http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/9835340



Research Article

Analysis of Simulated Output Characteristics of Gas Sensor Based on Graphene Nanoribbon

A. Mahmoudi,¹ M. Troudi,² Y. Bergaoui,² P. Bondavalli,³ and N. Sghaier^{1,2}

¹Laboratory of Microelectronics and Instrumentation (LR13ES12), Faculty of Sciences of Monastir, Environnement Street, 5019 Monastir, Tunisia

²Team of Electronic Devices (UR11ES89), Institut Preparatoire aux Etudes d'Ingénieurs de Nabeul (IPEIN), University of Carthage, Merazka, 8000 Nabeul, Tunisia

³Nanocarb Laboratory, Thales Research and Technology, 91767 Palaiseau, France

Correspondence should be addressed to N. Sghaier; nabil.sghaier@ipein.rnu.tn

Received 27 February 2016; Revised 16 May 2016; Accepted 23 June 2016

Academic Editor: Elisabetta Comini

Copyright © 2016 A. Mahmoudi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This work presents simulated output characteristics of gas sensor transistors based on graphene nanoribbon (GNRFET). The device studied in this work is a new generation of gas sensing devices, which are easy to use, ultracompact, ultrasensitive, and highly selective. We will explain how the exposure to the gas changes the conductivity of graphene nanoribbon. The equations of the GNRFET gas sensor model include the Poisson equation in the weak nonlocality approximation with proposed sensing parameters. As we have developed this model as a platform for a gas detection sensor, we will analyze the current-voltage characteristics after exposure of the GNRFET nanosensor device to NH_3 gas. A sensitivity of nearly 2.7% was indicated in our sensor device after exposure of 1 ppm of NH_3 . The given results make GNRFET the right candidate for use in gas sensing/measuring appliances. Thus, we will investigate the effect of the channel length on the ON- and OFF-current.

1. Introduction

The gas detection is very important in both research and commercial applicability. There is an increasing need for a higher sensitivity and selectivity as well as for a faster response time. The most significant feature of a material in gas sensing applications is its elevated surface-to-volume ratio. For this motive, new materials are revealed in the construction of innovative sensors with an elevated surface-tovolume ratio. This grants a considerable active surface area for the gas molecule interactions. The different patterns of these nanostructures are graphene and carbon nanotubes (CNT) [1, 2], highly enhancing the absorption of gases and eventually resulting in a better sensitive sensor performance [3]. CNT have been presented as an ideal material for developing sensor technology because of their one-dimensional (1D) structures with high surface-to-volume ratio that enables them to integrate as gas sensing. Despite their promises, CNT still face considerable challenges due to the existing growth methods which do not allow controlling the structural uniformity such as diameter, number of graphene walls, and electrical properties by separating metallic and semiconducting CNT and so CNT involved structural heterogeneity [4]. However, graphene also presented the possibility of high sensitivity towards gas sensing, in addition to several advantages over CNT-based sensors. Graphene is shown to exhibit inherently low electrical noise at ambient temperature and high electron mobility, which enhance its sensitivity compared to CNT. Also, compared to CNT, graphene is relatively easier for electrical manipulation [4].

Graphene is defined as a single stratum of carbon atoms that are arranged in a two-dimensional honeycomb lattice. Since its inception in 2004, this material attracted enormous attention, which led to an intensive research activity [5]. As a result of its zero band gap energy, the electron mobility in graphene is 100 times quicker than that in silicon and makes it a perfect two-dimensional (2D) semiconductor [6–8]. When graphene is cut into thin graphene strips (nanoribbons) a sizeable band gap opens. In these nanoribbons, the confinement of transporters leads to a quasi-one-dimensional (1D) system creating an energy gap. Comparable to the CNT, this energy gap relies on the width and atom direction of the graphene nanoribbon (GNR) [9]. The calculation of density functional theory (DFT) demonstrates that armchair nanoribbons are semiconductors. The latter energy gap is inversely proportional to the GNR width [10]. Specifically, when we precisely determine the nanoribbons width, we can put together the graphene structures with a fairly wide band gap, keeping a rather high electron (hole) mobility [11]. Experiments verified that energy gaps augment with decreasing GNR width [12]. It has been stated that armchair nanoribbons of 2.5 nm width showed an energy gap getting up to 0.5 EV.

