

1	MEASUREMENTS OF ZINC SHOW PHYTATE EFFECTS
2	Use of Zn concentration in the gastrointestinal tract as a measure of phytate
3	susceptibility to the effect of phytase supplementation in broilers
4	N. K. Morgan* ¹ , D.V. Scholey [*] and E. J. Burton*
5	*School of Animal, Rural and Environmental Science, Nottingham Trent University,
6	Southwell, Nottinghamshire, England, United Kingdom, NG25 0QF
7	Telephone +44 (0)115 8485360
8	Email <u>nat.morgan@ntu.ac.uk</u>
9	Email <u>dawn.scholey@ntu.ac.uk</u>
10	Email emily.burton@ntu.ac.uk
11	Metabolism and Nutrition
12	¹ Corresponding author: <u>nat.morgan@ntu.ac.uk</u>

ABSTRACT

Zinc (Zn) is the most vulnerable cation to complexation with phytate. An experiment 14 was conducted to evaluate the potential of measurements of Zn concentration in the 15 gastrointestinal tract as a marker to assess the anti-nutritional impact of phytate and 16 susceptibility of phytate to phytase in broilers. Ross 308 broilers (n = 180) were fed one of 5 17 experimental diets with differing phytase activity levels, analyzed at 605, 1150, 1804, 3954 18 and 5925 U/kg. Broiler performance and Zn concentration, pH and amount of phytate 19 hydrolyzed in the gizzard, duodenum and ileum were analyzed at d21 post hatch. Phytate 20 susceptibility to phytase degradation was determined *in vivo* and *in vitro* by measuring total 21 phytate-P hydrolyzed in the tract or in conditions that mimicked the tract, respectively. Phytase 22 activity level had a significant (P < 0.05) impact on Zn concentration and phytate hydrolyzed 23 in the gizzard and ileum, but not in the duodenum. Strong relationships were observed between 24 25 the amount of phytate hydrolyzed and Zn concentration in the gizzard in birds fed the diets with 1804 U/kg or higher levels of phytase. Phytate and phytase effects could therefore 26 27 potentially be evaluated by measuring Zn concentration in the gizzard. Susceptible phytate 28 levels measured in vivo and in vitro were almost identical in the diet with phytase activity of 5925 U/kg, but in the diets with lower phytase activity levels the *in vitro* assay overestimated 29 the amount of P released. There were strong relationships between *in vivo* susceptible phytate 30 level and pH and amount of phytate hydrolyzed in the gizzard, duodenum and ileum and Zn 31 32 concentration in the gizzard and ileum. This illustrates that phytate susceptibility directly effects mineral availability in the gastrointestinal tract. Measurements of Zn concentration in 33 34 the gastrointestinal tract, particularly in the gizzard, can potentially be used as a marker to assess the anti-nutritional impact of phytate and susceptibility of phytate to phytase in broilers. 35

36 Key words: broiler, zinc, phytate, phytase

13

INTRODUCTION

38 The current commonly used methods for measuring phytate concentration and phytase activity in poultry diets and digesta are colorimetric, whereby the color formation between 39 molybdate and released inorganic orthophosphate is measured. There is however large 40 variation and poor reproducibility using these methods. This is because free or water-soluble P 41 results in high background and hinders degradation of phytate, the absorbance readings are 42 often unstable as the background color of the blank is strong (and thus the color contribution 43 by phytase hydrolysis is reduced) and the samples do not mix very well as the presence of fat 44 and carbohydrate causes an oil layer to form (Kim, 2005). High performance liquid 45 chromatography (HPLC) is also widely used for phytate analysis (Cowieson et al., 2006), but 46 each analysis requires expensive and time-consuming anion-exchange purification. Alternative 47 methods for measuring phytate concentration include using measurements of turbidity as an 48 49 indicator of phytate complex hydrolysis, based on the principle that stable protein-phytate complexes form turbid solutions (Tran et al. 2011), heating samples with a standardized amount 50 51 of FeCl₃ and measuring the amount of precipitated ferric phytate (Wheeler and Ferrel, 1971), 52 electrophoresis (Blatny et al. 1995), paper chromatography (Agostinho et al. 2016) and nuclear magnetic resonance (Leytem et al. 2008). The flaws with these methods are that they are non-53 specific to phytate and require a large volume of sample. The most sensitive method for phytate 54 analysis is the use of metal dye detection reagents (Mayr, 1988) but the extreme sensitivity of 55 this method makes it complex and expensive. Alternative methods for measuring phytate and 56 phytase activity in poultry diets and digesta which are sensitive, low cost and require small 57 sample size are therefore required. 58

59 This study explores the hypothesis that it may be advantageous to measure the impact 60 of phytate and phytase on mineral availability and the gastrointestinal environment as opposed 61 to measuring phytate and phytase directly. Zinc (Zn) is the most vulnerable of the essential 62 cations to complexation with phytate (Park et al., 2013). Zn deficiency in broilers occurs only when high concentrations of phytate are present (Kornegay, 2001; Oberleas and Harland, 1996); 63 phytate binds to the positively charged Zn resulting in the formation of insoluble Zn-protein-64 phytate complexes (especially in the small intestine) that cannot be absorbed in the digestive 65 tract (Schlegel et al., 2010). This suggests that the degree of Zn deficiency, due to the 66 vulnerability of Zn to phytate, could be used as an indicator of the chelating capacity and hence 67 anti-nutritional impact of phytate. The presence of calcium aggravates the effect of phytate on 68 Zn utilization (Linares et al. 2007), which may be because it increases pH and insoluble 69 70 calcium-phytate-Zn complexes form which prevent Zn absorption.

