

Research Article

Fixed Point Theorems for Hybrid Mappings

Maria Samreen,¹ Tayyab Kamran,² and Erdal Karapinar^{3,4}

¹School of Natural Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, H-12, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan

²Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan

³Department of Mathematics, Incek, 06586 Ankara, Turkey

⁴Nonlinear Analysis and Applied Mathematics Research Group (NAAM), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Maria Samreen; maria.samreen@hotmail.com

Received 3 July 2014; Accepted 23 July 2014

Academic Editor: Kishin Sadarangani

Copyright © 2015 Maria Samreen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We obtain some fixed point theorems for two pairs of hybrid mappings using hybrid tangential property and quadratic type contractive condition. Our results generalize some results by Babu and Alemayehu and those contained therein. In the sequel, we introduce a new notion to generalize occasionally weak compatibility. Moreover, two concrete examples are established to illuminate the generality of our results.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper X is a metric space with metric d . For $x \in X$ and $A \subseteq X$, $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in A\}$. We denote by $CL(X)$ the class of all nonempty closed subsets of X and by $CB(X)$ the class of all nonempty bounded closed subsets of X . For every $A, B \in CL(X)$, let

$$H(A, B) = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A) \right\}, & \text{if the maximum exists} \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Such a map H is called generalized Hausdorff metric induced by d . Notice that H is a metric on $CB(X)$. A point $p \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ if $p \in Tp$. The point p is called a coincidence point of $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ if $fp \in Tp$. The set of coincidence points of f and T is denoted by $C(f, T)$. If T and f are both self-maps on X . The point p is called a coincidence point of $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ if $fp = Tp$. A pair (f, T) is known as hybrid pair where $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$.

1.1. Compatibility and Property (E.A). Sessa [1] introduced the concept of weakly commuting maps. Jungck [2] defined the notion of compatible maps in order to generalize the concept of weak commutativity and showed that weakly commuting maps are compatible but the converse is not true [2]. Pant [3–6] initiated the study of noncompatible maps. Sastry and Krishna Murthy [7] defined the notion of tangential single-valued maps. Aamri and El Moutawakil [8] rediscovered the notion of tangential maps and named it as property (E.A). The class of maps satisfying property (E.A) has remarkable property that it contains the class of compatible maps as well as the class of noncompatible maps [8]. Kamran [9] extended the notion of property (E.A) to a hybrid pair. Liu et al. [10] defined common property (E.A) for two hybrid pairs. Kamran and Cakic [13] introduced the hybrid tangential property and showed that it properly generalizes the notion of common property (E.A) [22, Example 2.3]. In [11], the authors discussed fixed point theory problems in the context of G -metric space. Furthermore, in [11] the authors investigated the existence of a fixed point for multivalued mappings of integral type employing strongly tangential property (see also [12–16]).

For the sake of completeness, we recall some basic definitions and results.

Definition 1. Let f and g be self-maps on X . The pair (f, g) is said to

- (i) be compatible [2] if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$, whenever x_n is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$, for some $t \in X$;
- (ii) be noncompatible if there is at least one sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$, for some $t \in X$, but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n)$ is either nonzero or nonexistent;
- (iii) satisfy property (E.A) [8] if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$, for some $t \in X$.

Definition 2. Let f, g be self-maps on X and let T, S be multivalued maps from X to $CL(X)$.

- (i) The maps f and T are said to be compatible [17] if $fTx \in CL(X)$ for all $x \in X$ and $H(fTx_n, Tfx_n) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Tx_n \rightarrow A \in CL(X)$ and $fx_n \rightarrow t \in A$.
- (ii) The maps f and T are noncompatible if $fTx \in CL(X)$ for all $x \in X$ and there exists at least one sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $Tx_n \rightarrow A \in CL(X)$ and $fx_n \rightarrow t \in A$ but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(fTx_n, Tfx_n) \neq 0$ or is nonexistent.
- (iii) The maps f and T are said to satisfy property (E.A) [9] if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , some $t \in X$, and $A \in CL(X)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = t \in A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n$.
- (iv) The hybrid pairs (f, T) and (g, S) are said to satisfy common property (E.A) [10] if there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ in X , some $t \in X$, and $A, B \in CB(X)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = A, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = B$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = t \in A \cap B$.
- (v) The hybrid pair (f, T) is said to be g -tangential at $t \in X$ [13] if there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ in $X, A \in CL(X)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n \in CL(X)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = t \in A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n$.