Furthermore, CNT unique electronic characteristics like tunable conductance, ballistic transport, and highly charged mobility [13] create an area of strong interest for sensing application in nanotechnology [14]. In this context, Schedin et al. reported the potential application of graphene in sensing applications in 2007 [15]. More specifically, GNR has the ability to detect adsorbed molecules onto the surface (e.g., NH₃, NO₂, CO, H₂S, and NO₂). To date, highly sensitive and selective sensors as well as quick response times are preferred. Graphene nanoribbon was the most interesting kind of nanomaterials. They contain unique properties, which include powerful electrical and thermal conductivity and high surface-to-volume ratios. The gas interaction with graphene nanoribbon is a very interesting area in terms of basic research and in terms of possible applications as gas detector. Appropriate configuration for graphene nanoribbon use in measuring devices is where the GNR is set as a channel between two electrodes of a field effect transistor. After exposure to the gas, the detection signals of our sensor device are obtained through the changes in the *I*-V curves of graphene field effect transistor. That makes GNRFET a favorable device sensor and shows a high sensitivity towards any alterations in the chemical environment [16].

In the present research we will propose a basic model of how GNRs can be used in gas detection applications. The recommendations from the mathematical model will highlight the relevant properties of graphene in the context of gas sensing, which will elucidate the fundamental working principle of chemical sensors. We have employed the field effect transistor (FET) as a basic structure of our gas sensor model.

2. Graphene Nanoribbon-Based Sensors

The model's focus was supposed to merge the merits and advantages of graphene nanoribbon which has broad specific surface area $(2630 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$ [4] providing great sensing area per unit volume to adsorb chemical species and high electron mobility at ambient temperature.

The structure of the proposed analytical device using graphene nanoribbon as a channel for gas sensor is the same as the metal-oxide semiconductor field effect transistors (MOSFETs) structure [17–19]. The GNRFET we are considering is based on a graphene layer which composes a dense array of parallel nanoribbons. The nanoribbon used as a transistor channel is connected between the source and drain



FIGURE 1: GNRFET-based gas sensor.

electrodes. We have used a dielectric layer which separates the gate from the channel. Here, SiO_2/Si substrate is used as a bottom gate (Figure 1).

The nanoribbon surface could interact with gas molecules, changing the GNRFET conductivity and so its transfer characteristics due to the variability of the source-drain current which is a measurable parameter [4]. This effect can be explained by a kind of "molecular gating" of the gas molecules on the GNRFET channel.

Recently, many theoretical studies on the molecular gas adsorption on the GNR have been carried out. Those studies indicate that the adsorption of CO_2 and O_2 molecules results in a *p*-type semiconductor while the NH₃ gas adsorption generates *n*-type features [20]. NH₃ and CO gases serve as donors, while H₂O and NO₂ act as acceptors [21]. In our case, we will focus on the graphene nanoribbon conductance exposed to the NH₃ molecules. The NH₃ gas molecule adsorption will donate electrons to the GNR surface which changing the GNR conductance and thus the *I-V* curves of our GNRFET-based gas sensor. With the suggested sensing parameters, we consider this phenomenon as the detection mechanism. We have proposed the transfer characteristics of the GNR-based detector as a principle by which to detect the effects of gas adsorption.

