Hindawi Publishing Corporation Bioinorganic Chemistry and Applications Volume 2010, Article ID 867195, 9 pages doi:10.1155/2010/867195

Research Article

Diorganotin Complexes of a Thiosemicarbazone, Synthesis: Properties, X-Ray Crystal Structure, and Antiproliferative Activity of Diorganotin Complexes

Joanna Wiecek,¹ Dimitra Kovala-Demertzi,¹ Zbigniew Ciunik,² Maria Zervou,³ and Mayroudis A. Demertzis¹

- ¹ Sector of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Ioannina, 45110 Ioannina, Greece
- ² Faculty of Chemistry, University of Wrocław, 14 F. Joliot-Curie Street, 50-383 Wrocław, Poland

Correspondence should be addressed to Dimitra Kovala-Demertzi, dkovala@cc.uoi.gr

Received 8 February 2010; Accepted 31 March 2010

Academic Editor: Spyros Perlepes

Copyright © 2010 Joanna Wiecek et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The synthesis and spectral characterization of novel diorganotin complexes with 3-hydroxypyridine-2-carbaldehyde thiosemicarbazone, $\mathbf{H_2L}$ (1), [SnMe₂(L)] (2), [SnBu₂(L)] (3), and [SnPh₂(L)] (4) are reported. The single-crystal X-ray structure of complex [SnPh₂(L)(DMSO)] (5) shows that the ligand is doubly deprotonated and is coordinated as tridentate ligand. The six coordination number is completed by two carbon atoms of phenyl groups. There are two similar monomers $\mathbf{5a}$ (Sn1) and $\mathbf{5b}$ (Sn51) in the asymmetric unit. The monomers $\mathbf{5a}$ and $\mathbf{5b}$ are linked through intermolecular hydrogen bonds of N–H–O and C–H–S type. C–H $\rightarrow \pi$, intermolecular interactions, intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds stabilize this structure and leads to aggregation and a supramolecular assembly. The IR and NMR ($^1\mathrm{H}$, $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ and $^{119}\mathrm{Sn}$) spectroscopic data of the complexes are reported. The in vitro cytotoxic activity has been evaluated against the cells of three human cancer cell lines: MCF-7 (human breast cancer cell line), T-24 (bladder cancer cell line), A-549 (nonsmall cell lung carcinoma) and a mouse L-929 (a fibroblast-like cell line cloned from strain L). Compounds $\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{3}$, and $\mathbf{4}$ were found active against all four cell lines. Selectivity was observed for complexes $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ which were found especially active against MCF-7 and T-24 cancer cell lines.

1. Introduction

Organotin(IV) compounds find wide applications as catalysts and stabilizers, and certain derivatives are used as biocides, as antifouling agents and for wood preservation. It has been observed that several diorganotin adducts show potential as antineoplastic and antituberculosis agents [1–4].

Thiosemicarbazone derivatives are of considerable interest due to their antibacterial, antimalarial, antiviral, and antitumor activitiy [5, 6]. In our laboratory, the chemistry of thiosemicarbazones has been an extremely active area of research, primarily because of the beneficial biological (namely, antiviral and antitumor) activities of their transition-metal complexes [7–9]. 3-Hydroxypyridine-2-carbaldehyde thiosemicarbazone (1) is a member of the so-called α -(N)-heterocyclic carbaldehyde thiosemicarbazones

(HCTs), which are the most potent known inhibitors of ribonucleoside diphosphate reductase. Compounds (1) and 5-hydroxypyridine-2-carbaldehyde thiosemicarbazone have shown high anticancer activity in animal models but were found to be readily glucuronidated and rapidly excreted [10]. The multiple dissociation constants of the ligand H₂L (1) and the crystal structure of he complex of Pd(II) with 1, [Pd(HL)Cl] have been studied by us [11]. This work is an extension of previously studied complexes of thiosemicarbazones with palladium(II), platinum(II), zinc(II), and organotin(IV) with potentially interesting biological activities [12–14].

The present paper includes the interaction of $SnPh_2O$ (where R is methyl, butyl, and phenyl groups) with 3-Hydroxypyridine-2-carbaldehyde thiosemicarbazone (H_2L) and the crystal structure of the complex [$SnPh_2(L)(DMSO)$]

³ Institute of Organic and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, National Hellenic Research Foundation, Vas. Constantinou 48, 11635 Athens, Greece

(5). IR and NMR spectroscopic data are reported. The results of the cytotoxic activity of diorganotin complexes have been evaluated for antiproliferative activity in vitro against human cancer cell lines: MCF-7, T-24, A-549, and a mouse L-929.

2. Experimental

2.1. General and Instrumental. All reagents were commercially available (Aldrich or Merck) and used as supplied. Solvents were purified and dried according to standard procedures. Melting points (m.p.) were determined in open capillaries and are uncorrected. IR and far-IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum GX Fourier transform spectrophotometer using KBr pellets (4000–400 cm⁻¹) and nujol mulls dispersed between polyethylene disks (400-40 cm⁻¹). The free ligand was dissolved in (CD₃)₂SO and ¹H, ¹H-¹H COSY and ¹³C spectra were acquired on a BRUKER 300 MHz spectrometer. Compounds 2-4 were dissolved in CDCl3 and were spectroscopically characterized by the use of ¹D and ²D NMR spectroscopy on a Varian 600 MHz spectrometer. Experimental data were processed using VNMR and WinNMR routines. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm while spectra were referenced by the standard experimental setup. 119Sn NMR spectra were acquired on the Varian 600 MHz and tin spectra were referenced by the use of external solution of Me₄Sn in C₆D₆. The splitting of proton resonances in the reported ¹H-NMR spectra is defined as s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, and m = multiplet. The chemical shifts are reported in ppm for ¹H and ¹³C NMR. Elemental analyses were carried out by the microanalytical service of the University of Ioannina, Greece.