1.2. Weak Compatibility and Weak Commutativity. Jungck [18] introduced the notion of weak compatibility and in [19] Jungck and Rhoades further extended weak compatibility to a hybrid pair of single-valued and multivalued maps. Singh and Mishra [20] introduced the notion of (IT)-commutativity for a hybrid pair to generalize the notion of weak compatibility. Kamran [9] introduced the notion of T -weak commutativity and showed that (IT)-commutativity implies T -weak commutativity but the converse is not true in general [9, Example 3.8]. Al-Thagafi and Shahzad [21] introduced the class of occasionally weakly compatible single-valued maps and showed that the weakly compatible maps form a proper subclass of the occasionally weakly compatible maps [21, Example]. Abbas and Rhoades [23] generalized the notion of occasionally weak compatibility to a hybrid pair.

Definition 3. Let f and g be self-maps on X . The pair (f, g) is said to

- (iv) be weakly compatible [18] if $fgx = gfx$ whenever $fx = gx, x \in X$;
- (v) be occasionally weakly compatible (owc) [21] if $fgx = gfx$ for some $x \in C(f, g)$.

Definition 4. Let f be a self-map on X and T from X to $CL(X)$.

- (i) The maps f and T are weakly compatible [19] if they commute at their coincidence points, that is, $fTx = Tfx$ whenever $fx \in Tx$.
- (ii) The maps f and T are said to be (IT)-commuting [20, 22] at $x \in X$ if $fTx \subseteq Tfx$.
- (iii) The map f is said to be T -weakly [9] commuting at $x \in X$ if $ffx \in Tfx$.
- (iv) The maps f and T are said to be occasionally weakly compatible [23] if and only if there exists some point $x \in X$ such that $fx \in Tx$ and $fTx \subseteq Tfx$.

Recently, Babu and Alemayehu [24] obtained some fixed point theorems for single-valued mappings using property (E.A), common property (E.A), and occasionally weak compatibility. The purpose of this paper is to extend the main results of [24] to hybrid pairs. We also introduce a new notion for a hybrid pair that generalizes occasionally weak compatibility.

2. Main Results

We begin with the following proposition.

Proposition 5. Let (X, d) be a metric space, let f, g be self-maps on X , and let S, T be mappings from X to $CL(X)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [H(Tx, Sy)]^2 \\
 & \leq c_1 \max \{ [d(fx, Tx)]^2, [d(gy, Sy)]^2, [d(fx, gy)]^2 \} \\
 & \quad + c_2 \max \{ d(fx, Tx) d(fx, Sy), d(gy, Sy) d(gy, Tx) \} \\
 & \quad + c_3 d(fx, Sy) d(gy, Tx)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $c_1, c_2, c_3 \geq 0$ and $c_1 < 1$. Suppose that either

- (I) $TX \subseteq gX$, the pair (f, T) satisfies property (E.A) and fX is closed subspace of X , or
- (II) $SX \subseteq fX$, the pair (g, S) satisfies property (E.A) and gX is closed subspace of X .

