RESEARCH ARTICLE



Cell-based therapies for experimental chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Diana A. Papazova*, Nynke R. Oosterhuis*, Hendrik Gremmels*, Arianne van Koppen, Jaap A. Joles and Marianne C. Verhaar[‡]

ABSTRACT

Cell-based therapy is a promising strategy for treating chronic kidney disease (CKD) and is currently the focus of preclinical studies. We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy of cell-based therapy in preclinical (animal) studies of CKD, and determined factors affecting cell-based therapy efficacy in order to guide future clinical trials. In total, 71 articles met the inclusion criteria. Standardised mean differences (SMD) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated for outcome parameters including plasma urea, plasma creatinine, urinary protein, blood pressure, glomerular filtration rate, glomerulosclerosis and interstitial fibrosis. Sub-analysis for each outcome measure was performed for modelrelated factors (species, gender, model and timing of therapy) and cell-related factors (cell type, condition and origin, administration route and regime of therapy). Overall, meta-analysis showed that cellbased therapy reduced the development and progression of CKD. This was most prominent for urinary protein (SMD, 1.34; 95% CI, 1.00-1.68) and urea (1.09; 0.66-1.51), both P<0.001. Changes in plasma urea were associated with changes in both glomerulosclerosis and interstitial fibrosis. Sub-analysis showed that cell type (bone-marrow-derived progenitors and mesenchymal stromal cells being most effective) and administration route (intravenous or renal artery injection) were significant predictors of therapeutic efficacy. The timing of therapy in relation to clinical manifestation of disease, and cell origin and dose, were not associated with efficacy. Our meta-analysis confirms that cell-based therapies improve impaired renal function and morphology in preclinical models of CKD. Our analyses can be used to optimise experimental interventions and thus support both improved preclinical research and development of cell-based therapeutic interventions in a clinical setting.

KEY WORDS: Cell-based therapy, Chronic kidney disease, Metaanalysis

INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, the number of individuals with chronic kidney disease (CKD) is rising, mainly owing to a dramatic increase in atherosclerosis and type-2 diabetes (Khwaja et al., 2007). CKD is a progressive condition causing significant morbidity and mortality.

Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, University Medical Centre Utrecht, 3508 GA Utrecht, The Netherlands.

*These authors contributed equally to this work

[‡]Author for correspondence (m.c.verhaar@umcutrecht.nl)

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

Received 1 August 2014; Accepted 22 January 2015

The ensuing end-stage renal disease and associated increase in cardiovascular risk represent a significant socio-economic burden. Slowing CKD progression is therefore a major health priority.

Cell-based therapy has proven to be a promising clinical approach for several pathological conditions and might represent a novel therapeutic strategy to slow the progression of kidney disease (Tögel and Westenfelder, 2012). Preclinical studies have demonstrated beneficial effects of various (stem) cell populations and cell-derived factors - secreted growth factors, microvesicles and exosomes - in acute kidney injury models, suggesting a renal regenerative effect of cell-based therapies. Importantly, these preclinical observations have already translated into pioneering clinical trials. Recently, a phase I clinical trial showed that administration of allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) to open-heart surgery patients at high risk of acute renal failure was feasible and safe (Tögel and Westenfelder, 2012). Furthermore, MSCs are being used in several clinical trials in kidney transplant recipients with the aim of increased immunosuppression and improved regeneration (Reinders et al., 2013a; Tan et al., 2012).

CKD is characterised by reduced renal regenerative capacity. Several studies suggest beneficial regenerative effects of cell-based therapies in animal models of CKD. However, it is unclear which cell types or cell products improve renal function and morphology most effectively in experimental CKD. The design of preclinical studies is very diverse, varying in terms of models of CKD, timing of interventions, cell type or cell product, number of cells, administration route and read-out of kidney function and morphology, which makes translation to the clinic difficult. A metaanalysis and systematic review of existing animal studies will facilitate the design of future clinical studies. Moreover, the information obtained can be used to optimise existing experimental animal models and interventions and thus to improve preclinical research in the future. We have performed a systematic review and meta-analysis in order to evaluate the effect of cell-based therapy on kidney function and morphology outcome parameters, and we have analysed cell- and model-related aspects. To identify potential bona fide markers of target organ injury in the setting of cell-based therapy, we performed a correlation analysis between functional data (blood pressure and blood and urinary markers) and morphological data [glomerulosclerosis (GS) and tubular interstitial fibrosis (IF)].

RESULTS

Study selection and characteristics

Our electronic search strategy delivered 1015 articles from PubMed database, 944 of which were excluded because inclusion criteria were not met. Data were extracted from 71 articles (Fig. 1). A large variation in study characteristics was observed (supplementary material Table S1). Cell types and products were pooled to facilitate analysis (supplementary material Tables S2, S3). Most studies used MSCs (58%) to evaluate their therapeutic efficacy on CKD. Only

TRANSLATIONAL IMPACT

Clinical issue

Despite elucidation of major factors involved in the progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and development of better treatments, the number of individuals with end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) that require renal replacement therapy is steadily increasing. Preclinical studies have suggested beneficial effects of cell-based therapy but this has not yet been translated to the clinic. A systematic review and meta-analysis of animal studies of cell-based therapy in CKD is useful in order to facilitate the translation of this knowledge into therapies for individuals with CKD or even ESKD.

Results

In the 71 articles that met the inclusion criteria for this study, 1813 animals were used: 442 mice, 1244 rats and 127 pigs. Cell-based therapy improved all functional and histological outcome parameters and reduced development and progression of CKD. Mesenchymal stromal cells, bone marrow progenitor cells and endothelial progenitor cells were the most effective cell-based therapies, and intravenous and intrarenal artery were the most effective delivery routes. Single and multiple administrations were equally effective and no dose response in delivered cell number was found. Changes in plasma urea correlated significantly with changes in two morphological parameters, glomerulosclerosis and interstitial fibrosis.

Implications and future directions

This systematic review and meta-analysis confirms that cell-based therapies can effectively improve impaired renal function and morphology in animal models of CKD. These results can be used to optimize experimental models and interventions and thus improve preclinical research and support development of cell-based therapeutic interventions in a clinical setting.

three studies evaluated both preventive as well as rescue cell-based interventions, whereas 38% studied only preventive and 58% only rescue interventions. Most studies used single administration (68%), 23% used multiple administrations (two to eight times) and 9% of the studies investigated both. Of all five cell-delivery routes (renal artery, intra-arterial non-renal, intravenous, parenchymal or subcapsular, and intraperitoneal), intravenous cell administration was used in the majority of studies (68%). A total of 1813 animals were used to investigate the effect of cell-based therapies on CKD - 442 mice, 1244 rats and 127 pigs, representing 1056 male and 585 female animals. Ten studies did not report the gender of the animals. In rats and mice, CKD was induced using 19 different models that we first pooled into the following groups: subtotal nephrectomy (SNX), diabetic nephropathy (DN), ischemia-reperfusion injury, genetic non-diabetes and hypertension (supplementary material Table S3). Studies in pigs all used renal artery stenosis to induce kidney injury.

Meta-analysis of outcome measures

The efficacy of cell-based therapy in treating CKD was assessed using the following functional parameters: plasma creatinine, plasma urea, glomerular filtration rate (GFR), blood pressure (BP) and urinary protein. We analysed 51 studies that measured plasma creatinine (mice, 10; pigs, 5; rats, 36) and 27 studies that measured plasma urea (mice, 8; rats, 19). The GFR analysis contained studies that reported inulin (rats, 6) or creatinine clearance (15 studies: mice, 2; rats, 13) and 8 studies with multi-detector computed tomography (MDCT, all in pigs). BP analysis included 10 studies in rats and 8 in pigs, and urinary protein analysis included 46 studies (mice, 10; pigs, 3; rats, 33). We also analysed the histological parameters GS [28 studies (mice, 8; pigs, 3; rats, 17)] and IF [27 studies (mice, 2; pigs, 8; rats, 17)].



Fig. 1. Flow chart of study selection. Articles were selected according to inclusion and exclusion criteria defined in the Materials and Methods section.

Our meta-analysis showed that treatment of CKD with cells or cell products significantly improved functional and histological parameters. Results for the effect of cell-based therapy on plasma creatinine are summarised in supplementary material Fig. S1. Plasma creatinine decreased after cell-based therapy compared with that of vehicle-treated or control animals (SMD, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.73, 1.24; P<0.001). Similarly, cell-based therapy reduced plasma urea in experimental CKD (SMD, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.66, 1.51; P<0.001; Fig. 2). Cell-based therapy increased GFR (SMD, 1.05; 95% CI, 0.67, 1.43; P<0.001; supplementary material Fig. S2) and decreased BP (SMD, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.34, 0.87; P<0.001; Fig. 3) compared with that of control animals. The outcome measure most amenable to improvement by cell-based therapy was urinary protein (SMD, 1.34; 95% CI, 1.00, 1.68; P<0.001; Fig. 4). Reductions in GS (SMD, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.61, 0.93; P<0.001; Fig. 5) and IF (SMD, 0.72; 95% CI 0.50, 0.95; P<0.001; Fig. 6) were observed after cell-based therapy. Heterogeneity in effect size between studies was high (>72%) for all functional outcome parameters except for BP, where it was moderate (42%). No heterogeneity was detected between studies that measured GS. Heterogeneity between studies that measured IF was moderate (35%).

Correlations between functional measurements and renal tissue injury

No significant correlation was found between reductions in GS and IF (R=0.34, P=0.055, Fig. 7A). Therefore, we hypothesised that

