Research Article

# **Global Stability for a Delayed Predator-Prey System with Stage Structure for the Predator**

# Xiao Zhang, Rui Xu, and Qintao Gan

Institute of Applied Mathematics, Shijiazhaung Mechanical Engineering College, Shijiazhuang 050003, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Rui Xu, rxu88@yahoo.com.cn

Received 26 March 2009; Accepted 20 July 2009

Recommended by Leonid Berezansky

A delayed predator-prey system with stage structure for the predator is investigated. By analyzing the corresponding characteristic equations, the local stability of equilibria of the system is discussed. The existence of Hopf bifurcation at the positive equilibrium is established. By using an iteration technique and comparison argument, respectively, sufficient conditions are derived for the global stability of the positive equilibrium and two boundary equilibria of the system. Numerical simulations are carried out to illustrate the theoretical results.

Copyright © 2009 Xiao Zhang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## **1. Introduction**

Stage-structure is a natural phenomenon and represents, for example, the division of a population into immature and mature individuals. As is common, the dynamics-eating habits, susceptibility to predators, and so forth. are often quite different in these two subpopulations. Hence, it is of ecological importance to investigate the effects of such a subdivision on the interaction of species. In [1], Chen et al. introduced the following stage-structured single-species population model:

$$\dot{N}_{i}(t) = B(t) - D_{i}(t) - W(t), 
\dot{N}_{m}(t) = \alpha W(t) - D_{m}(t),$$
(1.1)

where  $N_i(t)$  and  $N_m(t)$  denote the immature and mature population densities at time t, respectively; B(t) is the birth rate of the immature population at time t;  $D_i(t)$  and  $D_m(t)$  are the death rates of the immature and mature at time t; W(t) represents the transformation rate of the immature into the mature;  $\alpha$  is the probability of the successful transformation of

the immature into the mature. If the birth rate of model (1.1) obeys the Malthus rule, that is,  $B(t) = aN_m$ , the death rates of the immature and mature populations are logistic, that is,

$$D_i(t) = r_i N_i(t) + b_i N_i^2(t), \qquad D_m(t) = r_m N_m(t) + b_m N_m^2(t), \qquad (1.2)$$

and the transformation rate of the immature into mature is proportional to the immature population, that is,  $W(t) = bN_i(t)$ , then model (1.1) becomes

$$\dot{N}_{i}(t) = aN_{m} - r_{i}N_{i}(t) - b_{i}N_{i}^{2}(t) - bN_{i}(t),$$
  
$$\dot{N}_{m}(t) = \alpha bN_{i}(t) - r_{m}N_{m}(t) - b_{m}N_{m}^{2}(t).$$
(1.3)

Based on the idea above, many authors studied different kinds of stage-structured models, and a significant body of work has been carried out (see, for example, [2–8]).

In [3], Gao et al. considered the following predator-prey model with stage structure:

$$\dot{x}(t) = x(t)(r - a_{11}x(t) - a_{12}y_1(t - \tau_1)),$$
  

$$\dot{y}_1(t) = y_1(t)[-r_1 + a_{21}x(t - \tau_2) - a_{22}y_1(t)] + \theta y_2(t),$$
  

$$\dot{y}_2(t) = \alpha b y_1(t) - (\theta + r_2)y_2(t),$$
  
(1.4)

where x(t) represents the density of the prey at time t;  $y_1(t)$  and  $y_2(t)$  represent the densities of the mature and the immature predator at time t, respectively. The parameters r, r<sub>1</sub>, r<sub>2</sub>,  $a_{11}$ ,  $a_{12}$ ,  $a_{21}$ ,  $a_{22}$ , b,  $\theta$  are positive constants in which r is the intrinsic growth of the prey,  $r_1$  is the death rate of the mature predator population,  $r_2$  is the death rate of the immature predator population,  $a_{11}$  is the intra-specific competition rate of the prey population,  $a_{12}$  is the capturing rate of the predator population,  $a_{21}/a_{12}$  is the conversion rate of nutrients into the reproduction of the predator,  $a_{22}$  is the intra-specific competition rate of the mature predator, *b* is the birth rate of the immature predator,  $\theta$  is the transformation rate from the immature predator individuals to mature predator individuals. The predation decreases the average growth rate of prey linearly with a certain time delay  $\tau_1 \ge 0$ , this assumption corresponds to the fact that predators cannot hunt prey when the predators are infant; predators have to mature for a duration of  $\tau_1$  units of time before they are capable of decreasing the average growth rate of the prey species;  $\tau_2 \ge 0$  is the time delay due to gestation, the delay in time for prey biomass to increase predator number. In [3], Gao et al. studied the global stability of the positive equilibrium and boundary equilibria of model (1.4) by constructing Liapunov functionals and comparison argument, respectively.

We note that most of the predator-prey models with time delays studied in the literature are all of the Kolmogorov-type. In [9], Wangersky and Cunningham proposed delayed predator-prey models that are not of the Kolmogorov-type. They considered the following delayed system:

$$\dot{x}(t) = x(t) \left( r - a_{11}x(t) - a_{12}y(t) \right),$$
  

$$\dot{y}(t) = a_{21}x(t - \tau)y(t - \tau) - r_1y(t),$$
(1.5)

where the delay  $\tau$  is a constant based on the assumption that the change rate of predators depends on the number of both the prey and the predators present at some previous time.

Motivated by the work of Gao et al. [3] and Wangersky and Cunningham [9], in the present paper, we consider the following predator-prey model with stage structure and time delay:

$$\dot{x}(t) = x(t)(r - a_{11}x(t) - a_{12}y_1(t)),$$
  

$$\dot{y}_1(t) = a_{21}x(t - \tau)y_1(t - \tau) - r_1y_1(t) - a_{22}y_1^2(t) + \theta y_2(t),$$
  

$$\dot{y}_2(t) = by_1(t) - (\theta + r_2)y_2(t).$$
(1.6)

The meanings of the positive parameters r,  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $a_{11}$ ,  $a_{12}$ ,  $a_{21}$ ,  $a_{22}$ , b,  $\theta$  are the same as those in system (1.4). The meaning of time delay  $\tau \ge 0$  is the same as in system (1.5).

The initial conditions for system (1.6) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} x(\theta) &= \phi(\theta), & y_1(\theta) = \psi_1(\theta), & y_2(\theta) = \psi_2(\theta), \\ \phi(\theta) &\ge 0, & \psi_1(\theta) \ge 0, & \psi_2(\theta) \ge 0, & \theta \in [-\tau, 0], \\ \phi(0) &> 0, & \psi_1(0) > 0, & \psi_2(0) > 0, \end{aligned}$$
 (1.7)

where  $(\phi_1(\theta), \psi_1(\theta), \psi_2(\theta)) \in C([-\tau, 0], R^3_{+0})$ , the Banach space of continuous functions mapping the interval  $[-\tau, 0]$  into  $R^3_{+0}$ , where  $R^3_{+0} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_i \ge 0, i = 1, 2, 3\}$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce some notations and state several lemmas which will be essential to our proofs. In Section 3, we discuss the local stability of a positive equilibrium and boundary equilibria of system (1.6). The existence of Hopf bifurcation is studied. In Section 4, by means of an iterative technique and comparison argument, sufficient conditions are derived for the global stability of the positive equilibrium and boundary equilibria of system (1.6). Some numerical examples are given to illustrate the results above. A brief discussion is given in Section 6 to end this work.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations and state several results which will be useful in next section. Let  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$  be the cone of nonnegative vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we write  $x \le y$  (x < y) if  $x_i \le y_i$  ( $x_i < y_i$ ) for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Let  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$  denote the standard basis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $r \ge 0$  and let  $C = C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$  be the Banach space of continuous functions mapping the interval [-r, 0] into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with supremum norm. If  $\phi, \psi \in C$ , we write  $\phi \le \psi$  ( $\phi < \psi$ ) when the indicated inequality holds at each point of [-r, 0]. Let  $C^+ = \{\phi \in C : \phi \ge 0\}$  and let denote the inclusion  $\mathbb{R}^n \to C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $x \to \hat{x}, \ \hat{x}(\theta) = x, \ \theta \in [-r, 0]$ . Denote the space of functions of bounded variation on [-r, 0] by BV[-r, 0]. If  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A \ge 0$ , and  $x \in C([-t_0 - r, t_0 + A], \mathbb{R}^n)$ , then for any  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + A]$ , we let  $x_t \in C$  be defined by  $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta), \ -r \le \theta \le 0$ .