Then $C(f, T) \neq \emptyset$ and $C(g, S) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose that (I) holds; then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X and $A \in CL(X)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = z \in A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n. \tag{3}$$

Since $TX \subseteq gX$ then $Tx_n \subseteq gX$ for all n . Now for $z \in A$ we have

$$d(z, gX) \leq d(z, Tx_n) \quad \forall n. \tag{4}$$

Now by using the definition of Hausdorff metric, we have

$$d(z, gX) \leq d(z, Tx_n) \leq H(A, Tx_n) \quad \forall n. \tag{5}$$

Applying limit throughout we have

$$d(z, gX) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z, Tx_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(A, Tx_n) = 0, \tag{6}$$

which infers that $z \in \overline{gX}$. Therefore, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = z$. Consider the following:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = z. \tag{7}$$

Since fX is closed, there exists $a \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = fa = z. \tag{8}$$

We claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = A$. From (25) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [H(Tx_n, Sy_n)]^2 \\ & \leq c_1 \max \{ [d(fx_n, Tx_n)]^2, [d(gy_n, Sy_n)]^2, \\ & \quad [d(fx_n, gy_n)]^2 \} \\ & \quad + c_2 \max \{ d(fx_n, Tx_n) d(fx_n, Sy_n), \\ & \quad d(gy_n, Sy_n) d(gy_n, Tx_n) \} \\ & \quad + c_3 d(fx_n, Sy_n) d(gy_n, Tx_n). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Using (3) and (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [H(A, Sy_n)]^2 & \leq c_1 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(z, Sy_n)]^2 \\ & \leq c_1 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [H(A, Sy_n)]^2. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Since $c_1 < 1$, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(A, Sy_n) = 0$ and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = A. \tag{11}$$

Now we show that $a \in C(f, T)$. Using (25) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [H(Ta, Sy_n)]^2 \\ & \leq c_1 \max \{ [d(fa, Ta)]^2, [d(gy_n, Sy_n)]^2, [d(fa, gy_n)]^2 \} \\ & \quad + c_2 \max \{ d(fa, Ta) d(fa, Sy_n), \\ & \quad d(gy_n, Sy_n) d(gy_n, Ta) \} \\ & \quad + c_3 d(fa, Sy_n) d(gy_n, Ta). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3), (7), (8), (11), and definition of Hausdorff metric the above inequality yields

$$d[(fa, Ta)]^2 \leq [H(A, Ta)]^2 \leq c_1 d[(fa, Ta)]^2. \tag{13}$$

Since $c_1 < 1$, using closedness of Ta , it follows that

$$fa \in Ta. \tag{14}$$

Since $TX \subseteq gX$, there exists $b \in X$ such that

$$gb = fa. \tag{15}$$

Now we show that $b \in C(g, S)$; from (25), (14), and (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [d(gb, Sb)]^2 \\ & = [d(fa, Sb)]^2 \\ & \leq [H(Ta, Sb)]^2 \\ & \leq c_1 \max \{ [d(fa, Ta)]^2, [d(gb, Sb)]^2, [d(fa, gb)]^2 \} \\ & \quad + c_2 \max \{ d(fa, Ta) d(fa, Sb), d(gb, Sb) d(gb, Ta) \} \\ & \quad + c_3 d(fa, Sb) d(gb, Ta) \\ & \leq c_1 [d(gb, Sb)]^2. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Since $c_1 < 1$, closedness of Sb implies $gb \in Sb$. Similarly, the assertion of proposition holds if we assume (II). \square

Remark 6. Note that if T is a self-map on X , Proposition 5 reduces to [24, Proposition 2.1].

Now we introduce the notion of occasionally weak commutativity.

Definition 7. Let (f, T) be a hybrid pair. The mapping f is said to be occasionally T -weakly commuting if and only if there exists some $x \in X$ such that $fx \in Tx$ and $ffx \in Tfx$.

Note that if a hybrid pair (f, T) is occasionally weakly compatible at $x \in X$ then f is occasionally T -weakly commuting at x . The following example shows that the converse of the above statement is not true.