71 Measuring the total phytate-P concentration of a diet following phytase addition may be misleading because the total amount of phytate in the diet does not necessarily represent the 72 73 quantity of phosphorus available for hydrolysis. This is because insoluble phytate-mineral precipitates and soluble mineral-phytate complexes may be resistant to phytase hydrolysis 74 (Maenz et al., 1999). Measurements of the susceptibility of phytate to phytase may give a better 75 indication of the impact of phytate and phytase and should potentially be taken into account 76 when formulating diets with phytase. Phytate susceptibility is determined *in vitro* by exposing 77 78 samples to conditions that mimic the bird gastrointestinal tract and then measuring phytate-P 79 released. This is then assumed to be the proportion of total phytate that is susceptible to phytase 80 degradation. In this study, the relationship between *in vitro* and *in vivo* phytate susceptibility 81 and Zn is investigated to examine if measurements of gastrointestinal Zn levels could be used as a tool to indicate phytate susceptibility to phytase. This was carried out by observing if there 82 was a direct relationship between level of Zn and level of susceptible phytate; in the presence 83 of phytase the higher the level of Zn suggests the more phytate has been degraded as more Zn 84 has been released from phytate, and hence the more susceptible the phytate is to the effects of 85 phytase. This would be advantageous as enables predictions and assessments of phytase 86

success and extent of the impact of phytate in different ingredients and combinations to becarried in a relatively cheap and simple way.

Dose response curves for the effects of phytase on Zn utilisation are not as clearly 89 understood as they are for Ca and P and there is lack of understanding and consistency amongst 90 studies about the extent to which phytase improves bioavailability and digestibility of Zn. Some 91 studies have found that phytase improves Zn digestibility and retention. For example, Yi et al. 92 (1996) found that approximately 0.9 mg of Zn was released per 100 U of phytase over the range 93 of 150 to 600 U of phytase. Also, Thiel and Weigand (1992) found that the addition of 800 94 U/kg phytase to a diet containing 27mg Zn/kg significantly increased Zn retention and reduced 95 Zn excretion in chicks. Thiel et al. (1993) reported that Zn content of chick femurs was similar 96 between birds fed diets containing 30mg Zn/kg with 700U/kg phytase and those fed diets 97 containing 39mg Zn/kg without supplemental phytase. Biehl et al. (1995) found that phytase 98 99 and 1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol supplementation in chicks increased growth rate and tibia Zn to the same degree. Some studies however have found that there is a negative relationship 100 101 between Zn and phytase, for example Augspurger et al. (2004) reported that 800mg/kg of Zn 102 supplementation in chick diets reduced the phosphorus-releasing efficacy of phytase, resulting in decreased tibia ash (14%) in the presence of phytase. This study illustrates a potent inhibitory 103 effect of Zn^2 + on phytate hydrolysis by phytase. Furthermore, Roberson and Edwards (1994) 104 did not observe consistent improvements in Zn absorption when broilers were fed 600-750U/kg 105 phytase in diets containing 32mg Zn/kg. When dietary Zn level is greater than the requirement 106 for growth, plasma and tibia concentration, at approximately 45mg/kg (Mohanna and Nys, 107 1999), it is excreted, which has negative implications both economically and environmentally, 108 as excess Zn can cause phytotoxicity in soil. The findings from these studies suggest a lot more 109 investigation is required into the relationship between phytate and phytase and Zn in poultry. 110

Physiological conditions and transit time in the digestive tract contribute towards Zn 111 solubility and availability in the digestive tract. Phytate-mineral complexes are soluble at low 112 pH (< 3.5) and become less soluble as pH increases along the gastrointestinal tract, forming 113 insoluble complexes at the pH of the small intestine (pH 5-7). The shift of pH from the gizzard 114 to the duodenum causes phytate to readily seek cations to complex and precipitate with 115 (Kornegay, 2001). When the rate of Zn secretion into the duodenum via the pancreas exceeds 116 the rate at which dietary Zn is consumed, Zn deficiency occurs in the presence of high 117 quantities of phytate (Yu et al., 2010). This suggests that measurements of phytate and phytase 118 119 effects in the duodenum, as opposed to other sections of the gastrointestinal tract, may give the best indication of the extent of the anti-nutritional impact of phytate on Zn bioavailability. This 120 paper investigates whether this is true, or if it is more advantageous to determine the 121 122 relationship between Zn and phytate in the gizzard or ileum.

The aim of this study was to evaluate whether measurements of Zn concentration in the gastrointestinal tract can be used as a marker to assess the anti-nutritional effect of phytate and phytate susceptibility to the effects of phytase in broilers. An additional objective was to establish where in the gastrointestinal tract measures of phytate-Zn reactions give the best indication of the effects of phytate and phytase.