3. GNRFET-Based Gas Sensor Model

In our model we consider that the GNRFET operates with the condition that the channel's electron gas is nondegenerate. Thus, the distribution functions of the electron and the hole in the subbands near the source and drain electrodes are shown in the following [22]:

$$\varepsilon_{p,1}^{-1} = \pm \nu \sqrt{p^2 + \left(\frac{\pi\hbar}{d}\right)^2}.$$
 (1)

Here v = 108 cm/s is the characteristic charge velocity, the (±) signs refer to the electron (upper sign) and hole (lower sign) spectra, d = 2.06 nm is the nanoribbon width, \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, and p is the momentum along the nanoribbon.

The proposed model is based on the correlation between current, gas concentration, and temperature as follows:

$$I_{\text{gas-GNR}} = I_{wg} + I_{gT} + I_{gF}.$$
 (2)

When the proposed device is exposed to the gases at different temperatures, we can define three current parameters of the GNRFET-based gas sensor: I_{wg} , I_{gT} , and I_{gF} , where I_{wg} is the current of the device without gas exposure which takes account of the different geometric parameters of the device; I_{gT} represents changes in the current which depends on the temperature (*T*); and I_{gF} modulate the dependence of the current on different values of gas concentration at a stable temperature. The conductance of the gas sensor changes for different values of NH₃ gas concentrations and for various temperatures [14, 17]. In what follows, we will define each parameter.

3.1. The Current without Gas Exposure. The nanoribbon sections adjacent to the source and drain contacts are serving as conducting pads and are highly conducting. Thus, these sections are equipotential and equal to $\varphi = 0$ and $\varphi = V_d$, respectively. The active region of the GNRFET which replaces the top gate is defined as follows: $L_g \leq x \leq L_g/2$, $W_b \leq z \leq 0$, where L_g is the length of the active region (top gate) and W_b is the thickness of the layer between the graphene and the back gate. Potential distribution along the channel can be found using the two-dimensional Poisson equation for the electric potential $\psi = \psi(x, z)$ for the active region under consideration in the weak nonlocality estimation $\varphi = \varphi(x) = \psi(x, 0)$ [23, 24] as follows [22]:

$$\frac{W_b}{3}\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\varphi - V_b}{W_b} - \frac{\varphi - V_g}{W_q} = \frac{4\pi e}{\Re} \left(\Sigma_- - \Sigma_+\right), \quad (3)$$

where, Σ_{-} and Σ_{+} are the electron and hole densities in the channel, *e* is the electron charge, and æ is the material dielectric constant separating the channel from the back gate. Equation (2) controls the electric potential. The approximation under consideration makes the study of nonuniform potential distributions in the GNRFET channel and the shortgate effects analytically possible.

The Fermi energies, the electric potential, and the electron densities in the source and drain regions are related to each other as

$$\Sigma_{\mp}^{s} = \frac{2\sqrt{2\Delta K_{B}T}}{\sqrt{\pi}\hbar d\upsilon} \exp\left(\pm\frac{\varepsilon_{f}^{s} + e\varphi}{K_{B}T} - \frac{\Delta}{2}K_{B}T\right),$$

$$\Sigma_{\mp}^{d} = \frac{2\sqrt{2\Delta K_{B}T}}{\sqrt{\pi}\hbar d\upsilon} \exp\left(\pm\frac{\varepsilon_{f}^{d} + e\left(\varphi - V_{d}\right)}{K_{B}T} - \frac{\Delta}{2}K_{B}T\right),$$
(4)

where $\Delta = 4\pi\hbar/d$ is the value of the energy band gap.

