Abramov et al. Zoological Studies 2014, **53**:34 http://www.zoologicalstudies.com/content/53/1/34

## RESEARCH

**Open Access** 

# An enigmatic pygmy dormouse: molecular and morphological evidence for the species taxonomic status of *Typhlomys chapensis* (Rodentia: Platacanthomyidae)

Alexei V Abramov<sup>1,3\*</sup>, Alexander E Balakirev<sup>2,3</sup> and Viatcheslav V Rozhnov<sup>2,3</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** The taxonomic position of enigmatic pygmy dormouse *Typhlomys* (Rodentia: Platacanthomyidae) from Vietnam is reconsidered based on both morphology and sequence data.


**Results:** The analysis of mitochondrial and nuclear genes has shown that the pygmy dormouse from Lao Cai Province of northern Vietnam belongs to a distinct phylogenetic lineage of *Typhlomys*. The DNA analysis has demonstrated a strong genetic difference (0.245 to 0.252 for the cytochrome oxidase gene (COI), 0.079 to 0.082 for interphotoreceptor retinoid-binding protein gene (IRBP), and 0.028 for the growth hormone receptor gene (GHR) between this lineage and the sample from South China. Multivariate analysis of cranial and dental data, as well as of some external characters, has also separated the Vietnamese population from the pygmy dormouse from Fujian in southern China, the type locality of *Typhlomys cinereus* (Bull Soc Philomath Paris 12:8–10, 1877).

**Conclusions:** Both genetic and morphological data confirm that there is a second species, *Typhlomys chapensis* (Field Mus Nat Hist Zool Ser 18:193–339, 1932), in the heretofore monotypic genus *Typhlomys*.

Keywords: Mitochondrial DNA; Nuclear DNA; Morphology; Systematics; Typhlomys chapensis

### Background

The enigmatic family Platacanthomyidae includes morphologically unique small rodents sporadically distributed in highlands of Southeast Asia (Musser and Carleton 2005). Evolutionary relationships of the platacanthomyids had been uncertain until a molecular phylogenetic study found the group to be the earliest extant lineage to split within the superfamily Muroidea (Jansa et al. 2009). This smallest murid family is currently composed of only two monotypic genera, *Platacanthomys* and *Typhlomys*. The spiny tree dormouse *Platacanthomys lasiurus* Blyth, 1859 has a restricted distribution in mountainous regions of southwestern India (Corbet and Hill 1992; Jayson and Jayaharia 2009). The pygmy dormouse, or the soft-furred



Little is known about the natural history of the pygmy dormouse because it has rarely been observed alive by scientists. To date, this species has been recorded only from the high mountain forests of southeastern China and northwestern Vietnam. These rodents closely resemble the dormice having long hairy tail and prominent ears. Their very small, reduced eyes, which resemble those of moles or shrews, gave them their generic name *Typhlomys* meaning a 'blind mouse'. Such eye morphology seems to suggest the burrowing lifestyle, whereas long semiprehensile tail, long vibrissae, and large ears are evidence that it is definitely an arboreal animal.

The species composition of *Typhlomys* is still unclear because of the scarcity of museum materials available for



© 2014 Abramov et al.; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: a.abramov@mail.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Universitetskaya nab. 1, Saint Petersburg 199034, Russia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Joint Vietnam-Russian Tropical Research and Technological Centre, Nguyen Van Huyen, Nghia Do, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

study. A few taxonomic forms have been recognized on the basis of differences in body size and fur coloration (Wang et al. 1996; Musser and Carleton 2005). The Chinese pygmy dormouse Typhlomys cinereus was described from Fokien (=Fujian) in southern China (Milne-Edwards 1877). According to the taxonomic review of Wang et al. (1996), the nominotypical *Typhlomys cinereus* cinereus is distributed in northern Fujian and Zhejiang, southern Anhui, China. Three other Chinese subspecies have restricted ranges: Typhlomys cinereus daloushanensis Wang et Li, 1996 is known from southern Sichuan, Shaanxi, Gansu, Hubei, and Guizhou; Typhlomys cinereus guangxiensis Wang et Chen, 1996 is distributed in southwestern Guangxi; and Typhlomys cinereus jingdongensis Wu et Wang, 1984 was found in Yunnan. The Vietnamese population was described by Osgood (1932) as a separate species, T. chapensis, which is now considered a subspecies of T. cinereus (Corbet and Hill 1992; Wang et al. 1996; Musser and Carleton 2005).

Several specimens of *T. cinereus* were collected in the Hoang Lien Mountains, northwestern Vietnam during the mammalogical surveys carried out by the Joint Vietnam-Russian Tropical Research and Technological Centre. In the present study, sequences of mtDNA and nDNA genes of the pygmy dormouse from northern Vietnam have been analyzed and compared with those of Chinese *Typhlomys* for the first time. The taxonomic position of *Typhlomys* from Vietnam is thus reconsidered based on both morphology and sequence data.

## Methods

Field works were conducted in 2009 to 2012 on the northern slope of the Fan Si Pan mountain area near Tram Ton Station, approximately 6 km west of Sapa (22°21' N, 103°46' E) in Lao Cai Province, Vietnam. Cage live traps and pitfall traps were used to collect small mammals. In total, thirteen specimens of *T. cinereus* were collected. Most of the animals were trapped by live cage traps set on the branches and ground in the montane tropical forest with bamboo underbrush; also, some animals were trapped by pitfall traps. Standard external body measurements (head and body length, tail length, hind foot length, and ear length) were taken in the field. Tissue samples were preserved in 96% ethanol. The specimens (skulls and skins) are kept in the Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Saint-Petersburg, Russia (ZIN).

The skulls and skins were compared with specimens kept in the collections of the Natural History Museum, London, UK (BMNH). For each adult skull, a series of 14 craniodental variables was taken: greatest length of skull (GL), condylobasal length (CBL), basal length (BL), palatal length (PL), interorbital breadth (IB), braincase breadth (BB), braincase height (BH), zygomatic width (ZW), diastema length (DL), nasal length (NL), upper molar row length (UML), lower molar row length (LML), breadth across upper molars (BUM), and length of foramina incisive (LFI). The variables were measured with digital calipers, to the nearest 0.01 mm. In total, 23 skulls of pygmy dormice from Vietnam (Sapa, n = 15) and South China (Fujian, n = 8) were studied (see the 'Appendix' section). For comparison, we used the external measurements available on museum tags, apparently representing measurements obtained in the field by original collectors.

Principal components analysis (PCA) and canonical discriminant function analysis (DFA) were used to evaluate distinctiveness among these samples. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to test the differences among groups on all cranial variables. The software program Statistica 8.0 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA) was used for all analytical procedures.