128

MATERIALS AND METHODS

129 Birds and Husbandry

Ross 308, male broilers (n = 180) from a 43-week-old breeder flock were obtained from a commercial hatchery at day of hatch. Chicks were randomized by weight and placed in 0.64 m² floor pens in groups of 4, bedded on clean wood shavings. Pen allocation was randomized across the room. On arrival birds were individually weighed and allocated to a pen. Three birds per pen (n = 135) were sampled in the study, with one spare bird per pen. Total pen weight and mean chick body weight (**BW**) were calculated, and diet allocation was arranged to ensure 136 there was no significant difference in BW by pen across diets. Birds were allowed ad libitum access to the treatment diets and water for the duration of the trial. The room was 137 thermostatically controlled to produce an initial temperature of 32°C on d1 and reduced in steps 138 139 of 0.5° C per d, reaching 21°C by day 14. The lighting regimen used was 24 hours light on d1, with darkness increasing by 1 hour a day until 6 hours of darkness was reached, which was 140 maintained throughout the remainder of the study. All birds sampled were euthanized by 141 cervical dislocation on d21 post-hatch. This occurred after at least 6 hours of light, to ensure 142 maximal gut fill. Total pen weight and feed intake (FI) was determined on d21 post-hatch and 143 144 was used to calculate feed conversion ratio (FCR). Mortality was recorded daily, and any birds culled or dead were weighed. Institutional and national guidelines for the care and use of 145 animals were followed and all experimental procedures involving animals were approved by 146 147 the University's College of Science ethical review committee.

148

149 Dietary Treatments

Diets were formulated to produce total phytase levels of approximately 400, 700, 2000, 150 4000 and 6000 U/kg. This study was conducted primarily to examine a novel variety of high 151 phytase wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) and has been reported elsewhere (Brinch-Pedersen et al., 152 2012). The control diet, with a predicted phytase activity of 400 FTU/kg, had no supplemental 153 phytase added (Table 1). The diet with a predicted phytase activity of 700 FTU/kg was the 154 control diet with added supplemental phytase (Quantum BlueTM, AB Vista Feed Ingredients). 155 The diets formulated to have predicted phytase levels of 2000, 4000 and 6000 U/kg were the 156 control diet with a proportion of the wheat replaced with wheat that had higher intrinsic phytase 157 158 content. A P-adequate control diet was used in this study so direct comparisons could be made between the endogenous phytase activity provided by the novel wheat compared to standard 159 wheat. The conventional wheat had a measured phytase level of 1060 U/kg and the higher 160

161 phytase wheat had a measured phytase level of 6196 U/kg. These treatments also enabled differences between phytase effects from microbial sources compared to plant sources to be 162 assessed. The measured phytase levels of the diets were 605 U/kg for the control diet and 1150, 163 1804, 3954 and 5925 U/kg for the treatment diets. The diets had total phytate levels ranging 164 from approximately 10-12g/kg DM (Table 2), dietary Ca levels of approximately 7.80g/kg DM 165 (as dicalcium phosphate and limestone) (Table 1) and non-phytate-P levels of approximately 166 2.50 g/kg DM (Table 2). There were five treatments with each treatment replicated by 9 pens 167 of 3 birds each (27 birds/dietary treatment). Diets were mixed in house and fed as mash. They 168 169 were analyzed for gross energy by bomb calorimetry (Latshaw and Moritz, 2009), dry matter and protein content (calculated as nitrogen multiplied by 6.25) by the AOAC standard methods 170 171 (930.15 and 990.03, respectively). Zn, P and Ca content of the diets were analyzed by 172 inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) following an aqua regia digestion step (AOAC 985.01, Thomas and Ravindran, 2010). Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) was 173 added at a rate of 0.5% to act as an inert marker for evaluation of dietary phytate hydrolyzed 174 and the dietary content of TiO₂ was quantified by ICP-OES following aqua regia digestion, by 175 the method of Morgan et al. (2014). Total phytic acid content was analyzed by a K-Phyt assay 176 kit (MegazymeTM, Wicklow, Ireland, UK). This assay quantitatively measured available 177 phosphorus released from the samples. Briefly, inositol phosphates were acid extracted 178 followed by treatment with a phytase specific for IP₆-IP₂ and alkaline phosphate added to 179 180 ensure release of the final phosphate from myo-inositol phosphate (IP_1) . The total phosphate released was measured using a modified colorimetric method and given as grams of phosphorus 181 per 100 g of sample material. In vitro susceptible phytate content was analysed by adding 182 183 warmed acetate buffer (2.5M acetic acid and 2.5M sodium acetate, pH 4.5) to the diet samples, incubating and centrifuging the solution, neutralizing the supernatant with 0.25M NaOH, and 184 subsequently using the K-PhytTM assay to analyze phytate content. Phytase activity was 185

analyzed according to the method of Engelen et al. (2001). Diet composition and analyzed GE,
CP and mineral values for the diets are shown in Table 1. Analyzed phytase, phytate and
susceptible phytate values for each of the diets are shown in Table 2.

189 Response Variables

190 Two birds per pen were individually weighed and marked with a colored pen for 191 identification purposes post-euthanasia. Gizzard, duodenum and ileum pH of these two birds 192 was determined by inserting a spear tip piercing pH electrode (Sensorex, CA) with digital pH 193 meter (Mettler-Toledo, Leicester, UK) directly into the digesta in the gut lumen as soon as they 194 had been excised. Readings were repeated three times per section of gut per bird (ensuring the 195 probe did not touch the gut wall) and average pH was calculated.

