

Hindawi Publishing Corporation
International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences
Volume 2008, Article ID 741609, 6 pages
doi:10.1155/2008/741609

Research Article

Kurosh-Amitsur Right Jacobson Radical of Type 0 for Right Near-Rings

Ravi Srinivasa Rao,¹ K. Siva Prasad,² and T. Srinivas³

¹Department of Mathematics, P.G. Centre, P.B. Siddhartha College of Arts and Science, Vijayawada-520010, Andhra Pradesh, India

²Department of Mathematics, Chalapathi Institute of Engineering and Technology, Chalapathi Nagar, Lam, Guntur-522034, Andhra Pradesh, India

³Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University, Warangal-506009, Andhra Pradesh, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Ravi Srinivasa Rao, dr_rsr Rao@yahoo.com

Received 20 August 2007; Accepted 6 November 2007

Recommended by Howard E. Bell

By a near-ring we mean a right near-ring. J_0^r , the right Jacobson radical of type 0, was introduced for near-rings by the first and second authors. In this paper properties of the radical J_0^r are studied. It is shown that J_0^r is a Kurosh-Amitsur radical (KA-radical) in the variety of all near-rings R , in which the constant part R_c of R is an ideal of R . So unlike the left Jacobson radicals of types 0 and 1 of near-rings, J_0^r is a KA-radical in the class of all zero-symmetric near-rings. J_0^r is not s -hereditary and hence not an ideal-hereditary radical in the class of all zero-symmetric near-rings.

Copyright © 2008 Ravi Srinivasa Rao et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

R denotes a right near-ring and all near-rings considered are right near-rings and not necessarily zero-symmetric.

In [1, 2], the first author studied the structure of near-rings in terms of right ideals, and showed that as in rings, matrix units determined by right ideals identify matrix near-rings. To show the importance of the right Jacobson radicals of near-rings in the extension of a form of the Wedderburn-Artin theorem of rings involving the matrix rings to near-rings, the right Jacobson radicals of type ν were introduced and studied by the first and second authors in [3–6], $\nu \in \{0, 1, 2, s\}$. In [6], Wedderburn-Artin theorem was extended to near-rings, and some generalizations of it were presented.

In this paper, properties of the right Jacobson radical of type 0 are studied. It is known that the left Jacobson radicals of types 0 and 1 are not KA-radicals in the class of all

zero-symmetric near-rings, and only the left Jacobson radicals of types 2 and 3 are KA-radicals in the class of all zero-symmetric near-rings. Surprisingly, J_0^r , the right Jacobson radical of type 0, is a KA-radical in the class of all zero-symmetric near-rings. It is also shown that J_0^r is a KA-radical even in a bigger class of near-rings, namely, in the variety of all near-rings R , in which the constant part of R is an ideal of R . Moreover, J_0^r is not s -hereditary, and hence not an ideal-hereditary radical in the class of all zero-symmetric near-rings.

2. Preliminaries

Near-rings considered are right near-rings and not necessarily zero-symmetric. Unless otherwise specified, R stands for a right near-ring. Near-ring notions not defined here can be found in [7].

R_0 and R_c denote the zero-symmetric part and the constant part of R , respectively.

\mathcal{F} denotes the class of near-rings R , in which the constant part R_c of R is an ideal of R . In [8], Fuchs has shown that the class of near-rings \mathcal{F} is a variety. Obviously, \mathcal{F} contains all zero-symmetric, constant, and abstract affine near-rings. Now we give here some definitions and results of [3], which will be used later.

An element $a \in R$ is called *right quasiregular* if and only if the right ideal of R generated by the set $\{x - ax \mid x \in R\}$ is R . A right ideal (left ideal, ideal, subset) K of R is called a *right quasiregular right ideal (left ideal, ideal, subset)* of R if each element of K is right quasiregular.

A right ideal K of R is called *right modular* if there is an element $e \in R$ such that $x - ex \in K$ for all $x \in R$. In this case, we say that K is *right modular by e* .

A maximal right modular right ideal of R is called a *right 0-modular right ideal* of R .

$J_{1/2}^r(R)$ is the intersection of all right 0-modular right ideals of R , and if R has no right 0-modular right ideals, then $J_{1/2}^r(R) = R$.

The largest ideal of R contained in $J_{1/2}^r(R)$ is denoted by $J_0^r(R)$ and called the *right Jacobson radical of R of type 0*.