Total DNA from 96% ethanol-preserved muscle tissue was extracted using a routine phenol/chloroform/proteinase K protocol (Kocher et al. 1989; Sambrook et al. 1989). The DNA was further purified by twofold ethanol precipitation or using a DNA Purification Kit (Fermentas, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Four genes which proved to be useful for the phylogenetic analysis of the Asiatic murids (Suzuki et al. 2000, 2003; Michaux et al. 2002; Jansa et al. 2006) were targeted. These genes included the complete cytochrome b (cyt b) gene (1,143 bp), a portion (up to 1,610 bp) of the first exon of interphotoreceptor retinoid-binding protein (IRBP), and a portion (815 bp) of exon 10 of growth hormone receptor (GHR) which were amplified for further analysis. We also analyzed the 5'-proximal 680 bp portion of subunit I of the cytochrome oxidase gene (COI), which is generally used for species diagnoses and for DNA barcoding for a number of mammals. The cyt b was amplified using the L14723 and H15915 primers (Irwin et al. 1991). The COI gene was amplified using the universal conservative primers BatL 5310 and R6036R (Kocher et al. 1989; Irwin et al. 1991). The following universal PCR protocol was used to amplify both of the mtDNA fragments: initial denaturation for 1 min and 30 s at 95°C, denaturation for 30 s at 95°C, annealing for 1 min at 52°C, and elongation for 30 s at 72°C, followed by terminal elongation for 2 min at 72°C. The PCR reaction was performed in a 30to 50-µl volume that contained 2.5 to 3 µl 10× standard PCR buffer (Fermentas), 50 mM of each dNTP, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 to 12 pmole of each primer, 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas), and 0.5 µl (20 to 50 ng) of total DNA template per tube. The reaction was performed using a Tercik (DNK-Tekhnologia, Protvino, Moscow Province, Russia) thermocycler. The IRBP gene (1,000 to 1,610 bp) was amplified using the IRBP125f, IRBP1435r, IRBP1125r, and IRBP1801r primers (Suzuki et al. 2000), according to the method of Stanhope et al. (1992). Nested PCR

technique was applied for GHR gene following the method of Jansa et al. (2009). An approximately 1.0 kb of exon 10 from the GHR gene was amplified using primers GHRF1 and GHRendAlt. This polymerase chain reaction product was reamplified using nested primer GHRF1 paired with GHR750R and GHRF50 paired with GHRendAlt. The PCR products were purified using a DNA Purification Kit (Fermentas). The double-stranded DNA products were directly sequenced in both directions using Applied Biosystems 3130 Genetic Analyzers and the ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). All of the sequences that were obtained were deposited in GenBank (KC209546-KC209557; KC209570-KC209577; KJ949607-KJ949615).

As a comparative material, we analyzed all the IRBP and GHR sequences from different Muridae and some other rodent groups used by Jansa et al. (2009) including the sequences for *T. cinereus daloushanensis* collected from Guizhou Province, China (voucher deposited at Royal Museum of Ontario, Canada; ROM 118593). The GenBank accession number GQ272606 is for IRBP, and GQ272603 for GHR genes (see Jansa et al. 2009). We also included into the dataset an original COI sequence (JF444274) descended from the same specimen which was presented by Eger et al. (unpublished) as a direct submission to GenBank in 2011 (released in 2012).

There are no data on cyt b gene currently available for *T. cinereus* in the GenBank database; thus, the cyt b sequences presented here (KC209548 to KC209557) can be regarded as priority genetic vouchers for this group.

In total, ten animals were genotyped (ten for cyt b, eight for COI gene, two for IRBP, and nine for GHR genes, see the 'Appendix' section). The sequences were aligned using BioEdit (Ibis Biosciences, Carlsbad, CA, USA) (Hall 1999) and Clustal W (incorporated into BioEdit and MEGA 5.05) software and were verified manually. Both the basic sequence parameter calculations (i.e., variable sites, parsimony informative sites, base composition biases, nucleotide frequencies, and nucleotide substitution tables) and the best-fitting gene evolution models as well as inter- and intrapopulation divergence evaluations were performed using the MEGA 5.05 software (Tamura et al. 2011). The most frequently used algorithms, such as maximal parsimony (MP) and maximal likelihood (ML), were applied for the phylogenetic reconstructions and tree constructions using the MEGA 5.05 software. Bayesian analyses were also performed using MRBAYES v.3.1 software (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001).

For trees construction, a number of nucleotide evolution models were tested by MEGA 5.05 models module. As a result, the GTR + G + I substitution model was used for the IRBP gene, and the Kimura 2-parameter + G + Imodel was proved to the best for GHR gene evolution. The gamma shape parameters for the concatenated dataset were evaluated and calculated from a general dataset. The robustness of the tree was assessed using the bootstrap procedure with 1,000 replications. All of the trees were constructed and visualized directly with MEGA 5.05 or with TreeView 1.6.6 software (Page 1996). For the Bayesian analyses, four independent runs of 1,000,000 generations each were performed under the GTR + G + Isubstitution model. We used a flat Dirichlet prior for the relative nucleotide frequencies and for the relative rate parameters, a discrete uniform prior for the topologies, and an exponential distribution for the gamma shape parameter and all branch lengths. A burn-in period of 100,000 generations was determined graphically using TRACER v.1.4 (Rambaud and Drummond 2007) to ensure convergence and to be certain that the runs were not trapped on local optima.

The divergence times between lineages were estimated on the basis of the mean net intergroup distance (taking into account the correction for ancestral mtDNA polymorphism) between the lineages. One fossil-based calibration point was used. For segregation time evaluation, an average time of most investigated Muridae genera splits the *Apodemus/Micromys/Mus/Rattus* divergence events (12 million years; which is equal to d = 0.090 for IRBP gene, Suzuki et al. 2004).

#### Results

#### **DNA** analysis

Even at the step of preliminary sequence alignment, the samples from the Vietnamese population were found to be substantially different from the Chinese T. cinereus, both for mtDNA and for nDNA genes. As compared with the sequences from Guizhou Province (GQ272606 for IRBP and GQ272603 for GHR genes) discussed by Jansa et al. (2009) and the COI sequences (JF444274) presented by Eger et al. (unpublished), not only tremendous genetic distances (0.245 to 0.252 for COI, 0.079 to 0.082 for IRBP, and 0.028 for GHR genes) but also the considerable structural rearrangements of the genes were discovered for some genes (Figure 1). For example, one triplet and another one double-triplet deletion have been revealed in the homological 630-bp part of the COI gene in the original samples from Sapa, and another three triplet insertions can be found in the homological 1,260-bp part of the IRBP gene. No structural rearrangements have been observed in the GHR gene. Such the extra ordinary level of variation obviously overcomes the level of genetic intraspecific variability known in mammalian species, even if geographically distant and completely isolated subspecies are concerned. This fact brings up the question about reliability of the species assignment and yet has drawn our attention to the accuracy of the undertaken data analysis in order to exclude any methodological artifacts during the sample preparation.

