



Fayomi et al: Proc. ICCEM (2012) 207 - 210

Morphology and Properties of Zn-Al-TiO₂ Composite on Mild Steel

O.S.I Fayomi^{1,2*} A.P.I Popoola¹ C.A Loto² and O.M Popoola¹,¹Faculty of Engineering and the Built Environment, Tshwane University of Technology, Private Bag X680, Pretoria 0001, South Africa.²College of Science and Technology, Covenant University, P.M.B 1023, Ota, Ogun State*E-mail: ijosundayfayomi3@gmail.com, popoolaapi@tut.ac.za.

Abstract

The influence of TiO₂ composite and dispersed pure Al particle on zinc alloy electrodeposited on mild steel was studied from chloride bath solution. Microstructural and mechanical properties of the alloy were investigated. The structure, surface morphology, and surface topography of the deposited alloys were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscope (AFM). In addition, hardness of the coated alloys was measured. It was found that the obtained Zn-Al-TiO₂ alloy exhibited more preferred surface morphology and mechanical strength compared to the substrate. The result shows the existence of interaction between TiO₂ compounds and zinc alloy particulate. It also exhibited well bright dominant zinc coating on steel surface.

Keywords: Morphology, Additive, TiO₂, Atomic force microscopy (AFM).

1. Introduction

Deposited zinc coating is widely used method considered for corrosion resistance of steel [Shivakumara et al., 2009, Popoola et al., 2012]. However, the corrosion prevention and hardness properties are minimal compare to the huge expectation. Efforts have been directed toward improving the properties of zinc electrodeposition with other metal such as cadmium and nickel. Hence, some alloy formation such as Zn-Cd, Zn-Ni and Zn-Fe have gained successful access to the zinc industries as direct replacement for ordinary zinc coating [Praveen and Venkatesha, 2011, Popoola et al., 2012 and Basavanna and arthoba, 2009]

Recently focus is now shifting toward possessing a better hardness material and preferred corrosion resistance properties through the use of composite material [Rahman et al., 2009, Volinsky et al., 2001 and Fayomi et al., 2011]. In this paper Zn-Al-TiO₂ deposition on steel was prepared. The solution contains zinc, aluminum salt with TiO₂ dispersed within the bath formation. The investigation also examined the mechanical property of composite.

2. Experimental

The deposition of Zn-Al-TiO₂ alloy was performed in an electrochemical cell of two electrodes in which mild steel (50mm x 25mm x 1mm) sheet was used as substrate and zinc sheets of mild steel size were used as anodes.

Before electro-deposition the substrate was polished with successively finer grades of emery paper, cleaned with Na₂CO₃ solution, pickled and activated in 10% HCl solution at room temperature for 15 seconds and immediately followed by rinsing in distilled water. The working electrodes were connected to the rectifier. The baths were prepared by dissolving amounts of 150g/l ZnO, 35g/l Al, 9g/l TiO₂, 100g/l KCl and 10g/l H₃BO₃. Deposition was carried out at constant potential of 0.3V at 0.8A/cm² with the bath pH of 4.5. All the depositions were performed in 20 minutes. Surface morphology of the Zn-Al-TiO₂ coating was investigated using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) and Atomic force microscope (AFM). Microhardness (VHN) of the coatings deposited was measured by using a Dura scan microhardness tester under an application of 100 g load.

3. Results and Discussion

Substrate Characterization

Chemical composition of mild steel substrate can be seen in Table 1. The SEM spectrum in Figure 1 shows the morphology of mild steel. EDS analysis established the essential elemental composition with Fe being the major constituent.

SEM photomicrograph studies

Scanning electron microscope SEM, combined with energy dispersive spectroscopy, EDS, was used to investigate the surface morphology of the deposited coating. SEM photomicrograph of the deposited obtained from the ternary bath shows more finely grained and homogeneous surface appearance compare to the previous studies by (Fayomi et al., 2011) which has some porous and nonhomogeneous composition.