2.2. Synthesis of the Ligand and the Complexes

3-Hydroxypyridine-2-Carbaldehyde Thiosemicarbazone (1). The ligand was synthesized according to a published procedure [11]. The white powder was recrystallized from cold ethanol and was dried in vacuo over silica gel. Yield: 75%. Bright-yellow powder. M.p. 209°C. IR (cm⁻¹): 3555 s, 3451 m ν (OH); 3291 m, 3194 m, ν (NH₂, NH); 1639 s, ν (C=N); 1229 s, ν (C–O); 1098 s, ν (NN); 827 s, ν (C=S). ¹H-NMR: 11.50 (s, OH); 9.62 (s, H–N(3); 8.12 (d, H–C(1), ³J = 4.2 Hz); 7.26 (dd, H–C(2) ³J = 8.5, ⁴J = 4.2 Hz); 7.30 (dd, H–C(3) ³J = 8.4, ⁴J = 1.4 Hz); 8.34 (s, H–C(6)); 8.00, 8.24 (br. s, NH2). ¹³C-NMR: 178.0 C(7); 153.1 C(4); 144.4 C(6); 141.1 C(1); 137.6 C(5); 125.3 C(2); 124.2 C(3). Anal. calc. for C₇H₈N₄OS (196.04): C, 42.8; H, 4.1; N, 28.6; S, 16.3; found: C, 42.6; H, 3.9; N, 28.2; S, 16.0%.

SnMe₂(L) (2). Dimethyltin(IV) oxide (0.033 g, 0.2 mmole) and 3-hydroxypyridine-2-carbaldehyde Thiosemicarbazone (0.0392 g, 0.2 mmole) in benzene (20 mL) were stirred and were refluxed for 12 hours under azeotropic removal of water (Dean-Stark trap). The resulting clear solution was rotary evaporated under vacuum to a small volume (2 mL), chilled and triturated with diethyl ether to give a white solid. The powder was recrystallized from distilled diethyl ether and dried in vacuo over silica gel to give yellow solid; mp.

228–230°C, Yield 35%. IR (cm⁻¹): 3296 m, ν (NH₂); 1580 s, ν (C=N); 1176 s, ν (C–O); 1111 s, ν (NN); 804 w, ν (C=S); 583 m, 564 ν (Sn–C); 431 m, ν (Sn–N); 231 m, ν (Sn–O); 376s, ν (Sn–S). ¹H-NMR: 8.09 (dd, H–C(1), ³J = 4.2, ⁴J = 1.4 Hz); 7.18 (dd, H–C(2) ³J = 8.5, ⁴J=4.2 Hz); 7.08 (d, H–C(3) ³J = 8.5, ⁴J = 1.4 Hz); 8.76 (s, H–C(6)); 5.09 (br. s, NH₂); 0.89 (s, CH₃, ²J (^{117/119}Sn–¹H) = 35.7 Hz). ¹³C-NMR: 168.8 C(7); 163.4 C(4); 161.5 C(6); 140.2 C(1); 135.4 C(5); 127.6 C(2); 128.9 C(3); 6.22 (CH₃, J (^{117/119}Sn–¹³C) = 309 Hz). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR: δ = -94.5. Anal. calc. for C₉H₁₂N₄OSSn (343.0): C, 31.5; H, 3.5; N, 16.3; S, 9.3; found: C, 31.5; H, 3.7; N, 16.0; S, 9.1 %.

 $SnBu_2(L)$ (3). Dibutylltin(IV) oxide (0.0498 g, 0.2 mmole) and 3-hydroxypyridine-2-carbaldehyde Thiosemicarbazone (0.0392 g, 0.2 mmole) in benzene (20 mL) were stirred and were refluxed for 12 hours under azeotropic removal of water (Dean-Stark trap). The resulting clear solution was rotary evaporated under vacuum to a small volume (2 mL), chilled and triturated with diethyl ether to give a white solid. The powder was recrystallized from distilled diethyl ether and dried in vacuo over silica gel to give yellow solid; mp. 126–128°C, Yield 41%. IR (cm⁻¹): 3292 m, ν (NH₂); 1577 s, $\nu(C=N)$; 1173 s, $\nu(C-O)$; 1114 s, $\nu(NN)$; 805 w, $\nu(C=S)$; 578 ms, 560 sh ν (Sn–C); 418 m, ν (Sn–N); 247 m, ν (Sn–O); 394 ms, ν (Sn–S). ¹H-NMR: 8.05 (dd, H–C(1), ³J = 4.2, ⁴J = 1.3 Hz); 7.16 (dd, H–C(2) 3 J = 8.5, 4 J = 4.2 Hz); 7.07 (dd, H-C(3) ³J = 8.5, ⁴J = 1.3 Hz); 8.82 (s, H-C(6)); 5.22 (br. s, NH₂); 0.87 (t, 7.3 Hz, H δ); 1.32 (m, H γ); 1.54, 1.64 (H α , β). ¹³C-NMR: 167.7 C(7); 162.5 C(4); 160.0 C(6); 138.7 C(1); 134.5 C(5); 126.8 C(2); 128.0 C(3); 26.9 (C α , J ($^{117/119}$ Sn $^{-13}$ C) = 265 Hz); 28.2 (Cβ); 27.3 (Cγ); 14.6 (Cδ). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR: δ = -194.4. Anal. calc. for C₁₆H₁₈N₄OSSn (537.2): C, 42.2; H, 5.7; N, 13.1; S, 7.5; found: C, 42.0; H, 5.9; N, 13.2; S, 7.4 %.