If we consider that the current is determined by the electrons overcoming the potential barrier between GNR and electrodes, we can use the current formula as the follows (per unit length) [22]:

$$I_{wg} = \frac{2e}{\pi\hbar d} \left(\int v_p f_p^s dp - \int v_p f_p^d dp \right).$$
 (5)

Here

$$v_p = \frac{d\varepsilon_{p,0}^+}{dP} = v^2 \frac{P}{\sqrt{v^2 P^2 + \Delta^2/4}}$$
(6)

is the velocity of the electron with momentum p of the nanoribbon conduction band. " f_p^{d} " and " f_p^{s} " are the Fermi energy determined from the middle of the energy band gap. Integrating in (5), we arrive at [22]

 I_{wg}

$$\propto \begin{cases} \exp\left[\frac{eV_g}{K_BT}\left(1-\frac{1}{\cosh\left(L_g/2\Lambda\right)}\right)\right]; & \text{at } \left|V_g\right| \le \frac{V_b}{W_b}, \\ V_b \exp\left[\frac{eV_g}{K_BT}\left(1-\frac{1}{\cosh\left(L_g/2\Lambda\right)}\right)\right]; & \text{at } \left|V_g\right| > \frac{V_b}{W_b}. \end{cases}$$
(7)

Here $\Lambda = \sqrt{(W_b/3)}$ is the effective screening length.

3.2. The Current with Gas Exposure. We can associate I_{gT} and I_{qF} as one parameter depending on each other as [14]

$$I_{g} = I_{gT} + I_{gF}$$

= $\frac{2e}{\pi\hbar d} \left(\int \frac{x^{-1/2}}{1 + e^{x-\eta}} dx + \int \frac{x^{-1/2}}{1 + e^{x+\eta}} dx \right),$ (8)

where $\eta = (E_F - E_G)/k_BT$ and $x = (E - E_G)/k_BT$ are the normalized Fermi energy. We can solve this equation numerically by using the partial integration method [25, 26]. Thus, the general model for this part of the graphene-based gas sensors current can be derived similarly to that of the silicon-based model proposed by Gunlycke et al. [27]:

$$I_g$$

$$= \frac{2e}{\pi\hbar d} \left(\xi_{-1/2} \left(\frac{(E_F - E_G)}{k_B T} \right) + \xi_{-1/2} \left(\frac{(E_G - E_F)}{k_B T} \right) \right)$$
(9)
* V_g .

Here $\xi_{-1/2}$ is the Fermi-Dirac integral of order (-1/2) which plays a significant role in the modeling of semiconductor's behavior. E_G strongly influences the gas sensor conductance because it depends on gas concentration and its temperature [14]. We can write it as follows:

$$E_G = \delta T + \lambda F,\tag{10}$$

where δ is the parameter that controls temperature and λ is the parameter which monitors the gas concentration. These parameters are obtained by iteration method [14]. Equations (9) and (10) are used to obtain the gas sensor current model as

$$I_{g} = \frac{2e}{\pi\hbar d} \left(\xi_{-1/2} \left(\frac{\left(E_{F} - \left(\delta T + \lambda F\right)\right)}{k_{B}T} \right) + \xi_{-1/2} \left(\frac{\left(\delta T + \lambda F - E_{F}\right)}{k_{B}T} \right) \right) * V_{g}.$$
(11)

Finally, summing (7) and (11) we obtain the general source-drain current as a function of the top gate voltage,



FIGURE 2: The *I*-V curves before (solid line) and after (dashed line) NH_3 exposure at F = 300 ppm.

the graphene length, the gas concentration, and temperature by the following relations:

Igas-GNR

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{2e}{\pi\hbar d} \left[\left[\exp\left[\frac{eV_g}{K_B T} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\cosh\left(L_g/2\Lambda\right)}\right) \right] + \left[\left(\xi_{-1/2} \left(\frac{(E_F - (\delta T + \lambda F))}{k_B T}\right) + \xi_{-1/2} \left(\frac{(\delta T + \lambda F - E_F)}{k_B T}\right) \right) * V_g \right] \right] \right]; \quad \text{at } |V_g| \le \frac{V_b}{W_b}, \quad (12)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{2e}{\pi\hbar d} \left[\left[V_b \exp\left[\frac{eV_g}{K_B T} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\cosh\left(L_g/2\Lambda\right)}\right) \right] + \left[\left(\xi_{-1/2} \left(\frac{(E_F - (\delta T + \lambda F))}{k_B T}\right) + \xi_{-1/2} \left(\frac{(\delta T + \lambda F - E_F)}{k_B T}\right) \right) * V_g \right] \right] \right]; \quad \text{at } |V_g| \le \frac{V_b}{W_b}. \end{cases}$$