Author and Year	Animal	Cell Type	Urea reduction	SMD and 95% Cl
De Chiara L (2014)	mouse	Organ specific	: - -1	-0.59 [-1.49 , 0.30]
Donizettie-Oliveira C (2012)	mouse	MSC		-0.38 [-1.52 , 0.76]
Donizettie–Oliveira C (2012)	mouse	MSC		-2.21 [-3.65 , -0.78]
Gu Z (2010)	mouse	MSC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.93 [0.16 . 3.69]
Gu Z (2010)	mouse	MSC	·	2.10 [0.29 . 3.90]
Gu Z (2010)	mouse	MSC		192[016_369]
Harrison E (2013)	mouse			0.31[-0.65, 1.27]
$H_{e} = 1(2012)$	mouse	HSC		0.55[-0.76, 1.86]
	mouse			0.55[-0.76, 1.86]
Niniohult \mathcal{V} (2006)	mouse			0.04[-0.07, 1.90]
Nimicriuk V (2008)	mouse	MSC iDC (and an an in		-0.10[-0.98, 0.77]
Nur R (2008)	mouse	IPS/embryonic		1.51 [0.23 , 2.80]
Sangidorj O (2010)	mouse	EPC	⊢ - ∎1	0.21[-0.87, 1.28]
Sangidorj O (2010)	mouse	EPC		0.65[-0.46, 1.77]
Sangidorj O (2010)	mouse	EPC	<u>k</u> ∎1	1.05 [-0.14 , 2.24]
Sangidorj O (2010)	mouse	EPC	l : ■ 1	1.10 [-0.18 , 2.38]
Sangidorj O (2010)	mouse	EPC	∃ ⊢∎ -	2.08 [0.36 , 3.80]
Alfarano C (2012)	rat	MSC	È-∎-1	0.95 [-0.08 , 1.99]
Alfarano C (2012)	rat	MSC		-0.48 [-1.48 , 0.51]
Bian X (2014)	rat	MSC	├─── ─┤	2.42[0.93,3.91]
Bian X (2014)	rat	MSC	⊢	3.31 [1.56 , 5.05]
Bian X (2014)	rat	MSC	⊢ ∎−1	0.16 [-0.97 , 1.29]
Bian X (2014)	rat	MSC	I÷∎1	0.75 [-0.42 , 1.92]
Castiglione RC (2013)	rat	BM	·	1.18 [0.23 , 2.13]
Chang JW (2012)	rat	MSC	<u>⊢_</u>	1.02 [-0.19 . 2.22]
Fang Y (2012)	rat	MSC		2.45 [1.15, 3.74]
Furuhashi K (2013)	rat	MSC		0.28 [-0.91 , 1.46]
Furuhashi K (2013)	rat	MSC		300[118_481]
Furuhashi K (2013)	rat	MSC		_0.39[_1.69_0.90]
Eurubashi K (2013)	rat	MSC		-0.03[-1.28, 1.23]
Gotti S (2011)	rat	Coll product		-0.03[-1.20, 1.20]
Huong ZV (2012)	rat			3.10[1.42, 4.79]
Huang ZY (2012)	rat	MSC		4.47 [2.54 , 0.59]
Huang Z f (2012)	rat	MSC		0.16[3.72, 0.04]
JIAO YQ (2011)	rat	Organ specific		0.91 [0.16 , 1.66]
Kunter U (2007)	rat	MSC	· ⊢-∎ 1	1.13[0.19, 2.08]
Li W (2012)	rat	MSC	<u>;</u>	2.84 [1.60 , 4.08]
Ma H (2013a)	rat	MSC		-0.23[-1.62, 1.16]
Ma H (2013a)	rat	MSC	k∎1	0.10 [-1.29 , 1.48]
Ma H (2013b)	rat	MSC	⊢ ;≡ −−−−↓	0.29 [-1.51,2.08]
Ma H (2013b)	rat	MSC		–0.73 [–2.56,1.10]
Ma H (2013b)	rat	MSC	<u>}</u>	–0.11 [–1.90,1.68]
Ma H (2013b)	rat	Cell product	⊢	–0.09 [–1.88,1.70]
Masoad RE (2012)	rat	BM	÷ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	11.11 [7.56 , 14.66]
Semedo P (2009)	rat	MSC	⊢_∎	1.05 [-0.07 , 2.16]
van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM		1.54 [0.43 , 2.66]
van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM	⊢÷ ∎−−−1	0.77 [-0.46 , 1.99]
van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM	⊢ ₩	0.53 [-0.67 , 1.74]
van Koppen A (2012b)	rat	Cell product	⊢∰→	-0.10 [-0.87 , 0.66]
van Koppen A (2012b)	rat	Cell product	⊢-⊞ ÷I	-0.64 [-1.68 , 0.40]
Villanueva S (2013)	rat	MSC	÷ +	5.15 2.97 7.32 1
Zhang L (2012)	rat	MSC		2.03 0.82 3.23
(_0)	Tat	MOO		1.00 [0.02 ; 0.20]
RE Model			◆	1.09 [0.66 , 1.51]
				$\tau = 1.37$
			<-Deterioration Improvement->	$l^2 = 82.47\%$
			-5.00 0.00 5.00 10.00	

Fig. 2. The effect of cell-based treatment of CKD on plasma urea. Forest plot; the right side shows improvement by cell-based therapy. Data are presented as SMDs and 95% Cl. Only the first author of each paper is shown. iPS, induced pluripotent stem cell; RE, random effects.

correlations between functional outcome measures and structural outcome measures would be different for GS and IF. Indeed, reduction in BP correlated positively with reduction in GS (R=0.53, P=0.030, Fig. 7B), but negatively with reduction in IF (R=-0.43,

P=0.044, Fig. 7C). Urinary protein, the marker that was most strongly affected by cell-based therapy (Fig. 4) did not correlate with either reduction in GS (R=0.12, P=0.41, supplementary material Fig. S3A) or reduction in IF (R=0.28, P=0.13, supplementary material

Author and Year	Animal	Cell Type	MAP/SBP	BP Reduction	SMD & 95% CI
Cavaglieri RC (2009)	rat	MSC	SBP	· ₽ 1	1.35 [0.38 , 2.33]
Cavaglieri RC (2009)	rat	MSC	SBP	· · · · · ·	→ 2.64 [1.44 , 3.84]
Kunter U (2007)	rat	MSC	SBP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0.07 [-0.95 , 0.80]
Lee SR (2010)	rat	MSC	SBP	<u>⊢⊡_</u>	0.98 [-0.35 , 2.32]
Lee SR (2010)	rat	MSC	SBP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.92 [0.31 , 3.53]
Lee SR (2010)	rat	Organ specific	SBP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.39 [-0.92 , 1.69]
Masoad RE (2012)	rat	BM	SBP	⊢	3.03 [1.74 , 4.31]
Oliveira-Sales EB (2013)	rat	MSC	SBP	j	1.11 [0.02 , 2.20]
Tögel F (2009)	rat	MSC	SBP		0.43 [-0.72 . 1.57]
van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM	SBP	⊢−− ∎−−−−1	1.66 [0.52 . 2.80]
van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM	SBP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.91 [-0.33 . 2.16]
van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM	SBP	·	0.64[-0.57, 1.86]
van Koppen A (2012b)	rat	Cell product	SBP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.86 [0.06 , 1.67]
van Koppen A (2012b)	rat	Cell product	SBP		0.63[-0.41, 1.67]
Yuen DA (2013)	rat	FPC	SBP	·	1.36 [0.08 , 2.63]
Yuen DA (2013)	rat	Cell product	SBP	<u> </u>	0.79 [-0.38, 1.96]
Yuen DA (2010)	rat	FPC	SBP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.21[-0.85, 1.27]
Yuen DA (2010)	rat	EPC	SBP		0.43[-0.64 1.49]
Yuen DA (2010)	rat	MSC	SBP	· · · · ·	0.03[-1.03, 1.08]
Chade AB (2010)	nia	FPC	MAR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.14[-0.91, 1.19]
Chade AB (2009)	pig	EPC	MAP	·	0.50[-0.56, 1.56]
Ebrahimi B (2012)	pig	EPC	MAP		0.10[_0.95_1.15]
Eirin A (2014)	pig	MEC	600		_0.06[_1.19_1.07]
Eirin A (2014)	pig	MSC	SBF		-0.16[-1.29, 0.98]
Eirin A (2013)	pig	MSC FRC	MAP		-0.15[-1.29,0.90]
Eirin A (2013)	pig	EPC	MAP		-0.15[-1.20,0.09]
Eirin A (2013)	pig	EPC	MAP		0.01[-1.04, 1.00]
Linin A (2012)	pig	MSC	MAP		0.32[-0.73, 1.36]
Unbieta Caseres VII (2012)	pig	EPC	SBP		-0.13[-1.20, 1.00]
Thu XX (0010)	pig	EPC	MAP		0.07[-1.06, 1.20]
Zhu XY (2013)	pig	MSC	МАР		-0.13[-1.44,1.19]
Zhu XY (2013)	pig	EPC	MAP		0.03[-1.28, 1.35]
RE Model				•	0.60 [0.34 , 0.87]
					p-value = 8.89 e-06
				<- Deterioration	$\tau = 0.49$
				:	l ² = 42.37%
			[
			-5.00	0.00	5.00

also effective on all functional parameters except GFR (supplementary

material Fig. S4C). All other cell types or products [hematopoietic

stem cells (HSCs), embryonic and organ specific] and delivery routes

(intraperitoneal, intra-arterial non-renal and parenchyma or

subcapsular) were applied too infrequently to be reliably interpreted.

We observed no difference between single and multiple cell administration regimes, except for GFR, where multiple

administrations showed no effect (supplementary material Fig.

S4C). Both regimes reduced the development and progression of

CKD as shown by reduced creatinine, urea, BP and urinary protein

and less GS and IF (supplementary material Fig. S4A,B,D-G). For

none of the outcome parameters was there a significant relationship

between administered cell number and effect size, even though

administered cell number between studies differed by more than

Fig. 3. The effect of cell-based treatment of CKD on blood pressure. Forest plot; the right side shows improvement by cell-based therapy. Data are presented as SMDs and 95% CI. Only the first author of each paper is shown. MAP, mean arterial pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; RE, random effects.

Regime

Fig. S3B). Reduction in plasma urea correlated positively with reduction in both GS (R=0.58, P=0.011, Fig. 7D) and IF (R=0.51, P=0.029, Fig. 7E). Reduction in plasma creatinine correlated with GS (R=0.48, P=0.003, supplementary material Fig. S3C), but not with IF (R=0.32, P=0.069, supplementary material Fig. S3D) and increased GFR did not correlate with GS (R=0.35, P=0.086, supplementary material Fig. S3E), but correlated strongly with IF (R=0.69, P<0.001, supplementary material Fig. S3F).

Subgroup-analysis and meta-regression Cell-based-treatment-related factors

Cell type

Meta-regression showed that for most outcome measures, differences in the administered cell type did not explain variations in treatment effect. The most evidence currently supports MSC treatment, as MSC treatment consistently improved all functional and histological parameters except GFR (supplementary material Fig. S4A-G), the largest decrease being observed for urinary protein (SMD, 1.49; 95% CI, 0.97, 2.02; P<0.001; supplementary material Fig. S4E). Bone marrow cells (BM) had beneficial effects on urea, BP, urinary protein and GS (supplementary material Fig. S4B,D-F). Only GFR showed a significant difference between cell types, with endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) causing significantly more improvement in GFR than other cell types (P<0.001, supplementary material Fig. S4C).

Delivery route

When delivered intravenously, cell treatment improved all functional and histological parameters, with the greatest increase observed on GFR (SMD, 1.51; 95% CI, 0.85, 2.18; *P*<0.001; supplementary material Fig. S4C). Cell administration directly via the renal artery was

ig. S4A-G), the four orders of magnitude (data not shown). SMD, 1.49; 95% Fig. S4E). Bone Cell origin and condition Purinary protein Both venogeneic (human cells administered t

Both xenogeneic (human cells administered to rodents) and allogeneic (animals receiving cells from the same species) transplantation of cells were effective at improving outcome parameters, except for GFR, where xenotransplantation failed to improve this parameter (supplementary material Fig. S4A-G). The majority of studies used cells from healthy donors and 'healthy cells' consistently improved functional and histological outcome parameters.