 $SnPh_2(L)$ (4). Diphenylltin(IV) oxide (0.0578 g, 0.2 mmole) and 3-hydroxypyridine-2-carbaldehyde thiosemicarbazone (0.0392 g, 0.2 mmole) in benzene (20 mL) were stirred and were refluxed for 12 hours under azeotropic removal of water (Dean-Stark trap). The resulting clear solution was rotary evaporated under vacuum to a small volume (2 mL), chilled and triturated with diethyl ether to give a white solid. The powder was recrystallized from distilled diethyl ether and dried in vacuo over silica gel to give yellow solid: mp. 186–188°C, Yield 34%. IR (cm⁻¹): 3269 m, ν (NH₂); 1589 s, ν (C=N); 1185 s, ν (C-O); 1118 s, ν (NN); 808 m, ν (C=S); 322 ms, 303 sh ν (Sn–C); 419 m, ν (Sn–N); 248 m, ν (Sn–O); 370 ms, $\nu(Sn-S)$. ¹H-NMR: 8.02(d, H-C(1), ³J = 4.2 Hz); $7.18(dd, H-C(2), {}^{3}J = 8.5, {}^{4}J = 4.2 Hz); 7.29 (d, H-C(3),$ $^{3}J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}$); 8.88 (s, H–C(6)); 5.37 (br. s, NH₂); 7.82 (d, 7.7 Hz, Ho-Ph); 7.34–7.30 (m, Hm, p-Ph). ¹³C-NMR: 167.4 C(7); 164.0 C(4); 161.5 C(6); 140.2 C(1); 135.4 C(5); 127.8 C(2); 129.3 C(3); 142.1 (Sn-Cph, $J(^{117/119}Sn-^{13}C) = 424 Hz)$; 135.8 (Co-Ph, ${}^{2}J$ (${}^{117/119}Sn-{}^{13}C$) = 28 Hz); 128.8 (Cm-Ph, ^{3}J ($^{117/119}Sn-^{13}C$) = 37 Hz); 130.2 (Cp-Ph, ^{4}J ($^{117/119}Sn-^{13}C$) 13 C) = 8.7 Hz); 119 Sn NMR: δ = -227.2. Anal. calc. for C₁₉H₁₆N₄OSSn (663.1): C, 48.9; H, 3.5; N, 12.0; S, 6.9; found: C, 48.6; H, 3.5; N, 10.7; S, 6.8%.

TABLE 1: X-ray crystal data and structure refinement.

	5
Empirical formula	C ₂₁ H ₂₂ N ₄ O ₂ S ₂ Sn
Formula weight	545.24
Temperature/ (K)	100 (2)
Wavelength/ (A)	0.71073
Crystal system	Triclinic
Space group	P-1
a (Å)	9.4663 (4)
b (Å)	14.7350 (7)
c (Å)	16.6374 (7)
α (°)	94.871 (4)
β (°)	96.434 (4)
γ (°)	90.793 (4)
Volume (A ³)	2297.1 (2)
Z	4
Dc (Mg/m³)	1.577
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	1.319
F(000)	1096
Crystal size (mm)	$0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.16$
Diffractometer	Kuma KM4CCD
Theta range for data collection (°)	3.14-36.65
Ranges of h, k, l	$-15 \rightarrow 15, -24 \rightarrow 20, -27 \rightarrow 27$
Reflections collected	35235
Independent reflections (Rint)	18670 (0.0381)
Completeness to $2\theta = 36.65$	81.9%
Data/parameters	18670/541
Goodness-of-fit (F^2)	0.920
Final R1/wR2 indices [I>2 σ (I)]	0.0336/0.0768
Largest diff. peak/hole (e/ų)	2.090/-1.098

2.3. X-Ray Crystallography. Crystals of complex 5, suitable for X-ray analysis, were obtained by slow crystallization of 4 from a mixture of solvents C₆H₆/toluene/DMSO/CH₃CN. Crystal data 5 are given in Table 1, together with refinement details. All measurements of crystals were performed in low temperature using an Oxford Cryosystem device on a Kuma KM4CCD κ-axis diffractometer with graphitemonochromated Mo Ka radiation. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. No absorption correction was applied. Data reduction and analysis were carried out with the Oxford Diffraction (Poland) Sp. z o.o (formerly Kuma Diffraction Wroclaw, Poland) programs. Crystal structure was solved by direct methods (program SHELXS97) and refined by the full-matrix least-squares method on all F^2 data using the SHELXL97 [15] programs. Nonhydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters; hydrogen atoms were included from geometry of molecules and $\Delta \rho$ maps. During the refinement process they treated as riding atoms. Molecular graphics were performed from PLATON2003 [16, 17].