Example 8. Let $X = [1, \infty)$ with the usual metric. Define $f : X \rightarrow X, T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ by $fx = 2x$ and $Tx = [1, 2x + 1]$ for all $x \in X$. Then for all $x \in X, fx \in Tx, ffx = 4x \in [1, 4x + 1] = Tfx$, and $fTx = [2, 4x + 2] \not\subseteq Tfx$. Therefore f is occasionally weakly compatible at any $x \in X$.

Our next result extends [24, Theorem 2.2] to hybrid pairs. Note that in the hypothesis of our result we assumed that hybrid pairs satisfy occasionally weak commutativity rather than using the notion of occasionally weak compatibility.

Theorem 9. *In addition to the hypothesis of Proposition 5 on f, g, S , and T ,*

- (i) if f is occasionally T -weakly commuting at a and $ffa = fa$ then f and T have a common fixed point;
- (ii) if g is occasionally S -weakly commuting at b and $ggb = gb$ then g and S have a common fixed point;
- (iii) $f, g, S,$ and T have a common fixed point if both (i) and (ii) hold.

Proof. By (i), we have $ffa = fa$ and $ffa \in Tfa$. Thus $z = fz \in Tz$. This proves (i). (ii) can be proved on the same lines; then (iii) is immediately followed. \square

Example 10. Let $X = [1/4, 1)$ with the usual metric. Define mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ and $T, S : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 fx &= \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{3}{4} \\ 1 - \frac{x}{3} & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \leq x < 1, \end{cases} \\
 gx &= \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{x}{3} & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \leq x < 1, \end{cases} \\
 Tx &= \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{3}{4} \right\} & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{3}{4} \\ \left[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5} \right] & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \leq x < 1, \end{cases} \\
 Sx &= \begin{cases} \left[\frac{4}{5}, \frac{5}{6} \right] & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{3}{4} \\ \left[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5} \right] & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \leq x < 1. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

We observe that $TX \subseteq gX, fX$ is closed, and gX is open; neither $SX \subseteq gX$ nor $gX \subseteq SX$. There exists a sequence $\{x_n\}; x_n = 3/4 + 1/n, n = 5, 6, 7, \dots$ in X with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = 3/4 \in \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n$, so that the hybrid pair (f, T) satisfies property (E.A) but it is not compatible. Inequality (25) is satisfied for $c_1 = 1/2 < 1, c_2 = 2,$ and $c_3 = 0$. Also note that f is occasionally T -weakly commuting at point $3/4$ and g is occasionally S -weakly commuting at each point in the interval $[3/4, 9/10]$. Furthermore (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 9 are also satisfied at point $3/4$. Hence $f, g, S,$ and T have common fixed point $3/4$.

In the next result we will use the notion of hybrid tangential property and occasionally weak commutativity to extend and improve [24, Proposition 2.5].

Theorem 11. Let (X, d) be a metric space, let f, g be self-maps on $X,$ and let S, T be mappings from X to $CL(X)$ satisfying inequality (25). Assume fX, gX are closed subspaces of X and further suppose that either

- (I) (f, T) is g -tangential or
- (II) (g, S) is f -tangential.

Then $C(f, T) \neq \emptyset$ and $C(g, S) \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore,

- (i) if f is occasionally T -weakly commuting at a and $ffa = fa$ then f and T have a common fixed point;
- (ii) if g is occasionally S -weakly commuting at b and $ggb = gb$ then g and S have a common fixed point;
- (iii) $f, g, S,$ and T have a common fixed point if both (i) and (ii) hold.