Model-related factors (species, gender, model and timing of therapy) All studies that used rats to study the efficacy of cell-based treatment in CKD showed improvement in all functional and histological

Author and Year	Animal	Cell Type Measurement	Urinary Protein Reduction	SMD & 95% CI
Cao Q (2014)	mouse	Other Proteinuria		0.36 [-1.31 , 2.02]
Cao Q (2014)	mouse	Other Proteinuria		0.73 [-0.96, 2.42]
Cao Q (2014) Cao Q (2014)	mouse	Other Proteinuria		0.17 [-1.49 , 1.82] 4.05 [1.53 , 6.56]
Challen GA (2006)	mouse	Organ specific Alb/Creat Ratio		0.50 [-1.48 , 2.49]
Challen GA (2006) Chon J (2009)	mouse	Organ specific Alb/Creat Ratio		3.68 [0.58 , 6.79]
Chen J (2009)	mouse	BM Alb/Creat Ratio		1.31 [-0.29 , 2.91]
Chen J (2009)	mouse	BM Alb/Creat Ratio	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0.96 [-0.76 , 2.67]
Ezquer F (2009)	mouse	MSC Alb/Creat Ratio		1.44 [0.34 , 2.54]
Gu Z (2010)	mouse	MSC Proteinuria		1.57 [-0.13, 3.26]
Gu Z (2010)	mouse	MSC Proteinuria MSC Proteinuria		1.45 [-0.23 , 3.12]
He J (2012)	mouse	MSC Proteinuria	È ⊢_∎ I	4.69 [2.47 , 6.91]
Hyun YY (2012)	mouse	MSC Prot/Creat Ratio		1.99 [0.13 , 3.85]
Hýun YY (2012)	mouse	MSC Prot/Creat Ratio	÷ +	4.21 [1.69 , 6.74]
Ratliff BB (2010)	mouse	MSC Prot/Creat Ratio EPC Prot/Creat Batio		4.24 [1.90 , 6.58] 2.14 [0.72 , 3.56]
Sangidorj O (2010)	mouse	EPC Prot/Creat Ratio	<u>'</u> ' 	8.20 [3.95 , 12.45]
Zhang Y (2012) Zhang Y (2012)	mouse	EPC Albuminuria EPC Albuminuria		0.95[-0.08, 1.98] 5.00[3.10, 6.91]
Alexandre CS (2009)	rat	HSC Proteinuria	<u>;</u> ∎	1.12 [-0.16 , 2.40]
Alexandre CS (2009) Caldas HC (2011)	rat	HSC Proteinuria MSC Proteinuria		1.46 [0.12 , 2.79] 2.10 [0.25 , 3.96]
Caldas HC (2011)	rat	BM Proteinuria	, ¦ ∎ , '	1.88 [0.08 , 3.67]
Caldas HC (2011) Caldas HC (2011)	rat rat	MSC Proteinuria BM Proteinuria		1.39 [-0.29 , 3.06] 0.95 [-0.64 , 2.54]
Caldas HC (2008)	rat	BM Proteinuria		0.34 [-1.18 , 1.87]
Caldas HC (2008) Castiglione BC (2013)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		1.36 [-0.31 , 3.02]
Cavaglieri RC (2009)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		-0.03 [-0.91 , 0.85]
Cavaglieri RC (2009)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		5.81 [3.80 , 7.81]
Cavaglieri RC (2009)	rat	MSC Albuminuria		1.21 [0.26 , 2.17]
Chang JW (2012) Francuesa M (2012)	rat	MSC Prot/Creat Ratio		-0.07 [-1.21 , 1.06]
Franquesa M (2012)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		0.94 [-0.40 , 2.28]
Furuhashi K (2013)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		-0.34 [-1.63 , 0.95]
Gatti S (2011)	rat	Cell product Proteinuria		1.59 [0.30 , 2.89]
Gatti S (2011)	rat	Cell product Prot/Creat Ratio		2.32 [0.86 , 3.78]
Huang ZY (2012)	rat	MSC Proteinuria MSC Proteinuria		4.09 [2.27 , 5.90]
Huang ZY (2012)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		4.37 [2.48 , 6.27]
Jiao YQ (2011)	rat	Organ specific Albuminuria		-0.94 [-1.69 , -0.18]
Kunter U (2007)	rat	MSC Proteinuria	````;⊢∎-;	1.44 [0.46 , 2.43]
Lee SR (2010)	rat	MSC Prot/Creat Ratio MSC Proteinuria	; ┌─── ┐ ┝── ─ ─┤	0.49 [-0.81 , 1.80]
Lee SR (2010)	rat	MSC Proteinuria	, `}_∎, ` }	0.90 [-0.54 , 2.34]
Li W (2012)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		6.64 [4.40 , 8.87]
Lv SS (2013)	rat	MSC Albuminuria	╷╶┊╷┝┻┤╵	2.78 [1.81 , 3.76]
Ma H (2013a) Ma H (2013a)	rat	MSC Proteinuria MSC Proteinuria		-0.32 [-1.71 , 1.07]
Ma H (2013b)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		3.58 [1.03 , 6.13]
Ma H (2013b)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		3.95 [1.27 , 6.63]
Ma H (2013b) Ma H (2013b)	rat	Cell product Proteinuria		0.40 [-1.40 , 2.21]
Ma H (2013b)	rat	MSC Albuminuria		-1.21 [-3.10 , 0.68]
Ma H (2013b) Ma H (2013b)	rat	MSC Albuminuria		-1.14 [-3.02 , 0.74] -4.75 [-7.75 -1.75]
Masoad RE (2012)	rat	BM Alb/Creat Ratio		22.59 [15.54 , 29.65]
Oliveira–Sales EB (2013) Park IH (2012b)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		0.25 [-0.77 , 1.26]
Park JH (2012a)	rat	MSC Prot/Creat Ratio	.' ⊨ =	1.06 [-0.06 , 2.18]
Semedo P (2009) Semedo P (2009)	rat	MSC Prot/Creat Ratio		0.28[-0.77, 1.34]
Shuai L (2012)	rat	EPC Proteinuria		-0.82 [-2.24 , 0.61]
Shuai L (2012) Shuai L (2012)	rat	EPC Proteinuria EPC Proteinuria		-0.55 [-1.96 , 0.85] -0.85 [-2.28 , 0.58]
Shuai L (2012)	rat	EPC Proteinuria	` ⊢	-0.31 [-1.70 , 1.09]
Shuai L (2012) Shuai L (2012)	rat	EPC Proteinuria EPC Proteinuria		-0.69 [-2.10 , 0.73] -0.38 [-1.77 , 1.02]
Shuai L (2012)	rat	EPC Proteinuria	' [: = ' -]	0.60 [-0.81 , 2.01]
Shuai L (2012) Shuai L (2012)	rat	EPC Proteinuria EPC Proteinuria		0.75[-0.67, 2.17]
van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM Proteinuria	'ŀ ; ∎_ <mark>·</mark> '	0.78 [-0.23 , 1.80]
van Koppen A (2012a) van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM Proteinuria BM Proteinuria		1.29 [0.00 , 2.59] 1.03 [-0.22 , 2.29]
van Koppen A (2012b)	rat	Cell product Proteinuria	, <u></u> È≢-1 '	0.61 [-0.17 , 1.40]
van Koppen A (2012b) Wang S (2013)	rat rat	MSC Alb/Creat Ratio	┝╴╋╌┥	-0.41[-1.43, 0.62] 3.06[1.66,4.46]
Yamaleyeva LM (2012)	rat	Organ specific Albuminuria		4.87 [1.63 , 8.11]
Yuen DA (2013)	rat rat	EPC Prot/Creat Ratio		2.11 [0.46 , 5.08] 1.95 [0.57 , 3.34]
Yuen DA (2013)	rat	Cell product Prot/Creat Ratio		1.01 [-0.18 , 2.21]
Yuen DA (2010)	rat	EPC Proteinuria EPC Proteinuria		9.30 [5.95 , 12.77] 10.60 [6.78 , 14.42]
Yuen DA (2010)	rat	MSC Proteinuria	i ,⊢-∙-,I	3.04 [1.55 , 4.54]
Zhang Y (2013)	rat	MSC Alb/Creat Ratio		2.00 [1.22, 4.09] 2.96 [1.45, 4.47]
Zhang L (2012) Zhou H (2009)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		1.35 [0.26 , 2.43]
Zhou H (2009)	rat	MSC Alb/Creat Ratio		1.06 [-0.74 , 2.86]
Zoja C (2012) Zoja C (2012)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		-0.51 [-1.92 , 0.90]
Zoja C (2012)	rat	MSC Proteinuria		-0.53 [-1.94 , 0.88]
Chade AR (2009) Firin A (2012)	pig	EPC Proteinuria MSC Albuminuria		0.38 [-0.68 , 1.44] -0.03 [-1.07 1.02]
Urbieta-Caceres VH (2012)	pig	EPC Proteinuria	.┝━━━━┥	0.21 [-0.92 , 1.35]
Zhu XY (2013) Zhu XY (2013)	pig pia	MSC Proteinuria EPC Proteinuria		0.11 [-1.21 , 1.43] 0.40 [-0.93 , 1.73]
RE Model	F-9	Li o i localidada		1.34 [1.00 , 1.68]
Alb/Creat Batio			▼	2021 102 001
Albuminuria				0.70 [-0.26 , 1.67]
Prot/Creat Ratio Proteinuria				1.87 [0.91 , 2.83] 1.20 [0.78 , 1.62]
			· ·	p-value = 8.66 e-15
			<-Deterioration	$\tau = 1.57$ $r^2 = 84.1197$
				1 = 04.11/0
			-5.00 0.00 5.00 10.00 15.00 00.00	
			Standardized Mean Difference	

Fig. 4. The effect of cell-based treatment of CKD on urinary protein. Forest plot; the right side shows improvement by cell-based therapy. Data are presented as SMDs and 95% Cl. Only the first author of each paper is shown. RE, random effects.