Gizzard, duodenum and ileum digesta contents from 3 birds per pen were then collected by gentle digital pressure into one pot per section of tract per pen, and stored at -20°C prior to freeze drying. Once freeze dried, the samples were ground to a fine powder with a pestle and mortar. Zn and titanium dioxide content of the digesta was determined by ICP-OES following aqua regia digestion as previously discussed for the diets. Total phytate content of the gizzard, duodenum and ileum digesta samples were analyzed by a K-Phyt assay as previously discussed and the amount of dietary phytate hydrolyzed was calculated using the equation:

203 Dietary phytate (g/kg DM)*(1-(digesta phytate (g/kg DM)) *TiO_{2 diet} (g/kg DM)) / (TiO₂ digesta

204 $(g/kg DM)^*$ dietary phytate (g/kg DM))

These values were used to calculate the *in vivo* susceptible phytate level by calculating the proportion of total phytate that had been successfully hydrolyzed in the gizzard, duodenum and ileum.

208 Data Analysis

All data were analyzed using IBM SPSS statistics version 22. After Kolmogorov–
Smirnov testing to confirm normality, one-way ANOVA was used to test the equality of the

means and multiple ANOVA was used to test interactions. When means were significantly 211 different, Duncan post-hoc tests were conducted to differentiate between them. Following 212 polynomial contrast tests to test polynomial patterns in all of the data, correlations between 213 measured factors were analyzed by bivariate correlations using Pearson product- moment 214 correlation coefficient. Interpretations of the strength of the relationships between the factors 215 were based on determining a very strong relationship as r = 0.800 to 1.000, strong as r = 0.600216 to 0.790, moderate as r = 0.400 to 0.590, weak as r = 0.200 to 0.390 and very weak as r = 0.000217 to 0.190. Statistical significance was declared at P < 0.05. 218

219

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 shows that the susceptible phytate levels measured in vitro and in vivo were 220 221 almost identical in the diets with phytase activity of 5925 FTU/kg, but at the lower dietary 222 phytase levels the *in vitro* assay used in this study overestimated how much of the total dietary phytate was susceptible to the effects of phytase. This was particularly the case in the diet with 223 phytase activity of only 605 FTU/kg, in which it was predicted that approximately 5% more 224 phytate would be hydrolyzed than was actually observed *in vivo*. Similar findings of observed 225 stronger relationships between in vitro and in vivo methods in diets with phytase levels of 5000 226 227 FTU/kg or higher compared to diets with lower phytase levels were also observed by Morgan et al. (2014b). This is likely to be because the *in vitro* assay was not able to completely mimic 228 conditions in the tract. This is because the *in vitro* assay was a closed system in which P ions 229 230 released by phytate hydrolysis were not removed, so minor phytase effects appear to be more influential than would be observed in vivo. This suggests that the in vitro assay was successful 231 at detecting P release when there was near complete phosphate hydrolysis, which likely 232 233 occurred at this high phytase activity level, but was not sensitive enough to measure only partial phytate hydrolysis when low levels of P were released. The 6 phosphate groups on the inositol 234 235 ring of phytate are hydrolysed in sequential order, with 6-phytases, such as the ones featured 236 in this study, starting at position 6, then position 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. Degrading IP₆ to IP₄ eliminates most of the anti-nutritional effects of phytate, but does not generate the full phosphorus 237 hydrolyzing capacity. In the diets with lower phytase activity the partial phytate hydrolysis 238 239 would result in a higher presence of lower inositols (namely IP₄ and IP₃) and hence a lower concentration of IP₆ for the phytase to act on. IP₄ and IP₃ form weaker mineral complexes and 240 bind to fewer minerals than IP_5 and IP_6 . It may be possible therefore that the impact of the 241 242 alkaline phosphatase was more pronounced in the *in vitro* assay due to the higher presence of lower inositols and the degradation of these would occur more rapidly compared to degradation 243 244 of IP₅ and IP₆, resulting in overestimation by the *in vitro* assay. In the *in vivo* assay however the effects of the alkaline phosphatase addition were less extreme as the phytate had already 245 been exposed to both phytase and alkaline phosphatase in the bird's gastrointestinal tract. 246 247 Further work is needed to improve the quality of the *in vitro* assay, especially at lower levels of dietary phytase. It was noted that the 3954 FTU diets recorded the lowest phytate 248 susceptibility which may be because these diets had the highest total phytate content, resulting 249 250 in the phytate and Zn dissociating to a greater extent in these diets (Schlegel et al. 2010).

Table 3 shows that as phytase activity level increased more phytate was hydrolyzed and 251 more Zn was released from phytate-Zn complexes. In this study there were strong correlations 252 between the amount of phytate hydrolyzed and Zn concentration in the gizzard in birds fed the 253 diets with 1804 FTU/kg (r = 0.689, P = 0.040), 3954 FTU/kg (r = 0.632, P = 0.048) and 5925 254 255 FTU/kg (r = 0.735, P = 0.032). Phytate readily seeks cations, chiefly Zn, to complex with, so in the presence of phytate the rate of Zn secretion into the duodenum via the pancreas exceeds 256 the rate at which dietary Zn is consumed. This suggests that measuring Zn level could be used 257 258 as a tool to indicate the chelating capacity of phytate and phytate susceptibility to phytase, because any Zn bound to phytate would be excreted, so the higher the phytate presence the 259 lower the amount of free Zn present in the GIT. Phytase activity had a significant effect on the 260