~																								
[	111 112	1 111 111	111	111	111 :	111	111	111 1	111	111	111	111	122	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222
[	555 666	6 666 66	677	777	777	778	888	888 8	888	999	999	999	900	000	000	001	111	111	111	222	222	222	233	333
[	789 012	2 345 678	901	234	567	890	123	456	789	012	345	678	901	234	567	890	123	456	789	012	345	678	901	234
#Ty-145	CAG CAC	G CAC TC	GAA	GAC	TCC (	CCA	(	GCA A	ATC	CTC	AGC	AGT	CAC	CAA	TCT	CAC	ACA	AGG	GGA	ACT	GCT	GGC	CCA	GCT
#Ty-148	CAG CAC	G CAC TCI	GAA	GAC	TCC (	CCA	(	GCA A	ATC	CTC	AGC	AGT	CAC	CAA	TCT	CAC	ACA	AGG	GGA	ACT	GCT	GGC	CCA	GCT
<pre>#Typhlomys_cinereus</pre>	GAG CAT	C CC(	GAA	GAC	T	-CA	(	GCA A	ACC	CIC	TIC	ATT	CAC	CAA	TCT	CAC	ACA	AGA	GGA	ACT	GCT	GGC	CCA	GCT
#Dryomys_nitedula	CAG CAC	C CC1	GGA	GCC	TCC :	TCG	(	GCC 7	ATC	CTT	GGC	AGC	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	AAA	AGA	GGA	GCT	TCT	CGC	CCG	GCT
#Eliomys_quercinus	CAG CAC	C CC1	GGA	GCC	TCC :	TCG	(	GCC A	ATC	CTT	GGC	AGC	CAC	TAA	CCT	CAC	AAA	AGA	CGA	GCT	TCT	CGC	CCG	GCT
#Muscardinus_avellanarius	CAG CAC	C CC1	GGA	GCC	TCC (	CCG	(	GCC #	ATC	TCT	GGC	AGC	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	AGA	TGA	GGA	GCT	TCT	CGC	CCG	GCT
#Myoxus_glis		C TC1																						
#Glirulus japonicus	CAG CAC	C CC1	AGA	GCC	TCC (	CCG	(	GCA A	AGC	TCT	GGC	AGC	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	AAA	AGA	GGA.	GCT	GCT	CGC	CCG	GCT
#Chinchilla lanigera	CAG TGC	C TG1	GGA	GGA	GCT (	GCG	(	GCA A	AGC	CCC	GGC	ACC	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAG	CCA	GGA	GGA	GCT	GCT	GGC	CAG	GCT
#Zapus princeps	TAG CAC	c cc1	CGA	CAC	TTC (	CCA	3	ACA A	AGC	CTC	GGC	ATT	CAC	AAA	CCT	CAC	CCA	CAA	GGA	GCT	GTT	G		
#Sicista tianshanica	CAG CAC	G CC1	CGA	GGC	TIC (	CCA	(	GCA A	AGC	CTC	AGT	GCT	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	TCG	AGA	GGA	ACT	GCT	GGT	CCA	GCT
#Dipus sagitta	CAG CAC	c cc:	TGA	GAC	GTC (	CCA	(	GCA A	AGC	CTC	AGC	CTT	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	CCG	CGA	TGA	GCT	ACT	GCT	CCA	GGT
#Thomomys talpoides	CAG CAC	c cc:	GGA	GGT	CCC (	CCA	(	GCA A	AAC	TGC	GGC	ACT	CAG	CAA	CTT	TAG	CCA	AGA	GGA	ATT	GCT	AAA	GTG	GCT
#Aplodontia rufa	CAG CAT	r cC1	TGA	GAC	TCC (	CCT	;	ACA A	AGC	TCC	AGC	ACT	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	TCA	AGA	GGA	ACT	GCT	TTC	CTG	GTT
#Castor canadensis	CAG CAC	c cc:	TGA	GGC	TCC (	CCG	(	GAA A	AGC	CCC	AGC	ACT	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	CCA	AGA	GGA	ACT	GCT	CAC	CCA	GGT
#Lepus crawshayi	CAG CAC	c cc:	GGA	GGT	GCC (	CCG	(	GCA (	GGC	CCC	AGC	ACC	CAG	CAA	CCT	TAC	CCA	GGA	GGA	GCT	GCT	GGC	CTG	GCT
#Jaculus jaculus	CAG CAC	c cc:	TGA	GAC	GTC (	CCA	(	GCA A	AGC	CIC	CGC	ATT	CAC	CAA	CCT	CCC	CCA	CGA	TGA	ACT	GCT	GCT	CCA	GGT
#Calomyscus baluchi	CAG TAC	c cci	CGA	GGC	TCC (	CCA	(	GCA A	AGC .	ACC	AAC	ACT	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	CCG	AGA	AGA	ACT	ACT	GGC	CCA	GCT
#Eothenomys melanogaster	CAG TAC	c cc:	CGA	GGC	TCC (	CCA	(	GCC I	AGC	ACC	GAC	ACT	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	CCA	AGA	AGA	ACT	ACT	GGC	CCA	GCT
#Microtus sikimensis	CAG CAC	c cc:	CGA	GGC	TCC (	CCA	(	GCT A	AGC .	ACC	GAC	ACT	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	CCG	AGA	AGA	ACT	ACT	GGC	CCA	GCT
#Mystromys albicaudatus	CAG TAC	c cc1	CGA	GGG	GCC :	TCA	(	GCA A	AGC .	ACC	TGC	GCT	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	CCG	AGA	AGA	ACT	GCT	GGC	CCG	GCT
#Peromyscus maniculatus	CAG TA	c cc1	CGA	GAC	GCC I	CCA	(	GCA A	AGC	GCC	AAC	ACT	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	CCG	AGA	AGA	ACT	GTT	GGT	CCA	GCT
#Phodopus sungorus	CAG TTO	c ccz	CGA	GGC	TCC (	CCA	(	GCA A	AGC .	ACC	GGC	ACT	CAC	CAA	CCT	CAC	TCG	AGA	AGA	ACT	GCT	GGC	CCA	GCT
#Phyllotis xanthopygus		c cci																						
#Reithrodon auritus		c cci																						
#Rhipidomys nitela		C CA1																						
#Sigmodon alstoni		c cci																						
#Tylomys nudicaudus		c cc:																						
#Sciurillus pusillus		r cci																						
·											- 1													
																								а
[		5555	5555	55 55	55555	5555	555	55555	555	5555	5555	556 6	6666	66666	6 66	6666	6666	6 666	56666	5666	6666	6666	566 ]	
C		6666	6666	57 77	7777	7778	888	88888	889	9999	9999	990 0	0000	0000	1 11	1111	11112	2 222	22222	2223	3333	33333	334 ]	
[		1234	5678	90 12	3456	7890	123	45678	890	1234	5678	890 1	2345	6789	0 12	3456	57890	0 123	84567	7890	1234	45678	390 ]	
#Typhlomys_cinereus_voucher_RO	M_118593	3 TCT1	ACTA	CT CT	CTCT	ACCA	GTA	TTAG	CCG	CAGG	TATI	TAC A	ATA	TAT	A AC	AGAG	CCGA	A ATC	TAAA	ATAC	TACA	ATTC	TTT	
#Ty-145		.TC	CT		.AT.	T	C	c1	TT.		A	0		.T	0	T	.T.T		.G.0	GC	A	A.		
#Ty-148		.TC	CT		.AT.	T	C	c1	TT.		A	0		.T.	0	T	.T.T		.G.0	GC	A	A.		
#Ty-246			ст					c1	TT.		-						-					-		
#Ty-110					AT.	т																		
		.TC	TT					c1																
#Ty-111			TT						TT.		A	0		.T	0	T	.T.T		.G.0	GC	A	A.		
#Ty-111 #Ty-47		.TC			.AT.	T	c	c1	ΤΤ. ΓΤ.		А А	0	:1 :1	.т.,	0	T	.T.T.	· · · ·	.G.G	GC	A	A.		
		.TC	TT	:	.AT.	т	c	c1 c1	ΓΤ. ΓΤ. ΓΤ.		A A A	0	1 1	.T	0	T	.T.T .T.T	· · · ·	.G.0	GC	A A A	A. A.		
#Ty-47		.TC .TC	TT		.AT. .AT. .AT.	т т		c1 c1 c1	TT. TT. TT. TT.		A A A	0	1 1	.T .T .T	0 0	T	T.T. T.T. T.T.	· · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		
