

RESEARCH

Open Access

Mild solutions for abstract fractional differential equations with almost sectorial operators and infinite delay

Fang Li*

*Correspondence:
fangli860@gmail.com
School of Mathematics, Yunnan
Normal University, Kunming,
650092, P.R. China

Abstract

Of concern is the existence of mild solutions to delay fractional differential equations with almost sectorial operators. Combining the techniques of operator semigroup, noncompact measures and fixed point theory, we obtain a new existence theorem without the assumptions that the nonlinearity f satisfies a Lipschitz-type condition, and the resolvent operator associated with A is compact. An example is presented.

MSC: 34A08; 34K30; 47D06; 47H10

Keywords: fractional differential equations; mild solution; infinite delay; measure of noncompactness; fixed point theorem

1 Introduction

Fractional differential equations have been increasingly used for many mathematical models in probability, engineering, physics, astrophysics, economics, *etc.*, so the theory of fractional differential equations has in recent years been an object of investigations with increasing interest [1–15].

Most of the previous research on the fractional differential equations was done provided that the operator in the linear part is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous operator semigroup, a compact semigroup, or an analytic semigroup, or is a Hille-Yosida operator (see, *e.g.*, [2, 3, 7, 11, 12]). However, as presented in Example 1.1 and Example 1.2 in [15], the resolvent operators do not satisfy the required estimate to be a sectorial operator. In [16], W. von Wahl first introduced examples of almost sectorial operators which are not sectorial. To the author's knowledge, there are few papers about the fractional evolution equations with almost sectorial operators.

Moreover, equations with delay are often more useful to describe concrete systems than those without delay. So, the study of these equations has attracted so much attention (*cf.*, *e.g.*, [7, 11, 17–21] and references therein).

In this paper, we pay our attention to the investigation of the existence of mild solutions to the following fractional differential equations with almost sectorial operators and infinite delay on a separable complex Banach space X :

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D_t^q u(t) &= Au(t) + f(t, u(t), u_t), \quad t \in (0, T], \\ u_0 &= \phi \in \mathcal{P}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $T > 0$, $0 < q < 1$. The fractional derivative is understood here in the Caputo sense. \mathcal{P} is a phase space that will be defined later (see Definition 2.1). A is an almost sectorial operator to be introduced later. Here, $f : [0, T] \times X \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$, and $u_t : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X$ is defined by $u_t(\tau) = u(t + \tau)$ for $\tau \in (-\infty, 0]$.

Let us recall the following definition of almost sectorial operator; for more details, we refer the readers to [22, 23].

Definition 1.1 Let $-1 < \gamma < 0$ and $0 < \omega < \frac{\pi}{2}$. By $\Theta_\omega^\gamma(X)$ we denote the family of all linear closed operators $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ which satisfy

- (1) $\sigma(A) \subset S_\omega = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}; |\arg z| \leq \omega\} \cup \{0\}$ and
- (2) for every $\omega < \zeta < \pi$, there exists a constant C_ζ such that

$$\|R(z; A)\|_{L(X)} \leq C_\zeta |z|^\gamma \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus S_\zeta.$$

A linear operator A will be called an almost sectorial operator on X if $A \in \Theta_\omega^\gamma(X)$.

Remark 1.2 Let $A \in \Theta_\omega^\gamma(X)$, then the definition implies that $0 \in \rho(A)$.

We denote the semigroup associated with A by $T(t)$. For $t \in S_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\omega}^0 = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}; |\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \omega\}$,

$$T(t) = e^{-tz}(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\theta} e^{-tz} R(z; A) dz,$$

forms an analytic semigroup of growth order $1 + \gamma$, here $\omega < \theta < \mu < \frac{\pi}{2} - |\arg t|$, the integral contour $\Gamma_\theta := \{\mathbf{R}_+ e^{i\theta}\} \cup \{\mathbf{R}_+ e^{-i\theta}\}$ is oriented counter-clockwise [15, 17, 23]. Moreover, $T(t)$ satisfies the following properties.

- (i) There exists a constant $C_0 = C_0(\gamma) > 0$ such that

$$\|T(t)\|_{L(X)} \leq C_0 t^{-\gamma-1} \quad \text{for all } t > 0;$$

- (ii) If $\beta > 1 + \gamma$, then $D(A^\beta) \subset \Sigma_T = \{x \in X; \lim_{t \rightarrow 0; t > 0} T(t)x = x\}$;
- (iii) The functional equation $T(s + t) = T(s)T(t)$ for all $s, t \in S_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\omega}^0$ holds. However, it is not satisfied for $t = 0$ or $s = 0$.

We refer the readers to [23] and references therein for more details on $T(t)$.