Using this equation, we can evaluate the performance of graphene-based gas sensor FET devices based on (*I-V*) curves. We can control the drain-source current by monitoring the top gate voltage which changes as the channel is exposed to gas. Our model works in ohmic and saturation regions, quite similar to MOSFETs.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. GNR-Based Gas Sensor Characteristics at Room Temperature. The sensing measurements of graphene-FETs were carried out using NH₃ gases, which behave as electron donor [28]. In order to evaluate the sensitivity of our device, we first have studied the current-voltage characteristics profile of graphene gas sensor transistors at various gate biases (varying from 0.72 V to 0.8 V) before gas exposure (solid lines) and after exposure to NH₃ (dashed lines) at a concentration of 300 ppm at room temperature.

Figure 2 shows that the *I-V* characteristics of the GNRFET have increased after exposure to NH_3 . The gas molecules are

then adsorbed on the graphene and modify its conductivity. This behavior is expected, because NH_3 is an electron donor, which increases the density of electrons in GNRFET following adsorption, and increases in the conductivity of *n*-type graphene.

In Figure 3(a), we indicate the I-V curves after NH₃ exposure at 300 K and at different gas concentration values. It is evident that when we increase the gas concentration the conductivity increases as well. We can observed the sensitivity from the responses of our device under 350 ppm, 400 ppm, 450 ppm, and 500 ppm NH₃.

The sensitivity of GNRFET is defined as a percentage conductance change after gas exposure. It can be calculated as $100 \times (I_g - I_{wg})/I_{wg}$, where I_{wg} is the base current in the absence of the gas and I_g is the current in the presence of the gas [4, 12, 29]. Here, as shown in Figure 3(b) we found that, upon exposure to 450 ppm NH₃, the sensitivity increased by 55% at $V_g = 0.8$ and T = 300 K.

The behavior of the sensitivity of the sensor is practically linear. The GNR gas detectors reveal an increase of almost



FIGURE 3: (a) Change in I-V response of the graphene sensor at different gas concentrations. (b) Change in sensitivity with different concentrations of NH_3 exposure.



FIGURE 4: Schottky junction built up between the GNR and the electrodes: (a) before gas exposure and (b) after exposure to NH₃.

2.7% in sensitivity to exposure of 1 ppm of NH_3 concentration. This phenomenon could be explained considering that our device exploits an important transformation of the Schottky junctions built up between the graphene nanoribbon and the electrodes (Figure 4): an interfacial dipole is induced by the adsorption of the NH_3 gas. This results in a change of the bending and height of the Schottky barrier at the contacts [30]. Consequently, we notice a change in the alignment of the Fermi level between the drain/source electrodes and the GNR bands. Moreover, the Schottky barrier characteristics at the junction change as well. This results in the electron injection at the barrier and the metal/GNR junction is decreased, giving rise to the current. This consideration is very important because it seems to confirm that the interaction between the gases and the metal/nanoribbon is the phenomenon



FIGURE 5: The (a) ON- and (b) OFF-current curve and (c) I_{OFF} ratio as a function of the device length before exposure (simple line) and after exposure to different gas concentration (line + symbol).

at the base of sensing for this kind of sensors. Thus, by measuring the variation of the electrical conductivity, due to the interaction with the contacts, we can identify the detected molecule and also the concentration in the air of the found chemical species.