#Ty-47 #Ty-57		.TC .TC	TT		.AT. .AT. .AT.	т т		c1 c1 c1	TT. TT. TT. TT.		A A A	0	1 1	.T .T .T	0 0	T	T.T. T.T. T.T.	· · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		
#Ty-47 #Ty-57		.TC .TC .TC	TT	 	.AT. .AT. .AT. .AT.	T T T		c1 c1 c1 c1	TT. TT. TT. TT.	· · · · · ·	A A A A	0		.T .T .T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T T T T T	.T.T .T.T .T.T .T.T	· · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		
#TY-47 #TY-57 #TY-79		.TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT TT	  	.AT. .AT. .AT. .AT.	T T T 6666	C	c1 c1 c1 c1	TT. TT. TT. TT. TT.	 	A A A A	0		.T .T .T	· .0	T T T T	T.T. T.T. T.T. T.T.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		
#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [		.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT CT 66666 4444 5678	  56 66 45 55	.AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. 66666	T T T 66666 5556 7890	C	C1 C1 C1 66666 66666	IT. IT. IT. IT. 666 667 890	66666 7777	A A A A 56666 7777 5678	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	66666 8888 2345	.T .T .T .T	·	T T T T 56666 9999 3456	.T.T .T.T .T.T .T.T .T.T 56666] 9999] 5789]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		
#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [	M_118593	.TC .TC .TC .TC .444	TT TT CT	  56 66 45 55	.AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. 66666	T T T 66666 5556 7890	C	C1 C1 C1 66666 66666	IT. IT. IT. IT. 666 667 890	66666 7777	A A A A 56666 7777 5678	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	66666 8888 2345	.T .T .T .T	·	T T T T 56666 9999 3456	.T.T .T.T .T.T .T.T .T.T 56666] 9999] 5789]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		
#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [ [ [	M_118593	.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT CT 66666 4444 5678		.AT. .AT. .AT. .AT.	T T T 66666 5556 7890 GGGA	Ci Ci	C1 C1 C1 66666 66666 45678 TATTC	TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. 666 667 890 CTC	66666 7777 1234	A A A A A 56666 7777 5678	566 6 778 8 90 1	66666 8888 2345	56666 8888 56789	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	T T T 56666 9999 3456	.T.T .T.T .T.T .T.T .T.T .56666] 9999] 5789]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		
<pre>#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [ [ [ #Typhlomys_cinereus_voucher_RO</pre>	M_118593	.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .444 123 3 GATC	TT TT CT 66666 4444 5678 5678		.AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. .55555 .3456 A	T T T 66666 5556 7890 GGGA .T	Ci Ci	C1 C1 C1 66666 66666 45678 TATTC	TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. 666 667 890 CTC	6666 7777 1234 TATC	A A A A A 56666 7777 5678 5678 5678 5678	566 6 778 8 900 1 ACT 1	66666 8888 2345 7 CTG	.T .T .T .T T     	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	T T T T 56666 9999 3456 3456	.T.T. .T.T. .T.T. .T.T. 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		
<pre>#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [ [ [ [ #Typhlomys_cinereus_voucher_R0 #Typ-145</pre>	ж_118593	.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT CT 666666 4444 5678 CTGCI T		.AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. .55555 .3456 A A	T T 66666 5556 7890 GGGA .T .T	Ci Ci	C1 C1 C1 66666 66666 45678 TATTO	TT. TT. TT. TT. 666 667 890 CTC	66666 7777 1234 TATC	A A A A A 56666 7777 5678 3678 3678 3678 3678 3678 3678 3678 3	566 6 778 8 900 1 ACT T	66666 8888 2345 			GTC2	.T.T. .T.T. .T.T. .T.T. 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		
<pre>#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [ [ [ [ #Typhlomys_cinereus_voucher_R0 #Ty-145 #Ty-148</pre>	M_118593	.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT CT 666666 4444 5678 CCTGC T T T	          	.AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. AT. A A A	T T 66666 5556 7890 GGGA .T .T	Ci 	C1 C1 C1 66666 45678 TATTO	TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. 666 667 890 CTC 	66666 7777 1234 TATC	A A.	566 6 778 8 90 1 ACT 1 .TC . .TC .	66666 8888 2345 			T T T T T 56666 9999 3456 3456 6GTC2 6GTC2 6GTC2	.T.T. .T.T. .T.T. .T.T. .T.T. 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		
<pre>#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-57 [ [ [ [ #Typhlomys_cinereus_voucher_RO #Typ-145 #Ty-148 #Ty-246</pre>	M_118593	.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT CT 666666 44444 5678 CCTGCJ T T	          	.AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. AT. A A A	T T 66666 5556 7890 GGGA .T .T	Ci 	C1 C1 C1 66666 45678 TATTO	TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. 666 667 890 CTC 	66666 7777 1234 TATC	A A.	566 6 778 8 90 1 ACT 1 .TC . .TC .	66666 8888 2345 			T T T T T 56666 9999 3456 3456 6GTC2 6GTC2 6GTC2	.T.T. .T.T. .T.T. .T.T. .T.T. 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		
<pre>#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [ [ [ #Typhlomys_cinereus_voucher_RO #Ty-145 #Ty-148 #Ty-246 #Ty-110</pre>	M_118593	.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT TT CT 666666 4444 5678 CTGCC  T  T  T  T	 	.AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. AT. AT. A A A A	T T 66666 5556 7890 GGGA .T .T .T	Ci Ci Ci 6666 1233 CCCC T T T	C1 C1 C1 66666 66666 45678 TATTO	TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. 666 667 890 CTC 	66666 7777 1234 TATC	A A A A A A A A	566 6 566 6 578 8 390 1 ACT 1 ACT 1 ACT 1 ACT 1 ACT 1	66666 8888 2349 2349 2349 CTG CTG CTG			T T	T.T. T.T. T.T. .T.T. .T.T. 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		