Author and Year	Animal	Cell Type	GS Improvement	SMD & 95% CI
Cao Q (2014)	mouse	Other	<u>⊢</u>	0.08 [–1.58 , 1.74]
Cao Q (2014)	mouse	Other	⊢	-0.02 [-1.68 , 1.63]
Cao Q (2014)	mouse	Other	⊢ <u></u> ≢{	0.05 [-1.61 , 1.70]
Cao Q (2014)	mouse	Other	⊢ <u>∶</u> ■ I	0.92 [-0.79 , 2.63]
Chang JW (2011)	mouse	MSC	⊧ ∶ ∎4	0.74 [-0.28 , 1.75]
Chang JW (2011)	mouse	MSC		0.76 [-0.29 , 1.81]
De Chiara L (2014)	mouse	Organ specific	<u>⊦</u> ∎1	0.96 [0.03 , 1.89]
Ezquer F (2009)	mouse	MSC		4.44 [2.62 , 6.26]
Hyun YY (2012)	mouse	MSC		0.65 [-0.94 , 2.24]
Hyun YY (2012)	mouse	MSC		0.28 [-1.24 , 1.80]
Hyuri YY (2012)	mouse	MSC		0.54 [-0.93 , 2.02]
Num $P_{(2008)}$	mouse	MSC iBC (ombrivania		0.12[-0.70, 1.00]
Sangidori O (2010)	mouse	FDC		0.03[-0.03, 1.79]
Sangidori O (2010)	mouse	EPC		0.22 [-0.03, 1.30]
Sangidori O (2010)	mouse	EPC		0.02[-1.00, 1.11] 0.43[-0.72, 1.57]
Sangidori O (2010)	mouse	EPC		0.89[-0.36, 2.15]
Sangidori O (2010)	mouse	EPC		0.86 [-0.59 2.31]
Alexandre CS (2009)	rat	HSC		1 02 [-0 24 2 29]
Alexandre CS (2009)	rat	HSC		0.97 [-0.30 , 2.23]
Caldas HC (2011)	rat	MSC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.77 [0.01 , 3.53]
Caldas HC (2011)	rat	BM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.37 [-1.16 , 1.90]
Caldas HC (2011)	rat	MSC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.77 [-0.80 , 2.34]
Caldas HC (2011)	rat	BM	<u>↓</u>	1.29 [-0.36 , 2.95]
Cavaglieri RC (2009)	rat	MSC	, ⊢→∎→┥	0.29 [-0.59 , 1.18]
Cavaglieri RC (2009)	rat	MSC	; ; } (1.12 [0.18 , 2.07]
Chang JW (2012)	rat	MSC	i ⊢_∎ i	1.18 [-0.05 , 2.41]
Choi S (2009)	rat	MSC	<u>⊢</u>	0.50 [-1.12 , 2.13]
Franquesa M (2012)	rat	BM	⊢ ∔1	0.00 [-1.70 , 1.70]
Franquesa M (2012)	rat	MSC	<u>⊢ </u>	1.17 [–0.49 , 2.84]
Franquesa M (2012)	rat	BM	l <u>÷</u> ∎−−1	1.00 [-0.45 , 2.44]
Franquesa M (2012)	rat	MSC	k ` _∎I	1.24 [-0.15 , 2.63]
Gatti S (2011)	rat	Cell product	⊢	1.88 [0.52 , 3.24]
Lee SR (2010)	rat	MSC	∎	1.62 [0.23 , 3.02]
Lee SR (2010)	rat	MSC		5.22 [2.60 , 7.83]
Lee SR (2010)	rat	Organ specific		-0.04 [-1.34 , 1.26]
LV SS (2013)	rat	MSC		1.24 [0.49 , 2.00]
Ma H (2013a)	rat	MSC		0.43 [-0.97 , 1.83]
$R_{\rm A} = (2013a)$	rai	MSC		1.00 [-0.39 , 2.30]
Van Koppon A (2012a)	rat	MSC		0.74[0.07,1.76]
van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM		0.74[-0.27, 1.70] 0.65[-0.56, 1.87]
van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM		0.00[-0.00, 1.07]
van Koppen A (2012b)	rat	Cell product		0.59 [-0.19 1.38]
van Koppen A (2012b)	rat	Cell product		-0.19 [-1.21 , 0.82]
Wang S (2013)	rat	MSC		0.31 [-0.65 . 1.26]
Yamaleyeva LM (2012)	rat	Organ specific	<u>.</u>	1.58 [-0.34 , 3.50]
Yamaleyeva LM (2012)	rat	Organ specific	<u>↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ </u>	0.70 [-1.04 , 2.44]
Yuen DA (2013)	rat	EPC	; ; 	1.76 [0.41 , 3.10]
Yuen DA (2013)	rat	Cell product	: -	1.88 [0.55 , 3.22]
Yuen DA (2010)	rat	EPC		0.75 [–0.34 , 1.84]
Yuen DA (2010)	rat	EPC	l ∶∎ _l	0.82 [-0.28 , 1.91]
Yuen DA (2010)	rat	MSC	⊢⊸⊣	0.18 [-0.87 , 1.24]
Chade AR (2009)	pig	EPC	; ⊢_ ∎{I}	1.25 [0.11 , 2.40]
Eirin A (2013)	pig	EPC	⊢÷∎1	0.54 [-0.52 , 1.61]
Eirin A (2013)	pig	EPC	⊢ <u>−</u> −−1	0.49 [-0.57 , 1.55]
Eirin A (2012)	pig	MSC	⊢ ∶∎ 1	0.48 [-0.58 , 1.55]
RE Model			•	0.77 [0.61 , 0.93]
			•	p-value = 7.08 e-21
			<-Deterioration Improvement->	$\tau = 0.01$
			i I	۲ ^۲ = 0.00%
			· · · ·	
			-5.00 0.00 5.00 10	0.00

Fig. 5. The effect of cell-based treatment of CKD on glomerulosclerosis. Forest plot; the right side shows improvement by cell-based therapy. Data are presented as SMDs and 95% CI. Only the first author of each paper is shown. iPS, induced pluripotent stem cell; RE, random effects.

parameters (supplementary material Fig. S5A-G). Cell therapy did not influence urea and GFR in mice (supplementary material Fig. S5B,C), and did not influence creatinine, BP and urinary protein in pigs (supplementary material Fig. S5A,D,E). Gender did not affect the

outcome of cell therapy except in the case of GFR, which was not improved in males (supplementary material Fig. S5C). Heterogeneity in design was too substantial to identify differential treatment effects between different disease models. The most commonly used model,

Author and Year	Animal	Cell Type	IF Improvement	SMD & 95% CI
Li K (2010)	mouse	MSC	÷	2.29 [0.69 , 3.88]
Sangidorj O (2010)	mouse	EPC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.10 [-0.97 , 1.18]
Sangidorj O (2010)	mouse	EPC		1.39 [0.21 , 2.56]
Sangidorj O (2010)	mouse	EPC	⊢_ <u></u> i	-0.08 [-1.21 , 1.05]
Sangidorj O (2010)	mouse	EPC		1.69 [0.31 , 3.07]
Sangidorj O (2010)	mouse	EPC	H i ∎ H	1.28 [-0.24 , 2.80]
Alfarano C (2012)	rat	MSC		1.43 [0.33 , 2.52]
Cavaglieri RC (2009)	rat	MSC	⊢ _≣ ÷i	–0.61 [–1.51 , 0.29]
Cavaglieri RC (2009)	rat	MSC	⊢-⊞ i-4	–0.29 [–1.17 , 0.59]
Chang JW (2012)	rat	MSC	⊢_ ∎1	1.06 [–0.15 , 2.27]
Choi S (2009)	rat	MSC	⊢	0.29 [-1.32 , 1.90]
Chung BH (2013)	rat	MSC		-0.16 [-1.14 , 0.82]
Du T (2013)	rat	MSC	⊢	0.47 [–1.15 , 2.09]
Franquesa M (2012)	rat	BM	<u>⊢</u>	0.72 [-1.03 , 2.46]
Franquesa M (2012)	rat	MSC	: 	2.20 [0.33 , 4.07]
Franquesa M (2012)	rat	BM	,	0.31 [-1.06 , 1.68]
Franquesa M (2012)	rat	MSC	⊢÷_∎4	0.96 [-0.39 , 2.31]
Gatti S (2011)	rat	Cell product	i — ∎ →I	1.21 [-0.02 , 2.44]
Kunter U (2007)	rat	MSC	⊢-i∎i	0.21 [-0.67 , 1.09]
Ma H (2013a)	rat	MSC	,	0.44 [-0.96 , 1.84]
Ma H (2013a)	rat	MSC	I ∎ 1	1.34 [-0.18 , 2.86]
van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM	⊢_ ∎4	0.17 [-0.81 , 1.15]
van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM	<u>⊢_</u>	0.19 [-1.00 , 1.37]
van Koppen A (2012a)	rat	BM	⊢_ ∎4	-0.09 [-1.28 , 1.09]
van Koppen A (2012b)	rat	Cell product	, ⊨÷∎4	0.41 [-0.37 , 1.19]
van Koppen A (2012b)	rat	Cell product	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0.05 [-1.06 , 0.96]
Villanueva S (2013)	rat	MSC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.72 [0.50 , 2.95]
Yamaleyeva LM (2012)	rat	Organ specific	⊢	0.74 [-1.01 , 2.49]
Yamaleyeva LM (2012)	rat	Organ specific	·	3.54 [0.91 , 6.16]
Yuen DA (2013)	rat	EPC		1.26 [0.01 , 2.52]
Yuen DA (2013)	rat	Cell product	; ; 	1.43 [0.17 , 2.68]
Yuen DA (2010)	rat	EPC	· ∎1	1.85 [0.61 , 3.08]
Yuen DA (2010)	rat	EPC		1.86 [0.62 , 3.09]
Yuen DA (2010)	rat	MSC	┝━━╋━━┥	0.10 [–0.96 , 1.16]
Zhang L (2012)	rat	MSC	i ∎ i	0.86 [–0.17 , 1.88]
Chade AR (2010)	pig	EPC	· :	0.95 [-0.15 , 2.06]
Chade AR (2009)	pig	EPC	⊢÷∎1	0.35 [–0.70 , 1.41]
Ebrahimi B (2012)	pig	EPC	⊢_i≡ 1	0.20 [-0.85 , 1.25]
Eirin A (2014)	pig	MSC		1.33 [0.08 , 2.59]
Eirin A (2013)	pig	EPC	F÷∎1	0.55 [–0.52 , 1.61]
Eirin A (2013)	pig	EPC	⊢÷∎I	0.44 [-0.62 , 1.50]
Eirin A (2012)	pig	MSC	⊨÷∎1	0.49 [–0.57 , 1.55]
Zhu XY (2013)	pig	MSC	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2.54 [0.80 , 4.29]
Zhu XY (2013)	pig	EPC	⊢	2.08 [0.46 , 3.70]
RE Model			•	0.72 [0.50 , 0.95]
				p-value = 3.40 e-10
			<-Deterioration Improvement->	$\tau = 0.44$
				l ² = 35.14%
				I
			F 00 0 00 F 00	10.00
			-5.00 0.00 5.00	10.00

Fig. 6. The effect of cell-based treatment of CKD on interstitial fibrosis. Forest plot; the right side shows improvement by cell-based therapy. Data are presented as SMDs and 95% Cl. Only the first author of each paper is shown. RE, random effects.

SNX, showed consistent improvements in all functional and histological parameters, with the biggest increase for GFR (SMD, 1.78; 95% CI, 1.20, 2.36; P<0.001; supplementary material Fig. S5C). Diabetes models generally seem to show greater improvements than other models (except for GFR), although the power is too limited to achieve statistical significance (supplementary material Fig. S5A-G). Both preventive and rescue cell-based treatments improved development and progression of CKD, shown by all outcome measures (supplementary material Fig. S5). The timing of therapy in relation to clinical manifestation of disease (prevention or rescue treatment) was not associated with efficacy.

Quality assessment

The results of methodological quality assessment are shown in supplementary material Fig. S6. All included studies were assessed using 13 characteristics. Of the assessed characteristics, 70% were

scored positive. Eight articles were of high quality (>80% positive characteristics) and two articles were of low quality (<50% positive characteristics). Numbers of animals, CKD model, follow-up, dosage, administration route, timing of intervention and outcome measures were all adequately reported. Animal characteristics were often only partly described (52 out of 71 articles), with strain, age, body weight and/or gender sometimes not being mentioned. Randomisation of animals was only reported in 40% of all studies. Scoring and analysis of histology parameters was only performed blindly by the researchers in a third of all studies.

Publication bias and sensitivity analysis

Publication bias was assessed for all outcome measures. Visual assessment of funnel plots showed no publication bias for plasma urea, BP, GS and IF (supplementary material Fig. S7A,E,F). Small negative studies appeared to be underrepresented for plasma

Decrease in IF vs decrease in GS



Decrease in BP vs decrease in GS



Decrease in plasma urea vs decrease in GS

Decrease in BP vs decrease in IF







Fig. 7. Correlations between renal functional parameters and tissue injury parameters. (A) Correlation between decrease in interstitial fibrosis (IF) versus decrease in glomerulosclerosis (GS). (B) Correlation between decrease in blood pressure (BP) versus decrease in GS. (C) Correlation between decrease in BP versus decrease in IF. (D) Correlation between decrease in plasma urea versus decrease in GS. (E) Correlation between decrease in plasma urea versus decrease in GS. (E) Correlation between decrease in glomerulosclerosis (GS).

creatinine, urinary protein excretion and GFR (supplementary material Fig. S7B-D) and Egger's test showed asymmetry for these three outcome measures (P<0.001). The 'trim and fill' method showed that there are seven hypothetical studies missing for creatinine, three for urinary protein and one for GFR (imputed in supplementary material Fig. S8). A re-run of the meta-analysis for those three outcome measures, including computed studies, showed very similar effects to the original results.