We now consider

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x_t). \tag{2.1}$$

We assume throughout this section that  $f : \mathbb{R} \times C \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous;  $f(t, \phi)$  is continuously differentiable in  $\phi$ ;  $f(t + T, \phi) = f(t, \phi)$  for all  $(t, \phi) \in \mathbb{R} \times C^+$ , and some T > 0. Then by [10], there exists a unique solution of (2.1) through  $(t_0, \phi)$  for  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \phi \in C^+$ . This solution will be

denoted by  $x(t, t_0, \phi)$  if we consider the solution in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , or by  $x_t(t_0, \phi)$  if we work in the space *C*. Again by [10],  $x(t, t_0, \phi)(x_t(t_0, \phi))$  is continuously differentiable in  $\phi$ . In the following, the notation  $x_{t_0} = \phi$  will be used as the condition of the initial data of (2.1), by which we mean that we consider the solution x(t) of (2.1) which satisfies  $x(t_0 + \theta) = \phi(\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in [-r, 0]$ .

To proceed further, we need the following results from [11, 12]. Let  $r = (r_1, r_2, ..., r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|r| = \max_i \{r_i\}$ , and define

$$C_r = \prod_{i=1}^n C([-r_i, 0], \mathbb{R}).$$
(2.2)

We write  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, ..., \phi_n)$  for a generic point of  $C_r$ . Let  $C_r^+ = \{\phi \in C_r : \phi \ge 0\}$ . Due to the ecological applications, we choose  $C_r^+$  as the state space of (2.1) in the following discussions.

Fix  $\phi_0 \in C_r^+$  arbitrarily. Then we set  $L(t, \cdot) = D_{\phi_0} f(t, \phi_0)$ , and  $D_{\phi_0} f(t, \phi_0)$  denotes the Frechet derivation of f with respect to  $\phi_0$ . It is convenient to have the standard representation of  $L = (L_1, L_2, ..., L_n)$  as

$$L_i(t,\phi) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{-r_j}^0 \phi_j(\theta) d_\theta \eta_{ij}(\theta,t) \quad (1 \le i \le n),$$
(2.3)

in which  $\eta_{ii} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$\eta_{ij}(\theta, t) = \eta_{ij}(0, t), \quad \theta \le -r_j,$$
  

$$\eta_{ij}(\theta, t) = 0, \quad \theta \ge 0,$$
  

$$\eta_{ii}(\cdot, t) \in BV[-r_i, 0],$$
(2.4)

where  $\eta_{ij}(\cdot, t)$  is continuous from the left in  $(-r_j, 0)$ .

We make the following assumptions for (2.1).

- (h0) If  $\phi, \psi \in C^+$ ,  $\phi \leq \phi$ , and  $\phi_i(0) = \phi_i(0)$  for some *i*, then  $f_i(t, \phi) \leq f_i(t, \psi)$ .
- (h1) For all  $\phi \in C_r^+$  with  $\phi_i(0) = 0$ ,  $L_i(t, \phi) \ge 0$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (h2) The matrix A(t) defined by

$$A(t) = \text{col}(L(t, \hat{e}_1), L(t, \hat{e}_2), \dots, L(t, \hat{e}_n)) = (\eta_{ij}(0, t)),$$
(2.5)

is irreducible for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (h3) For each *j*, for which  $r_j > 0$ , there exist *i* such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and for positive constant  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small,  $\eta_{ij}(-r_j + \varepsilon, t) > 0$ .
- (h4) If  $\phi = 0$ , then  $x(t, t_0, \phi) \equiv 0$  for all  $t \ge t_0$ .

The following result was established by Wang et al. [12].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let (*h*1)–(*h*4) hold. Then the hypothesis (*h*0) is valid and the following.

(i) If  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are distinct elements of  $C_r^+$  with  $\phi \leq \psi$  and  $[t_0, t_0 + \sigma)$  with  $n|r| < \sigma \leq \infty$  is the intersection of the maximal intervals of existence of  $x(t, t_0, \phi)$  and  $x(t, t_0, \psi)$ , then

$$0 \le x(t, t_0, \phi) \le x(t, t_0, \psi) \quad \text{for } t_0 \le t < t_0 + \sigma,$$
  

$$0 \le x(t, t_0, \phi) < x(t, t_0, \psi) \quad \text{for } t_0 + n|r| \le t < t_0 + \sigma.$$
(2.6)

(ii) If  $\phi \in C_r^+$ ,  $\phi \neq 0$ ,  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x(t, t_0, \phi)$  is defined on  $[t_0, t_0 + \sigma)$  with  $\sigma > n|r|$ , then

$$0 < x(t, t_0, \phi) \quad \text{for } t_0 + n|r| \le t < t_0 + \sigma.$$
(2.7)

Lemma 2.1 shows that if (h1)-(h4) hold, then the positivity of solutions of (2.1) follows.

The following definitions and results are useful in proving our lemma.

*Definition 2.2.* System (2.1) is cooperative if  $\partial f_i / \partial x_i \ge 0$  whenever  $i \ne j$ .

*Definition 2.3.* A square matrix *A* is said to be a reducible matrix if and only if for some permutation matrix *P* the matrix  $P^TAP$  is block upper triangular. If a square matrix is not reducible, it is said to be an irreducible matrix. System (2.1) is called irreducible if the Jacobian matrix  $((\partial f_i/\partial x_i))$  is irreducible.

**Lemma 2.4** (Smith [11]). If (2.1) is cooperative and irreducible in *D*, where *D* is an open subset of *C*, and the solution with positive initial data is bounded, then the trajectory of (2.1) tends to some single equilibrium.

We now consider the following delay differential system:

$$\dot{y}_1(t) = -ay_1(t) + by_1(t-\tau) - cy_1^2(t) + \theta y_2(t),$$
  
$$\dot{y}_2(t) = dy_1(t) - (\theta + e)y_2(t),$$
(2.8)

with initial conditions

$$y_i(s) = \psi_i(s) \ge 0, \quad s \in [-\tau, 0), \ \psi_i \in C([-\tau, 0), \mathbb{R}_+), \ \psi_i(0) > 0, \ (i = 1, 2).$$
 (2.9)

System (2.8) always has a trivial equilibrium  $E_0(0,0)$ . If  $a(\theta + e) < d\theta + b(\theta + e)$ , then system (2.8) has a unique positive equilibrium  $E_+(y_1^*, y_2^*)$ , where

$$y_1^* = \frac{(-a+b)(\theta+e) + \theta d}{c(\theta+e)}, \qquad y_2^* = \frac{d(-a+b)(\theta+e) + \theta d^2}{c(\theta+e)^2}.$$
 (2.10)

The characteristic equation of system (1.6) at the equilibrium  $E_0$  is of the form

$$\lambda^{2} + (a+e+\theta)\lambda + a(\theta+e) - d\theta - (b\lambda + b(\theta+e))e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0.$$
(2.11)

Let

$$f_1(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + (a+e+\theta)\lambda + a(\theta+e) - d\theta - (b\lambda + b(\theta+e))e^{-\lambda\tau}.$$
(2.12)

If  $a(\theta + e) < d\theta + b(\theta + e)$ , then it is easy to see that, for  $\lambda$  real,

$$f_1(0) = a(\theta + e) - d\theta - b(\theta + e) < 0, \quad \lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} f_1(\lambda) = +\infty.$$
(2.13)

Hence,  $f_1(\lambda) = 0$  has a positive real root. Therefore, the equilibrium  $E_0$  is unstable. If  $a(\theta + e) > d\theta + b(\theta + e)$ , when  $\tau = 0$ , it is easy to see that the equilibrium  $E_0$  is stable. Therefore, if  $a(\theta + e) - d\theta > b(\theta + e)$ , by Kuang and So [13, Lemma B], we see that the equilibrium  $E_0$  is locally stable for all  $\tau > 0$ .

If  $a(\theta + e) < d\theta + b(\theta + e)$ , the characteristic equation of the positive equilibrium  $E_+$  takes the form

$$\lambda^{2} + (a + e + \theta + 2cy_{1}^{*})\lambda + (a + 2cy_{1}^{*})(\theta + e) - d\theta - (b\lambda + b(\theta + e))e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0.$$
(2.14)

When  $\tau = 0$ , it is easy to see that the equilibrium  $E_+$  is stable. Therefore, if  $a(\theta + e) < d\theta + b(\theta + e)$ , by Kuang and So [13, Lemma B], we see that the equilibrium  $E_+$  is locally stable for all  $\tau > 0$ .