<pre>#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [ [ [ [ #Typhlomys_cinereus_voucher_R0 #Ty-145 #Ty-148 #Ty-246 #Ty-110 #Ty-111</pre>	м_118593	.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT TT CT 666666 44444 5678 CTGCC  T  T  T  T	 	.AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. AT. AT. A A A A	T T 66666 5556 7890 GGGA .T .T .T	Ci Ci Ci 6666 1233 CCCC T T T	C1 C1 C1 66666 66666 45678 TATTO	TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. 666 667 890 CTC 	66666 7777 1234 TATC	A A A A A A A A	566 6 566 6 578 8 390 1 ACT 1 ACT 1 ACT 1 ACT 1 ACT 1	66666 8888 2349 2349 2349 CTG CTG CTG			T T T T 56666 9999 34566 34566 34566 34566 34566 34566 34566 34566 34566 34566 34566 34566 345666 345666 345666 3456666 34566666 345666666 3456666666 345666666666666666666666666666666666666	T.T. T.T. T.T. .T.T. .T.T. 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		h
<pre>#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [ [ [ [ #Typhlomys_cinereus_voucher_R0 #Ty-148 #Ty-148 #Ty-246 #Ty-110 #Ty-111 #Ty-111 #Ty-47</pre>	M_118593	.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT TT CT 666666 4444 5678 CTGCC  T  T  T  T	 	.AT. AT. AT. AT. AT. AT. AG6666 55555 3456 AGGAG AGGAG AGAG AGAAG A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A.	T T 66666 5556 7890 GGGA .T .T .T	Ci 	C1 C1 C1 66666 66666 45678 TATTC	TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. 6666 667 890 CTC   	6666 7777 1234 TATC	A A A 66666 7777 5678 5678 CACZ  T  T	566 6 566 6 566 6 566 778 8 390 1 578 8 390 1 577 1	:1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1			T T T 666666 99999 3456 	.T.T. .T.T. .T.T. .T.T. .T.T. 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		b
<pre>#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [ [ [ [ #Typhlomys_cinereus_voucher_R0 #Ty-145 #Ty-148 #Ty-246 #Ty-110 #Ty-111 #Ty-111 #Ty-47 #Ty-57</pre>	₩_11859;	.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT TT CT 66666 4444 5678 CCTGC  T  T  T  T  T  T	 	.AT. AT. AT. AT. AT. AT. AG6666 55555 3456 AGGAG AGGAG AGAG AGAAG A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A.	T T 66666 5556 7890 GGGA .T .T .T	Ci 	C1 C1 C1 66666 66666 45678 TATTC	TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. 6666 667 890 CTC   	6666 7777 1234 TATC	A A A 66666 7777 5678 5678 CACZ  T  T	566 6 566 6 566 6 566 778 8 390 1 578 8 390 1 577 1	:1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1 :1			T T T 666666 99999 3456 	.T.T. .T.T. .T.T. .T.T. .T.T. 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.G.G.G.	GC GC GC	A A A	A. A. A.		b
<pre>#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [ [ [ #Typhlomys_cinereus_voucher_RO #Ty-145 #Ty-145 #Ty-246 #Ty-246 #Ty-246 #Ty-110 #Ty-111 #Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79</pre>		.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT TT CT 666666 44444 5678 CCTGC  T  T  T  T  T  T  T	 	.AT. .AT. .AT. .AT. 	T T 66666 55556 7890 GGGA .T .T .T 	Ci 	C1 C1 C1 C1 66666 66666 45678 TATTC	TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. 6666 667 890 CTC    	66666 7777 1234 TATC	AAAAAAAA	0 	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			TT TT TT G6666 9999 3345 GGTCJ GGTCJ GGTCJ	.T.T. .T.T. .T.T. .T.T. .T.T. 66666 99999 57899 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	G.0 G.0 G.0 G.0	90 90 90 90	A A A A	A. A. A. A. A.		
<pre>#Ty-47 #Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [ [ [ [ [ #Typhlomys_cinereus_voucher_R0 #Ty-148 #Ty-148 #Ty-246 #Ty-10 #Ty-111 #Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 Figure 1 Screen of fragments</pre>	- of work	.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT TT CT 666666 4444 5678 CCTGCJ  T  T  T  T  T  T	  66 66 66 45 55 590 12 AG GA A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A.	.AT. AT. AT. AT. 55555 3456 A A A A A A A	T T 66666 55556 7890 GGGA .T .T .T .T  BBP (		c1 c1 c1 66666 66666 45678 TATTO	TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. TT.	 66666 7777 1234 TATC    b) g	AAAAAAAA	0 	1 			ET	.T.T. T.T. T.T. T.T. T.T. ACCC ACCC ACCC	gem		90 90 90 90 90	а а а а	A. A. A. A.	tatio	
<pre>#Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79 [ [ [ #Typhlomys_cinereus_voucher_RO #Ty-145 #Ty-145 #Ty-246 #Ty-246 #Ty-246 #Ty-110 #Ty-111 #Ty-47 #Ty-57 #Ty-79</pre>	- of work	.TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC .TC	TT TT TT CT 666666 4444 5678 CCTGCJ  T  T  T  T  T  T	  66 66 66 45 55 590 12 AG GA A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A.	.AT. AT. AT. AT. 55555 3456 A A A A A A A	T T 66666 55556 7890 GGGA .T .T .T .T  BBP (		c1 c1 c1 66666 66666 45678 TATTO	TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. TT. TT.	 66666 7777 1234 TATC    b) g	AAAAAAAA	0 	1 			ET	.T.T. T.T. T.T. T.T. T.T. ACCC ACCC ACCC	gem		90 90 90 90 90	а а а а	A. A. A. A.	tatio	