The largest ideal contained in a right 0-modular right ideal of R is called a *right 0-primitive ideal* of R . R is called a *right 0-primitive near-ring* if $\{0\}$ is a right 0-primitive ideal of R .

A group $(G, +)$ is called a *right R -group* if there is a mapping $((g, r) \rightarrow gr)$ of $G \times R$ into G such that (i) $(g + h)r = gr + hr$ and (ii) $g(rs) = (gr)s$ for all $g, h \in G$ and $r, s \in R$. A subgroup (normal subgroup) H of a right R -group G is called an *R -subgroup (ideal)* of G if $hr \in H$ for all $h \in H$ and $r \in R$.

Let G be a right R -group. An element $g \in G$ is called a *generator* of G if $gR = G$ and $g(r + s) = gr + gs$ for all $r, s \in R$. G is said to be *monogenic* if G has a generator.

G is said to be *simple* if $G \neq \{0\}$, and G and $\{0\}$ are the only ideals of G .

A monogenic right R -group G is said to be a *right R -group of type 0* if G is simple.

The *annihilator* of a right R -group G , denoted by $(0 : G)$, is defined as $(0 : G) = \{a \in R \mid Ga = \{0\}\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *The constant part of R is right quasiregular.*

Lemma 2.2. *A nilpotent element of R is right quasiregular.*

Theorem 2.3. *$J_{1/2}^r(R)$ is the largest right quasiregular right ideal of R .*

Theorem 2.4. *$J_0^r(R)$ is the largest right quasiregular ideal of R .*

Theorem 2.5. $J_0^r(R)$ is the intersection of all right 0-primitive ideals of R .

Theorem 2.6. Let P be an ideal of R . P is a right 0-primitive ideal of R if and only if R/P is a right 0-primitive near-ring.

Proposition 2.7. Let G be a right R -group of type 0 and g_0 a generator of G . Then $(0 : g_0) := \{r \in R \mid g_0 r = 0\}$ is a right 0-modular right ideal of R .

Proposition 2.8. Let G be a right R -group. G is a right R -group of type 0 if and only if there is a maximal right modular right ideal K of R such that G is R -isomorphic to R/K .

Proposition 2.9. Let P be an ideal of a zero-symmetric near-ring R . P is right 0-primitive if and only if P is the largest ideal of R contained in $(0 : G)$ for some right R -group G of type 0.

Let Q be a mapping which assigns to each near-ring R an ideal $Q(R)$ of R . Such mappings are called ideal-mappings. We consider the following properties which Q may satisfy:

(H1) $h(Q(R)) \subseteq Q(h(R))$ for all homomorphisms h of R ;

(H2) $Q(R/Q(R)) = \{0\}$ for all R ;

Q is r -hereditary if $I \cap Q(R) \subseteq Q(I)$ for all ideals I of R ;

Q is s -hereditary if $Q(I) \subseteq I \cap Q(R)$ for all ideals I of R ;

Q is ideal-hereditary if it is both r -hereditary and s -hereditary, that is, if $Q(I) = I \cap Q(R)$ for all ideals I of R ;

Q is idempotent if $Q(Q(R)) = Q(R)$ for all R ;

Q is complete if $Q(I) = I$ and I is an ideal of R that implies $I \subseteq Q(R)$.

With Q we associate two classes of near-rings \mathbb{R}_Q and \mathbb{S}_Q defined by $\mathbb{R}_Q := \{R \mid Q(R) = R\}$, $\mathbb{S}_Q := \{R \mid Q(R) = \{0\}\}$, and are called a Q -radical class and a Q -semisimple class, respectively.

An ideal-mapping Q is a Hoehnke radical (H -radical) if it satisfies conditions (H1) and (H2).

An ideal-mapping Q is a Kurosh-Amitsur radical (KA-radical) if it is a complete idempotent H -radical.

Let \mathbb{M} be a class of near-rings. Classes of near-rings are always assumed to be abstract, that is, they contain the one element near-ring and are closed under isomorphic copies. With every near-ring R , we associate two ideals of R , depending on \mathbb{M} . These ideals are defined by the following:

$$\mathbb{M}(R) := \Sigma\{I \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } R, I \in \mathbb{M}\}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$(R)\mathbb{M} := \cap\{I \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } R, R/I \in \mathbb{M}\}.$$

The mapping P defined by $P(R) := (R)\mathbb{M}$ is always an H -radical and is called the H -radical corresponding to \mathbb{M} .

From Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, we have the following.

Proposition 2.10. J_0^r is an H -radical corresponding to the class of all right 0-primitive near-rings.