To confirm this point of view, we have simulated the variation of the $I_{\rm ON}$ and $I_{\rm OFF}$ curves as a function of the channel length at different gas concentrations. As shown in Figures 5(a) and 5(b), there is a lateral shift of the $I_{\rm ON}$ without any shift of the OFF-current interval. Thus we can conclude that exposure to a gas does not dope the graphene nanoribbon GNRFET sensor. The doping effect would imply a change in

the "OFF" range of the transistor. In reality, the gas molecule adsorption changes the Fermi level alignment between the graphene nanoribbon and the metal electrodes in the contact region.

This statement is demonstrated by the comparison between the measurements performed by changing the gas concentration exposed to the GNRFET. This consideration is in agreement with the analytical and experimental results in the instance of oxygen exposition attained by an IBM team in Yorktown Heights, led by Avouris et al. [31].

As is seen in Figure 5, the channel length effect indicated on the device characteristics at different gas concentration is investigated. Actually, as the device length diminishes, both the ON- and OFF-currents raise. As shown in Figure 5(a), the ON-current moves up by a factor of about 33.26, by ranging the total length of the device *L* from 300 to 100 nm. The OFF-current increases by a factor of $1.25e^{-9}$ with the same channel length scaling (Figure 5(b)). As an outcome to that, the I_{ON}/I_{OFF} ratio increases from $1.53e^3$ at L = 100 nm to $9.48e^4$ at L = 300 nm before gas exposure and from $2.51e^3$ at L = 100 nm to $1.31e^4$ at L = 300 nm after NH₃ exposure at F = 350 ppm.

This result can be credited to an essential reliance of the height of the prospective barrier built up between the GNR and the drain/source metal electrodes for the electrons propagating on the molecular gate length (the nanoribbon). In the long-channel limit, the OFF-current is mostly owed to the thermionic discharge of carriers over the impending barrier, whereas in the short-channel limit the OFF-current is dominated by the tunneling of carriers through the barrier [32]. In particular, as seen from (7) and (12), in GNRFET with a long top gate, the source-drain current soaked when V_d becomes larger that (k_BT/e) , whereas in GNRFET with L_g comparable with Λ the source-drain current noticeably amplifies with increasing V_d even at rather big values of the latter.

4.2. GNR-Based Gas Sensor Characteristics at Elevated Temperature Region. As shown in Figure 6, the *I-V* curves of the GNR-based gas sensor equivalent to temperatures of 23, 50, and 100°C, respectively, are illustrated (line with symbols), after exposure to 300 ppm NH_3 , as well as the *I-V* before gas exposure (solid line).

It can be seen that the drain current has increased when the temperature increases. However, at the first two temperatures the current values have no significant changes, comparing with that before exposure to the gas. The sensitivity of the device increases from 0.37% at $T = 23^{\circ}$ C to 10.55% at $T = 100^{\circ}$ C. As a result, the gas is averse to being adsorbed on GNR at temperatures under 100°C. Also, Figure 6 shows that at 100°C the drain current becomes more important. This result shows that the GNR Fermi level shifts to the conduction band edges. This is due to the fact that NH₃ donates electron and leaves electrons on the GNRFET channel. Thus, we can conclude that the NH₃ adsorption at this temperature affects the metal/GNR junction transfer characteristics and so the device resistance.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we replicated the GNRFET-based gas sensor using the analytic model. The dependencies of I_d - V_d as well as the sensing parameters and the top gate length were considered to evaluate the device performance using this model. We have shown that our device witnesses a measurable change in conductance levels once it is exposed to NH₃. Two control parameters have been introduced: the parameter which governs the temperature (δ) and the parameter that monitors the gas concentration (λ). The *I*-*V* curves of the gas sensors are taken into consideration to compare the transfer characteristics before and after being



FIGURE 6: GNR-based gas sensor *I*-*V* curves before and after NH₃ exposure at F = 300 ppm for $T = 25^{\circ}\text{C}$; 50°C and 100°C presenting higher conductivity values in elevated temperatures.