'Appendix' section).

We have checked out all the COI, IRBP, and GHR gene sequences obtained for cross-contaminations with a special emphasis for the possible numt pseudogene occurrence for COI gene sequences (Triant and DeWoody 2007). No traces of contamination events, no occurrence of additional stop codons, no reading frame shift or reliable transition/transversion or position bias as compared with normal mammalian mtDNA sequences have been detected. These facts, together with the concerted character of mitochondrial COI gene and nuclear IRBP and GHR gene variability, allow us to conclude that our data are very special and resulted in valid genetic vouchers rather than in artificial products of laboratory contamination or methodological artifacts.

The final argument to demonstrate the reliability of our samples has been the phylogenetic analysis, which has been performed in full integrity of the IRBP and GHR gene sequence data for the rodent lineages used in Jansa et al. (2009) including both data for Vietnamese and Chinese Typhlomys. The consensus phylogenetic trees (ML, BY, T3P, and K2P algorithms) are presented in Figure 2. It can be seen that in spite of considerable genetic distances, the obtained tree topology and the level of nodes bootstraps/ posterior probabilities are in full agreement with the data presented by Jansa et al. (2009). So, the validity of the studied samples is obvious. Nevertheless, the Vietnamese samples construct an independent, very divergent but yet highly reliable sister clade with the Chinese sample. The

average divergence time estimated on the basis of the IRBP gene sequences is no less then 8.7 million years. An analysis of intergroup diversity performed based on the same dataset has shown that the level of *Typhlomys* lineages divergence is as high as or even more elevated than the level that proved to be characteristic for the majority of Muridae genera (0.065-0.090 for IRBP, Suzuki et al. 2004).

#### Morphology

A summary of the descriptive statistics of morphometric variables is given in Table 1. In a principal components

analysis drawing on 14 craniodental measurements, the Vietnamese and Chinese specimens are grouped together, and these groups are essentially discrete (Figure 3). The two groups diverge along the first principal component, reflecting particular differences in an overall cranial size. Discriminant function analysis that draws on the same variables has provided another means of illuminating these and other morphometric distinctions (Figure 4, Table 2). The discrimination between two groups has been most strongly based upon the first canonical axis (CAN 1). The variables that greatly contributed to the





Table 1 Skull measurements of Typhlomys

Characters	Lao Cai Provir	nce, Vietnam	Fujian Province, China					
	Males (n = 4)	Females ( <i>n</i> = 11)	Males (n = 4)	Females (n = 4)				
GL	24.55, 0.97	24.53, 1.11	22.28, 0.53	22.50, 0.38				
	23.52 to 25.87	22.77 to 25.9	21.65 to 22.82	22.00 to 22.80				
CBL	22.15, 1.32	22.05, 1.19	20.07, 0.37	20.56, 0.44				
	20.76 to 23.94	20.39 to 23.65	19.76 to 20.50	19.95 to 20.97				
BL	20.28, 1.34	20.13, 1.164	18.56, 0.71	18.97, 0.31				
	18.85 to 22.06	18.55 to 22.00	17.68 to 19.16	18.50 to 19.15				
PL	6.47, 0.09	6.51, 0.35	5.58, 0.15	5.58, 0.13				
	6.35 to 6.58	5.80 to 6.80	5.46 to 5.80	5.43 to 5.73				
IB	5.53, 0.36	5.48, 0.27	5.13, 0.08	5.01, 0.17				
	5.06 to 5.87	5.17 to 6.06	5.06 to 5.22	4.84 to 5.19				
BB	11.43, 0.40	11.08, 0.50	10.30, 0.59	9.99, 0.28				
	11.10 to 12.01	10.20 to 11.86	9.76 to 10.90	9.60 to 10.23				
BH	7.92, 0.68	8.23, 0.54	6.89, 0.48	7.13, 0.29				
	7.32 to 8.72	7.37 to 9.05	6.30 to 7.31	6.72 to 7.37				
ZW	12.88, 0.75	13.04, 0.79	11.73, 0.46	11.81, 0.41				
	12.10 to 13.87	11.38 to 14.08	11.22 to 12.26	11.45 to 12.40				
DL	6.85, 0.33	6.98, 0.39	5.85, 0.47	6.20, 0.28				
	6.41 to 7.17	6.20 to 7.54	5.45 to 6.45	5.94 to 6.60				
NL	7.21, 0.27	7.43, 0.34	6.83, 0.46	6.73, 0.38				
	6.89 to 7.55	6.97 to 7.90	6.15 to 7.13	6.31 to 7.20				
UML	3.96, 0.27	3.85, 0.19	3.63, 0.23	3.46, 0.11				
	3.57 to 4.14	3.55 to 4.09	3.39 to 3.86	3.40 to 3.62				
LML	4.16, 0.26	4.14, 0.23	3.74, 0.11	3.76, 0.19				
	3.79 to 4.36	3.80 to 4.51	3.65 to 3.89	3.55 to 3.98				
BUM	5.66, 0.29	5.56, 0.27	5.04, 0.08	5.01, 0.09				
	5.24 to 5.90	5.24 to 5.90	4.94 to 5.12	4.88 to 5.11				
LFI	2.11, 0.08	2.04, 0.28	1.87, 0.11	1.89, 0.09				
	2.00 to 2.19	1.75 to 2.47	1.73 to 2.00	1.80 to 2.02				


Mean, standard deviation, and min-max values of skull measurements (in millimeters) of adult *Typhlomys* specimens originated from Vietnam and China.

first axis and based on standardized canonical coefficients have been the greatest length of skull, the basal length, braincase height, and breadth across upper molars. Both populations display no remarkable sexual dimorphism (Table 1).