DISCUSSION

Our systematic review and meta-analysis showed that cell-based therapy reduced the development and progression of experimental CKD, as measured by several commonly and clinically used measures of renal function (creatinine, urea, GFR, BP and urinary protein) and for common experimentally used measures of renal damage (GS and IF). This finding proved to be consistent despite considerable differences between studies in the selection and preparation of cells, administration route and choice of disease model and model species.

Before considering cell- and model-related factors that might influence the efficacy of cell-based therapy, we analysed whether correlation analysis could be of use in identifying potential bona fide markers of target organ injury. The rationale for this approach was that for ethical reasons only animal studies allow systematic quantitative analysis of target organ injury. Thus, correlation between functional and structural data in animal studies might affect our perspective of commonly used functional markers in the setting of cell-based therapy. Upfront, we need to acknowledge that, owing to limited availability of raw data, this analysis was performed with SMDs and was not weighted for study precision. Nevertheless, the outcome was illuminative.

First, we analysed whether reductions in GS and IF were correlated. Surprisingly, reductions in GS and IF did not correlate significantly, suggesting that, at least partly, correlations with functional data would be different for GS and IF. Indeed, we observed a positive correlation between reductions in GS and BP and a negative correlation between IF and BP. The positive correlation between GS and BP is in line with the results found in the SNX model in rats (Griffin et al., 2004), suggesting that BPdependent mechanisms are important for the development of GS. However, the negative correlation between change in BP and change in IF was unexpected. Importantly, changes in urinary protein do not correlate significantly with changes in either GS or IF. This is guite different from the results of multiple studies with blockade of the renin angiotensin system (Sarafidis and Ruilope, 2014), suggesting that a different mechanism might be involved in cell-based treatment. In the correlation analysis, we found that, generally, changes in plasma markers and direct measurements of GFR correlate differently with changes in GS than with changes in IF. The notable exception is that a change in plasma urea shows consistent correlations with changes in both GS and IF. That changes in urea predict changes in IF is well known from biopsy studies (Bohle et al., 1996); however, for GS this is less well documented. All in all, changes in BP, plasma urea and plasma creatinine appear to be good predictors of changes in GS, whereas, for IF, plasma urea and measured GFR are the most important. The lack of significant correlations with urinary protein for either GS or IF suggests that changes in this outcome predict functional rather than structural changes. However, it should be noted that we could not study temporal relations in our meta-analysis.

We performed subgroup analyses and meta-regression to investigate predefined factors that we hypothesised would modify the efficacy of cell-based treatment in CKD - cell-related factors (cell type, regime, condition, origin and delivery route) and modelrelated factors (species, gender, model and timing of intervention). Our meta-analysis most strongly supports the use of MSCs as therapy for CKD, although studies using BM and EPC seem to achieve similar results. MSCs are currently under investigation for a wide range of clinical applications, as they possess antiinflammatory, anti-fibrotic and pro-angiogenic properties (Lalu et al., 2012). Clinical trials with MSCs have been initiated for acute kidney injury and transplantation (Cantaluppi et al., 2013), but application of MSCs in the setting of CKD has not yet taken place. There remain important issues in MSC therapy that need to be addressed before the translation to clinical studies can be made. The majority of the studies in our meta-analysis were performed with MSCs from donors free of kidney disease, with only one study testing cells that originated from uremic donors (van Koppen et al., 2012a). Uremia has been suggested to induce functional incompetence in BM-MSCs (Klinkhammer et al., 2014; Kramann et al., 2011; Noh et al., 2012) but neither subcutaneous-adipose-tissuederived MSCs nor bone-marrow-derived MSCs obtained from individuals with renal disease showed persistent dysfunction in in vitro assays after expansion in culture (Reinders et al., 2013b; Roemeling-van Rhijn et al., 2012). Similarly, in vivo studies show no persistent dysfunction in pro-angiogenic effects of MSCs obtained from diseased individuals (Gremmels et al., 2014). Whether the uremic environment is detrimental for cell-based therapy requires further investigation. Importantly, the low antigenicity and immunomodulatory properties of MSCs allow allogeneic transplantation, which could lead to an 'off-the-shelf' therapy. In general, the use of human cells in $\sim 25\%$ of all the experimental animal studies resulted in favourable results, even though the recipients were usually immune competent.

Cell products are also attractive candidates for off-the-shelf therapy. However, only six studies using cell products were available for our meta-analysis, prohibiting definitive conclusions. One might speculate that, in the chronic situation, multiple administrations of cells or cell products would confer benefits over single administration because paracrine actions might decrease over time. Similar considerations could be held regarding the number of administered cells. However, our meta-analysis showed no dose dependency, either in the number of cells or cell product administrations or in cell or cell product dose. Lack of dose dependency is a common finding in cell-based therapy (van der Spoel et al., 2011), possibly suggesting that cell-based therapy acts primarily by switching on endogenous repair rather than as a persistent source of exogenous cells or growth factors. Indeed, multiple clinical and experimental studies fail to find substantial numbers of exogenous cells in the kidney after their administration (Choi et al., 2010).

Systemic intravenous delivery (through the tail vein in most rodent studies) was the route that was most supported by evidence, despite the fact that the majority of administered cells appear to be trapped in the lungs (Fischer et al., 2009). This also suggests that even relatively few cells passing the pulmonary circulation are sufficient to switch on endogenous repair. This delivery route is feasible for patients, because injecting intravenously is relatively easy and minimally invasive. In patients, intravenous infusions of MSCs were well tolerated and no treatment-related serious adverse events are reported (Reinders et al., 2013a). Direct intrarenal delivery was applied in 17 articles in our meta-analysis – five using subcapsular or parenchymal administration and 12 using delivery by injection in the renal artery. These studies generally show improved outcome measures, although findings were less consistent than with

intravenous administration. In conjunction with their more invasive character, this makes these approaches less attractive, although theoretically combination with other common endovascular treatments of the renal artery (denervation or stenting) is attractive. Intraperitoneal delivery was only used in three studies, none of which showed a significantly improved outcome. Based on these limited findings, intraperitoneal delivery of cell-based therapy in CKD does not appear to be useful.

Our meta-analysis suggests differences in the efficacy of cellbased therapy between species in urinary protein and BP, but not in other outcome measures. Partly, such differences might be due to methodological limitations; for instance, BP measurements were practically absent in the mouse studies included in our metaanalysis. However, importantly, cell-based therapy improved GS and IF in all three species. Thus, for structural changes, all three species appear to be useful, although in pigs protective effects on GS were limited, albeit significant.

We did not observe consistent effects of gender on the outcome of cell therapy, except for improvements in GFR, which only occurred in studies using female animals. Mechanisms underlying gender-specific differences in outcome measures of cell therapy are obscure, and cannot be clarified by a meta-analysis. Nevertheless, the possibility that this is also the case in humans should be taken into account when designing cell-therapy studies in patients, and gender balance should be considered. Furthermore, differences in the functional efficacy of cell-based therapy in CKD appeared to be model dependent, perhaps reflecting the different pathogenesis of CKD when initiated by subtotal nephrectomy versus, for instance, toxic injury. However, for structural efficacy, most models showed improvement of all outcome variables, perhaps reflecting the common pathway to end-stage kidney disease. Such differences might also be relevant when designing cell-therapy studies in specific patient populations.

Preventive and rescue interventions are both effective. However, the staging of CKD in animals is not as clearly defined as in humans, and therapy was never instituted at a late stage that clinically would be equivalent to pre-dialysis. Moreover, preventive therapy in animal models is often initiated directly after (or even before) renal ablation or administration of toxins, well before any GS or IF can be expected. Thus, the relevance of this finding to the clinical situation is, perhaps, limited. Nevertheless, it could be that in clinical studies very tight categorisation of CKD stage is not required to find significant effects of cell-based therapy.

Clearly, both human and animal studies should be performed according to the highest standards. Although randomisation and blinding for inclusion is often not feasible in the setting of an experimental study, blinding for analysis of both functional and structural parameters is readily achievable. Moreover, there is no excuse for not reporting all relevant animal characteristics as well as the number of drop-outs due to technical failures or premature (non-scheduled) death or sacrifice. Omitting such data is very common in animal studies, including many studies in our metaanalysis, and has been reported in other meta-analyses (van der Spoel et al., 2011; Wever et al., 2012). It is crucial that authors report these details, as they will likely influence outcome parameters.

Our meta-analysis confirms that cell-based therapies improve impaired renal function and structure in preclinical models of CKD. Animal studies are often regarded with scepticism because of large variation in study design and outcome measures. However, our metaanalysis demonstrates that perhaps because of this very variation they can be perceived as a rich source of useful information that could be helpful in designing clinical trials in the (near) future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Literature search

We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies that investigated the effects of cell- and cell-based therapies on kidney function and structure in animal models of CKD. The PubMed database was searched for published articles up to 21 January 2014 using the following terms: 'kidney damage' [Tiab] OR 'kidney injury' [Tiab] OR 'kidney disease' [Tiab] OR 'renal injury' [Tiab] OR 'renal failure' [Tiab] OR 'kidney failure' [Tiab] OR 'nephropathy' [Tiab] OR 'renal disease' [Tiab] OR 'renal function' [Tiab] OR 'renovascular' [Tiab] AND 'stem cells' [Tiab] OR 'cell therapy' [Tiab] OR 'progenitor cells' [Tiab] OR 'bone marrow' [Tiab] OR EPC [Tiab] OR 'endothelial progenitor cells' [Tiab] OR 'MSC' [Tiab] OR 'mesenchymal' [Tiab] OR 'conditioned medium' [Tiab] OR 'microvesicles' [Tiab] OR 'exosomes' [Tiab] OR 'microparticles' [Tiab]. Search results were filtered by PubMed filters to exclude reviews and non-English articles, and a custom-made filter (Hooijmans et al., 2010) was used to select for studies containing laboratory animals. Articles were selected by reading the title and abstract; when these were not informative enough, the complete article was screened by two independent researchers (D.A.P. and N.R.O.). Articles were discussed with the other authors before inclusion or exclusion.

Inclusion criteria

The criteria for inclusion were as follows: (1) animal models of CKD were used to study the effects of cell-based therapy on kidney function and structure; (2) the therapy contained or consisted of cells or cell-derived products (conditioned medium or exosomes or microvesicles) and (3) the article was an original paper presenting unique data.

Exclusion criteria

The criteria for exclusion were as follows: (1) animal models of acute renal failure showing spontaneous recovery of kidney injury under the untreated condition. These models included ischemia-reperfusion injury, anti-Thy1 and various toxic models (cisplatin, glycerol, gentamicin, mercuric chloride, folic acid, carbon tetrachloride and lipopolysaccharide; (2) follow-up was less than seven days after disease induction; (3) group size smaller than n=3; (4) incomplete data (no untreated or vehicle-treated diseased control group present; missing data at last measurement point before or at termination; data from figures or tables with unclear captions; unknown group size and/or standard deviations or errors of mean); (5) no full-text article available.