261 amount of phytate hydrolyzed and Zn concentration in both the gizzard and ileum but not in the duodenum. Previously it was hypothesized that the duodenum may be the most appropriate 262 area of the tract to determine the relationship between phytate and Zn, but findings from this 263 264 study suggest that the gizzard gives the optimum location in the gastrointestinal tract for assessing the relationship between phytate and Zn, despite the upper part of the small intestine 265 being the main site of Zn absorption (Schlegel et al. 2010). This is potentially because protein-266 267 phytate-mineral complexes, which are difficult to hydrolyze, do not readily form at gizzard pH but form at higher pH, meaning phytate is most susceptible to the effects of phytase in the 268 269 gizzard (Amerah et al. 2014). This is advantageous because there is significantly more digesta sample in the gizzard, resulting in better homogeneity of the sample, allowing greater 270 271 replication and potentially reducing the number of birds required for sample collection. In the 272 duodenum and ileum however there were weak and non-significant relationships between the amount of phytate hydrolyzed and Zn concentration (Table 3). Further investigation is required 273 to assess the impact of method of euthanization on Zn and phytate levels in the different 274 275 sections of the tract, as it is possible that cervical dislocation resulted in issues such as mixing of digesta between segments due to peristalsis and mucosal shedding, which may have reduced 276 277 the accuracy of the measurements in the digesta. Additionally, the observed effects in birds fed the diets with 1804, 3954 and 5925 U/kg phytase but not at the lower phytase levels may be 278 partly because the phytase in these diets is from a plant source as opposed to a microbial source, 279 280 but this requires further investigation.

The lack of strong correlations between gizzard pH and phytate hydrolysis in this study illustrates that gizzard pH was sufficiently low in all diets to allow for phytate hydrolysis (Table 4). This study was not designed to distinguish performance differences between the diets and P-adequate dietary treatments were fed, hence why significant performance effects were not observed, although FCR was numerically improved in the presence of phytase (Table 5). 286 Strong relationships were observed between pH and phytate susceptibility in vivo and *in vitro* in the gizzard (r = 0.749 P = 0.045 and r = 0.966 P = 0.007 respectively) and ileum (r 287 = 0.664 P = 0.021 and r = 0.861 P = 0.041 respectively), and between duodenum pH and 288 289 phytate susceptibility measured *in vivo* (r = 0.609 P = 0.026) (Table 6). This may be because it is addition of H+ ions to the weak acid phosphate groups of phytate that convert it from being 290 resistant to susceptible to the effects of phytase. This study was in agreement with the work of 291 Schlegel et al. (2010) who found that lower gizzard pH directly related to higher Zn solubility 292 and that phytase was efficient in increasing digestive Zn and improving Zn status in the bird. 293 294 Zn-phytate complexes dissociate as soon as pH is below 4 (Ellis et al. 1982), so the results presented for pH (Table 4) and phytate hydrolyzed (Table 3) for the 605 FTU/kg phytase diet 295 296 suggest that the low pH in the gizzard allowed for Zn-phytate complexes to dissociate, even in 297 the presence of very low levels of phytase. At higher pH, such as in the duodenum and ileum, phytate must first be hydrolyzed by phytase before Zn can be released. In this study the level 298 of Zn was the same in each treatment in order to determine the direct effect of phytate and 299 300 phytase on intestinal Zn levels, as opposed to the impact of dietary Zn presence on any of the parameters studied, but it would be advantageous in future studies to observe the effects of 301 302 different Zn concentrations and define the optimum level of Zn for a successful marker.

In the gizzard, in vivo phytate susceptibility correlated moderately with Zn 303 concentration (r = 0.583 P = 0.025) and strongly with phytate hydrolyzed (r = 0.733 P = 0.014) 304 305 (Table 6). This suggests that measuring Zn level in the gizzard could be used as an indicator of phytate susceptibility to phytase and hence used to predict the anti-nutritional effect of phytate 306 and the ability of phytase to combat its effects. In this study over 80% of the dietary zinc was 307 308 utilized in the presence of phytase and as phytase level increased the strength of the relationship between phytate hydrolysis and zinc increased, highlighting heightened zinc release from 309 phytate. This suggests that Zn deficiency could potentially be almost completely eliminated by 310

311 supplementing diets with high levels of phytase (Linares et al., 2007; Adams et al, 2002). A further advantage is that the ICP-OES assay is less time consuming and more sensitive than 312 the colorimetric assay for measuring phytate and Zn can be measured concurrently with other 313 mineral analysis. Zn availability is dependent on solubility in the digesta which is dictated by 314 pH and dietary Zn concentration as discussed, but also the source of the supplemented Zn and 315 interactions between diet Zn and other components in the diet (Schlegel et al., 2010). Further 316 investigation is therefore needed into the interaction between phytate and phytase with different 317 Zn sources and diet combinations. These findings also illustrate that additional phytase 318 319 delivered in the form of an endogenous enzyme in wheat was effective at increasing zinc availability to the bird. 320

It can be concluded that measurements of Zn concentration in the gastrointestinal tract, 321 322 particularly in the gizzard, have the potential to be used as a marker to assess the anti-nutritional impact of phytate and phytate susceptibility to the effects of phytase in broilers. Phytate 323 susceptibility to phytase effects has a direct effect on Zn availability in the gastrointestinal tract 324 and hence bird performance. It was hypothesized that measurements in the duodenum would 325 give the best indication of phytate susceptibility to phytase and the anti-nutritional impact of 326 phytate on Zn bioavailability, but it was found that that measurements of Zn in the gizzard 327 provided the best indicator of phytate and phytase effects. This study also illustrated that 328 additional phytase delivered in the form of an endogenous enzyme in wheat is effective at 329 330 increasing Zn availability. Further work in needed to improve the quality of the susceptible phytate assay and to assess the relationship between Zn and phytate in diets with differing 331 ingredient combinations and hence differing Zn and phytate levels. 332