Proof. Suppose that hybrid pair (f, T) is g -tangential; then there exist sequences x_n and y_n in X such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = t \in A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n, \\
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = B \in CL(X).
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Now we prove that $A = B$; from (25) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [H(Tx_n, Sy_n)]^2 \\
 & \leq c_1 \max \{ [d(fx_n, Tx_n)]^2, [d(gy_n, Sy_n)]^2, \\
 & \quad [d(fx_n, gy_n)]^2 \} \\
 & + c_2 \max \{ d(fx_n, Tx_n) d(fx_n, Sy_n), \\
 & \quad d(gy_n, Sy_n) d(gy_n, Tx_n) \} \\
 & + c_3 d(fx_n, Sy_n) d(gy_n, Tx_n).
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

On taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (18), we get

$$[H(A, B)]^2 \leq c_1 [d(t, B)]^2 \leq c_1 [H(A, B)]^2, \tag{20}$$

which implies $[H(A, B)] = 0$; hence $A = B$. Since fX and gX are closed there exists $a, b \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = fa = t = gb = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n. \tag{21}$$

The rest of the proof runs on the same lines as that of Proposition 5 and Theorem 9. \square

Corollary 12. Let (X, d) be a metric space, let f, g be self-maps on X and $S,$ and let T be mappings from X to $CL(X)$ satisfying inequality (25) of Proposition 5. Suppose that pairs (f, T) (g, S) satisfy common property (E.A) and fX, gX are closed subsets of X ; then $C(f, T) \neq \emptyset$ and $C(g, S) \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore,

- (i) if f is occasionally T -weakly commuting at a and $ffa = fa$ then f and T have a common fixed point;
- (ii) if g is occasionally S -weakly commuting at b and $ggb = gb$ then g and S have a common fixed point;
- (iii) $f, g, S,$ and T have a common fixed point if both (i) and (ii) hold.

Remark 13. If S and T are self-maps on X then Corollary 12 coincides with [24, Proposition 2.5].

Example 14. Let $X = [1/4, 1)$ with the usual metric. Define mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ and $T, S : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 fx &= \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{3}{4} \\ 1 - \frac{x}{3} & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \leq x < 1, \end{cases} \\
 gx &= \begin{cases} \frac{5}{6} & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{x}{3} & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \leq x < 1, \end{cases} \\
 Tx &= \begin{cases} \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3} \right] & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{3}{4} \\ \left[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5} \right] & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \leq x < 1, \end{cases} \\
 Sx &= \begin{cases} \left[\frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4} \right] & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{3}{4} \\ \left[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5} \right] & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \leq x < 1. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

In this example fX and gX are closed subspaces of X ; neither $SX \subseteq fX$ nor $TX \subseteq gX$. There exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$; $x_n = 3/4 + 1/n$, $n = 5, 6, 7, \dots$ in X with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = 3/4 \in [3/4, 4/5]$, where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = [3/4, 4/5]$. Hence (f, T) and (g, S) satisfy common property (E.A). It can be easily shown that the hybrid pairs (f, T) and (g, S) satisfy inequality (25) with $c_1 = 7/8$, $c_2 = 6$, and $c_3 = 0$. Furthermore, f is occasionally T -weakly commuting at point $3/4$ while g is occasionally S -weakly commuting at each point in the interval $[3/4, 9/10]$. Conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Corollary 12 hold true for $x = 3/4$; so f, g, S , and T have common fixed point $3/4$.

In the following we include some of the consequences of Theorem 9.

Corollary 15. Let (X, d) be a metric space, let f, g be self-maps on X , and let T be mappings from X to $CL(X)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[H(Tx, Ty)]^2 \\
 &\leq c_1 \max \{ [d(fx, Tx)]^2, [d(gy, Ty)]^2, [d(fx, gy)]^2 \} \\
 &\quad + c_2 \max \{ d(fx, Tx) d(fx, Ty), d(gy, Ty) d(gy, Tx) \} \\
 &\quad + c_3 d(fx, Ty) d(gy, Tx)
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $c_1, c_2, c_3 \geq 0$ and $c_1 < 1$. Suppose that either

- (I) $TX \subseteq gX$, the pair (f, T) satisfies property (E.A) and fX is closed subspace of X , or
- (II) $TX \subseteq fX$, the pair (g, T) satisfies property (E.A) and gX is closed subspace of X .