Lemma 2.5. For system (2.8), one has the following.

- (i) If  $a(\theta + e) < d\theta + b(\theta + e)$ , then the positive equilibrium  $E_+$  of system (2.8) is globally stable.
- (ii) If  $a(\theta + e) > d\theta + b(\theta + e)$ , the equilibrium  $E_0$  of system (2.8) is globally stable.

*Proof.* We represent the right-hand side of (2.8) by  $f(t, x_t) = (f_1(t, x_t), f_2(t, x_t))$  and set  $L(t, \cdot) = D_{\phi}f(t, \phi)$ . By direct calculation we have

$$L_1(t,h) = -ah_1(0) + bh_1(-\tau) - 2c\phi_1(0)h_1(0) + \theta h_2(0),$$
  

$$L_2(t,h) = dh_1(0) - (\theta + e)h_2(0).$$
(2.15)

We now claim that the hypotheses (h1)–(h4) hold for system (2.8). It is easily seen that (h1) and (h4) hold for system (2.8). We need only to verify that (h2) and (h3) hold.

The matrix A(t) takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} -a+b-2c\phi_1(0) & \theta \\ d & -(\theta+e) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.16)

Clearly, the matrix A(t) is irreducible for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

From the definition of A(t) and  $\eta_{ij}$ , it is readily seen that  $\eta_{12}(\theta, t) = \eta_{12}(0, t) = \theta$ ,  $\eta_{21}(\theta, t) = \eta_{21}(0, t) = d$ , for  $\theta \ge 0$ ; and  $\eta_{ij}(\theta, t) = 0$ ,  $i \ne j$  for  $\theta \le -\tau$ ; and  $\eta_{ij}(\cdot, t) \in BV[-\tau, 0]$ , where  $\eta_{ij}$  is a positive Borel measure on  $[-\tau, 0]$ . Therefore,  $\eta_{ij}(\cdot, t) > 0$ . Thus, for each j, there is  $i \ne j$  such that  $\eta_{ij}(-r_j + \varepsilon, t) = \eta_{ij}(-\tau + \varepsilon, t) > 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, i = 1, 2. Hence, (h3) holds.

Thus, the conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. Therefore, the positivity of solutions to system (2.8) follows. It is easy to see that system (2.8) is cooperative. By Lemma 2.4 we see that any solution starting from  $D = C_{\tau}^+$  converges to some single equilibrium. However, system (2.8) has only two equilibria:  $E_0$  and  $E_+$ . Note that if  $a(\theta + e) < d\theta + b(\theta + e)$ , the equilibrium  $E_+$  is locally stable. Hence, any solution starting from D converges to  $E^+$ . Using a similar argument one can show the global stability of the equilibrium  $E_0$  when  $a(\theta + e) > d\theta + b(\theta + e)$ . This completes the proof.

By a similar argument one can show that all solutions of system (1.6) with initial conditions (1.7) are defined on  $[0, +\infty)$  and remain positive for all  $t \ge 0$ .

## 3. Local Stability

In this section, we discuss the local stability of each equilibria and the existence of Hopf bifurcation of system (1.6).

It is easy to show that system (1.6) always has two equilibria  $E_0(0,0,0)$  and  $E_1(r/a_{11},0,0)$ . If the following holds:

(H1) 
$$\theta b > r_1(\theta + r_2),$$

then system (1.6) has another boundary equilibrium  $E_2(0, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)$ , where

$$\widetilde{y}_1 = \frac{1}{a_{22}} \left( \frac{\theta b}{\theta + r_2} - r_1 \right), \qquad \widetilde{y}_2 = \frac{b}{a_{22}(\theta + r_2)} \left( \frac{\theta b}{\theta + r_2} - r_1 \right). \tag{3.1}$$

Further, if the following holds:

(H2) 
$$r(\theta + r_2)(a_{12}a_{21} + a_{11}a_{22}) > a_{12}[\theta ba_{11} + (a_{21}r - a_{11}r_1)(\theta + r_2)] > 0,$$

then system (1.6) has a unique positive equilibrium  $E^* = (x^*, y_1^*, y_2^*)$ , where

$$x^{*} = \frac{r}{a_{11}} - \frac{a_{12}[\theta b a_{11} + (\theta + r_{2})(a_{21}r - a_{11}r_{1})]}{(\theta + r_{2})a_{11}(a_{12}a_{21} + a_{11}a_{22})},$$
  

$$y_{1}^{*} = \frac{\theta b a_{11} + (\theta + r_{2})(a_{21}r - a_{11}r_{1})}{(\theta + r_{2})(a_{12}a_{21} + a_{11}a_{22})},$$
  

$$y_{2}^{*} = \frac{b[\theta b a_{11} + (\theta + r_{2})(a_{21}r - a_{11}r_{1})]}{(\theta + r_{2})^{2}(a_{12}a_{21} + a_{11}a_{22})}.$$
(3.2)

We now study the local stability of each of the nonnegative equilibrium of system (1.6). Let  $\hat{E} = (\hat{x}, \hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2)$  be any arbitrary equilibrium. Then the characteristic equation of system (1.6) at the equilibrium  $\hat{E}$  is given by

$$\begin{vmatrix} r - 2a_{11}\hat{x} - a_{12}\hat{y}_1 - \lambda & -a_{12}\hat{x} & 0 \\ a_{21}\hat{y}_1 e^{-\lambda\tau} & -r_1 - 2a_{22}\hat{y}_1 + a_{21}\hat{x}e^{-\lambda\tau} - \lambda & \theta \\ 0 & b & -\theta - r_2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$
(3.3)

The characteristic equation of system (1.6) at the equilibrium  $E_0(0, 0, 0)$  reduces

$$(\lambda - r)[(r_1 + \lambda)(\theta + r_2 + \lambda) - b\theta] = 0.$$
(3.4)

Clearly,  $\lambda = r$  is a positive real root. Hence,  $E_0(0, 0, 0)$  is always unstable.

The characteristic equation of system (1.6) at the equilibrium  $E_1(r/a_{11}, 0, 0)$  reduces

$$(\lambda + r) \left[ \lambda^2 + (r_1 + r_2 + \theta)\lambda + r_1(\theta + r_2) - b\theta - \frac{a_{21}r}{a_{11}}(\lambda + \theta + r_2)e^{-\lambda\tau} \right] = 0.$$
(3.5)

Clearly,  $\lambda = -r$  is a negative real root of (3.5). All other roots are give by the roots of equation

$$\lambda^{2} + (r_{1} + r_{2} + \theta)\lambda + r_{1}(\theta + r_{2}) - b\theta - \frac{a_{21}r}{a_{11}}(\lambda + \theta + r_{2})e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0.$$
(3.6)

Let

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda^{2} + (r_{1} + r_{2} + \theta)\lambda + r_{1}(\theta + r_{2}) - b\theta - \frac{a_{21}r}{a_{11}}(\lambda + \theta + r_{2})e^{-\lambda\tau}.$$
(3.7)

If  $(\theta + r_2)(r_1 - a_{21}r/a_{11}) < b\theta$ , then it is easy to see that for  $\lambda$  real,

$$f(0) = (\theta + r_2) \left( \frac{r_1 - a_{21}r}{a_{11}} \right) - b\theta < 0, \quad \lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} f(\lambda) = +\infty.$$

$$(3.8)$$

Hence,  $f(\lambda) = 0$  has a positive real root. Therefore, the equilibrium  $E_1(r/a_{11}, 0, 0)$  is unstable. If  $(\theta + r_2)(r_1 - a_{21}r/a_{11}) > b\theta$ , when  $\tau = 0$ , it is easy to see that the equilibrium  $E_1(r/a_{11}, 0, 0)$  is stable. Therefore, if  $(\theta + r_2)(r_1 - a_{21}r/a_{11}) > b\theta$ , by Kuang and So [13, Lemma B], we see that the equilibrium  $E_1(r/a_{11}, 0, 0)$  is locally stable for all  $\tau > 0$ .