333

334

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

335

336	The authors wish to thank J. Chojecki, Plant Bioscience Ltd, Norwich, UK and H. Brinch-
337	Pedersen, Aarhus University, Slagelse, Denmark for providing materials required to conduct
338	the study.
339	
340	REFERENCES
341 342	Adams, C.L., M. Hambridge, V. Raboy, J.A. Dorsch, L. Sian, J.L. Westcott and N.F. Krebs. 2002. Zinc absorption from a low-phytic acid maize. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 76: 556-559.
343 344 345	Agostinho, A.J., W. de Souza Oliveira, D.S. Anunciação, J. C.C. Santos. 2016. Simple and sensitive spectrophotometric method for phytic acid determination in grains. Food Anal. Methods. 9:2087-2096.
346 347 348	Augspurger, N.R., J.D. Spencer, D.M. Webel and D.H. Baker. 2004. Pharmacological zinc levels reduce the phosphorus-releasing efficacy of phytase in young pigs and chickens. J. Anim. Sci. 82:1732–1739.
349 350	Blatny, P., F. Kvasnicka and E. Kenndler. 1995. Determination of phytic acid in cereal grains, legumes and feeds by capillary isotachophoresis. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 43: 129-133.
351 352 353	Brinch-Pedersen, H., Madsen, C. K., Dionisio, G., & Holm, P. B. (2012). New mutant cereal plant, useful for manufacturing composition, which is useful as animal fodder, p. 1-55, WO2012146597-A1
354 355	Cohen, J. 1988. Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences. 2 nd ed. Erlbaum, Hilssdale, NJ.
356 357	Cowieson, A.J., T. Acamovic and M.R. Bedford. 2006. Phytic Acid and Phytase: Implications for protein utilization by poultry. Poult. Sci. 85: 878–885.
358 359	Ellis, R., E.R. Morris and A.D. Hill. 1982. Bioavailability to rats of iron and zinc in calcium- iron-phytate and calcium-zinc-phytate complexes. Nutr. Res. 2:319-322.
360 361 362	Engelen A.J., F.C. Van der Heeft, P.H. Randsdorp, W.A. Somers, J. Schaefer and B.J. Van der Vat. 2001. Determination of phytase activity in feed by colorimetric enzymatic method: collaborative interlaboratory study. J. AOAC Int. 84: 629-633.
363 364	Harland, B.F. and D.A. Oberleas. 1986. Anion exchange method for determination of phytate in foods: Collaborative study. <i>J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem.</i> 69: 667-670.
365 366 367	Kim, J. C., Simmins P. H., Mullan B. P., Pluske J. R. 2005. The digestible energy value of wheat for pigs, with special reference to the post-weaned animal. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 122:257–287.
368 369 370	Kornegay, E. T. 2001. Digestion of phosphorus and other nutrients: the role of phytases and factors influencing their activity. Pages 237-272 in Enzymes in Farm Animal Nutrition. CABI Publishing, New York, NY.

- Latshaw, J.D. and J.S. Moritz. 2009. The portioning of metabolizable energy by broiler
 chickens. Poult. Sci. 88: 98-105.
- Leytem, A.B., B.P. Willing and P.A. Thacker. 2008. Phytate utilization and phosphorus
 excretion by broiler chickens fed diets containing cereal grains varying in phytate and
 phytase content. Anim. Feed. Sci. Tech.146: 160-168.
- Linares, L.B., J.N. Broomhead, E.A. Guaiume, D.R. Ledoux, T.L. Veu and V. Raboy. 2007.
 Effects of low phytate barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) on zinc utilization in young broiler
 chicks. Poult. Sci. 86:299-308.
- Maenz D.D., C.M. Engele-Schaan, R.W.Newkirk and H.L. Classen. 1999. The effect of
 minerals and mineral chelators on the formation of phytase-resistant and phytasesusceptible forms of phytic acid in solution and in a slurry of canola meal. Anim. Feed.
 Sci. Tech.81:177-192.
- Mayr, G.W. 1988. A novel metal-dye detection system permits isomolar-range H.P.L.C.
 analysis of inositol polyphosphates from non-radioactively labeled cell or tissue
 specimens. Biochem. J. 254:585-591.
- Mohanna, C. and Y. Nys, 1999. Effect of dietary zinc content and sources on the growth, body
 zinc deposition and retention, zinc excretion and immune response in chickens. Br. Poult.
 Sci. 40:108-114.
- Morgan, N.K., D.V. Scholey and E.J. Burton. 2014a. A comparison of two methods for
 determining titanium dioxide marker content in broiler digestibility studies. Animal. 1-5.
- Morgan, N.K., C.L Walk, M.R. Bedford and E.J. Burton. 2014b. In vitro versus in situ
 evaluation of the effect of phytase supplementation on calcium and phosphorus solubility
 in soya bean and rapeseed meal broiler diets. Br. Poult. Sci. 55:238-245.
- Oberleas, D. and B. F. Harland. 1996. Impact of phytic acid on nutrient availability. Pages 77–
 84 in Phytase in Animal Nutrition and Waste Management. BASF Corp., Mount Olive,
 NJ.
- Park, K.H., B. Park, D.S. Yoon, S.H. Kwon, D.M. Shin, J.W. Lee, H.G. Lee, J-H. Shim, J.H.
 Park and J.M. Lee. 2013. Zinc inhibits osteoclast differentiation by suppression of Ca2+ Calcineurin-NFATc1 signaling pathway. J. Cell Commun. Signal. 11:74.
- Schlegel, P., Y. Nys and C. Jondreville. 2010. Zinc availability and digestive zinc solubility in
 piglets and broilers fed diets varying in their phytate contents, phytase activity and
 supplemented zinc source. Animal. 4:200-209.
- Tran, T.T., R. Hatti-Kaul, S. Dalsgaard, and S. Yu, 2011. A simple and fast kinetic assay for
 phytases using acid-protein complex as substrate. Anal. Biochem. 410:177-184.
- 405 Thiel, U. and E. Weigand. 1992. Influence of dietary zinc and microbial phytase
 406 supplementation on zinc retention and zinc excretion in broiler chicks. XIX World's
 407 Poultry Congress, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 20-24 September.
- Thiel, U., E. Weignad F.J. Schoner and P.P. Hoppe. 1993. Zinc retention of broiler chicken as
 affected by dietary supplementation of zinc and microbial phytase. In: Anke, M., D.