3. Properties of the radical J_0^r

If $(A, +)$ is a group and T is a subset of A , then the subgroup (normal subgroup) of A generated by T is denoted by $\langle T \rangle_s (\langle T \rangle_n)$.

Remark 3.1. Let G be a right R -group. It is clear that $H = \{g \in G \mid gR = \{0\}\}$ is an ideal of G . So if G is simple and $gR = \{0\}$, then $g = 0$ provided $GR \neq \{0\}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a right R -group of type 0. Suppose that S is an invariant subnear-ring and a right ideal of R . If $GS \neq \{0\}$, then G is also a right S -group of type 0.*

Proof. Suppose that $GS \neq \{0\}$. Clearly, G is a right S -group. Let $g \in G$ and $gS := \{gs \mid s \in S\} \subseteq G$. Consider the normal subgroup $\langle gS \rangle_n$ of $(G, +)$. Let $r \in R$, $h \in \langle gS \rangle_n$. Now $h = (x_1 + \delta_1(gs_1) - x_1) + (x_2 + \delta_2(gs_2) - x_2) + \cdots + (x_k + \delta_k(gs_k) - x_k)$, $s_i \in S$, $x_i \in G$, $\delta_i \in \{1, -1\}$. Since $SR \subseteq S$, $hr = (x_1r + \delta_1(g(s_1r)) - x_1r) + (x_2r + \delta_2(g(s_2r)) - x_2r) + \cdots + (x_kr + \delta_k(g(s_kr)) - x_kr) \in \langle gS \rangle_n$. So $\langle gS \rangle_n$ is an ideal of the right R -group G , and hence it is also an ideal of the right S -group G . Let $0 \neq h \in G$. Suppose that $hS = \{0\}$. Since $hR \neq \{0\}$, $\langle hR \rangle_n$ is a nonzero ideal of the right R -group G . Since G is a simple right R -group, $\langle hR \rangle_n = G$. So $GS = \langle hR \rangle_n S \subseteq \langle hS \rangle_n = \{0\}$, a contradiction to $GS \neq \{0\}$. Therefore, $hS \neq \{0\}$. Let g_0 be a generator of the right R -group G . So g_0 is a distributive element of the right R -group G and $g_0R = G$. Clearly, g_0 is a distributive element of the right S -group G and hence g_0S is a subgroup of $(G, +)$. We have $(g_0S)R = g_0(SR)g_0S$. So g_0S is an R -subgroup of G . Let $g \in G$ and $s \in S$. Since $g_0R = G$, $g = g_0r$ for some $r \in R$. So $g + g_0s - g = g_0r + g_0s - g_0r = g_0(r + s - r) \in g_0S$, as S is a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$. Therefore, g_0S is an ideal of the right R -group G and hence $g_0S = G$. So g_0 is also a generator of the right S -group G . Let K be a nonzero ideal of the right S -group G . Let $0 \neq y \in K$. As seen above, $\langle yS \rangle_n$ is a nonzero ideal of the right R -group G , and hence $\langle yS \rangle_n = G$. Since $G = \langle yS \rangle_n \subseteq K$, $G = K$. Therefore, $\{0\}$ and G are the only ideals of the right S -group G and hence G is a right S -group of type 0. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a right R -group of type 0 and let T be a right quasiregular invariant subnear-ring of R . If T is a right ideal of R , then $GT = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that T is a right ideal of R and g_0 is a generator of G . So $g_0(r + s) = g_0r + g_0s$ for all $r, s \in R$ and $g_0R = G$. Now $L := (0 : g_0) = \{r \in R \mid g_0r = 0\}$ is a right 0-modular right ideal of R . Therefore, L contains the largest right quasiregular right ideal of R . Since T is a right quasiregular right ideal of R , $T \subseteq L$, that is, $g_0T = \{0\}$. Let $g \in G$ and $t \in T$. Now $g = g_0r$ for some $r \in R$. $gt = g_0(rt) = 0$, as $rt \in T$. Therefore, $GT = \{0\}$. \square

Since R_c is right quasiregular in R , we have the following.