Crystallographic data, that is, atomic coordinates, thermal parameters, bond lengths, and bond angles (CCDC number 634270 for 5), have been deposited with the

Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. Copies of available material can be obtained, free of charge, on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK, (fax: +44-1223-336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

2.4. Antiproliferative Assay In Vitro. The results of cytotoxic activity in vitro are expressed as IC_{50} -the concentration of compound (in μ M) that inhibits a proliferation rate of the tumor cells by 50% as compared to control untreated cells. The compounds 1–4 were tested for their antiproliferative activity in vitro against the cells of four human cancer cell lines: against the cells of three human cancer cell lines: MCF-7 (human breast cancer cell line), T-24 (bladder cancer cell line), A-549 (nonsmall cell lung carcinoma), and a mouse fibroblast L-929 cell line.

Compounds. Test solutions of the compounds tested (1 mg/mL) were prepared by dissolving the substance in 100 µL of DMSO completed with 900 µL of tissue culture medium. Afterwards, the tested compounds were diluted in culture medium to reach the final concentrations of 100, 50, 10, 1 and $0.1 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$. The solvent (DMSO) in the highest concentration used in test did not reveal any cytotoxic activity. Cells. The cell lines are maintained in the Cell Culture Collection of the University of Ioannina. Twenty-four hours before addition of the tested agents, the cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 10⁴ cells per well. The MCF-7 cells were cultured in the D-MEM (Modified Eagle's Medium) medium supplemented with 1% antibiotic and 10% fetal calf serum. L-929 cells were grown in Hepes-buffered RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, penicillin (50 U/mL), and streptomycin (50 mg/mL). A-549 cells were grown in F-12K Ham's medium supplemented with 1% glutamine, 1% antibiotic/antimycotic, 2% NaHCO₃, and 10% fetal calf serum. The cell cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humid atmosphere saturated with 5% CO2. Cell number was counted by the Trypan blue dye exclusion method. MCF-7, L-929, and A-549 cells were determined by the sulforhodamine B assay [18], while T-24 cells by the MTT assay [19]. The in vitro tests were performed as described previously [20].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Spectroscopy

3.1.1. Infrared Spectroscopy. The bands at 3555 and 3451 cm⁻¹ are assigned to $\nu(OH)$ mode while the mediumstrong intensity bands at around 3291 and 3194 cm⁻¹ in the spectra of H₂L are assigned to $\nu(NH_2)$ and $\nu(NH)$, respectively. The significant changes in the ligand bands upon complexing are the decrease in $\nu(C=N)$ and increase in $\nu(N-N)$ and the absence of the large systematic shifts of $\nu_{as}(NH_2)$ to lower frequencies. These data indicate coordination through the nitrogen of the azomethine group and no interaction between the terminal amino nitrogen and the metal ion. The $\nu(CS)$ band at 827 cm⁻¹ is less intense

in the complexes 2-4 and is shifted to a lower frequency, suggesting coordination of the metal through sulfur. Coordination of the thiolato S-atom was further indicated by a decrease in the energy of the thioamide band as well as by the presence of a band at ca. 370 cm⁻¹ assignable to ν (Sn–S). An IR band at 1229 cm⁻¹ for 1 was assigned to ν (C– O). This band was found to be shifted to 1185-1173 cm⁻¹, in the spectra of the complexes 2-4. Coordination of the phenolic-O atom was further indicated by the presence of a band at ca. 250–230 cm⁻¹ assignable to ν (Sn–O). From the metal-ligand stretching vibrations, which are below 600 cm⁻¹, it is possible to assign the bands characteristic for ν (SnC). Also, the bands at 394–370 cm⁻¹ and 431–418 cm⁻¹ are assigned to $\nu(SnS)$ and $\nu(SnN)$, respectively, and the bands at 250–230 cm⁻¹ are assigned at $\nu(SnO)$ stretching vibrations [20, 21].

3.1.2. NMR Spectra. ¹H and ¹³C resonances of the ligand H₂L as well as of the complexes **2–4** bearing di-methyl, n-butyl, and phenyl groups attached to the central tin atom were unambiguously assigned by the use of 2D ¹H - ¹H gCOSY, ¹H, ¹³C-HSQC, and ¹H, ¹³C-HMBC experiments.

In the ¹H NMR spectra of (H₂L) (1) the broad singlet at δ 11.60 was attributed to OH group in accordance with [22] and the broad signal at δ 9.75 ppm was assigned to NH group. These two groups apparently participate in Hbonding with the nucleophilic solvent molecules (DMSO) or with other ligand molecules. These two signals are abolished upon interaction with the metal, indicating deprotonation of these groups and possible coordination to the tin(IV) atom at 2-4. The absence of peaks corresponding to the imino proton NH and OH proton in the spectrum of 2-4 indicates that the nitrogen and oxygen are present in the deprotonated form and the ligand is dideprotonated. A sharp resonance peak appearing at ca. 5 ppm in all complexes is attributed to the NH₂ group. This is also confirmed by integration of the ¹H spectral profile while additionally the use of CDCl₃ eliminates the formation of H-bonding or complexation with the participation of solvent molecules as was the case with the ligand alone. In the ¹H-NMR spectra of the complexes 2-4, the formyl H-atom H-C(6) was shifted upon coordination, which indicated variations in the electron density at position 6. This signal was shifted downfield, in accordance with a decreased electron density at site C6 in the complexes.

The C=S resonance of the thiosemicarbazone moiety in the free ligand resonated at 178.0 ppm. All complexes showed an upfield shift of C7 peak in the order of \sim 10 ppm compared with the free ligand, indicating the complexation of tin(IV) to the sulphur atom which apparently is related with an increased electron density at this site on complexation, due probably to π -back bonding for thiolato sulphur [21]. All the complexes exhibit downfield shifts of the C3, C4, and C6. This deshielding, in accordance with decreased electron density upon complexation, is indicative of Sn–O and Sn–N (azomethine nitrogen) bonds. These data indicate that the complexes are formed by ligand deprotonation followed by metallation, a structural motive that seems to be stable both

in the solid state and in CDCl₃ solution. 119 Sn chemical shifts of compounds **2–4** were found between -94.4 and -227.2 in accordance with five-coordinate tin center [23].