In this paper, we construct a pair of families of operators $\mathcal{S}_q(t)$ and $\mathcal{T}_q(t)$ ((2.3)-(2.4)) associated with $T(t)$ and use the fixed point theorem (Theorem 2.11) to study the existence of a mild solution of Equation (1.1). We obtain the existence theorem based on the theory on measures of noncompactness without the assumptions that the nonlinearity f satisfies a Lipschitz-type condition, and the resolvent operator associated with A is compact. An example is given to show the application of the abstract result.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we set $J := [0, T]$ and denote by X a separable complex Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, by $L(X)$ the Banach space of all linear and bounded operators on X , and by $C(J, X)$ the Banach space of all X -valued continuous functions on J with the supremum norm. We abbreviate $\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbf{R}^+)}$ with $\|\mu\|_{L^p}$ for any $\mu \in L^p(J, \mathbf{R}^+)$.

We will employ an axiomatic definition of the phase space \mathcal{P} from [18–21] which is a generalization of that given by Hale and Kato [24].

Definition 2.1 A linear space \mathcal{P} consisting of functions from \mathbf{R}^- into X , with the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}}$, is called an admissible phase space if \mathcal{P} has the following properties.

- (1) If $u : (-\infty, T] \rightarrow X$ is continuous on J and $u_0 \in \mathcal{P}$, then $u_t \in \mathcal{P}$ and u_t is continuous in $t \in J$, and

$$\|\phi(0)\| \leq M\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{P}}, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{P} \tag{2.1}$$

for a positive constant M .

- (2) There exist a continuous function $C_1(t) > 0$ and a locally bounded function $C_2(t) \geq 0$ in $t \geq 0$ such that

$$\|u_t\|_{\mathcal{P}} \leq C_1(t) \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|u(s)\| + C_2(t)\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{P}} \tag{2.2}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ and u as in (1).

- (3) The space \mathcal{P} is complete.

Remark 2.2 Equation (2.1) in (1) is equivalent to $\|u(t)\| \leq M\|u_t\|_{\mathcal{P}}$.

Based on the work in [15], we give the following definition.

Definition 2.3 Let $\Psi_q(z)$ with $0 < q < 1$ be a function of Wright type (cf, e.g., [15])

$$\Psi_q(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^n}{n! \Gamma(-qn + 1 - q)} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^n}{(n-1)!} \Gamma(nq) \sin(n\pi q), \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

For any $x \in X$, we define operator families $\{\mathcal{S}_q(t)\}_{t \in S_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\omega}^0}$ and $\{\mathcal{T}_q(t)\}_{t \in S_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\omega}^0}$ by the semi-group $T(t)$ associated with A as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_q(t)x = \int_0^{\infty} \Psi_q(\sigma) T(\sigma t^q)x \, d\sigma, \quad t \in S_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\omega}^0, x \in X, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_q(t)x = \int_0^{\infty} q\sigma \Psi_q(\sigma) T(\sigma t^q)x \, d\sigma, \quad t \in S_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\omega}^0, x \in X. \tag{2.4}$$

Theorem 2.4 ([15]) For each fixed $t \in S_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\omega}^0$, $\mathcal{S}_q(t)$ and $\mathcal{T}_q(t)$ are linear and bounded operators on X . Moreover, for all $t > 0$, $-1 < \gamma < 0$, $0 < q < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{S}_q(t)x\| &\leq M_1 t^{-q(1+\gamma)} \|x\|, \quad x \in X, \\ \|\mathcal{T}_q(t)x\| &\leq M_2 t^{-q(1+\gamma)} \|x\|, \quad x \in X, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $M_1 = \frac{C_0 \Gamma(-\gamma)}{\Gamma(1-q(1+\gamma))}$ and $M_2 = \frac{qC_0 \Gamma(1-\gamma)}{\Gamma(1-q\gamma)}$.

Theorem 2.5 ([15], Theorem 3.2) For $t > 0$, $\mathcal{S}_q(t)$ and $\mathcal{T}_q(t)$ are continuous in the uniform operator topology. Moreover, for every $\tilde{r} > 0$, the continuity is uniform on $[\tilde{r}, \infty)$.

Remark 2.6 ([15], Theorem 3.4) Let $\beta > 1 + \gamma$. Then, for all $x \in D(A^\beta)$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0; t > 0} \mathcal{S}_q(t)x = x.$$

Let \mathcal{B}_T be a set defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_T = \{u : (-\infty, T] \rightarrow X \text{ such that } u|_{(-\infty, 0]} \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } u|_J \in C(J, X)\}.$$

Motivated by [3, 15], when $\phi(0) \in D(A^\beta)$ with $\beta > 1 + \gamma$, we give the following definition of a mild solution of Equation (1.1).