exposed to diverse NH_3 concentrations and temperatures. The GNRFET-based gas sensor shows a sensitivity of about 2.7% once exposed to 1 ppm of NH_3 . This interesting result makes our device an appropriate candidate to be employed in gas detection systems. We have also investigated the effect of the channel length on the ON-current and the OFF-current. We have concluded that exposure to a gas does not dope the GNRFET sensor because the doping effect would imply a shift in the "OFF" range of the transistor. Thus the gas molecule adsorption modifies the Fermi level alignment between the graphene nanoribbon and the metal in the contact region.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- S. Das, I. Lahiri, C. Kang, and W. Choi, "Engineering carbon nanomaterials for future applications: energy and bio-sensor," in *Micro- and Nanotechnology Sensors, Systems, and Applications III*, vol. 8031 of *Proceedings of SPIE*, Orlando, Fla, USA, April 2011.
- [2] N. Kiga, Y. Takei, A. Inaba, H. Takahashi, K. Matsumoto, and I. Shimoyama, "CNT-FET gas sensor using a functionalized ionic liquid as gate," in *Proceedings of the 2012 IEEE 25th International Conference on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS '12)*, pp. 796–799, Paris, France, February 2012.
- [3] G. Jiménez-Cadena, J. Riu, and F. X. Rius, "Gas sensors based on nanostructured materials," *Analyst*, vol. 132, no. 11, pp. 1083– 1099, 2007.
- [4] A. Misra, "Carbon nanotubes and graphene-based chemical sensors," *Current Science*, vol. 107, no. 3, pp. 419–429, 2014.

- [5] A. K. Geim, "Graphene: status and prospects," *Science*, vol. 324, no. 5934, pp. 1530–1534, 2009.
- [6] L. Yang, C.-H. Park, Y.-W. Son, M. L. Cohen, and S. G. Louie, "Quasiparticle energies and band gaps in graphene nanoribbons," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 99, no. 18, Article ID 186801, 2007.
- [7] Y. W. Son, M. L. Cohen, and S. G. Louie, "Energy gaps in graphene nanoribbons," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 97, no. 21, Article ID 216803, 2006.
- [8] I. S. Amiri, A. Afroozeh, and M. Bahadoran, "Simulation and analysis of multisoliton generation using a PANDA ring resonator system," *Chinese Physics Letters*, vol. 28, no. 10, Article ID 104205, 2011.
- [9] M. Y. Han, B. Özyilmaz, Y. Zhang, and P. Kim, "Energy bandgap engineering of graphene nanoribbons," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 98, no. 20, Article ID 206805, 2007.
- [10] V. Barone, O. Hod, and G. E. Scuseria, "Electronic structure and stability of semiconducting graphene nanoribbons," *Nano Letters*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 2748–2754, 2006.
- [11] Z. Chen, Y.-M. Lin, M. J. Rooks, and P. Avouris, "Graphene nano-ribbon electronics," *Physica E*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 228–232, 2007.
- [12] K. R. Amin and A. Bid, "Graphene as a sensor," *Current Science*, vol. 107, no. 3, pp. 430–436, 2014.
- [13] A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, "The electronic properties of graphene," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 109–162, 2009.
- [14] E. Akbari, Z. Buntat, M. H. Ahmad et al., "Analytical calculation of sensing parameters on carbon nanotube based gas sensors," *Sensors*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 5502–5515, 2014.
- [15] F. Schedin, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov et al., "Detection of individual gas molecules adsorbed on graphene," *Nature Materials*, vol. 6, no. 9, pp. 652–655, 2007.
- [16] E. Akbari, Z. Buntat, A. Enzevaee, M. K. Yazdi, M. Bahadoran, and A. Nikoukar, "Sensing and identification of carbon monoxide using carbon films fabricated by methane arc discharge decomposition technique," *Nanoscale Research Letters*, vol. 9, no. 1, article 402, pp. 1–7, 2014.
- [17] W. S. Hwang, P. Zhao, K. Tahy et al., "Graphene nanoribbon field-effect transistors on wafer-scale epitaxial graphene on SiC substrates," *APL Materials*, vol. 3, no. 1, Article ID 011101, 2015.
- [18] W. S. Hwang, K. Tahy, X. Li et al., "Transport properties of graphene nanoribbon transistors on chemical-vapordeposition grown wafer-scale graphene," *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 100, no. 20, Article ID 203107, 2012.
- [19] P. Zhao, R. M. Feenstra, G. Gu, and D. Jena, "SymFET: a proposed symmetric graphene tunneling field-effect transistor," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 951–957, 2013.
- [20] M. J. Kiani, M. T. Ahmadi, E. Akbari, H. Karimi, and F. K. Che Harun, "Graphene nanoribbon based gas sensor," *Key Engineering Materials*, vol. 553, pp. 7–11, 2013.
- [21] T. S. Cho, K.-J. Lee, J. Kong, and A. P. Chandrakasan, "The design of a low power carbon nanotube chemical sensor system," in *Proceedings of the 45th Design Automation Conference* (*DAC '08*), pp. 84–89, Anaheim, Calif, USA, June 2008.
- [22] V. Ryzhii, M. Ryzhii, A. Satou, and T. Otsuji, "Current-voltage characteristics of a graphene-nanoribbon field-effect transistor," *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 103, no. 9, Article ID 094510, 2008.