Table 1:Chemical composition of as-received mild steel

Elements	Composition (wt%)
Carbon	0.150
Silicon	0.180
Sulphur	0.031
Phosphorus	0.010
Iron	Balance




Figure 1:SEM/EDS spectra of as-received mild steel




Figure 2.SEM/EDS spectra Zn-Al-TiO₂

It is ideal to say that the porous free nature of the coating and the visible smaller grain size as seen in (Fig 2) is a direct influence of the

composite which lead to the change in the structural modification and the tendency of crystal growth. The change in morphology and



the nature of crystal formation can be linked to a blocking influence of the titanium additive particulate which leads to an increased nuclei resulting into rapid nucleation surface formation, perfect crystal growth, and refinement in crystal size, bright deposit and arrangement of crystals.

Scanning studies performed using AFM in Figure 3 also review the surface topography and the adhesion behavior of the coatings. In general, there are degrees of topography from the Zn-Al-TiO₂ imaging indicating a homogeneous coating diffusion and perfect preferential sites.

AFM Studies




Figure 3: AFM Photograph of electrodeposited Zn-Al-TiO₂

The additive has a noticeable influence on the surface morphological topography of the coated sample with fine crystals around the surface which possesses fine grain size and good surface morphologies. Moreover, it has been reported that addition of composite to a bath resulted in microstructural changes leading to formation of complex intermetallic compounds [Praven and Venkatesha, 2011].

Microhardness Studies:

The microhardness value of Zn-Al-TiO₂ and the substrate were measured. Figure 4 shows the influence of co-deposition of Zn-Al-TiO₂ and the operating parameter on the hardness properties. Microhardness value for the coated sample and the substrate were 152 and 61 HVN, respectively.




Figure 4. The microhardness/depth profile for mild steel and Zn-Al-TiO₂ coatings

This shows that a better grain size formation on the surface of the coated substrate occurs. It is obvious that there is resistance to dislocation as a result of the resultant bath formation matrix and the refinement of the grain size. Hence, the effect of Al and TiO₂ can be said to significantly influence the tendency of higher microhardness value which is in accordance to investigation by [Shivakumara et al., 2007; Sundararaja et al., 2003; Rahman et al., 2009; and Popoola et al., 2012] that fine-grained structure of the deposit or alloys and the dispersed particles in the fine-grained matrix may obstruct the easy movement of dislocations, to give a higher hardness value of deposited sample. Furthermore, it can also be noted by [Popoola et al., 2012] that the hardenability of the coating is determined to a large extent by the additive and the process parameter employed.

4. Conclusions

- ❖ A successful ternary co-deposition was achieved.
- ❖ Surface modification through the influence of Al and TiO₂ deposition has significantly enhanced surface adhesion property and improved the stability of mild steel.
- ❖ The hardness value increased 100% over the mild steel

Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported financially by the National Research Foundation

(NRF) and Technology Innovation Agency (TIA), Pretoria, Republic of South Africa.

References

1. Basavanna, S. and Arthoba Naik, Y. *J. of Appl. Electrochem.*, 39 (2009) 1975
2. Fayomi, O.S. Tau, V.R. Popoola, A.P.I. Durodola, B.M. Ajayi, O.O. Loto, C.A. and Inegbenebor, O.A. *J. of Mat. and Env. Sci.*, 3, (2011) 271
3. Popoola, A.P.I. Fayomi, O.S. and Popoola, O.M. *Int. J. of Electrochem. Sci.*, 6, (2012) 4860
4. Praveen, M. and Venkatesha, T.V. *Int. J. of Electrochem.*, 261, (2011) 407
5. Popoola, A.P.I. Fayomi, O.S. and Popoola, O.M. *Int. J. of Electrochem. Sci.*, 6, (2012) 4898
6. Rahman, M.J. Sen, S.R. Moniruzzaman, M. and Shorowordi, K.M. *J. of Mech. Eng, Tran.*, 40, (2009) 9.
7. Shivakumara, S. Manohar, U. Arthoba Naik, Y. and Venkatesha, T. *U. Bull. Mat. Sci.* 30 (2007) 455
8. Sundararajan, G. and Rama Krishna, L. *Surf. and Coat. Tech.*, 167, (2003) 269.
9. Volinsky, A.A. Vella, J. Adihetty, I.S. Sarihan, V.L. Mercado, L. Yeung, B.H. and Gerberich, W.W. 2001. *Mat. Res. Soc.*, 649, 1-6