- 410 Meissner and C.F., Mills (eds). Proceedings of 8th International Symposium, Trace 411 elements in man and animal (TEMA). Dresden, Gersdorf, 658-659.
- Thomas, D.V. and V. Ravindran. 2010. Mineral retention in young broiler chicks fed diets
 based on wheat, sorghum or maize. Asian-Aus. J. Anim. Sci. 23(1): 68-73.
- Wheeler, E.L. and R.E. Ferrel. 1971. A method for phytic acid determination in wheat and
 wheat fractions. Presented at the American association of Cereal Chemists 54th Annual
 Meeting. April-May 1969.
- 417 Yi, Z., E.T. Kornegay and D.M. Denbow. 1996. Supplemental microbial phytase improves zinc
 418 utilization in broilers. Poult. Sci. 75:540-546.
- Yu, Y., L. Lu, R.L. Wang, L. Xi, X.G. Luo and B. Liu. 2010. Effects of zinc source and
 phytate on zinc absorption by in situ ligated intestinal loops of broilers. Poult. Sci. 89:
 2157-2165.

	Phytase, U/kg ¹				
Ingredient	605	1150	1804	3954	5925
Standard wheat, 10% CP	57.21	57.21	38.11	19.11	0
Higher phytase wheat	0	0	19.10	38.10	57.21
Soyabean meal, 48% CP	35	35	35	35	35
Soy oil	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78
Limestone	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28
Salt	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17
Sodium bicarbonate	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
Monocalcium phosphate HCl	1.23	1.23	1.23	1.23	1.23
Lysine HCl	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21
Methionine	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32
Threonine	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13
Econase XT	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Quantum Blue Phytase	0	0.01	0	0	0
Vitamin/Mineral Premix ¹	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Titanium Dioxide	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Analyzed composition					
CP, %	26.73	26.92	27.28	27.45	27.69
Gross energy, kcal/kg	4687	4686	4842	4905	4886
Total Zn, mg/kg	314.93	359.90	329.04	338.01	355.23
Total P, %	0.58	0.57	0.52	0.56	0.59
Total Ca, %	0.78	0.80	0.77	0.78	0.78

Table 1. Composition of control diet

¹Supplied per kilogram of diet: 10g calcium, 4.5g phosphorus, 1.5g sodium, 4.00g potassium, 1.5g chloride, 0.6g magnesium, 60mg manganese, 50mg Zn, 80mg iron, 6mg copper, 0.5mg iodine, 0.2mg molybdenum, 0.15mg selenium, 2.25mg retinol, 37.5 μ g cholecalciferol, 10mg tocopherol, 3.0mg menadione, 3.0mg thiamine, 5.0mg riboflavin, 10mg pantothenic acid, 4.0mg pyroxidine, 30mg niacin, 10 μ g cobalamin, 1.5mg folic acid, 0.15mg biotin, 1.4g choline, 125mg amprolium, 125mg antioxidant.

	Phytase, U/kg ¹					
	605	1150	1804	3954	5925	
Phytate, %	1.01	1.01	1.02	1.21	1.19	
Phytate-P, % ²	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.34	0.34	
Non-phytate-P, % ³	0.30	0.28	0.24	0.22	0.21	
In vitro Susceptible Phytate, %	0.56	0.57	0.58	0.64	0.65	
<i>In vitro</i> Susceptible Phytate (% of phytic acid)	55.25	56.14	56.96	52.89	54.71	
In vivo ⁴ Susceptible Phytate, %	0.51	0.54	0.56	0.59	0.64	
<i>In vivo</i> ⁴ Susceptible Phytate (% of phytic acid)	50.20	53.37	55.29	48.84	54.12	

Table 2. Proximate phytate and phytase composition of experimental diets and *in vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation of phytate susceptibility to phytase on a dry matter basis

¹Total phytase activity was analysed by a colorimetric enzymatic method and calculated as (net optical density at 415nm*dilution volume)/(slope of standard curve*mass*incubation time) (Engelen et al. 2001).