The characteristic equation of system (1.6) at the equilibria  $E_2(0, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)$  reduces to

$$(\lambda - r + a_{12}\tilde{y}_1) \Big[ \lambda^2 + (r_1 + 2a_{22}\tilde{y}_1 + \theta + r_2)\lambda + (r_1 + 2a_{22}\tilde{y}_1)(\theta + r_2) - b\theta \Big] = 0.$$
(3.9)

Clearly, if  $r > a_{12}\tilde{y}_1$ ,  $\lambda = r - a_{12}\tilde{y}_1$  is a positive real root. Hence, if  $r > a_{12}\tilde{y}_1$ , then  $E_2(0, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)$  is unstable. Noting that

$$(r_1 + 2a_{22}\tilde{y}_1)(\theta + r_2) - b\theta = b\theta - r_1(\theta + r_2) > 0, \tag{3.10}$$

if  $r < a_{12}\tilde{y}_1$ , then (3.9) only has negative real root, and  $E_2(0, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)$  is stable. The characteristic equation of system (1.6) at the positive equilibria  $E^*(x^*, u^*_*, u^*_*)$  is

$$(1.0)$$
 at the positive equilibria  $L(x, y_1, y_2)$  is

$$\lambda^{3} + p_{2}\lambda^{2} + p_{1}\lambda + p_{0} + (q_{2}\lambda^{2} + q_{1}\lambda + q_{0})e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0, \qquad (3.11)$$

where

$$p_{0} = a_{11}x^{*}(\theta + r_{2})(a_{21}x^{*} + a_{22}y_{1}^{*}),$$

$$p_{1} = a_{11}x^{*}(r_{1} + 2a_{22}y_{1}^{*}) + (\theta + r_{2})(a_{21}x^{*} + a_{22}y_{1}^{*} + a_{11}x^{*}),$$

$$p_{2} = a_{11}x^{*} + 2a_{22}y_{1}^{*} + r_{1} + r_{2} + \theta,$$

$$q_{0} = a_{21}(\theta + r_{2})x^{*}(a_{12}y_{1}^{*} - a_{11}x^{*}),$$

$$q_{1} = a_{21}x^{*}(a_{12}y_{1}^{*} - a_{11}x^{*} - \theta - r_{2}),$$

$$q_{2} = -a_{21}x^{*}.$$
(3.12)

It is easy to show that

$$p_{0} + q_{0} = (a_{11}a_{22} + a_{21}a_{12})(\theta + r_{2})x^{*}y_{1}^{*} > 0,$$

$$p_{1} + q_{1} = (a_{11}a_{22} + a_{12}a_{21})x^{*}y_{1}^{*} + (\theta + r_{2})(a_{22}y_{1}^{*} + a_{11}x^{*}) + \frac{b\theta a_{11}x^{*}}{(\theta + r_{2})} > 0,$$

$$p_{2} + q_{2} = a_{11}x^{*} + a_{22}y_{1}^{*} + r_{2} + \theta + \frac{b\theta}{(\theta + r_{2})} > 0.$$
(3.13)

It is easy to see that  $(p_2 + q_2)(p_1 + q_1) > p_0 + q_0$ . Hence, by the Routh-Hurwitz theorem, when  $\tau = 0$ , the positive equilibrium  $E^*$  of system (1.6) is locally asymptotically stable.

If  $i\omega$  ( $\omega > 0$ ) is a root of (3.11), separating the real and imaginary parts, we obtain

$$p_0 - p_2 \omega^2 = q_2 \omega^2 \cos \omega \tau - q_1 \omega \sin \omega \tau - q_0 \cos \omega \tau, -\omega^3 + p_1 \omega = -q_2 \omega^2 \sin \omega \tau - q_1 \omega \cos \omega \tau + q_0 \sin \omega \tau.$$
(3.14)

Squaring and adding the two equations of (3.14), it follows that

$$\omega^6 + Q_1 \omega^4 + Q_2 \omega^2 + Q_3 = 0, \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$Q_1 = p_2^2 - 2p_1 - q_2^2, \qquad Q_2 = p_1^2 + 2q_2q_0 - 2p_2p_0 - q_1^2, \qquad Q_3 = p_0^2 - q_0^2.$$
 (3.16)

It is easy to show that

$$Q_{1} = p_{2}^{2} - q_{2}^{2} - 2p_{1}$$

$$= a_{11}^{2} (x^{*})^{2} + (r_{2} + \theta)^{2} + (r_{1} + 2a_{22}y_{1}^{*} + a_{21}x^{*}) \left(a_{22}y_{1}^{*} + \frac{b\theta}{\theta + r_{2}}\right) + 2b\theta > 0,$$

$$Q_{2} = p_{1}^{2} + 2q_{2}q_{0} - 2p_{2}p_{0} - q_{1}^{2}$$

$$= \left[ (a_{11}a_{22} + a_{21}a_{12})x^{*}y_{1}^{*} + \frac{a_{11}b\theta x^{*}}{(r_{2} + \theta)} \right] \left[ 2a_{11}a_{21}(x^{*})^{2} - (a_{12}a_{21} - a_{11}a_{22})x^{*}y_{1}^{*} + \frac{a_{11}b\theta x^{*}}{(r_{2} + \theta)} \right]$$

$$+ (\theta + r_{2})^{2} \left[ a_{22}^{2} (y_{1}^{*})^{2} + a_{11}^{2} (x^{*})^{2} \right] + 2a_{21}a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})^{2}x^{*} + 2b\theta a_{11}^{2} (x^{*})^{2}.$$
(3.17)

Noting that if  $p_0 > q_0$ ,  $2a_{11}a_{21}x^* > (a_{12}a_{21} - a_{11}a_{22})y_1^*$ , hence  $Q_2 > 0$ ,  $Q_3 > 0$ . The positive equilibrium  $E^*$  of system (1.6) is locally asymptotically stable for all  $\tau > 0$ . If  $p_0 < q_0$ , we know that  $Q_3 < 0$ , (3.15) has a unique positive root  $\omega_0$ . Define

$$\tau_{j} = \frac{1}{\omega_{0}} \arccos \frac{(q_{1} - q_{2}p_{2})\omega_{0}^{4} + (p_{0}q_{2} + p_{2}q_{0} - p_{1}q_{1})\omega_{0}^{2} - q_{0}p_{0}}{q_{2}^{2}\omega_{0}^{4} + (q_{1}^{2} - 2q_{0}q_{2})\omega_{0}^{2} + q_{0}^{2}} + \frac{2j\pi}{\omega_{0}}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$
(3.18)

Then  $(\tau_j, \omega_0)$  solves (3.11). This means that when  $\tau = \tau_j$ , (3.11) has a pair of purely imaginary roots  $\pm i\omega_0$ . Noting that the positive equilibrium  $E^*$  is locally stable for  $\tau = 0$ , by the general theory on characteristic equations of delay differential equations from [14, Theorem 4.1],  $E^*$  remains stable for  $\tau < \tau_0$ .

Let  $p_0 < q_0$  and  $\tau_0$  be defined in (3.18). Denoting

$$\lambda(\tau) = \alpha(\tau) + i\omega(\tau), \qquad (3.19)$$

the root of (3.11) is such that

$$\alpha(\tau_0) = 0, \qquad \omega(\tau_0) = \omega_0.$$
 (3.20)

In the following we claim that

$$\left. \frac{d(\operatorname{Re}\lambda)}{d\tau} \right|_{\tau=\tau_0} > 0. \tag{3.21}$$

This will signify that there exists at least one eigenvalue with positive real part for  $\tau > \tau_0$ . Moreover, the conditions for the existence of a Hopf bifurcation [10] are then satisfied yielding a periodic solution. To this end, differentiating equation (3.11) with respect  $\tau$ , we obtain that

$$\left(\frac{d\lambda(\tau)}{d\tau}\right)^{-1} = -\frac{3\lambda^2 + 2p_2\lambda + p_1}{\lambda(\lambda^3 + p_2\lambda^2 + p_1\lambda + p_0)} + \frac{2q_2\lambda + q_1}{\lambda(q_2\lambda^2 + q_1\lambda + q_0)} - \frac{\tau}{\lambda},$$
(3.22)

which leads to

$$sign\left\{ \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau}\right)\Big|_{\tau=\tau_{j}} \right\} = sign\left\{ \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau}\right)\Big|_{\tau=\tau_{j}}^{-1} \right\} \\
= sign\left\{ 3\omega_{0}^{4} + \left(2p_{2}^{2} - 4p_{1} - 2q_{2}^{2}\right)\omega_{0}^{2} + p_{1}^{2} + 2q_{2}q_{0} - 2p_{2}p_{0} - q_{1}^{2} \right\} \\
= sign\left\{ 3\omega_{0}^{4} + 2Q_{1}\omega_{0}^{2} + Q_{2} \right\}.$$
(3.23)

If  $Q_2 > 0$ ,  $3\omega_0^4 + 2Q_1\omega_0^2 + Q_2 > 0$ . Therefore, system (1.6) undergoes a Hopf bifurcation. We therefore obtain the following results.