Corollary 3.4. *If R_c is a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$, then $GR_c = \{0\}$ for all right R -groups G of type 0.*

Corollary 3.5. *Let $R \in \mathcal{F}$. If G is a right R -group of type 0, then $GJ_0^r(R) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let G be a right R -group of type 0. We have that $I := J_0^r(R)$ is the largest right quasiregular ideal of R . Since R_c is a right quasiregular ideal of R , $R_c \subseteq I$. So I is an invariant ideal of R . Therefore, by Proposition 3.3, $GI = \{0\}$. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let $R \in \mathcal{F}$. Let I be an ideal of R and $K := I + R_c$. If G is a right K -group of type 0, then G is a right I -group of type 0.*

Proof. Suppose that G is a right K -group of type 0 and g_0 is a generator of G . So g_0 is distributive over K and $g_0K = G$. Let K_c be the constant part of K . Since $K_c = R_c$ is a normal subgroup of K , by Corollary 3.4, $GR_c = \{0\}$. Clearly, G is a right I -group. Now $G = g_0K = g_0(I + R_c) = g_0I$,

and hence g_0 is a generator of the right I -group G . Let H be a nonzero ideal of the right I -group G . Let $h \in H$ and $k \in K$. $k = i + r_c$, $i \in I$, $r_c \in R_c$ and $h = g_0t$, $t \in I$. $hk = g_0t(i + r_c) = g_0((t(i + r_c) - ti) + ti) = g_0(t(i + r_c) - ti) + g_0(ti) = 0 + (g_0t)i = hi \in H$. Therefore, H is a nonzero ideal of the right K -group G and hence $H = G$. So G is a right I -group of type 0. \square

We show now that the Hoehnke radical J_0^r is complete in the variety \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 3.7. *Let $R \in \mathcal{F}$. If I is an ideal of R and $J_0^r(I) = I$, then $I \subseteq J_0^r(R)$.*

Proof. Let I be an ideal of R and $J_0^r(I) = I$. Suppose that $I \not\subseteq J_0^r(R)$. So $K := I + R_c$ is an ideal of R and $K \not\subseteq J_0^r(R)$. We get a right R -group G of type 0 such that $GK \neq \{0\}$. Since K is an invariant ideal of R , by Theorem 3.2, G is a right K -group of type 0. Therefore, by Proposition 3.6, G is a right I -group of type 0. This is a contradiction to the fact that $J_0^r(I) = I$. Therefore, $I \subseteq J_0^r(R)$. \square

Theorem 3.8. *J_0^r is a complete Hoehnke radical in the variety \mathcal{F} .*

Theorem 3.9. *J_0^r is a complete Hoehnke radical in the class of all zero-symmetric near-rings.*

Theorem 3.10. *Suppose that S is an invariant subnear-ring of R . If G is a right S -group of type 0, then G is also a right R -group of type 0.*

Proof. Suppose that G is a right S -group of type 0 and g_0 is a generator. We have that g_0 is distributive over S and $g_0S = G$. For $g \in G$ and $r \in R$, define $gr := g_0(sr)$, if $g = g_0s$, $s \in S$. We show now that this operation is well defined. Suppose that $g = g_0s = g_0t$, $s, t \in S$. Let $r \in R$ and $h := g_0(sr) - g_0(tr)$. Now $hk = (g_0(sr) - g_0(tr))k = g_0((sr)k) - g_0((tr)k) = g_0(s(rk)) - g_0(t(rk)) = g_0(rk) - g_0(rk) = 0$ for all $k \in S$. Therefore, $hS = \{0\}$, and hence $h = 0$, that is, $g_0(sr) = g_0(tr)$. We show that G is a right R -group of type 0. It is clear that G is a right R -group. $g_0 = g_0e$ for some $e \in S$. Now $G \supseteq g_0R = g_0(eR) \supseteq g_0(eS) = g_0S = G$. So $g_0R = G$. Let $p, q \in R$ and $x = g_0(p + q) - (g_0p + g_0q)$. $xs = (g_0(p + q) - (g_0p + g_0q))s = (g_0(p + q))s - ((g_0p + g_0q))s = g_0(ps + qs) - (g_0ps + g_0qs) = (g_0(ps) + g_0(qs)) - (g_0(ps) + g_0(qs)) = 0$ for all $s \in S$. Therefore, $x = 0$, and hence g_0 is a generator of the right R -group G . It can be easily verified that the action of R on G is an extension of the action of S on G . So an ideal of the right R -group G is also an ideal of the right S -group G . Since the right S -group G has no nontrivial ideals, the right R -group G also has no nontrivial ideals. Therefore, G is also a right R -group of type 0. \square

We show now that the Hoehnke radical J_0^r is idempotent in the variety \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 3.11. *Let $R \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $J_0^r(J_0^r(R)) = J_0^r(R)$.*

Proof. Let $I := J_0^r(R)$. I is the largest right quasiregular ideal of R . Since R_c is a right quasiregular ideal of R , $R_c \subseteq I$. So I is an invariant ideal of R . Suppose that $J_0^r(I) \neq I$. So there is a right I -group G of type 0. By Theorem 3.10, G is an R -group of type 0. Now, by Corollary 3.5, $GI = GJ_0^r(R) = \{0\}$. This is a contradiction to the fact that G is an I -group of type 0. Therefore, $J_0^r(I) = I$, that is, $J_0^r(J_0^r(R)) = J_0^r(R)$. \square

From Theorems 3.7 and 3.11, we have the following.