² Phytate-P was calculated as 28.2% of phytate (Harland and Oberleas, 1986).

³ Non-phytate P was calculated as the difference between total P and phytate-P.

⁴ In vivo means represent the average response of 27 birds/treatment.

Table 3. Effect of phytase concentration on amount of dietary phytic acid hydrolyzed and remaining after hydrolysis (g/kg dry matter) and Zn concentration in the gizzard, duodenum and ileum (mg/kg dry matter) and correlation between the amount of phytate hydrolyzed and Zn concentration at $d21^1$

	Phytase, U/kg ¹							
		605	1150	1804	3954	5925	SEM	<i>P</i> -value
	Remaining	5.24 ^b	4.98 ^b	4.89 ^b	6.17 ^a	6.08 ^a	0.24	< 0.001
Ciacond	Hydrolyzed	3.68 ^c	3.96 ^{bc}	4.19 ^{abc}	4.44^{ab}	4.75 ^a	0.16	0.030
Gizzaru	Zn	35.61 ^c	37.13 ^c	41.28 ^b	41.48 ^b	46.34 ^a	1.68	< 0.001
	Correlation ²	0.138	0.634	0.689	0.632	0.735		
	<i>P</i> -value	0.053	0.066	0.040	0.048	0.032		
	Remaining	4.85	4.56	4.44	5.81	5.49	0.24	0.202
Duodonum	Hydrolyzed	4.07	4.38	4.64	4.80	5.34	0.19	0.063
Duodellulli	Zn	58.00	61.41	60.27	60.61	62.51	0.67	0.897
	Correlation ²	0.079	0.413	0.234	0.297	0.301		
	<i>P</i> -value	0.841	0.269	0.544	0.438	0.998		
	Remaining	3.85 ^b	3.55 ^b	3.44 ^b	4.70 ^a	4.38 ^a	0.22	< 0.001
Iloum	Hydrolyzed	5.07 ^c	5.39 ^{bc}	5.64 ^b	5.91 ^b	6.44 ^a	0.21	< 0.001
neum	Zn	88.49 ^c	94.36 ^{bc}	98.36 ^{abc}	103.09 ^{ab}	106.81 ^a	2.88	0.021
	Correlation ²	0.013	0.188	0.317	0.005	0.084		
	P-value	0.973	0.387	0.529	0.990	0.276		

^{a-c} Means within the same column with no common superscript differ significantly ($P \le 0.05$). 2-way ANOVA and Duncan Post-Hoc test were used to differentiate between means.

¹Means represent the average response of 27 birds/treatment.

²Strength of the relationship between the amount of phytate hydrolyzed and Zn concentration in the gizzard, duodenum and ileum.

Table 4. Effect of phytase concentration on gizzard, duodenum and ileum pH and correlation between gastrointestinal pH^2 and the amount of phytate hydrolyzed² in broilers from d 0 to 21

			F	hytase, U/kg	g^1		
		605	1150	1804	3954	5925	<i>P</i> -value
Cizzond	pН	2.83	2.59	2.57	3.05	2.89	0.099
Gizzaru	Correlation ³	0.276	0.190	0.292	0.351	0.108	
	P-value	0.472	0.625	0.446	0.141	0.782	
Duodonum	pН	6.19	6.18	6.20	6.17	6.24	0.826
Duodellulli	Correlation ³	0.482	0.148	0.269	0.719	0.349	
	P-value	0.189	0.703	0.484	0.029	0.357	
Iloum	pН	6.91	7.08	6.92	7.96	7.10	0.583
neum	Correlation ³	0.011	0.627	0.303	0.092	0.086	
	<i>P</i> -value	0.978	0.041	0.428	0.815	0.825	

¹Means represent the average gastrointestinal pH of 18 birds/treatment.

² Means represent the average response of 27 birds/treatment.

³Strength of the relationship between the amount of phytate hydrolyzed and pH in the gizzard, duodenum and ileum.

	Phytase, U/kg ¹							
	605	1150	1804	3954	5925	SEM	<i>P</i> -value	
FI (g)	1238.01	1162.25	1139.00	1124.24	1073.92	24.03	0.070	
BWG (g)	764.53	722.19	737.75	726.91	790.41	11.47	0.268	
FCR	1.63	1.57	1.54	1.49	1.46	0.03	0.317	
1								

Table 5. Effect of phytase concentration on bird performance in broilers from d 0 to 21^1

¹Means represent the average response of 36 birds/treatment

Table 6. Strength of the relationship between susceptible phytate levels measured *in vitro* and *in vivo* (g/kg) and gastrointestinal Zn concentration (g), phytate hydrolyzed (g) and pH in broilers from d 0 to 21

		Zn		Phytate Hydrolyzed		рН	
	Susceptible Phytate Method	Correlation	<i>P</i> - value	Correlation	<i>P</i> -value	Correlation	<i>P</i> - value
Ciarond	In vitro	0.244	0.692	0.430	0.470	0.966	0.007
Gizzaru	In vivo	0.603	0.025	0.733	0.014	0.749	0.045
Ducdenum	In vitro	0.087	0.890	0.335	0.582	0.188	0.762
Duodenum	In vivo	0.484	0.409	0.607	0.034	0.609	0.026
Ilaura	In vitro	0.435	0.465	0.395	0.511	0.861	0.041
neum	In vivo	0.750	0.197	0.681	0.253	0.664	0.021