**Theorem 3.1.** For system (1.6), let  $\tau_0$  be defined as in (3.18), one has the following.

- (i) The positive equilibrium  $E_0$  of system (1.6) is always unstable.
- (ii) If  $(\theta + r_2)(r_1 a_{21}r/a_{11}) > b\theta$ , the equilibrium  $E_1(r/a_{11}, 0, 0)$  of system (1.6) is locally stable for all  $\tau > 0$ ; and if  $(\theta + r_2)(r_1 a_{21}r/a_{11}) < b\theta$ ,  $E_1$  is unstable for all  $\tau$ .
- (iii) Let (H1) hold. If  $r > a_{12}\tilde{y}_1$ , the equilibrium  $E_2(0, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)$  of system (1.6) is unstable; if  $r < a_{12}\tilde{y}_1$ ,  $E_2$  is stable for all  $\tau \ge 0$ .
- (iv) Let (H2) hold. If  $p_0 > q_0$ , then the positive equilibrium  $E^*(x^*, y_1^*, y_2^*)$  of system (1.6) is locally asymptotically stable for all  $\tau > 0$ . If  $p_0 < q_0$ , then  $E^*$  is locally stable for  $\tau < \tau_0$ ; and  $E^*$  is unstable for  $\tau > \tau_0$ ; if  $Q_2 > 0$  system (1.6) undergoes a Hopf Bifurcation at the positive equilibrium  $E^*$  when  $\tau = \tau_0$ .

## 4. Global Stability

In this section, we are concerned with the global stability of the equilibria  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E^*$  of system (1.6). The strategy of proofs is to use an iteration technique and comparison arguments, respectively.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let (H2) hold. Then the positive equilibrium  $E^*$  of system (1.6) is globally asymptotically stable provided that

(H3)  $a_{11}a_{22} > a_{12}a_{21}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x(t), y_1(t), y_2(t))$  be any positive solution of system (1.6) with initial conditions (1.7). Let

$$U = \limsup_{\substack{t \to +\infty \\ t \to +\infty}} x(t), \qquad V = \liminf_{\substack{t \to +\infty \\ t \to +\infty}} x(t),$$
$$U_i = \limsup_{\substack{t \to +\infty \\ t \to +\infty}} y_i(t), \qquad V_i = \liminf_{\substack{t \to +\infty \\ t \to +\infty}} y_i(t) \quad (i = 1, 2).$$
(4.1)

We now claim that  $U = V = x^*$ ,  $U_1 = V_1 = y_1^*$ ,  $U_2 = V_2 = y_2^*$ . The strategy of the proof is to use an iteration technique.

We derive from the first equation of system (1.6) that

$$\dot{x}(t) \le x(t)(r - a_{11}x(t)). \tag{4.2}$$

A standard comparison argument shows that

$$U = \limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \le \frac{r}{a_{11}} := M_1^x.$$
(4.3)

Hence, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small there exists a  $T_1 > 0$  such that if  $t > T_1$ ,  $x(t) \le M_1^x + \varepsilon$ . We derive from the second and the third equations of system (1.6) that for  $t > T_1 + \tau$ ,

$$\dot{y}_{1}(t) \leq -r_{1}y_{1}(t) + a_{21}(M_{1}^{x} + \varepsilon)y_{1}(t - \tau) - a_{22}y_{1}^{2}(t) + \theta y_{2}(t),$$
  
$$\dot{y}_{2}(t) = by_{1}(t) - (\theta + r_{2})y_{2}(t).$$
(4.4)

Consider the following auxiliary equations:

$$\dot{u}_{1}(t) = -r_{1}u_{1}(t) + a_{21}(M_{1}^{x} + \varepsilon)u_{1}(t - \tau) - a_{22}u_{1}^{2}(t) + \theta u_{2}(t),$$
  
$$\dot{u}_{2}(t) = bu_{1}(t) - (\theta + r_{2})u_{2}(t).$$
(4.5)

Since (H2) holds, by Lemma 2.5 it follows from (4.5) that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} u_1(t) = \frac{\left(-r_1 + a_{21}\left(M_1^x + \varepsilon\right)\right)(\theta + r_2) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_2)},$$

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} u_2(t) = \frac{b(-r_1 + a_{21}\left(M_1^x + \varepsilon\right))(\theta + r_2) + b^2\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_2)^2}.$$
(4.6)

By comparison, we obtain that

$$U_{1} = \limsup_{t \to +\infty} y_{1}(t) \leq \frac{\left(-r_{1} + a_{21}\left(M_{1}^{x} + \varepsilon\right)\right)(\theta + r_{2}) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})},$$

$$U_{2} = \limsup_{t \to +\infty} y_{2}(t) \leq \frac{b(-r_{1} + a_{21}\left(M_{1}^{x} + \varepsilon\right))(\theta + r_{2}) + b^{2}\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})^{2}}.$$
(4.7)

Since these inequalities are true for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, it follows that  $U_1 \le M_1^{y_1}$ ,  $U_2 \le M_1^{y_2}$ , where

$$M_{1}^{y_{1}} = \frac{\left(-r_{1} + a_{21}M_{1}^{x}\right)(\theta + r_{2}) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})},$$

$$M_{1}^{y_{2}} = \frac{b\left(-r_{1} + a_{21}M_{1}^{x}\right)(\theta + r_{2}) + b^{2}\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})^{2}}.$$
(4.8)

Hence, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there is a  $T_2 \ge T_1 + \tau$  such that if  $t > T_2$ ,  $y_1(t) \le M_1^{y_1} + \varepsilon$ ,  $y_2(t) \le M_1^{y_2} + \varepsilon$ .

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we derive from the first equation of system (1.6) that, for  $t > T_2,$ 

$$\dot{x}(t) \ge x(t) \Big( r - a_{11}x(t) - a_{12} \Big( M_1^{y_1} + \varepsilon \Big) \Big).$$
(4.9)

By comparison it follows that

$$V = \liminf_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \ge \frac{r - a_{12} \left( M_1^{y_1} + \varepsilon \right)}{a_{11}}.$$
(4.10)

Since this is true for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we conclude that  $V \ge N_1^x$ , where

$$N_1^x = \frac{r - a_{12}M_1^{y_1}}{a_{11}}.$$
(4.11)

Therefore, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there is a  $T_3 \ge T_2$  such that if  $t > T_3$ ,  $x(t) \ge N_1^x - \varepsilon$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we derive from the second and the third equations of system (1.6) that, for  $t > T_3 + \tau$ ,

$$\dot{y}_{1}(t) \geq -r_{1}y_{1}(t) + a_{21}(N_{1}^{x} - \varepsilon)y_{1}(t - \tau) - a_{22}y_{1}^{2}(t) + \theta y_{2}(t),$$
  
$$\dot{y}_{2}(t) = by_{1}(t) - (\theta + r_{2})y_{2}(t).$$
(4.12)

Consider the following auxiliary equations:

$$\dot{u}_{1}(t) = -r_{1}u_{1}(t) + a_{21}(N_{1}^{x} - \varepsilon)u_{1}(t - \tau) - a_{22}u_{1}^{2}(t) + \theta u_{2}(t),$$
  
$$\dot{u}_{2}(t) = bu_{1}(t) - (\theta + r_{2})u_{2}(t).$$
(4.13)

Since (H2) and (H3) hold, by Lemma 2.5 it follows from (4.13) that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} u_1(t) = \frac{\left(-r_1 + a_{21}\left(N_1^x - \varepsilon\right)\right)(\theta + r_2) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_2)},$$

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} u_2(t) = \frac{b\left(-r_1 + a_{21}\left(N_1^x - \varepsilon\right)\right)(\theta + r_2) + b^2\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_2)^2}.$$
(4.14)

By comparison, we obtain that

$$V_{1} = \liminf_{t \to +\infty} y_{1}(t) \ge \frac{(-r_{1} + a_{21}(N_{1}^{x} - \varepsilon))(\theta + r_{2}) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})},$$

$$V_{2} = \liminf_{t \to +\infty} y_{2}(t) \ge \frac{b(-r_{1} + a_{21}(N_{1}^{x} - \varepsilon))(\theta + r_{2}) + b^{2}\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})^{2}}.$$
(4.15)