3.2. Molecular Structure. Crystals of complex 5, suitable for X-ray analysis, were obtained by slow crystallization of 4 from a mixture of solvents C₆H₆/toluene/DMSO/CH₃CN. The crystal structure is shown in Figure 1. Crystal data are given in Table 1, together with refinement details. Bond lengths and angles are given in Table 2. There are two similar monomers 5a (Sn1) and 5b (Sn51) in the asymmetric unit. The double deprotonated ligand is coordinated as tridentate ligand via the phenolic oxygen O(1), the azomethine nitrogen N(3), and thiolato sulfur S(1) atoms. The molecule of DMSO is coordinated to the tin through oxygen O(2) atom. The six coordination number is completed by two carbon atoms of phenyl groups. The organic molecule acts as anionic tridentate with the ONS donors placed in the same side. The dianionic, tridentate ligand has a ZEZ configuration for the oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur donor centers. The coordinated ligand consists of three rings, one heterocyclic and two chelates, SnSNNC and SnONCC, I and II, respectively. For monomers 5a and 5b the dihedral angles between the planes of the rings I and II are 14.47(6) and 12.59(6)°, respectively and between the ring II and the pyridyl ring are 7.4(5) and 8.1(2)°, respectively, indicating that the ligand as a whole in the two monomers deviates from planarity.

The C-S bond lengths 1.747(2), 1.749(2) Å for 5a and **5b**, respectively, are shorter than a single bond (1.81 Å), but longer than a double bond (1.62 Å), suggesting partial single bond character. The C(14)–N(3) bond length, 1.301(2) Å, is close to a double bond (1.28 Å). The S-C bond distances are consistent with increased single-bond character while both thioamide C-N distances indicate increased double bond character. The negative charge of the deprotonated ligand is delocalized over the thiosemicarbazonato moiety. This is indicative of the coordinated thiosemicarbazone's greater conjugation and more delocalized electron density. The Sn-N(3) bond distance is longer than the sum of the covalent radii (2.15 Å), which indicates strong bond, while the bond distance Sn-S, 2.5141(5), 2.519(5) Å, though much shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (4.0 Å), indicates a weak bond [21]. The C-Sn C bond angle is equal to 105.87(7), $104.58(7)^{\circ}$, and the bond angles C(7)–Sn–N(3) and C(1) -Sn-O(2) are 160.47(6), 165.59(6) and 162.80(6), $166.89(6)^{\circ}$ for **5a** and **5b**, respectively.

The two monomers **5a** and **5b** are linked through two intermolecular hydrogen bonds of N(51)–H(51B)–O(1) and of C(8)–H(8)–S(51) type (The N–O distance is 3.013(2) Å and the C–S distance is 3.673(2) Å. The monomers of **5a** form hydrogen-bonded dimers linked by two N(1)–H(1A)–N(4) hydrogen bonds involving the amino N(1)–H(1A) hydrogen atom and the pyridyl N(4) nitrogen. The monomers of **5a** are also linked by another C(14)–H(14)–N(2) hydrogen bond involving the formyl C(14)–H(14) hydrogen and the adjacent imino nitrogen N(2) and vice versa of centro-symmetrically related pairs of molecules. The observed hydrogen bonding patterns are of the DA=AD




Figure 1: Molecular structure of the diorganotin complex 5.

Table 2: Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for complex 5.

5a		5b	
Sn(1)–S(1)	2.5141(5)	Sn(51)–S(51)	2.5190(5)
Sn(1)-O(1)	2.087(2)	Sn(51)–O(51)	2.088(2)
Sn(1)–O(2)	2.337(2)	Sn(51)–O(52)	2.345(2)
Sn(1)-N(3)	2.251(2)	Sn(51)–N(53)	2.262(2)
Sn(1)-C(1)	2.164(2)	Sn(51)-C(51)	2.157(2)
Sn(1)–C(7)	2.149(2)	Sn(51)–C(57)	2.151(2)
S(1)–C(13)	1.747(2)	S(51)–C(63)	1.749(2)
S(2)–O(2)	1.528(2)	S(52)–O(52)	1.528(2)
S(2)-C(20)	1.791(3)	S(52)–C(70)	1.777(3)
S(2)–C(21)	1.789(2)	S(52)–C(71)	1.787(3)
O(1)–C(19)	1.326(2)	O(51)–C(69)	1.320(2)
N(2)-N(3)	1.380(2)	N(52)–N(53)	1.375(2)
S(1)-Sn(1)-O(1)	156.25(4)	S(51)–Sn(51)–O(51)	155.68(4)
S(1)-Sn(1)-O(2)	84.87(3)	S(51)–Sn(51)–O(52)	83.23(3)
S(1)-Sn(1)-N(3)	77.37(4)	S(51)–Sn(51)–N(53)	77.71(4)
S(1)-Sn(1)-C(1)	101.71(5)	S(51)–Sn(51)–C(51)	100.07(5)
S(1)-Sn(1)-C(7)	95.51(5)	S(51)–Sn(51)–C(57)	100.30(5)
O(1)–Sn(1)–O(2)	76.08(5)	O(51)–Sn(51)–O(52)	77.25(5)
O(1)-Sn(1)-N(3)	84.11(5)	O(51)–Sn(51)–N(53)	83.46(5)
O(1)-Sn(1)-C(1)	94.05(6)	O(51)- $Sn(51)$ - $C(51)$	95.98(6)
O(1)-Sn(1)-C(7)	97.14(6)	O(51)–Sn(51)–C(57)	93.14(6)
O(2)-Sn(1)-N(3)	75.34(5)	O(52)–Sn(51)–N(53)	75.65(5)
O(2)-Sn(1)-C(1)	165.59(6)	O(52)- $Sn(51)$ - $C(51)$	166.89(6)
O(2)-Sn(1)-C(7)	86.01(6)	O(52)–Sn(51)–C(57)	87.15(6)
N(3)-Sn(1)-C(1)	93.44(6)	N(53)–Sn(51)–C(51)	92.55(7)
N(3)-Sn(1)-C(7)	160.47(6)	N(53)–Sn(51)–C(57)	162.80(6)
C(1)- $Sn(1)$ - $C(7)$	105.87(7)	C(51)–Sn(51)–C(57)	104.58(7)