- [23] A. A. Sukhanov and Y. Y. Tkach, "Equations for the surface potential distribution in two-dimensional layer systems," *Soviet Physics. Semiconductors*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 797–798, 1984.
- [24] V. I. Ryzhii and I. I. Khmyrova, "Current crowding effect in hot-electron heterostructure transistors," *Soviet Physics: Semiconductors*, vol. 22, p. 807, 1988.
- [25] M. T. Ahmadi, Z. Johari, D. C. Y. Chek, N. A. Amin, and R. Ismail, "Modelling of graphene nanoribbon Fermi energy," *Journal of Nanomaterials*, vol. 2010, Article ID 909347, 6 pages, 2010.
- [26] V. Kažukauskas, V. Kalendra, C. W. Bumby, B. M. Ludbrook, and A. B. Kaiser, "Electrical conductivity of carbon nanotubes and polystyrene composites," *Physica Status Solidi C*, vol. 5, no. 9, pp. 3172–3174, 2008.
- [27] D. Gunlycke, D. A. Areshkin, and C. T. White, "Semiconducting graphene nanostrips with edge disorder," *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 90, no. 14, Article ID 142104, pp. 1–3, 2007.
- [28] M. Khaledian, R. Ismail, M. Saeidmanesh, and P. Khaledian, "Analytical modeling of the sensing parameters for graphene nanoscroll-based gas sensors," *RSC Advances*, vol. 5, no. 67, pp. 54700–54709, 2015.
- [29] A. Singh, M. A. Uddin, T. Sudarshan, and G. Koley, "Tunable reverse-biased graphene/silicon heterojunction Schottky diode sensor," *Small*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 1555–1565, 2014.
- [30] P. Bondavalli, P. Legagneux, and D. Pribat, "Sub ppm gas sensing using a CNTFET-based sensor array fabricated using different metals as electrodes," *Journal of the Korean Physical Society*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 510–513, 2009.
- [31] P. Avouris, J. Appenzeller, R. Martel, and S. J. Wind, "Carbon nanotube electronics," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 91, no. 11, pp. 1772–1783, 2003.
- [32] A. Y. Goharrizi, M. Pourfath, M. Fathipour, and H. Kosina, "Device performance of graphene nanoribbon field-effect transistors in the presence of line-edge roughness," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 3527–3532, 2012.







International Journal of Polymer Science



Smart Materials Research





BioMed **Research International**





Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com









Materials Science and Engineering

Nanoscience









Journal of Crystallography



The Scientific World Journal

Journal of Ceramics





Journal of Textiles