Since these two inequalities hold for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we conclude that  $V_1 \ge N_1^{y_1}$ ,  $V_2 \ge N_1^{y_2}$ , where

$$N_{1}^{y_{1}} = \frac{(-r_{1} + a_{21}N_{1}^{x})(\theta + r_{2}) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})},$$

$$N_{1}^{y_{2}} = \frac{b(-r_{1} + a_{21}N_{1}^{x})(\theta + r_{2}) + b^{2}\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})^{2}}.$$
(4.16)

Therefore, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there exists a  $T_4 \ge T_3 + \tau$  such that if  $t > T_4$ ,  $y_1(t) \ge N_1^{y_1} - \varepsilon$ ,  $y_2(t) \ge N_1^{y_2} - \varepsilon$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, it follows from the first equation of system (1.6) that, for

 $t > T_4$ ,

$$\dot{x}(t) \le x(t) \Big( r - a_{11} x(t) - a_{12} \Big( N_1^{y_1} - \varepsilon \Big) \Big).$$
(4.17)

A comparison argument yields

$$U = \limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \le \frac{r - a_{12} \left( N_1^{y_1} - \varepsilon \right)}{a_{11}}.$$
(4.18)

Since this is true for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we conclude that  $U \le M_2^x$ , where

$$M_2^x = \frac{r - a_{12}N_1^{y_1}}{a_{11}}.$$
(4.19)

Hence, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there exists a  $T_5 \ge T_4$  such that if  $t > T_5$ ,  $x(t) \le M_2^x + \varepsilon$ .

Again, we derive from the second and the third equations of system (1.6) that for  $t > T_5 + \tau,$ 

$$\dot{y}_{1}(t) \leq -r_{1}y_{1}(t) + a_{21}(M_{2}^{x} + \varepsilon)y_{1}(t - \tau) - a_{22}y_{1}^{2}(t) + \theta y_{2}(t),$$
  
$$\dot{y}_{2}(t) = by_{1}(t) - (\theta + r_{2})y_{2}(t).$$
(4.20)

Since (H2) and (H3) hold, by Lemma 2.5, a comparison argument shows that

$$U_{1} = \limsup_{t \to +\infty} y_{1}(t) \leq \frac{\left(-r_{1} + a_{21}\left(M_{2}^{x} + \varepsilon\right)\right)(\theta + r_{2}) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})},$$

$$U_{2} = \limsup_{t \to +\infty} y_{2}(t) \leq \frac{b(-r_{1} + a_{21}\left(M_{2}^{x} + \varepsilon\right))(\theta + r_{2}) + b^{2}\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})^{2}}.$$
(4.21)

Since these inequalities are true for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we conclude that  $U_1 \le M_2^{y_1}$ ,  $U_2 \le M_2^{y_2}$ , where

$$M_{2}^{y_{1}} = \frac{\left(-r_{1} + a_{21}M_{2}^{x}\right)(\theta + r_{2}) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})},$$

$$M_{2}^{y_{2}} = \frac{b\left(-r_{1} + a_{21}M_{2}^{x}\right)(\theta + r_{2}) + b^{2}\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})^{2}}.$$
(4.22)

Hence, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there is a  $T_6 \ge T_5 + \tau$  such that if  $t > T_6$ ,  $y_1(t) \le M_2^{y_1} + \varepsilon$ ,  $y_2(t) \leq M_2^{y_2} + \varepsilon.$ 

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, it follows from the first equation of system (1.6) that, for  $t > T_{6},$ 

$$\dot{x}(t) \ge x(t) \left( r - a_{11} x(t) - a_{12} \left( M_2^{y_1} + \varepsilon \right) \right).$$
(4.23)

By comparison we obtain that

$$V = \liminf_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \ge \frac{r - a_{12} \left( M_2^{y_1} + \varepsilon \right)}{a_{11}}.$$
(4.24)

Since this is true for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we conclude that  $V \ge N_2^x$ , where

$$N_2^x = \frac{r - a_{12}M_2^{y_1}}{a_{11}}.$$
(4.25)

Therefore, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there is a  $T_7 \ge T_6$  such that if  $t > T_7$ ,  $x(t) \ge N_2^x - \varepsilon$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we derive from the second and the third equations of system (1.6) that for  $t > T_7 + \tau$ ,

$$\dot{y}_{1}(t) \geq -r_{1}y_{1}(t) + a_{21}(N_{2}^{x} - \varepsilon)y_{1}(t - \tau) - a_{22}y_{1}^{2}(t) + \theta y_{2}(t),$$
  
$$\dot{y}_{2}(t) = by_{1}(t) - (\theta + r_{2})y_{2}(t).$$
(4.26)

Since (H2) and (H3) hold, by Lemma 2.5 and by comparison, it follows from (4.26) that

$$V_{1} = \liminf_{t \to +\infty} y_{1}(t) \geq \frac{(-r_{1} + a_{21}(N_{2}^{x} - \varepsilon))(\theta + r_{2}) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})},$$

$$V_{2} = \liminf_{t \to +\infty} y_{2}(t) \geq \frac{b(-r_{1} + a_{21}(N_{2}^{x} - \varepsilon))(\theta + r_{2}) + b^{2}\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})^{2}}.$$
(4.27)

Since these two inequalities hold for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we conclude that  $V_1 \ge N_2^{y_1}$ ,  $V_2 \ge N_2^{y_2}$ , where

$$N_{2}^{y_{1}} = \frac{\left(-r_{1} + a_{21}N_{2}^{x}\right)(\theta + r_{2}) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})},$$

$$N_{2}^{y_{2}} = \frac{b\left(-r_{1} + a_{21}N_{2}^{x}\right)(\theta + r_{2}) + b^{2}\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})^{2}}.$$
(4.28)

Continuing this process, we derive six sequences  $M_n^x$ ,  $M_n^{y_1}$ ,  $M_n^{y_2}$ ,  $N_n^x$ ,  $N_n^{y_1}$ ,  $N_n^{y_2}$  (n = 1, 2, ...) such that, for  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$M_{n}^{x} = \frac{r - a_{12}N_{n-1}^{y_{1}}}{a_{11}},$$

$$M_{n}^{y_{1}} = \frac{(-r_{1} + a_{21}M_{n}^{x})(\theta + r_{2}) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})},$$

$$M_{n}^{y_{2}} = \frac{b(-r_{1} + a_{21}M_{n}^{x})(\theta + r_{2}) + b^{2}\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})^{2}},$$

$$N_{n}^{x} = \frac{r - a_{12}M_{n}^{y_{1}}}{a_{11}},$$

$$N_{n}^{y_{1}} = \frac{(-r_{1} + a_{21}N_{n}^{x})(\theta + r_{2}) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})},$$

$$N_{n}^{y_{2}} = \frac{b(-r_{1} + a_{21}N_{n}^{x})(\theta + r_{2}) + b^{2}\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_{2})^{2}}.$$
(4.29)

It is readily seen that

$$N_n^x \le V \le U \le M_{n'}^x$$
,  $N_n^{y_i} \le V_i \le U_i \le M_n^{y_i}$   $(i = 1, 2).$  (4.30)

We derive (4.29) that

$$M_{n+1}^{y_1} = \frac{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}}{a_{11}^2 a_{22}^2} \left(\frac{a_{11}b\theta}{\theta + r_2} + a_{21}r - a_{11}r_1\right) + \frac{a_{12}^2 a_{21}^2}{a_{11}^2 a_{22}^2} M_n^{y_1}.$$
 (4.31)

Noting that  $M_n^{y_1} \ge y_1^*$  and  $a_{11}a_{22} > a_{12}a_{21}$ , it follows from (4.31) that

$$M_{n+1}^{y_1} - M_n^{y_1} = \frac{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}}{a_{11}^2 a_{22}^2} \left( \frac{a_{11}b\theta}{\theta + r_2} + a_{21}r - a_{11}r_1 \right) + \left( \frac{a_{12}^2 a_{21}^2}{a_{11}^2 a_{22}^2} - 1 \right) M_n^{y_1}$$

$$\leq \frac{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}}{a_{11}^2 a_{22}^2} \left( \frac{a_{11}b\theta}{\theta + r_2} + a_{21}r - a_{11}r_1 \right) + \left( \frac{a_{12}^2 a_{21}^2}{a_{11}^2 a_{22}^2} - 1 \right) y_1^*$$

$$= 0.$$
(4.32)