FIGURE 2: Arrangement of the intermolecular hydrogen bonds in 5.

Table 3: C–H $\rightarrow \pi$ interactions and intermolecular hydrogen bonds for 5.

	$C-H(I) \rightarrow Cg(J)^a$	H–Cg	C–Cg	∠C–H–Cg
	$C(11)$ – $H(11) [1] \rightarrow Cg(4)^{(i)}$	2.71	3.5394	159
	$C(60)-H(60) [2] \rightarrow Cg(5)^{(ii)}$	2.66	3.4118	135
D	H A^b	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	∠D–H · · · A
	N(1)– $H(1A)$ ··· $N(4)$ ⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾	2.13	3.005(2)	165
	N(1)– $H(1B)$ ···O(51) ^(iv)	2.28	3.065(2)	150
	N(1)– $H(1B)$ ···O(52) ^(iv)	2.55	3.124(2)	124
	$N(51)$ – $H(51A) \cdot \cdot \cdot N(54)^{(v)}$	2.16	2.993(3)	168
	$N(51)$ – $H(51B) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(1)$	2.24	3.013(2)	152
	C(8)– $H(8)$ ··· $S(51)$	2.84	3.673(2)	143
	C(14)– $H(14)$ ··· $N(2)$ ⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾	2.50	3.442(2)	175
	$C(58)-H(58)\cdot \cdot \cdot S(51)$	2.85	3.564(2)	127
	$C(62)-H(62)\cdots O(51)$	2.55	3.132(2)	118
	$C(64)-H(64)\cdots N(52)^{(v)}$	2.58	3.483(2)	174

^aWhere Cg(4) and Cg(5) are referred to the rings C(1)–C(6) and C(7)–C(12); ^bCg–Cg is the distance between ring centroids; symmetry transformations, (i) 1-x,-y,-z; (ii) 1-x,1-y,-z; (iii) 1-x,-y,1-z; (iv) x,-1+y,z; (v) 2-x,1-y,1-z.

type. Also, the monomers of **5b** form hydrogen-bonded dimers and are linked by two hydrogen bonds, the N(51)–H(51A)–N(54) and C(64)–H(64)–N(52) (Figure 2). C–H $\rightarrow \pi$ intermolecular interactions intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds [24] stabilize this structure and lead to a supramolecular assembly, and Table 3 and Figure 3.

3.3. Pharmacology. Antiproliferative Activity In Vitro. Complexes of N4-ethyl 2-acetylpyridine thiosemicarbazone with platinum(II) or palladium(II) were tested in a panel of human tumor cell lines of different origins (breast, colon,

and ovary cancers) and *cis-platin*-refractory/resistant cell lines and were found to exhibit very remarkable growth inhibitory activities with mean IC₅₀ values of 0.9–0.5 nM and support the hypothesis that both [Pt(Ac4Et)₂] and [Pd(Ac4Et)₂] complexes can be characterized by cellular pharmacological properties distinctly different from those of *cis-platin* [8]. The complexes [ZnCl₂(Fo4Npypipe)] and [ZnCl₂(Ac4Npypipe)] where Fo4Npypipe and Ac4Npypipe are the monoion of 2-formyl pyridine N(4)-1-(2-pyridyl)-piperazinyl thiosemicarbazone and 2-acetyl pyridine N(4)-1-(2-pyridyl)-piperazinyl thiosemicarbazone have been evaluated in vitro against MCF-7, T-24, A-549, and L-929 cell




Figure 3: A view of the extended network of $\bf 5$ along the b axis.




Figure 4: The antiproliferative activity in vitro expressed as IC50 \pm SD (μ M) against (a) T-24 and (b) MCF-7 cancer cell lines.