The skull of Vietnamese *Typhlomys* is relatively large, with the markedly enlarged braincase (see Table 1 and Figure 5). These cranial distinctions are complemented by some external distinctions. Means and extremes of measurements (in millimeters) of Vietnamese pygmy dormice from 5 males are head and body length, 83.0 (79 to 86); tail length, 121.7 (110 to 135); hind foot length, 21.8 (20 to 23); and ear length, 17.8 (17 to 19), and from 17 females are head and body length, 80.5 (70 to 98); tail length,



116.9 (100 to 134); hind foot length, 22.2 (21 to 24); and ear length, 17.7 (14 to 19). Chinese pygmy dormice *T. cinereus cinereus* are obviously smaller. According data from Wang et al. (1996), external measurements for 15 adults are head and body length, 77.1 (70 to 89); tail length, 99.9 (92 to 111); hind foot length, 19.5 (18.5 to 20); and ear length, 14.0 (11 to 16). The pelage coloration of the Vietnamese specimens is also different from that of Chinese counterparts. The dorsal pelage of the Sapa specimens is uniformly blackish gray (Abramov et al. 2012: Figure four); the ventral surface is almost of the same coloration contrary to the mouse-gray dorsal pelage with grayish white underside in the specimens from Fujian. The



Characters	PCA		DFA			ANOVA					
						Males		Females			
	PC 1	PC 2	CAN 1	CAN 2	CAN 3	F	р	F	р		
GL	-0.985	-0.014	0.312	-0.056	0.263	16.79	0.006	12.25	0.004		
CBL	-0.977	0.159	0.228	-0.068	0.342	9.15	0.023	5.72	0.032		
BL	-0.959	0.225	0.180	-0.046	0.311	5.12	0.064	3.71	0.076		
PL	-0.888	-0.204	0.467	-0.066	0.167	96.65	0.000	25.93	0.000		
IB	-0.744	-0.418	0.232	0.066	0.037	4.72	0.072	10.59	0.006		
BB	-0.852	-0.106	0.297	0.155	0.278	10.06	0.019	16.29	0.001		
BH	-0.548	0.114	0.295	-0.163	0.045	6.17	0.047	14.84	0.002		
ZW	-0.938	0.098	0.240	-0.067	0.056	6.78	0.040	8.43	0.012		
DL	-0.920	-0.015	0.318	-0.192	0.344	11.88	0.014	12.61	0.004		
NL	-0.760	0.035	0.227	-0.069	-0.294	1.98	0.209	11.66	0.005		
UML	-0.742	-0.397	0.234	0.156	0.075	3.56	0.108	14.94	0.002		
LML	-0.831	-0.348	0.252	-0.024	0.199	8.81	0.025	8.93	0.010		
BUM	-0.886	-0.419	0.334	0.042	0.320	16.95	0.006	15.94	0.002		
LFI	-0.690	-0.125	0.113	0.028	0.245	11.99	0.013	1.12	0.309		
Cumulative variance (%)	71.6	77.3	78.5	98.1	100.0						


#### Table 2 Results of multivariate analyses

Factor loadings and cumulative variance for the principal components in the principal components analysis illustrated in Figure 2 and canonical correlations and cumulative variance for the canonical variates in the discriminant function analysis illustrated in Figure 3.

upper surface of the hind feet in Vietnamese animals is dark colored, whereas it is whitish in Chinese ones.

### Discussion

The phylogenetic analysis of mitochondrial and nuclear genes has shown a significant divergence between Vietnamese and Chinese pygmy dormice. It is obvious that the two *Typhlomys* clades are to be regarded as species level lineages. Moreover, the level of their divergence is more consistent with the generic level for many of rodents (Jansa et al. 2006; see also Figure 2). The morphological analysis has also revealed significant



differences in the cranial and external characters between the populations from Vietnam and China. Together, these data suggest that a reassessment of the taxonomy of *Typhlomys* is required. The latest viewpoint that recognizes the monotypic *Typhlomys cinereus* with five subspecies (Corbet and Hill 1992; Wang et al. 1996; Musser and Carleton 2005) does not reflect the actual variation.

## Conclusions

Our data have confirmed the earlier assumptions of Osgood (1932) and Smith (2008) about a species rank for the Vietnamese *T. chapensis*. According to the morphometric analysis of Wang et al. (1996), the populations of *chapensis* and *guangxiensis* are phenetically most alike, clustering apart from the other three taxa (*cinereus*, *daloushanensis*, and *jingdongensis*). Due to the lack of morphological and genetic data from southern China, where *guangxiensis* occurs, we have had no possibility to re-assess the taxonomic status of the latter form. Based on the orography of this region alone, one can assume that the *guangxiensis* from southwestern Guangxi is most likely to belong to *T. chapensis* rather than to *T. cinereus*.

Given the complex geography of southern China and especially the influence of many isolated mountain ranges, it is possible that multiple *Typhlomys* taxa, perhaps of a species rank, exist. In order to resolve this issue, a thorough geographic sampling that should include samples from China representing a wide geographic coverage is required. In addition, an inclusion of unrepresented subspecies of *Typhlomys*, including the samples from type localities, is essential for determining the priority of available names in case taxa are to be elevated to species.

## Appendix

#### Specimens included in the study

The following are acronyms prefacing specimen numbers: BMNH, The Natural History Museum, London, UK and ZIN, Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Saint-Petersburg, Russia.

The specimens included in the morphological study are the following:

Vietnam, Lao Cai Province, Sapa District: ZIN 99914, ZIN 99915, ZIN 99916, ZIN 100882, ZIN 100883, ZIN 100884, ZIN 100885, BMNH 33.41.380, BMNH 33.41.381, BMNH 33.41.382, BMNH 33.41.383, BMNH 33.41.384, BMNH 33.41.385, BMNH 33.41.387, and BMNH 33.41.388.

China, Fujian Province: BMNH 96.1.2.27, BMNH 88.11.107, BMNH 88.11.108, BMNH 88.11.109, BMNH 88.11.110, BMNH 88.11.111, BMNH 88.11.118, BMNH 98.11.1.10, and BMNH 98.11.1.11.

The following are the specimens from Vietnam, Lao Cai Province, Sapa District, that are included in the molecular analyses:

ZIN 99914, genetic voucher Ty-145, GenBank number (IRBP) KC209546, GenBank number (cyt *b*) KC209551, GenBank number (COI) KC209573, and GenBank number (GHR) KJ949612;

ZIN 99916, genetic voucher Ty-148, GenBank number (IRBP) KC209547, GenBank number (cyt *b*) KC209552, GenBank number (COI) KC209574, and GenBank number (GHR) KJ949613;

ZIN 100411, genetic voucher Ty-411, GenBank number (cyt *b*) KC209555 and GenBank number (GHR) KJ949615;

ZIN 100882, genetic voucher Ty-246, GenBank number (cyt *b*) KC209553, GenBank number (COI) KC209575, and GenBank number (GHR) KJ949614;

ZIN 100883, genetic voucher Ty-247, and GenBank number (cyt *b*) KC209554;

ZIN 101563, genetic voucher Ty-47, GenBank number (cyt *b*) KC209548, GenBank number (COI) KC209570, and GenBank number (GHR) KJ949607;

ZIN 101564, genetic voucher Ty-57, GenBank number (cyt *b*) KC209549, GenBank number (COI) KC209571, and GenBank number (GHR) KJ949608;