Thus, the sequence  $M_n^{y_1}$  is nonincreasing. Hence,  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} M_n^{y_1}$  exists. Taking  $n \to +\infty$ , it follows from (4.31) that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} M_n^{y_1} = \frac{(\theta b a_{11}/(\theta + r_2)) + a_{21}r - a_{11}r_1}{a_{12}a_{21} + a_{11}a_{22}} = y_1^*.$$
(4.33)

We therefore obtain from (4.30) and (4.33) that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} M_n^{y_2} = y_2^*, \qquad \lim_{n \to +\infty} N_n^{y_1} = y_1^*, \qquad \lim_{n \to +\infty} N_n^{y_2} = y_2^*, \\ \lim_{n \to +\infty} M_n^x = x^*, \qquad \lim_{n \to +\infty} N_n^x = x^*.$$
(4.34)

It follows from (4.30), (4.33) and (4.34) that

$$U = V = x^*, \qquad U_1 = V_1 = y_1^*, \qquad U_2 = V_2 = y_2^*.$$
 (4.35)

We therefore have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} x(t) = x^*, \qquad \lim_{n \to +\infty} y_1(t) = y_1^*, \qquad \lim_{n \to +\infty} y_2(t) = y_2^*.$$
(4.36)

Noting that if  $a_{11}a_{22} > a_{12}a_{21}$ , then  $p_0 - q_0 > 2(\theta + r_2)a_{11}a_{21}(x^*)^2 > 0$ . By Theorem 3.1, the positive equilibrium  $E^*$  is locally stable. We therefore conclude that  $E^*$  is globally stable. The proof is complete. 

**Theorem 4.2.** If  $r_1(\theta + r_2) > b\theta + a_{21}r/a_{11}(\theta + r_2)$ , the equilibrium  $E_1$  of system (1.6) is globally asymptotically stable.

*Proof.* Let  $(x(t), y_1(t), y_2(t))$  be any positive solution of system (1.6) with initial conditions (1.7). It follows from the first equation of system (1.6) that

$$\dot{x}(t) \le x(t)(r - a_{11}x(t)). \tag{4.37}$$

A standard comparison argument shows that

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \le \frac{r}{a_{11}}.$$
(4.38)

、

Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small such that

$$r_1(\theta + r_2) > b\theta + a_{21}\left(\frac{r}{a_{11}} + \varepsilon\right)(\theta + r_2).$$

$$(4.39)$$

Hence, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small satisfying (4.39), there is a  $T_1 > 0$  such that if  $t > T_1$ , then  $x(t) \le r/a_{11} + \varepsilon$ . We derive from the second and the third equations of system (1.6) that for  $t > T_1 + \tau$ ,

$$\dot{y}_{1}(t) \leq -r_{1}y_{1}(t) + a_{21}\left(\frac{r}{a_{11}} + \varepsilon\right)y_{1}(t-\tau) - a_{22}y_{1}^{2}(t) + \theta y_{2}(t),$$
  
$$\dot{y}_{2}(t) = by_{1}(t) - (\theta + r_{2})y_{2}(t).$$
(4.40)

Consider the following auxiliary equations:

$$\dot{u}_{1}(t) = -r_{1}u_{1}(t) + a_{21}\left(\frac{r}{a_{11}} + \varepsilon\right)u_{1}(t-\tau) - a_{22}u_{1}^{2}(t) + \theta u_{2}(t),$$

$$\dot{u}_{2}(t) = bu_{1}(t) - (\theta + r_{2})u_{2}(t).$$
(4.41)

Since (4.39) holds, by Lemma 2.5 it follows from (4.41) that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} u_1(t) = 0, \qquad \lim_{t \to +\infty} u_2(t) = 0.$$
(4.42)

By comparison, we obtain that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} y_1(t) = 0, \qquad \lim_{t \to +\infty} y_2(t) = 0.$$
(4.43)

Hence, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small satisfying (4.39), there is a  $T_2 > T_1$  such that if  $t > T_2$ ,  $y_1(t) < a_{11}\varepsilon$ .

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small satisfying (4.39) and  $a_{11}\varepsilon < r$ , we derive from the first equation of system (1.6) that for  $t > T_2$ 

$$\dot{x}(t) \ge x(t)(r - a_{11}x(t) - a_{11}\varepsilon).$$
 (4.44)

By comparison, we obtain that

$$\liminf_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \ge \frac{r}{a_{11}} - \varepsilon, \tag{4.45}$$

which, together with (4.38), yields

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = \frac{r}{a_{11}}.$$
(4.46)



**Figure 1:** The temporal solution found by numerical integration of system (1.6) with r = 2,  $r_1 = 2$ ,  $r_2 = 1$ ,  $a_{11} = 4$ ,  $a_{12} = 3$ ,  $a_{21} = 2$ ,  $a_{22} = 4$ , b = 1,  $\theta = 2$ ,  $\tau = 1$  and initial value is (0.6, 0.2, 0.2).

By Theorem 3.1, if  $r_1(\theta + r_2) > b\theta + a_{21}r/a_{11}(\theta + r_2)$ , the boundary equilibrium  $E_1$  is locally stable. We therefore conclude that  $E_1$  is globally stable in this case. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let (H1) hold. If  $(\theta + r_2)(a_{22}r + a_{12}r_1) < a_{12}\theta b$ , then the equilibrium  $E_2$  of system (1.6) is globally asymptotically stable.

*Proof.* Let  $(x(t), y_1(t), y_2(t))$  be any positive solution of system (1.6) with initial conditions (1.7). We derive from the second and the third equations of system (1.6) that, for  $t > T_1 + \tau$ ,

$$\dot{y}_1(t) \ge -r_1 y_1(t) - a_{22} y_1^2(t) + \theta y_2(t),$$
  
$$\dot{y}_2(t) = b y_1(t) - (\theta + r_2) y_2(t).$$
(4.47)

Consider the following auxiliary equations:

$$\dot{u}_1(t) = -r_1 u_1(t) - a_{22} u_1^2(t) + \theta u_2(t),$$
  

$$\dot{u}_2(t) = b u_1(t) - (\theta + r_2) u_2(t).$$
(4.48)

Since (H1) holds, by Lemma 2.5 it follows from (4.48) that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} u_1(t) = \frac{1}{a_{22}} \left( \frac{\theta b}{\theta + r_2} - r_1 \right) = \tilde{y}_1,$$

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} u_2(t) = \frac{b}{a_{22}(\theta + r_2)} \left( \frac{\theta b}{\theta + r_2} - r_1 \right) = \tilde{y}_2.$$
(4.49)



**Figure 2:** The temporal solution found by numerical integration of system (1.6) with r = 1,  $r_1 = 1$ ,  $r_2 = 1$ ,  $a_{11} = 4$ ,  $a_{12} = 3$ ,  $a_{21} = 2$ ,  $a_{22} = 1$ , b = 4,  $\theta = 1$ ,  $\tau = 1$  and initial value is (0.6, 0.2, 0.2).

By comparison we derive that

t

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} y_1(t) \ge \frac{1}{a_{22}} \left( \frac{\theta b}{\theta + r_2} - r_1 \right) = \tilde{y}_1,$$

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} y_2(t) \ge \frac{b}{a_{22}(\theta + r_2)} \left( \frac{\theta b}{\theta + r_2} - r_1 \right) = \tilde{y}_2.$$
(4.50)

Hence, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there is a  $T_1$  such that if  $t > T_1$ ,  $y_1(t) \ge \tilde{y}_1 - \varepsilon$ ,  $y_2(t) \ge \tilde{y}_2 - \varepsilon$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, it follows from the first equation of system (1.6) that for  $t > T_1$ ,

$$\dot{x}(t) \le x(t) \left( r - a_{11} x(t) - a_{12} \left( \tilde{y}_1 - \varepsilon \right) \right). \tag{4.51}$$

Since  $(\theta + r_2)(a_{22}r + a_{12}r_1) < a_{12}\theta b$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small, by comparison we derive that

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \le 0. \tag{4.52}$$

We therefore have  $\lim_{t\to+\infty} x(t) = 0$ . Hence, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there is a  $T_2 > T_1 + \tau$  such that if  $t > T_2$ ,  $x(t) \le \varepsilon$ .



**Figure 3:** The temporal solution found by numerical integration of system (1.6) with r = 4,  $r_1 = 1$ ,  $r_2 = 1$ ,  $a_{11} = 2$ ,  $a_{12} = 1$ ,  $a_{21} = 2$ ,  $a_{22} = 1.5$ , b = 4,  $\theta = 1$ ,  $\tau = 1$  and initial value is (0.8, 1.5, 3.6).