	L929	A549	T24	MCF7
$H_2L(1)$	2.5 ± 0.03	$\textbf{0.81} \!\pm \textbf{0.02}$	2.09 ± 0.03	$\textbf{0.153} \pm \textbf{0.01}$
$[SnMe_2(L)]$ (2)	7.29 ± 0.04	9.04 ± 0.05	<292	$\textbf{0.79} \pm \textbf{0.03}$
$[SnBu_2(L)]$ (3)	1.05 ± 0.02	$\textbf{0.77} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	1.1 ± 0.05	$1.97\times 10^{-2}\pm 0.2\times 10^{-2}$
$[SnPh_2(L)]$ (4)	1.37 ± 0.03	$\textbf{0.83} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{0.73} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$7.28\times 10^{-2}\pm 0.5\times 10^{-2}$
Me ₂ SnO	<607	17.9 ± 0.86	<607	$6.0\times 10^{-2}\pm 0.2\times 10^{-2}$
Bu_2SnO	<402	10.4 ± 0.41	<402	$8.1\times10^{-2}\pm0.4\times10^{-2}$
Ph ₂ SnO	10.7 ± 0.5	47.1 ± 0.49	<346	3.5 ± 0.02
cisplatin	0.69 ± 0.03	1.53 ± 0.10	41.66 ± 2.2	7.99 ± 0.31

Table 4: The antiproliferative activity in vitro of 1–4, expressed as as $IC_{50} \pm SD$ (μM) against MCF-7, T-24, A-549, and L-929 cancer cell lines.

lines and it was found to exhibit remarkable antiproliferative activity with mean IC₅₀ values of 0.2–20 μ M [12]. The diphenylorganotin(IV) complex with pyruvic acid thiosemicarbazone has been tested against MCF-7, T-24, A-549, and L-929 cell lines and was found especially active against MCF-7 and T-24 cancer cells [21].

The antiproliferative activity of compounds is presented in Table 4 along with the activity of *cis-platin* and diorganotin(IV) oxides. Results showed that the ligand as well as the complexes **3** and **4** demonstrated excellent antiproliferative activity, IC₅₀ values range from 0.02–2.5 μ M, against all cell lines tested, while for *cis-platin* the IC₅₀ values range from 0.7–41 μ M. The diorganotin(IV) oxides are nontoxic against L-929 and T-24 cancer cell lines and exhibit poor cytotoxic activity in A-549 and excellent antiproliferative activity against MCF-7 cancer cell line.

The ligand 1 is more cytotoxic compared to cis-platin against the A-549, T-24 and MCF-7 cell lines and less cytotoxic against L-929 cell lines. The diorganotin complex 2 is nontoxic against T-24 cell line, less cytotoxic against A-549 and L-929, and more cytotoxic against MCF-7 cell line compared to *cis-platin*. The diorganotin complexes 3 and 4 are in the same μM range compared to *cis-platin* against L-929 and A-549 cancer cell lines and more cytotoxic against T-24 and MCF-7 cancer cell lines. The IC₅₀ values for the ligand, 1, against MCF-7 and T-24 cell lines are 0.15 μ M and 2.09 μ M, respectively, and against A-549 and L-929 cell lines are $0.81 \,\mu\text{M}$ and $2.5 \,\mu\text{M}$, respectively. Ligand is 19.8 \pm 1.3 and 52.4 \pm 4.6 times more active than *cis*platin against T-24 and MCF-7 cell lines, respectively. The IC₅₀ values for 3 against MCF-7 and T-24 cell lines are $1.97 \times 10^{-2} \,\mu\text{M}$ and $1.1 \,\mu\text{M}$, respectively, and against A-549 and L-929 cell lines are $0.77 \,\mu\text{M}$ and $1.05 \,\mu\text{M}$, respectively. Complex 3 is 403.6 ± 20.2 times more active than *cis-platin* against MCF-7 cell line and 37.9 \pm 3.1 times more active than cis-platin against T-24 cell line. The IC50 values for **4** against MCF-7 and T-24 cell lines are $7.28 \times 10^{-2} \,\mu\text{M}$ and 0.73 µM, respectively, and against A-549 and L-929 cell lines are $0.83 \,\mu\text{M}$ and $1.37 \,\mu\text{M}$, respectively. Complex 4 is 110.3 ± 9.9 times more active than *cis-platin* against MCF-7 cell line and 57.1 \pm 3.9 times more active than cis-platin against T-24 cell line. Compounds 1, 3, and 4 were found active against all four cell lines. Selectivity was observed for complexes 3 and 4 which were found especially active against MCF-7 and T-24 cancer cell lines. The mentioned evident differences in the antiproliferative action of the ligand and its diorganotin(VI) complexes indicate that these complexes really exist under the condition of the biological tests. Interestingly enough, **3** and **4** were found to be more potent cytotoxic agent than the prevalent benchmark metallodrug, *cis-platin*, under the same experimental conditions measured by us. The superior activity of **3** and **4** assumes significance in light of the fact that *cis-platin* is undisputedly the most studied and widely used metallopharmaceutical for cancer therapy known to date. It is noteworthy the high selectivity against MCF-7 and T-24 cancer cell lines.

Acknowledgment

The first author thanks I.K.Y. for a scholarship for her Ph.D. The authors also thank the NMR centre of ten University of Ioannina. This paper is dedicated to Professor Dr. N. Hadjiliadis for his contribution to the advancement of inorganic chemistry in Greece.