ZIN 101565, genetic voucher Ty-79, GenBank number (cyt *b*) KC209550, GenBank number (COI) KC209572, and GenBank number (GHR) KJ949609;

ZIN 101566, genetic voucher Ty-110, GenBank number (cyt *b*) KC209556, GenBank number (COI) KC209576, and GenBank number (GHR) KJ949610;

ZIN 101567, genetic voucher Ty-111, GenBank number (cyt *b*) KC209557, GenBank number (COI) KC209577, and GenBank number (GHR) KJ949611.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

AVA and WR conceived and coordinated the study. AEB gathered and analyzed the DNA sequences. AVA performed the morphological and taxonomical assessments. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Ms. Olga Makarova (ZIN) and Dr. Paulina Jenkins (BMNH) for giving access to the collections under their care. Field works in Vietnam were possible due to the support of the Joint Vietnam-Russian Tropical Research and Technological Centre (Hanoi, Vietnam). We thank Mr. Anton Shchinov, Dr. Tran Cong Huan, and Dr. Nguyen Dang Hoi (all from the Joint Vietnam-Russian Tropical Research and Technological Centre) who made considerable efforts in preparing for the field works and who supplied us with a significant number of specimens. We also thank the administration of Hoang Lien National Park for their aid in the management of our studies. We are grateful to the Photographic Unit of BNHM who kindly provided the photography of the *T. cinereus* skull. We are obliged to Dr. Dmitri Logunov (Manchester Museum, UK) for improving the English of the final draft. We are very grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their helpful and constructive comments on the manuscript. The study was supported in part by the Research Program 'Living nature: modern state and problems of development' of the Presidium of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Universitetskaya nab. 1, Saint Petersburg 199034, Russia. <sup>2</sup>A.N. Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution, Russian Academy of Sciences, Leninskii pr. 33, Moscow 119071, Russia. <sup>3</sup>Joint Vietnam-Russian Tropical Research and Technological Centre, Nguyen Van Huyen, Nghia Do, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam.

#### Received: 4 March 2014 Accepted: 11 June 2014 Published: 22 July 2014

#### References

- Abramov AV, Aniskin VM, Rozhnov W (2012) Karyotypes of two rare rodents, *Hapalomys delacouri* and *Typhlomys cinereus* (Mammalia, Rodentia), from Vietnam. Zookeys 164:41–49
- Can DN, Endo H, Son NT, Oshida T, Canh LX, Phuong DH, Lunde DP, Kawada S-I, Hayashida A, Sasaki M (2008) Checklist of wild mammal species of Vietnam. Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources, Hanoi
- Corbet GB, Hill JE (1992) The mammals of the Indo-Malayan region: a systematic review. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucl Acid Symp Ser 41:95–98
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F (2001) MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. Bioinformatics 17:754–755
- Irwin D, Kocher TD, Wilson AS (1991) Evolution of the cytochrome *b* gene of mammals. J Mol Evol 32:128–144
- Jansa SA, Barker FK, Heaney LR (2006) The pattern and timing of diversification of Philippine endemic rodents: evidence from mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequences. Syst Biol 55:73–88
- Jansa SA, Giarla TC, Lim BK (2009) The phylogenetic position of the rodent genus *Typhlomys* and the geographic origin of Muroidea. J Mammal 90:1083–1094
- Jayson EA, Jayaharia KM (2009) Distribution of spiny tree mouse (*Platacanthomys lasiurus* Blyth, 1859) in the Western Ghats of Kerala, India. Mammalia 73:331–337
- Kocher TD, Thomas WK, Meyer A, Edwards SV, Paabo S, Villablanca F, Wilson A (1989) Dynamics of mitochondrial DNA evolution in animals: amplification and sequencing with conserved primers. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 86:6196–6200
- Michaux JR, Chevret P, Filippucci MG, Macholán M (2002) Phylogeny of the genus *Apodemus* with a special emphasis to the subgenus *Sylvaemus* using the nuclear IRBP gene and two mitochondrial markers: cytochrome b and 12S rRNA. Mol Phyl Evol 23:123–136
- Milne-Edwards A (1877) Sur quelques Mammifères et Crustacés nouveaux. Bull Soc Philomath Paris 12:8–10
- Musser GG, Carleton MD (2005) Superfamily Muroidea. In: Wilson DE, Reeder DM (eds) Mammal species of the world. A taxonomic and geographic reference. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, pp 894–1531
- Osgood WH (1932) Mammals of the Kelley-Roosevelts and Delacour Asiatic expeditions. Field Mus Nat Hist, Zool Ser 18:193–339
- Page RDM (1996) Tree View: an application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. Comp Appl Biosci 12:357–358
- Rambaud A, Drummond AJ (2007) Tracer, version 1.4., http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/ software/tracer. Accessed 15 March 2012
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Lab, Cold Spring Harbor
- Smith AT (2008) Family Platacanthomyidae. In: Smith AT, Xie Y (eds) A guide to the mammals of China. Princeton University Press, Princeton, pp 208–209
- Stanhope MJ, Czelusniak J, Si J-S, Nickerson J, Goodman M (1992) A molecular perspective on mammalian evolution from the gene encoding interphotoreceptor retinoid binding protein, with convincing evidence for bat monophyly. Mol Phyl Evol 1:148–160
- Suzuki H, Tsuchiya K, Takezaki N (2000) A molecular phylogenetic framework for the Ryukyu endemic rodents *Tokudaia osimensis* and *Diplothrix legata* (Rodentia, Mammalia). Mol Phyl Evol 15:15–24
- Suzuki H, Sato JJ, Tsuchiya K, Luo J, Zhang Y-P, Wang Y-X, Jiang X-L (2003) Molecular phylogeny of wood mice (*Apodemus*, Muridae) in East Asia. Biol J Linn Soc 80:469–481
- Suzuki H, Shimada T, Terashima M, Tsuchiya K, Aplin K (2004) Temporal, spatial, and ecological modes of evolution of Eurasian *Mus* based on mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequences. Mol Phyl Evol 33:626–646
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood,

- Triant DA, DeWoody JA (2007) The occurrence, detection, and avoidance of mitochondrial DNA translocations in mammalian systematics and phylogeography. J Mammal 88:908–920
- Wang YX, Li CY, Chen ZP (1996) Taxonomy, distribution and differentiation on *Typhlomys cinereus* (Platacanthomyidae, Mammalia). Acta Theriol Sin 16:54–66

#### doi:10.1186/s40555-014-0034-2

**Cite this article as:** Abramov *et al.*: An enigmatic pygmy dormouse: molecular and morphological evidence for the species taxonomic status of *Typhlomys chapensis* (Rodentia: Platacanthomyidae). *Zoological Studies* 2014 **53**:34.

## Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

#### Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com