998;64:169-172.
- 49 Hobbs CA, James SJ, Parsian A, Krakowiak PA, Jernigan S, Greenhaw JJ, Lu Y, Cleves MA: Congenital heart defects and genetic variants in the methylenetetrahydroflate reductase gene. J Med Genet 2006;43:162-166.
- 50 Goldmuntz E, Woyciechowski S, Renstrom D, Lupo PJ, Mitchell LE: Variants of folate metabolism genes and the risk of conotruncal cardiac defects. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2008;1:126-132.
- 51 van Driel LM, Smedts HP, Helbing WA, Isaacs A, Lindemans J, Uitterlinden AG, van Duijn CM, de Vries JH, Steegers EA, Steegers-Theunissen RP: Eight-fold increased risk for congenital heart defects in children carrying the nicotinamide N-methyltransferase polymorphism and exposed to medicines and low nicotinamide. Eur Heart J 2008;29:1424-1431.
- 52 Xuan C, Li H, Zhao JX, Wang HW, Wang Y, Ning CP, Liu Z, Zhang BB, He GW, Lun LM: Association between MTHFR polymorphisms and congenital heart disease: a meta-analysis based on 9, 329 cases and 15, 076 controls. Sci Rep 2014;4:7311.
- 53 Wang W, Wang Y, Gong F, Zhu W, Fu S: MTHFR C677T polymorphism and risk of congenital heart defects: evidence from 29 case-control and TDT studies. PloS One 2013;8:e58041.
- 54 Wang W, Hou Z, Wang C, Wei C, Li Y, Jiang L: Association between 5, 10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) polymorphisms and congenital heart disease: A meta-analysis. Meta gene 2013;1:109-125.
- 55 Mamasoula C, Prentice RR, Pierscionek T, Pangilinan F, Mills JL, Druschel C, Pass K, Russell MW, Hall D, Topf A, Brown DL, Zelenika D, Bentham J, Cosgrove C, Bhattacharya S, Riveron JG, Setchfield K, Brook JD, Bu'Lock FA, Thornborough C, Rahman TJ, Doza JP, Tan HL, O'Sullivan J, Stuart AG, Blue G, Winlaw D, Postma AV, Mulder BJ, Zwinderman AH, van Engelen K, Moorman AF, Rauch A, Gewillig M, Breckpot J, Devriendt K, Lathrop GM, Farrall M, Goodship JA, Cordell HJ, Brody LC, Keavney BD: Association between C677T polymorphism of methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase and congenital heart disease: meta-analysis of 7697 cases and 13, 125 controls. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2013;6:347-353.
- 56 Li Z, Jun Y, Zhong-Bao R, Jie L, Jian-Ming L: Association between MTHFR C677T polymorphism and congenital heart disease. A family-based meta-analysis. Herz 2015;40:S160-167.
- 57 Chen KH, Chen LL, Li WG, Fang Y, Huang GY: Maternal MTHFR C677T polymorphism and congenital heart defect risk in the Chinese Han population: a meta-analysis. Genet Mol Res 2013;12:6212-6219.
- 58 Tanaka T, Scheet P, Giusti B, Bandinelli S, Piras MG, Usala G, Lai S, Mulas A, Corsi AM, Vestrini A, Sofi F, Gori AM, Abbate R, Guralnik J, Singleton A, Abecasis GR, Schlessinger D, Uda M, Ferrucci L: Genome-wide association study of vitamin B6, vitamin B12, folate, and homocysteine blood concentrations. Am J Hum Genet 2009;84:477-482.
- 59 Miller PN, Pratten MK, Beck F: Growth of 9.5-day rat embryos in folic-acid-deficient serum. Teratology 1989;39:375-385.
- 60 Burgoon JM, Selhub J, Nadeau M, Sadler TW: Investigation of the effects of folate deficiency on embryonic development through the establishment of a folate deficient mouse model. Teratology 2002;65:219-227.
- 61 Robien K, Ulrich CM: 5, 10-Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphisms and leukemia risk: a HuGE minireview. Am J Epidemiol 2003;157:571-582.
- 62 Sharp L, Little J: Polymorphisms in genes involved in folate metabolism and colorectal neoplasia: a HuGE review. Am J Epidemiol 2004;159:423-443.

KARGER