Data extraction

Study characteristics extracted from selected articles included species, strain, gender, injury model, administered cell type or cell-derived product, number of cells, administration route, time-point of administration, follow-up duration. Data analysis for kidney function included plasma creatinine, plasma urea, GFR, BP and urinary protein [proteinuria, albuminuria, urine protein:creatinine (prot:creat) and urine albumin:creatinine (alb:creat) ratio]. Data analysis for renal structure included GS and IF. For longitudinal measurements, data from the last measurement (function) or at termination (morphology) were used. When one control group was used for comparison with multiple treatment groups, control sample size was divided by the number of treatment groups to equalise the weight of each group in our analysis (Vesterinen et al., 2014). Plasma and serum urea, blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and plasma and serum creatinine levels shown as mg/dl were converted to mmol/l (mmol/l= BUN mg/dl×0.357 or mmol/l=plasma urea mg/dl×0.1665) and µmol/l (plasma/serum creatinine µmol/l=mg/dl×88.4), respectively. Both plasma creatinine and creatinine clearance were analyzed when reported in one article. BP measurements (systolic blood pressure and mean arterial pressure) of conscious animals were included to avoid the effects of anaesthesia. Standard error of the mean (s.e.m.) was converted to standard deviation (s.d., s.d.= $\sqrt{n}\times$ s.e.m.). When necessary, GetData Graph Digitizer (version 2.25) was used to extract values from graphs. Data were extracted by two independent researchers (D.A.P. and N.R.O.). Missing data were requested from authors.

Data analysis

Data were analyzed with R software (version 3.1.0; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) using the metafor package

(Viechtbauer, 2010). In order to correct for the different units and scales arising from the abovementioned considerations, all data are presented as standardised mean differences (SMDs). SMDs and accompanying variance were calculated for the following outcome parameters: plasma urea, plasma creatinine, urinary protein, GFR, BP, GS and IF. Random- and mixed-effects models were fitted using restricted maximum likelihood estimation (REML). The estimated average effect (μ), heterogeneity in effects (τ^2) and the estimated percentage of variability attributable to heterogeneity (I²) are given. Heterogeneity was considered to be low, moderate or high at 25, 50 and 75%, respectively (Higgins et al., 2003). In order to study the relationship between improvements in renal morphology (GS and IF) with different outcome measures related to renal function, we calculated pair-wise correlations between the SMDs. Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients are supplied, with bands indicating 95% confidence intervals.

We studied the influence of several moderators on treatment effect using meta-regression. All models only employ a single moderator variable, as study designs were too diverse to get full models without empty cells in case of multiple factor models. Moderators were grouped in cell treatment-related moderators (cell type, administration regime, condition of cells, origin of cells, administration route) and model-related (animal model species, gender, model, timing of intervention) factors. These factors were pooled in classes for sub-analysis because of the wide variety in cell types and animal models (supplementary material Tables S2, S3). Sub-analysis for each outcome variable was performed for all cell treatment- and model-related factors. In rodents, hypertension-induced kidney injury was used in one study; owing to this low number, data are only shown in figures, and not taken into account when interpreting results. Porcine studies were only included for species sub-analysis because in all porcine studies the same model (renal artery stenosis) was applied. All cell-based therapies were categorised as preventive or rescue. A treatment was defined as preventive when cell-based therapy was applied before clinical manifestation of disease (for induced models, between day 0 and day 6 after induction of kidney disease; for knockout models, before clinical manifestation of disease). Therapies were categorised as rescue when therapy was started after clinical manifestation of disease.

Quality assessment

Methodological quality of the included studies was assessed by a scoring system adapted from Wever et al. (Wever et al., 2012). Publication bias was assessed by visually evaluating asymmetry in funnel plots for each outcome parameter. In case of visual asymmetry, Egger's test was used (Sterne and Egger, 2005). When Egger's test indicated asymmetry, the 'trim and fill' method (Duval and Tweedie, 2000) was used to correct for this.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Author contributions

D.A.P., N.R.O., H.G., A.v.K., J.A.J. and M.C.V. designed the study; D.A.P. and N.R.O. collected the data; D.A.P., N.R.O., H.G., A.v.K., J.A.J. and M.C.V. analysed the data; D.A.P., N.R.O., H.G., J.A.J. and M.C.V. wrote the manuscript; D.P.A., N.R.O., H.G., A.v.K., J.A.J. and M.C.V. read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

M.C.V. is supported by the Netherlands organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) Vidi grant [grant number 016.096.359].

Supplementary material

Supplementary material available online at http://dmm.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dmm.017699/-/DC1

References

- Alexandre, C. S., Volpini, R. A., Shimizu, M. H., Sanches, T. R., Semedo, P., di Jura, V. L., Câmara, N. O., Seguro, A. C. and Andrade, L. (2009). Lineagenegative bone marrow cells protect against chronic renal failure. *Stem Cells* 27, 682-692.
- Alfarano, C., Roubeix, C., Chaaya, R., Ceccaldi, C., Calise, D., Mias, C., Cussac, D., Bascands, J. L. and Parini, A. (2012). Intraparenchymal injection of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells reduces kidney fibrosis after ischemia-reperfusion in cyclosporine-immunosuppressed rats. *Cell Transplant.* 21, 2009-2019.

- Bian, X., Zhang, B., Guo, W., Liu, N., Bai, Y., Miao, J., Zhao, G., Liu, B., Wang, S., Ma, L. et al. (2014). Effects of mesenchymal stem cells transplanted at different time points in a rat remnant kidney model. *Am. J. Nephrol.* **39**, 75-84.
- Bohle, A., Müller, G. A., Wehrmann, M., Mackensen-Haen, S. and Xiao, J. C. (1996). Pathogenesis of chronic renal failure in the primary glomerulopathies, renal vasculopathies, and chronic interstitial nephritides. *Kidney Int. Suppl.* 54, S2-S9.
- Caldas, H. C., Fernandes, I. M. M., Gerbi, F., Souza, A. C., Baptista, M. A. S. F., Ramalho, H. J., Kawasaki-Oyama, R. S., Goloni-Bertollo, E. M., Pavarino-Bertelli, E. C., Braile, D. M. et al. (2008). Effect of whole bone marrow cell infusion in the progression of experimental chronic renal failure. *Transplant. Proc.* 40, 853-855.
- Caldas, H. C., Fernandes, I. M. M., Kawasaki-Oyama, R. S., Baptista, M. A. S. F., Plepis, A. M. G., Martins, V. A., Coimbra, T. M., Goloni-Bertollo, E. M., Braile, D. M. and Abbud-Filho, M. (2011). Effect of stem cells seeded onto biomaterial on the progression of experimental chronic kidney disease. *Exp. Biol. Med.* 236, 746-754.
- Cantaluppi, V., Biancone, L., Quercia, A., Deregibus, M. C., Segoloni, G. and Camussi, G. (2013). Rationale of mesenchymal stem cell therapy in kidney injury. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 61, 300-309.
- Cao, Q., Wang, Y., Zheng, D., Sun, Y., Wang, C., Wang, X. M., Lee, V. W. S., Wang, Y., Zheng, G., Tan, T. K., et al. (2014). Failed renoprotection by alternatively activated bone marrow macrophages is due to a proliferation-dependent phenotype switch in vivo. *Kidney International* 85, 794-806.
- Castiglione, R. C., Maron-Gutierrez, T., Barbosa, C. M. L., Ornellas, F. M., Barreira, A. L., Dibarros, C. B. A., Vasconcelos-dos-Santos, A., Paredes, B. D., Pascarelli, B. M., Diaz, B. L. et al. (2013). Bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells promote improvement in glomerular function in rats with early diabetic nephropathy. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* 32, 699-718.
- Cavaglieri, R. C., Martini, D., Sogayar, M. C. and Noronha, I. L. (2009). Mesenchymal stem cells delivered at the subcapsule of the kidney ameliorate renal disease in the rat remnant kidney model. *Transplant. Proc.* 41, 947-951.
- Chade, A. R., Zhu, X., Lavi, R., Krier, J. D., Pislaru, S., Simari, R. D., Napoli, C., Lerman, A. and Lerman, L. O. (2009). Endothelial progenitor cells restore renal function in chronic experimental renovascular disease. *Circulation* **119**, 547-557.
- Chade, A. R., Zhu, X.-Y., Krier, J. D., Jordan, K. L., Textor, S. C., Grande, J. P., Lerman, A. and Lerman, L. O. (2010). Endothelial progenitor cells homing and renal repair in experimental renovascular disease. *Stem Cells* 28, 1039-1047.
- Challen, G. A., Bertoncello, I., Deane, J. A., Ricardo, S. D. and Little, M. H. (2006). Kidney side population reveals multilineage potential and renal functional capacity but also cellular heterogeneity. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 17, 1896-1912.
- Chang, J.-W., Hung, S.-P., Wu, H.-H., Wu, W.-M., Yang, A.-H., Tsai, H.-L., Yang, L.-Y. and Lee, O. K. (2011). Therapeutic effects of umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in experimental lupus nephritis. *Cell Transplant.* 20, 245-257.
- Chang, J.-W., Tsai, H.-L., Chen, C.-W., Yang, H.-W., Yang, A.-H., Yang, L.-Y., Wang, P. S., Ng, Y.-Y., Lin, T.-L. and Lee, O. K. (2012). Conditioned mesenchymal stem cells attenuate progression of chronic kidney disease through inhibition of epithelialto-mesenchymal transition and immune modulation. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 16, 2935-2949.
- Chen, J., Li, H., Addabbo, F., Zhang, F., Pelger, E., Patschan, D., Park, H.-C., Kuo, M.-C., Ni, J., Gobe, G. et al. (2009). Adoptive transfer of syngeneic bone marrowderived cells in mice with obesity-induced diabetes: selenoorganic antioxidant ebselen restores stem cell competence. *Am. J. Pathol.* **174**, 701-711.
- Choi, S., Park, M., Kim, J., Hwang, S., Park, S. and Lee, Y. (2009). The role of mesenchymal stem cells in the functional improvement of chronic renal failure. *Stem Cells Dev.* 18, 521-530.
- Choi, S. J., Kim, J. K. and Hwang, S. D. (2010). Mesenchymal stem cell therapy for chronic renal failure. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.* 10, 1217-1226.
- Chung, B. H., Lim, S. W., Doh, K. C., Piao, S. G., Heo, S. B. and Yang, C. W. (2013). Human adipose tissue derived mesenchymal stem cells aggravate chronic cyclosporin nephrotoxicity by the induction of oxidative stress. *PLoS ONE* 8, e59693.
- De Chiara, L., Fagoonee, S., Ranghino, A., Bruno, S., Camussi, G., Tolosano, E., Silengo, L. and Altruda, F. (2014). Renal cells from spermatogonial germline stem cells protect against kidney injury. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 25, 316-328.
- Donizetti-Oliveira, C., Semedo, P., Burgos-Silva, M., Cenedeze, M. A., Malheiros, D. M. A. C., Reis, M. A., Pacheco-Silva, A. and Câmara, N. O. S. (2012). Adipose tissue-derived stem cell treatment prevents renal disease progression. *Cell Transplant.* 21, 1727-1741.
- Du, T., Zou, X., Cheng, J., Wu, S., Zhong, L., Ju, G., Zhu, J., Liu, G., Zhu, Y. and Xia, S. (2013). Human Wharton's jelly-derived mesenchymal stromal cells reduce renal fibrosis through induction of native and foreign hepatocyte growth factor synthesis in injured tubular epithelial cells. *Stem Cell Research & Therapy* 4, 59.
- Duval, S. and Tweedie, R. (2000). Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics* 56, 455-463.
- Ebrahimi, B., Li, Z., Eirin, A., Zhu, X. Y., Textor, S. C. and Lerman, L. O. (2012). Addition of endothelial progenitor cells to renal revascularization restores medullary tubular oxygen consumption in swine renal artery stenosis. Am. J. Physiol. 302, F1478-F1485.
- Eirin, A., Zhu, X.-Y., Krier, J. D., Tang, H., Jordan, K. L., Grande, J. P., Lerman, A., Textor, S. C. and Lerman, L. O. (2012). Adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells improve revascularization outcomes to restore renal function in swine atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis. *Stem Cells* **30**, 1030-1041.
- Eirin, A., Zhu, X. Y., Li, Z., Ebrahimi, B., Zhang, X., Tang, H., Korsmo, M. J., Chade, A. R., Grande, J. P., Ward, C. J. et al. (2013). Endothelial outgrowth cells shift macrophage phenotype and improve kidney viability in swine renal artery stenosis. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 33, 1006-1013.