Then $C(f, T) \neq \emptyset$ and $C(g, T) \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore

- (i) if f is occasionally T -weakly commuting at a and $ffa = fa$ then f and T have a common fixed point;
- (ii) if g is occasionally T -weakly commuting at b and $ggb = gb$ then g and T have a common fixed point;
- (iii) f, g , and T have a common fixed point if both (i) and (ii) hold.

Proof. Take $S = T$ in Theorem 9. □

Corollary 16. Let (X, d) be a metric space, let f be a self-map on X , and let T be a mapping from X to $CL(X)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[H(Tx, Ty)]^2 \\
 &\leq c_1 \max \{ [d(fx, Tx)]^2, [d(fy, Ty)]^2, [d(fx, fy)]^2 \} \\
 &\quad + c_2 \max \{ d(fx, Tx) d(fx, Ty), d(fy, Ty) d(fy, Tx) \} \\
 &\quad + c_3 d(fx, Ty) d(fy, Tx)
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $c_1, c_2, c_3 \geq 0$ and $c_1 < 1$. Suppose that $TX \subseteq fX$: the pair (f, T) satisfies property (E.A) and fX is closed subspace of X . Then $C(f, T) \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore if f is occasionally T -weakly commuting at a and $ffa = fa$ then f and T have a common fixed point.

Proof. Take $S = T$ and $g = f$ in Theorem 9. □

Corollary 17. Let (X, d) be a metric space, let f be a self-map on X , and let T be a mapping from X to $CL(X)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[H(Tx, Ty)]^2 \\
 &\leq c_1 \max \{ [d(x, Tx)]^2, [d(y, Ty)]^2, [d(x, y)]^2 \} \\
 &\quad + c_2 \max \{ d(x, Tx) d(x, Ty), d(y, Ty) d(y, Tx) \} \\
 &\quad + c_3 d(x, Ty) d(y, Tx)
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $c_1, c_2, c_3 \geq 0$ and $c_1 < 1$. Suppose X is closed and the pair (I, T) satisfies property (E.A), where I is an identity map on X . Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Take $S = T$ and $g = f = I$ in Theorem 9. □

Corollary 18 (see [24, Theorem 2.2]). Let f, g, T , and S be four self-maps on a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[d(Tx, Sy)]^2 \\
 &\leq c_1 \max \{ [d(fx, Tx)]^2, [d(gy, Sy)]^2, [d(fx, gy)]^2 \} \\
 &\quad + c_2 \max \{ d(fx, Tx) d(fx, Sy), d(gy, Sy) d(gy, Tx) \} \\
 &\quad + c_3 d(fx, Sy) d(gy, Tx)
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $c_1, c_2, c_3 \geq 0$ and $c_1 + c_3 < 1$. Suppose that either

- (i) $SX \subseteq fX$, the pair (S, g) satisfies property (E.A) and gX is closed subspace of X , or
- (ii) $TX \subseteq gX$, the pair (T, f) satisfies property (E.A) and fX is closed subspace of X , holds.

Then $C(f, T) \neq \emptyset$ and $C(g, S) \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore if both the pairs (f, T) and (g, S) are occasionally weakly compatible on X , then the maps f, g, T , and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Take $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ and $g, f : X \rightarrow X$ in Theorem 9. Moreover, uniqueness of fixed point is followed from inequality (26) as $c_1 + c_3 < 1$. \square

Corollary 19. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let f, T be self-maps on X such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [d(Tx, Ty)]^2 \\
 & \leq c_1 \max \{ [d(fx, Tx)]^2, [d(fy, Ty)]^2, [d(fx, fy)]^2 \} \\
 & \quad + c_2 \max \{ d(fx, Tx) d(fx, Ty), d(fy, Ty) d(fy, Tx) \} \\
 & \quad + c_3 d(fx, Ty) d(fy, Tx)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{27}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $c_1, c_2, c_3 \geq 0$ and $c_1 + c_3 < 1$. Suppose that $TX \subseteq fX$: the pair (f, T) satisfies property (E.A) and fX is closed subspace of X . Then $C(f, T) \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore if the pair (f, T) is occasionally weakly compatible, then f and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Take $S = T : X \rightarrow X$ and $g = f$ in Theorem 9. Moreover, uniqueness of fixed point is followed from inequality (27) as $c_1 + c_3 < 1$. \square

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contribution

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final paper.