References

- [1] S. J. Blunden, P. A. Cusack, and R. Hill, *Industrial Uses of Tin Chemicals*, Royal Society of Chemistry, London, UK, 1985.
- [2] V. Chandrasekhar, S. Nagendran, and V. Baskar, "Organotin assemblies containing Sn-O bonds," *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 235, no. 1-2, pp. 1–52, 2002.
- [3] S. Tabassum and C. Pettinari, "Chemical and biotechnological developments in organotin cancer chemotherapy," *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 691, no. 8, pp. 1761–1766, 2006.
- [4] D. Kovala-Demertzi, "Recent advances on non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, NSAIDs: organotin complexes of NSAIDs," *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 691, no. 8, pp. 1767–1774, 2006.
- [5] H. Beraldo and D. Gambino, "The wide pharmacological versatility of semicarbazones, thiosemicarbazones and their metal complexes," *Mini-Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 31–39, 2004.
- [6] A. Gomez Quiroga and C. Navarro Ranninger, "Contribution to the SAR field of metallated and coordination complexes: studies of the palladium and platinum derivatives with

- selected thiosemicarbazones as antitumoral drugs," *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 248, no. 1-2, pp. 119–133, 2004.
- [7] D. Kovala-Demertzi, M. A. Demertzis, E. Filiou, et al., "Platinum(II) and palladium(II) complexes with 2-acetyl pyridine 4N-ethyl thiosemicarbazone able to overcome the *cis*-platin resistance. Structure, antibacterial activity and DNA strand breakage," *BioMetals*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 411–418, 2003.
- [8] D. Kovala-Demertzi, A. Boccarelli, M. A. Demertzis, and M. Coluccia, "In vitro antitumor activity of 2-acetyl pyridine 4N-ethyl thiosemicarbazone and its platinum(II) and palladium(II) complexes," *Chemotherapy*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 148–152, 2007.
- [9] D. Kovala-Demertzi, P. Nath Yadav, J. Wiecek, S. Skoulika, T. Varadinova, and M. A. Demertzis, "Zinc(II) complexes derived from pyridine-2-carbaldehyde thiosemicarbazone and (1E)-1-pyridin-2-ylethan-1-one thiosemicarbazone. Synthesis, crystal structures and antiproliferative activity of zinc(II) complexes," *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry*, vol. 100, no. 9, pp. 1558–1567, 2006.
- [10] R. A. Finch, M.-C. Liu, S. P. Grill, et al., "Triapine (3-aminopyridine-2-carboxaldehyde-thiosemicarbazone): a potent inhibitor of ribonucleotide reductase activity with broad spectrum antitumor activity," *Biochemical Pharmacol*ogy, vol. 59, no. 8, pp. 983–991, 2000.
- [11] M. A. Demertzis, P. Nath Yadav, and D. Kovala-Demertzi, "Palladium(II) complexes of the thiosemicarbazone and N- ethylthiosemicarbazone of 3-hydroxypyridine-2-carbaldehyde: synthesis, properties, and X-ray crystal structure," *Helvetica Chimica Acta*, vol. 89, no. 9, pp. 1959–1970, 2006.
- [12] D. Kovala-Demertzi, A. Papageorgiou, L. Papathanasis, et al., "In vitro and in vivo antitumor activity of platinum(II) complexes with thiosemicarbazones derived from 2-formyl and 2-acetyl pyridine and containing ring incorporated at N(4)-position: synthesis, spectroscopic study and crystal structure of platinum(II) complexes with thiosemicarbazones, potential anticancer agents," *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 1296–1302, 2009.
- [13] D. Kovala-Demertzi, V. Dokorou, A. Primikiri, et al., "Organotin meclofenamic complexes: synthesis, crystal structures and antiproliferative activity of the first complexes of meclofenamic acid—novel anti-tuberculosis agents," *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry*, vol. 103, no. 5, pp. 738–744, 2009.
- [14] D. Kovala-Demertzi, A. Alexandratos, A. Papageorgiou, P. Nath Yadav, P. Dalezis, and M. A. Demertzis, "Synthesis, characterization, crystal structures, in vitro and in vivo antitumor activity of palladium(II) and zinc(II) complexes with 2-formyl and 2-acetyl pyridine N(4)-1-(2-pyridyl)-piperazinyl thiosemicarbazone," *Polyhedron*, vol. 27, no. 13, pp. 2731–2738, 2008.
- [15] G. M. Sheldrick, "Phase annealing in shelx-90- direct methods for larger structures," *Acta Crystallographica Section A*, vol. 46, pp. 467–473, 1990.
- [16] G. M. Sheldrick, "SHELXL-97," Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Goettingen, Goettingen, Germany, 1997.
- [17] A. L. Spek, *PLATON*, A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2003.
- [18] P. Skehan, R. Storeng, D. Scudiero, et al., "New colorimetric cytotoxicity assay for anticancer-drug screening," *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 82, no. 13, pp. 1107–1112, 1990.
- [19] M. Ohno and T. Abe, "Rapid colorimetric assay for the quantification of leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) and interleukin-

- 6 (IL-6)," Journal of Immunological Methods, vol. 145, no. 1-2, pp. 199–203, 1991.
- [20] K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 4th edition, 1986.
- [21] J. Wiecek, V. Dokorou, Z. Ciunik, and D. Kovala-Demertzi, "Organotin complexes of pyruvic acid thiosemicarbazone: synthesis, crystal structures and antiproliferative activity of neutral and cationic diorganotin complexes," *Polyhedron*, vol. 28, no. 15, pp. 3298–3304, 2009.
- [22] A. Lycka, J. Jirman, and J. Holecek, "Magnitudes and relative signs of J(Sn-119, C-13) and J(Sn-119, H) coupling-constants in some organotin(IV) compounds using 2D Nmr methods," *Magnetic Resonance in Chemistry*, vol. 29, no. 12, pp. 1212–1215, 1991.
- [23] B. Wrackmeyer, "119Sn-NMR parameters," Annual Reports on NMR Spectroscopy, vol. 16, pp. 73–186, 1985.
- [24] M. C. Etter, "Encoding and decoding hydrogen-bond patterns of organic compounds," *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 120–126, 1990.

















Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com