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, it follows from the first equation of system (1.6) that for  $t > T_2 + \tau$ 

$$\dot{y}_{1}(t) \leq -r_{1}y_{1}(t) + a_{21}\varepsilon y_{1}(t-\tau) - a_{22}y_{1}^{2}(t) + \theta y_{2}(t),$$
  
$$\dot{y}_{2}(t) = by_{1}(t) - (\theta + r_{2})y_{2}(t).$$
(4.53)

Since (H1) holds, by Lemma 2.5, a comparison argument shows that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} y_1(t) \le \frac{(-r_1 + a_{21}\varepsilon)(\theta + r_2) + b\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_2)},$$

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} y_2(t) \le \frac{b(-r_1 + a_{21}\varepsilon)(\theta + r_2) + b^2\theta}{a_{22}(\theta + r_2)^2},$$
(4.54)

Together with (4.49), yields

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} y_1(t) = \frac{1}{a_{22}} \left( \frac{\theta b}{\theta + r_2} - r_1 \right) = \tilde{y}_1,$$

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} y_2(t) = \frac{b}{a_{22}(\theta + r_2)} \left( \frac{\theta b}{\theta + r_2} - r_1 \right) = \tilde{y}_2.$$
(4.55)

Noting that if (H1) holds and  $(\theta + r_2)(a_{22}r + a_{12}r_1) < a_{12}\theta b$ , the equilibrium  $E_2$  of system (1.6) is locally stable. We therefore conclude that  $E_2$  is globally stable. The proof is complete.



**Figure 4:** When  $\tau = 1 < \tau_0$ , the positive equilibrium  $E^*$  is asymptotically stable. Here r = 4,  $r_1 = 1$ ,  $r_2 = 1$ ,  $a_{11} = 1$ ,  $a_{12} = 2$ ,  $a_{21} = 4$ ,  $a_{22} = 2$ , b = 4,  $\theta = 1$  and initial value is (0.8, 1.5, 3.6).

### **5. Numerical Examples**

In this section, we give some examples to illustrate the main results.

*Example 5.1.* In system (1.6), we let r = 2,  $r_1 = 2$ ,  $r_2 = 1$ ,  $a_{11} = 4$ ,  $a_{12} = 3$ ,  $a_{21} = 2$ ,  $a_{22} = 4$ , b = 1,  $\theta = 2$ , and  $\tau = 1$ . It is easy to show that  $r_1(\theta + r_2) - b\theta - a_{21}r(\theta + r_2)/a_{11} = 1 > 0$ . By Theorem 4.2 we see that the equilibrium  $E_1(0.5, 0, 0)$  of system (1.6) is globally stable. Numerical simulation illustrates our result (see Figure 1).

*Example 5.2.* In system (1.6), we let r = 1,  $r_1 = 1$ ,  $r_2 = 1$ ,  $a_{11} = 4$ ,  $a_{12} = 3$ ,  $a_{21} = 2$ ,  $a_{22} = 1$ , b = 4,  $\theta = 1$ , and  $\tau = 1$ . It is easy to show that  $b\theta - r_1(\theta + r_2) = 2 > 0$ , and  $r - a12\tilde{y}_1 = -2 < 0$ . By Theorem 4.3 we see that the equilibrium  $E_2(0, 1, 2)$  of system (1.6) is globally stable, as depicted in Figure 2.

*Example 5.3.* In system (1.6), we let r = 4,  $r_1 = 1$ ,  $r_2 = 1$ ,  $a_{11} = 2$ ,  $a_{12} = 1$ ,  $a_{21} = 2$ ,  $a_{22} = 1.5$ , b = 4,  $\theta = 1$ , and  $\tau = 1$ . System (1.6) with above coefficients has a unique positive equilibrium  $E^*(1.5, 2, 4)$ . It is easy to show that  $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 1 > 0$ . By Theorem 4.1 we see that the positive equilibrium  $E^*(1.5, 2, 4)$  of system (1.6) is globally stable. Numerical simulation illustrates our result (see Figure 3).

*Example* 5.4. In system (1.6), we let r = 4,  $r_1 = 1$ ,  $r_2 = 1$ ,  $a_{11} = 1$ ,  $a_{12} = 2$ ,  $a_{21} = 4$ ,  $a_{22} = 2$ , b = 4, and  $\theta = 1$ . System (1.6) with above coefficients has a unique positive equilibrium  $E^*(0.6, 1.7, 3.4)$ . By computing, we can get  $p_0 - q_0 = -6.48 < 0$  and  $\omega_0 = 0.9326$ ,  $\tau_0 = 1.664$ . By Theorem 3.1 we see that the equilibrium  $E^*$  is locally stable for  $\tau < \tau_0$ ; and  $E^*$  is unstable for  $\tau > \tau_0$ . And system (1.6) undergoes a Hopf Bifurcation at the positive equilibrium  $E^*$  when  $\tau = \tau_0$ . See Figures 4 and 5.



**Figure 5:** When  $\tau = 2 > \tau_0$ , the positive equilibrium  $E^*$  is asymptotically stable. Here r = 4,  $r_1 = 1$ ,  $r_2 = 1$ ,  $a_{11} = 1$ ,  $a_{12} = 2$ ,  $a_{21} = 4$ ,  $a_{22} = 2$ , b = 4,  $\theta = 1$  and the initial value is (0.8,1.5,3.6).

# 6. Discussion

In this paper, we considered a delayed predator-prey model with stage structure for the predator. By using the iteration technique and comparison argument, respectively, sufficient conditions were established for the global stability of the positive equilibrium and two boundary equilibria of system (1.6). By Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, we see that: (i) If (H2) holds and  $a_{11}a_{22} > a_{12}a_{21}$ , system (1.6) is permanent. (ii) If  $r_1(\theta + r_2) > b\theta + a_{21}r/a_{11}(\theta + r_2)$ , the prey species is persistent but the predator becomes extinct. (iii) If (H1) holds and  $(\theta + r_2)(a_{22}r + a_{12}r_1) < a_{12}\theta b$ , the predator species is persistent but the prey species becomes extinct.

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions that greatly improved the presentation of this work. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 10671209) and the Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, State Education Ministry.

## References

- [1] L. Chen, X. Song, and Z. Lu, *Mathematical Models and Methods in Ecology*, Sichuan Science and Technology Press, Chendu, China, 2003.
- [2] J. Cui, L. Chen, and W. Wang, "The effect of dispersal on population growth with stage-structure," Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 39, no. 1-2, pp. 91–102, 2000.

- [3] S. Gao, L. Chen, and Z. Teng, "Hopf bifurcation and global stability for a delayed predator-prey system with stage structure for predator," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 202, no. 2, pp. 721–729, 2008.
- [4] Z.-R. He, "Global dynamics of nonlinear two-staged age-dependent populations," *International Journal of Biomathematics*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 449–461, 2008.
- [5] Z.-H. Ma, Z.-Z. Li, S.-F. Wang, T. Li, and F.-P. Zhang, "Permanence of a predator-prey system with stage structure and time delay," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 201, no. 1-2, pp. 65–71, 2008.
- [6] X. Song and H. Guo, "Global stability of a stage-structured predator-prey system," *International Journal of Biomathematics*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 313–326, 2008.
- [7] R. Xu and Z. Ma, "The effect of stage-structure on the permanence of a predator-prey system with time delay," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 189, no. 2, pp. 1164–1177, 2007.
- [8] R. Xu and Z. Ma, "Stability and Hopf bifurcation in a predator-prey model with stage structure for the predator," *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 1444–1460, 2008.
- [9] P. J. Wangersky and W. J. Cunningham, "Time lag in prey-predator population models," *Ecology*, vol. 38, pp. 136–139, 1957.
- [10] J. Hale, Theory of Functional Differential Equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1977.
- [11] H. L. Smith, Monotone Dynamical Systems: An Introduction to the Theory of Competitive and Cooperative System, vol. 41 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1995.
- [12] W. Wang, P. Fergola, and C. Tenneriello, "Global attractivity of periodic solutions of population models," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 211, no. 2, pp. 498–511, 1997.
- [13] Y. Kuang and J. W.-H. So, "Analysis of a delayed two-stage population model with space-limited recruitment," SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 1675–1696, 1995.
- [14] Y. Kuang, Delay Differential Equations with Applications in Population Dynamics, vol. 191 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, Boston, Mass, USA, 1993.



Advances in **Operations Research** 



**The Scientific** World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society



International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences





Journal of **Function Spaces** 



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