References

- [1] S. Sessa, "On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations," *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique*, vol. 32, pp. 149–153, 1982.
- [2] G. Jungck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 771–779, 1986.
- [3] R. P. Pant, "Common fixed points of noncommuting mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 188, no. 2, pp. 436–440, 1994.
- [4] R. P. Pant, "Common fixed point theorems for contractive maps," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 226, no. 1, pp. 251–258, 1998.
- [5] R. P. Pant, "Common fixed points of Lipschitz type mapping pairs," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 240, no. 1, pp. 280–283, 1999.
- [6] R. P. Pant, "Discontinuity and fixed points," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 240, no. 1, pp. 284–289, 1999.
- [7] K. P. Sastry and I. S. Krishna Murthy, "Common fixed points of two partially commuting tangential selfmaps on a metric space," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 250, no. 2, pp. 731–734, 2000.
- [8] M. Aamri and D. El Moutawakil, "Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 270, no. 1, pp. 181–188, 2002.
- [9] T. Kamran, "Coincidence and fixed points for hybrid strict contractions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 299, no. 1, pp. 235–241, 2004.
- [10] Y. Liu, J. Wu, and Z. Li, "Common fixed points of single-valued and multivalued maps," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2005, no. 19, pp. 3045–3055, 2005.
- [11] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, and Z. Mustafa, "On common fixed points in G-metric spaces using (E.A) property," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 1944–1956, 2012.
- [12] S. Chauhan, M. Imdad, E. Karapinar, and B. Fisher, "An integral type fixed point theorem for multi-valued mappings employing strongly tangential property," *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 258–264, 2014.
- [13] T. Kamran and N. Cakic, "Hybrid tangential property and coincidence point theorems," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 487–496, 2008.
- [14] S. Manro, S. S. Bhatia, S. Kumar, P. Kumam, and S. Dalal, "Weakly compatible mappings along with CLR_S property in fuzzy metric spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Application*, vol. 2013, Article ID jnaa-00206, 12 pages, 2013.
- [15] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, "Coincidence and common fixed points for hybrid strict contractions without the weakly commuting condition," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1877–1881, 2009.
- [16] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, "Gregus-type common fixed point theorems for tangential multivalued mappings of integral type in metric spaces," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2011, Article ID 923458, 12 pages, 2011.
- [17] H. Kaneko and S. Sessa, "Fixed point theorems for compatible multi-valued and single-valued mappings," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 257–262, 1989.
- [18] G. Jungck, "Common fixed points for noncontinuous nonself maps on nonmetric spaces," *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 199–215, 1996.
- [19] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, "Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 227–238, 1998.
- [20] S. L. Singh and S. N. Mishra, "Coincidences and fixed points of nonself hybrid contractions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 256, no. 2, pp. 486–497, 2001.
- [21] M. A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad, "Generalized I -nonexpansive selfmaps and invariant approximations," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 867–876, 2008.

- [22] S. Itoh and W. Takahashi, "Single-valued mappings, multivalued mappings and fixed-point theorems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 514–521, 1977.
- [23] M. Abbas and B. E. Rhoades, "Common fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying generalized contractive condition of integral type," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2007, Article ID 054101, 9 pages, 2007.
- [24] G. V. Babu and G. N. Alemayehu, "Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible maps satisfying property (E.A) using an inequality involving quadratic terms," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 975–981, 2011.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