- Eirin, A., Zhang, X., Zhu, X. Y., Tang, H., Jordan, K. L., Grande, J. P., Dietz, A. B., Lerman, A., Textor, S. C. and Lerman, L. O. (2014). Renal vein cytokine release as an index of renal parenchymal inflammation in chronic experimental renal artery stenosis. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 29, 274-282.
- Ezquer, F., Ezquer, M., Simon, V., Pardo, F., Yañez, A., Carpio, D. and Conget, P. (2009). Endovenous administration of bone-marrow-derived multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells prevents renal failure in diabetic mice. *Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant.* **15**, 1354-1365.
- Fang, Y., Tian, X., Bai, S., Fan, J., Hou, W., Tong, H. and Li, D. (2012). Autologous transplantation of adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells ameliorates streptozotocin-induced diabetic nephropathy in rats by inhibiting oxidative stress, pro-inflammatory cytokines and the p38 MAPK signaling pathway. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* **30**, 85-92.
- Fischer, U. M., Harting, M. T., Jimenez, F., Monzon-Posadas, W. O., Xue, H., Savitz, S. I., Laine, G. A. and Cox, C. S., Jr (2009). Pulmonary passage is a major obstacle for intravenous stem cell delivery: the pulmonary first-pass effect. *Stem Cells Dev.* 18, 663-692.
- Franquesa, M., Herrero, E., Torras, J., Ripoll, E., Flaquer, M., Gomà, M., Lloberas, N., Anegon, I., Cruzado, J. M., Grinyó, J. M. et al. (2012). Mesenchymal stem cell therapy prevents interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy in a rat kidney allograft model. *Stem Cells Dev.* 21, 3125-3135.
- Furuhashi, K., Tsuboi, N., Shimizu, A., Katsuno, T., Kim, H., Saka, Y., Ozaki, T., Sado, Y., Imai, E., Matsuo, S. et al. (2013). Serum-starved adipose-derived stromal cells ameliorate crescentic GN by promoting immunoregulatory macrophages. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 24, 587-603.
- Gatti, S., Bruno, S., Deregibus, M. C., Sordi, A., Cantaluppi, V., Tetta, C. and Camussi, G. (2011). Microvesicles derived from human adult mesenchymal stem cells protect against ischaemia-reperfusion-induced acute and chronic kidney injury. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 26, 1474-1483.
- Gremmels, H., Teraa, M., Quax, P. H., den Ouden, K., Fledderus, J. O. and Verhaar, M. C. (2014). Neovascularization capacity of mesenchymal stromal cells from critical limb ischemia patients is equivalent to healthy controls. *Mol. Ther.* 22, 1960-1970.
- Griffin, K. A., Picken, M. M. and Bidani, A. K. (2004). Blood pressure lability and glomerulosclerosis after normotensive 5/6 renal mass reduction in the rat. *Kidney Int.* 65, 209-218.
- Gu, Z., Akiyama, K., Ma, X., Zhang, H., Feng, X., Yao, G., Hou, Y., Lu, L., Gilkeson, G. S., Silver, R. M. et al. (2010). Transplantation of umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells alleviates lupus nephritis in MRL/lpr mice. *Lupus* 19, 1502-1514.
- Guo, J., Zou, Y., Wu, Z., Wu, W., Xu, Z., Hu, H., Huang, L., Dong, H., Chen, J., Lu, J. et al. (2014). Protective effects of mesenchymal stromal cells on adriamycininduced minimal change nephrotic syndrome in rats and possible mechanisms. *Cytotherapy* 16, 471-484.
- Harrison, F., Yeagy, B. A., Rocca, C. J., Kohn, D. B., Salomon, D. R. and Cherqui, S. (2013). Hematopoietic stem cell gene therapy for the multisystemic lysosomal storage disorder cystinosis. *Mol. Ther.* 21, 433-444.
- He, J., Wang, Y., Sún, S., Yu, M., Wang, C., Pei, X., Zhu, B., Wu, J. and Zhao, W. (2012). Bone marrow stem cells-derived microvesicles protect against renal injury in the mouse remnant kidney model. *Nephrology (Carlton)* 17, 493-500.
- Higgins, J. P. T., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J. and Altman, D. G. (2003). Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 327, 557-560.
- Hooijmans, C. R., Tillema, A., Leenaars, M. and Ritskes-Hoitinga, M. (2010). Enhancing search efficiency by means of a search filter for finding all studies on animal experimentation in PubMed. *Lab. Anim.* 44, 170-175.
- Huang, Z.-Y., Hong, L.-Q., Na, N., Luo, Y., Miao, B. and Chen, J. (2012). Infusion of mesenchymal stem cells overexpressing GDNF ameliorates renal function in nephrotoxic serum nephritis. *Cell Biochem. Funct.* **30**, 139-144.
- Hyun, Y. Y., Kim, I. O., Kim, M. H., Nam, D. H., Lee, M. H., Kim, J. E., Song, H. K., Cha, J. J., Kang, Y. S., Lee, J. E. et al. (2012). Adipose-derived stem cells improve renal function in a mouse model of IgA nephropathy. *Cell Transplant.* 21, 2425-2439.
- Jiao, Y.-Q., Yi, Z.-W., He, X.-J., Liu, X.-H., He, Q.-N. and Huang, D.-L. (2011). Does injection of metanephric mesenchymal cells improve renal function in rats? Saudi J. Kidney Dis. Transpl. 22, 501-510.
- Khwaja, A., El Kossi, M., Floege, J. and El Nahas, M. (2007). The management of CKD: a look into the future. *Kidney Int.* 72, 1316-1323.
- Klinkhammer, B. M., Kramann, R., Mallau, M., Makowska, A., van Roeyen, C. R., Rong, S., Buecher, E. B., Boor, P., Kovacova, K., Zok, S. et al. (2014). Mesenchymal stem cells from rats with chronic kidney disease exhibit premature senescence and loss of regenerative potential. *PLoS ONE* 9, e92115.
- Kramann, R., Couson, S. K., Neuss, S., Kunter, U., Bovi, M., Bornemann, J., Knüchel, R., Jahnen-Dechent, W., Floege, J. and Schneider, R. K. (2011). Exposure to uremic serum induces a procalcific phenotype in human mesenchymal stem cells. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **31**, e45-e54.
- Kunter, U., Rong, S., Boor, P., Eitner, F., Müller-Newen, G., Djuric, Z., van Roeyen, C. R., Konieczny, A., Ostendorf, T., Villa, L. et al. (2007). Mesenchymal stem cells prevent progressive experimental renal failure but maldifferentiate into glomerular adipocytes. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 18, 1754-1764.
- Lalu, M. M., McIntyre, L., Pugliese, C., Fergusson, D., Winston, B. W., Marshall, J. C., Granton, J., Stewart, D. J.; Canadian Critical Care Trials Group (2012). Safety of cell therapy with mesenchymal stromal cells (SafeCell): a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. *PLoS ONE* 7, e47559.
- Lee, S.-R., Lee, S.-H., Moon, J.-Y., Park, J.-Y., Lee, D., Lim, S. J., Jeong, K.-H., Park, J.-K., Lee, T.-W. and Ihm, C.-G. (2010). Repeated administration of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells improved the protective effects on a remnant kidney model. *Ren. Fail.* 32, 840-848.