Theorem 3.12. *J_0^r is a Kurosh-Amitsur radical in the variety \mathcal{F} .*

Theorem 3.13. *J_0^r is a Kurosh-Amitsur radical in the class of all zero-symmetric near-rings.*

Theorem 3.14. J_0^r is not s -hereditary in the class of all zero-symmetric near-rings.

Proof. Consider $G := Z_8$, the group of integers under addition modulo 8. Now $T: G \rightarrow G$, defined by $T(g) = 5g$, for all $g \in G$, is an automorphism of G . T fixes 0, 2, 4, and 6, and maps 1 to 5 and 3 to 7. $A := \{I, T\}$ is an automorphism group of G . $\{0\}$, $\{2\}$, $\{4\}$, $\{6\}$, $\{1, 5\}$, and $\{3, 7\}$ are the orbits. Let R be the centralizer near-ring $M_A(G)$, the near-ring of all self maps of G which fix 0 and commute with T . An element of R is completely determined by its action on $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$. An element $f \in R$ maps $2G$ into $2G$ and $f(1)$ and $f(3)$ are arbitrary in G . This example was considered in [9] and showed that $P := (0 : 2G) = \{f \in R \mid f(h) = 0, \text{ for all } h \in 2G\}$ is the only nontrivial ideal of R . Let f_0 be the element of P which fixes all the elements in $G - 2G$. Clearly $f - f_0 f \in (2G : G) = \{t \in R \mid t(G) \subseteq 2G\}$ for all $f \in R$. Since $(2G : G)$ is a proper right ideal of R , f_0 is not right quasiregular in R . So P is not a right quasiregular ideal of R . Since R is a near-ring with identity, it is not right quasiregular. Therefore, $\{0\}$ is the largest right quasiregular ideal of R , and hence $J_0^r(R) = \{0\}$. So R is J_0^r -semisimple. It is shown in [9] that $K := (4G : G)_P$ is a nonzero ideal of P and $K^2 = \{0\}$. Since a nil ideal is right quasiregular, K is a right quasiregular ideal of P . Therefore, $\{0\} \neq K \subseteq J_0^r(P)$ and hence P is not J_0^r -semisimple. So J_0^r is not s -hereditary in the class of all zero-symmetric near-rings. \square

Corollary 3.15. J_0^r is not s -hereditary in the class of all near-rings.

Theorem 3.16. J_0^r is not an ideal-hereditary radical in the class of all zero-symmetric near-rings.

It is not known to the authors whether J_0^r is a KA-radical in the class of all near-rings. J_0^r may fail to be idempotent and thus Kurosh-Amitsur in the class of all near-rings.

References

- [1] R. S. Rao, "On near-rings with matrix units," *Quaestiones Mathematicae. Journal of the South African Mathematical Society*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 321–332, 1994.
- [2] R. S. Rao, "Wedderburn-Artin theorem analogue for near-rings," *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 915–922, 2004.
- [3] R. S. Rao and K. S. Prasad, "A radical for right near-rings: the right Jacobson radical of type-0," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2006, Article ID 68595, 13 pages, 2006.
- [4] R. S. Rao and K. S. Prasad, "Two more radicals for right near-rings: the right Jacobson radicals of type-1 and 2," *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 603–613, 2006.
- [5] R. S. Rao and K. S. Prasad, "A radical for right near-rings: The right Jacobson radical of type-s," to appear in *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*.
- [6] R. S. Rao and K. S. Prasad, "Right semisimple right near-rings," submitted.
- [7] G. Pilz, *Near-Rings: The Theory and Its Applications*, vol. 23 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2nd edition, 1983.
- [8] P. Fuchs, "On near-rings in which the constants form an ideal," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 171–175, 1989.
- [9] K. Kaarli, "On Jacobson type radicals of near-rings," *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, vol. 50, no. 1-2, pp. 71–78, 1987.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