- Li, K., Han, Q., Yan, X., Liao, L. and Zhao, R. C. (2010). Not a process of simple vicariousness, the differentiation of human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells to renal tubular epithelial cells plays an important role in acute kidney injury repairing. *Stem Cells Dev.* **19**, 1267-1275.
- Li, W., Jiang, H. and Feng, J.-M. (2012). Isogenic mesenchymal stem cells transplantation improves a rat model of chronic aristolochic acid nephropathy via upregulation of hepatic growth factor and downregulation of transforming growth factor β1. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* **368**, 137-145.
- Lv, S.-S., Liu, G., Wang, J.-P., Wang, W.-W., Cheng, J., Sun, A.-L., Liu, H.-Y., Nie, H.-B., Su, M.-R. and Guan, G.-J. (2013). Mesenchymal stem cells transplantation ameliorates glomerular injury in streptozotocin-induced diabetic nephropathy in rats via inhibiting macrophage infiltration. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* **17**, 275-282.
- Ma, H., Wu, Y., Xu, Y., Sun, L. and Zhang, X. (2013a). Human umbilical mesenchymal stem cells attenuate the progression of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Am. J. Med. Sci. 346, 486-493.
- Ma, H., Wu, Y., Zhang, W., Dai, Y., Li, F., Xu, Y., Wang, Y., Tu, H., Li, W. and Zhang,
 X. (2013b). The effect of mesenchymal stromal cells on doxorubicin-induced nephropathy in rats. *Cytotherapy* 15, 703-711.
- Masoad, R. E., Ewais, M. M. S., Tawfik, M. K. and Abd El-All, H. S. (2012). Effect of mononuclear cells versus pioglitazone on streptozotocin-induced diabetic nephropathy in rats. *Pharmacol. Rep.* 64, 1223-1233.
- Ninichuk, V., Gross, O., Segerer, S., Hoffmann, R., Radomska, E., Buchstaller, A., Huss, R., Akis, N., Schlöndorff, D. and Anders, H.-J. (2006). Multipotent mesenchymal stem cells reduce interstitial fibrosis but do not delay progression of chronic kidney disease in collagen4A3-deficient mice. *Kidney Int.* **70**, 121-129.
- Noh, H., Yu, M. R., Kim, H. J., Jeon, J. S., Kwon, S. H., Jin, S. Y., Lee, J., Jang, J., Park, J. O., Ziyadeh, F. et al. (2012). Uremia induces functional incompetence of bone marrow-derived stromal cells. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 27, 218-225.
- Nur, R., Fukuda, N., Matsumoto, T., Medet, J., Kano, K., Yamamoto, C., Maruyama, T., Endo, M. and Matsumoto, K. (2008). Implantation of dedifferentiated fat cells ameliorates habu snake venom-induced chronic renal dysfunction in tenascin-Cdeficient mice. *Nephron Exp. Nephrol.* **110**, e91-e98.
- Oliveira-Sales, E. B., Maquigussa, E., Semedo, P., Pereira, L. G., Ferreira, V. M., Câmara, N. O., Bergamaschi, C. T., Campos, R. R. and Boim, M. A. (2013). Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) prevented the progression of renovascular hypertension, improved renal function and architecture. *PLoS ONE* 8, e78464.
- Park, J. H., Hwang, I., Hwang, S. H., Han, H. and Ha, H. (2012a). Human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells prevent diabetic renal injury through paracrine action. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **98**, 465-473.
- Park, J. H., Park, J., Hwang, S. H., Han, H. and Ha, H. (2012b). Delayed treatment with human umbilical cord blood-derived stem cells attenuates diabetic renal injury. *Transplant. Proc.* 44, 1123-1126.
- Ratliff, B. B., Ghaly, T., Brudnicki, P., Yasuda, K., Rajdev, M., Bank, M., Mares, J., Hatzopoulos, A. K. and Goligorsky, M. S. (2010). Endothelial progenitors encapsulated in bioartificial niches are insulated from systemic cytotoxicity and are angiogenesis competent. Am. J. Physiol. 299, F178-F186.
- Reinders, M. E. J., de Fijter, J. W., Roelofs, H., Bajema, I. M., de Vries, D. K., Schaapherder, A. F., Claas, F. H. J., van Miert, P. P. M. C., Roelen, D. L., van Kooten, C. et al. (2013a). Autologous bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells for the treatment of allograft rejection after renal transplantation: results of a phase I study. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* 2, 107-111.
- Reinders, M. É. J., Roemeling-van Rhijn, M., Khairoun, M., Lievers, E., de Vries, D. K., Schaapherder, A. F. M., Wong, S. W. S., Zwaginga, J. J., Duijs, J. M., van Zonneveld, A. J. et al. (2013b). Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells from patients with end-stage renal disease are suitable for autologous therapy. *Cytotherapy* **15**, 663-672.
- Roemeling-van Rhijn, M., Reinders, M. E. J., de Klein, A., Douben, H., Korevaar, S. S., Mensah, F. K. F., Dor, F. J. M. F., IJzermans, J. N. M., Betjes, M. G. H., Baan, C. C. et al. (2012). Mesenchymal stem cells derived from adipose tissue are not affected by renal disease. *Kidney Int.* 82, 748-758.
- Sangidorj, O., Yang, S. H., Jang, H. R., Lee, J. P., Cha, R. H., Kim, S. M., Lim, C. S. and Kim, Y. S. (2010). Bone marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cells confer renal protection in a murine chronic renal failure model. *Am. J. Physiol.* 299, F325-F335.
- Sarafidis, P. A. and Ruilope, L. M. (2014). Aggressive blood pressure reduction and renin-angiotensin system blockade in chronic kidney disease: time for re-evaluation? *Kidney Int.* 85, 536-546.
- Semedo, P., Correa-Costa, M., Cenedeze, M. A., Malheiros, D. M. A. C., Antonia dos Reis, M., Shimizu, M. H., Seguro, A. C., Pacheco-Silva, A. and Câmara, N. O. S. (2009). Mesenchymal stem cells attenuate renal fibrosis through immune modulation and remodeling properties in a rat remnant kidney model. *Stem Cells* 27, 3063-3073.
- Shuai, L., Li, X., He, Q., Dang, X., Chen, H., Zhou, P., Yi, Z. and He, X. (2012). Angiogenic effect of endothelial progenitor cells transfected with telomerase reverse transcriptase on peritubular microvessel in five out of six subtotal nephrectomy rats. *Ren. Fail.* 34, 1270-1280.
- Sterne, J. A. C. and Egger, M. (2005). Regression methods to detect publication and other bias in meta-analysis. In *Publication bias in meta-analysis: Prevention,* assessment, and adjustments. H. R. Rothstein, A. J. Sutton and M. Borenstein (Eds.), pp. 99-110. Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Tan, J., Wu, W., Xu, X., Liao, L., Zheng, F., Messinger, S., Sun, X., Chen, J., Yang, S., Cai, J. et al. (2012). Induction therapy with autologous mesenchymal stem cells in living-related kidney transplants: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 307, 1169-1177.

- Tögel, F. E. and Westenfelder, C. (2012). Kidney protection and regeneration following acute injury: progress through stem cell therapy. Am. J. Kidney Dis. 60, 1012-1022.
- Tögel, F., Cohen, A., Zhang, P., Yang, Y., Hu, Z. and Westenfelder, C. (2009). Autologous and allogeneic marrow stromal cells are safe and effective for the treatment of acute kidney injury. *Stem Cells Dev.* 18, 475-486.
- Urbieta-Caceres, V. H., Zhu, X.-Y., Jordan, K. L., Tang, H., Textor, K., Lerman, A. and Lerman, L. O. (2012). Selective improvement in renal function preserved remote myocardial microvascular integrity and architecture in experimental renovascular disease. *Atherosclerosis* 221, 350-358.
- van der Spoel, T. I. G., Jansen of Lorkeers, S. J., Agostoni, P., van Belle, E., Gyöngyösi, M., Sluijter, J. P., Cramer, M. J., Doevendans, P. A. and Chamuleau, S. A. (2011). Human relevance of pre-clinical studies in stem cell therapy: systematic review and meta-analysis of large animal models of ischaemic heart disease. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 91, 649-658.
- van Koppen, A., Joles, J. A., Bongartz, L. G., van den Brandt, J., Reichardt, H. M., Goldschmeding, R., Nguyen, T. Q. and Verhaar, M. C. (2012a). Healthy bone marrow cells reduce progression of kidney failure better than CKD bone marrow cells in rats with established chronic kidney disease. *Cell Transplant.* 21, 2299-2312.
- van Koppen, A., Joles, J. A., van Balkom, B. W. M., Lim, S. K., de Kleijn, D., Giles, R. H. and Verhaar, M. C. (2012b). Human embryonic mesenchymal stem cellderived conditioned medium rescues kidney function in rats with established chronic kidney disease. *PLoS ONE* 7, e38746.
- Vesterinen, H. M., Sena, E. S., Egan, K. J., Hirst, T. C., Churolov, L., Currie, G. L., Antonic, A., Howells, D. W. and Macleod, M. R. (2014). Meta-analysis of data from animal studies: a practical guide. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 221, 92-102.
- Viechtbauer, W. (2010). Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. J. Stat. Softw. 36, 1-48.
- Villanueva, S., Ewertz, E., Carrión, F., Tapia, A., Vergara, C., Céspedes, C., Sáez, P. J., Luz, P., Irarrázabal, C., Carreño, J. E. et al. (2011). Mesenchymal stem cell injection ameliorates chronic renal failure in a rat model. *Clin. Sci.* **121**, 489-499.
- Villanueva, S., Carreño, J. E., Salazar, L., Vergara, C., Strodthoff, R., Fajre, F., Céspedes, C., Sáez, P. J., Irarrázabal, C., Bartolucci, J. et al. (2013). Human mesenchymal stem cells derived from adipose tissue reduce functional and tissue damage in a rat model of chronic renal failure. *Clin. Sci.* **125**, 199-210.
- Wang, S., Li, Y., Zhao, J., Zhang, J. and Huang, Y. (2013). Mesenchymal stem cells ameliorate podocyte injury and proteinuria in a type 1 diabetic nephropathy rat model. *Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant.* 19, 538-546.

- Wever, K. E., Menting, T. P., Rovers, M., van der Vliet, J. A., Rongen, G. A., Masereeuw, R., Ritskes-Hoitinga, M., Hooijmans, C. R. and Warlé, M. (2012). Ischemic preconditioning in the animal kidney, a systematic review and metaanalysis. *PLoS ONE* 7, e32296.
- Yamaleyeva, L. M., Guimaraes-Souza, N. K., Krane, L. S., Agcaoili, S., Gyabaah, K., Atala, A., Aboushwareb, T. and Yoo, J. J. (2012). Cell therapy with human renal cell cultures containing erythropoietin-positive cells improves chronic kidney injury. Stem Cells Transl. Med. 1, 373-383.
- Yuen, D. A., Connelly, K. A., Advani, A., Liao, C., Kuliszewski, M. A., Trogadis, J., Thai, K., Advani, S. L., Zhang, Y., Kelly, D. J. et al. (2010). Culture-modified bone marrow cells attenuate cardiac and renal injury in a chronic kidney disease rat model via a novel antifibrotic mechanism. *PLoS ONE* 5, e9543.
- Yuen, D. A., Connelly, K. A., Zhang, Y., Advani, S. L., Thai, K., Kabir, G., Kepecs, D., Spring, C., Smith, C., Batruch, I. et al. (2013). Early outgrowth cells release soluble endocrine antifibrotic factors that reduce progressive organ fibrosis. *Stem Cells* 31, 2408-2419.
- Zhang, Y., Yuen, D. A., Advani, A., Thai, K., Advani, S. L., Kepecs, D., Kabir, M. G., Connelly, K. A. and Gilbert, R. E. (2012). Early-outgrowth bone marrow cells attenuate renal injury and dysfunction via an antioxidant effect in a mouse model of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 61, 2114-2125.
- Zhang, L., Li, K., Liu, X., Li, D., Luo, C., Fu, B., Cui, S., Zhu, F., Zhao, R. C. and Chen, X. (2013a). Repeated systemic administration of human adipose-derived stem cells attenuates overt diabetic nephropathy in rats. *Stem Cells Dev.* 22, 3074-3086.
- Zhang, Y., Ye, C., Wang, G., Gao, Y., Tan, K., Zhuo, Z., Liu, Z., Xia, H., Yang, D. and Li, P. (2013b). Kidney-targeted transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells by ultrasound-targeted microbubble destruction promotes kidney repair in diabetic nephropathy rats. *BioMed Research International* 2013, 526367.
- Zhou, H., Tian, H.-M., Long, Y., Zhang, X.-X., Zhong, L., Deng, L., Chen, X.-H. and Li, X.-Q. (2009). Mesenchymal stem cells transplantation mildly ameliorates experimental diabetic nephropathy in rats. *Chin. Med. J. (Engl.)* **122**, 2573-2579.
- Zhu, X.-Y., Urbieta-Caceres, V., Krier, J. D., Textor, S. C., Lerman, A. and Lerman, L. O. (2013). Mesenchymal stem cells and endothelial progenitor cells decrease renal injury in experimental swine renal artery stenosis through different mechanisms. Stem Cells 31, 117-125.
- Zoja, C., Garcia, P. B., Rota, C., Conti, S., Gagliardini, E., Corna, D., Zanchi, C., Bigini, P., Benigni, A., Remuzzi, G. et al. (2012). Mesenchymal stem cell therapy promotes renal repair by limiting glomerular podocyte and progenitor cell dysfunction in adriamycin-induced nephropathy. *Am. J. Physiol.* 303, F1370-F1381.