Definition 2.7 A function $u \in \mathcal{B}_T$ satisfying the equation

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} \phi(t), & t \in (-\infty, 0], \\ \mathcal{S}_q(t)\phi(0) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{T}_q(t-s)f(s, u(s), u_s) ds, & t \in J, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

is called a mild solution of Equation (1.1).

Remark 2.8 In general, since the operator $\mathcal{S}_q(t)$ is singular at $t = 0$, solutions to problem (1.1) are assumed to have the same kind of singularity at $t = 0$ as the operator $\mathcal{S}_q(t)$. When $\phi(0) \in D(A^\beta)$ with $\beta > 1 + \gamma$, it follows from Remark 2.6 that the mild solution is continuous at $t = 0$.

Next, we recall that the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness $\chi(\cdot)$ on each bounded subset Ω of a Banach space X is defined by

$$\chi(\Omega) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0; \Omega \text{ has a finite } \varepsilon\text{-net in } X\}.$$

This measure of noncompactness satisfies some basic properties as follows.

Lemma 2.9 ([25]) *Let Y be a Banach space, and let $U, V \subseteq Y$ be bounded. Then*

- (1) $\chi(U) = 0$ if and only if U is precompact;
- (2) $\chi(U) = \chi(\overline{U}) = \chi(\text{conv } U)$, where \overline{U} and $\text{conv } U$ mean the closure and convex hull of U , respectively;
- (3) $\chi(U) \leq \chi(V)$ if $U \subseteq V$;
- (4) $\chi(U \cup V) \leq \max\{\chi(U), \chi(V)\}$;
- (5) $\chi(U + V) \leq \chi(U) + \chi(V)$, where $U + V = \{x + y; x \in U, y \in V\}$;
- (6) $\chi(\lambda U) = |\lambda|\chi(U)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$.

Definition 2.10 A continuous map $Q : W \subseteq Y \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a χ -contraction if there exists a positive constant $\nu < 1$ such that $\chi(QU) \leq \nu \cdot \chi(U)$ for any bounded closed subset $U \subseteq W$.

Theorem 2.11 ([25]) (Darbo-Sadovskii) *If $U \subseteq X$ is bounded closed and convex, the continuous map $\mathcal{F} : U \rightarrow U$ is a χ -contraction, then the map \mathcal{F} has at least one fixed point in U .*

In Section 3, we use the above fixed point theorem to obtain main result. To this end, we present the following assertion about χ -estimates for a multivalued integral (Theorem 4.2.3 of [26]).

Let 2^Y be the family of all nonempty subsets of Y , and let $G : [0, h] \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a multifunction. It is called:

- (i) integrable if it admits a Bochner integrable selection $g : [0, h] \rightarrow Y, g(t) \in G(t)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, h]$;
- (ii) integrably bounded if there exists a function $\vartheta \in L^1([0, h], Y)$ such that

$$\|G(t)\| := \sup\{\|g\|; g \in G(t)\} \leq \vartheta(t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, h].$$

Proposition 2.12 *For an integrable, integrably bounded multifunction $G : [0, h] \rightarrow 2^X$, where X is a separable Banach space, let*

$$\chi(G(t)) \leq m(t), \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, h],$$

where $m \in L^1_+([0, h])$. Then $\chi(\int_0^t G(s) ds) \leq \int_0^t m(s) ds$ for all $t \in [0, h]$.

3 Main result

Throughout this section, let $A \in \Theta'_\omega(X)$ with $-1 < \gamma < 0, 0 < \omega < \frac{\pi}{2}$. We will use fixed point techniques to establish a result on the existence of mild solutions for Equation (1.1). For this purpose, we consider the following hypotheses.

- (H1) $f : J \times X \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$ satisfies $f(\cdot, v, w) : J \rightarrow X$ is measurable for all $(v, w) \in X \times \mathcal{P}$ and $f(t, \cdot, \cdot) : X \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$ is continuous for a.e. $t \in J$, and there exists a function $\mu(\cdot) \in L^p(J, \mathbf{R}^+)$ ($p > \frac{-1}{\gamma} > \frac{1}{q} > 1$) such that

$$\|f(t, v, w)\| \leq \mu(t)(1 + \|w\|_{\mathcal{P}})$$

for almost all $t \in J$;

- (H2) For any bounded sets $D_1 \subset X, D_2 \subset \mathcal{P}$, there exists a nondecreasing function $\eta(\cdot) \in L^p(J, \mathbf{R}^+)$ such that

$$\chi(f(t, D_1, D_2)) \leq \eta(t) \left(\chi(D_1) + \sup_{-\infty < \tau \leq 0} \chi(D_2(\tau)) \right).$$

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that hypotheses (H1) and (H2) hold. Then, for every $\phi(0) \in D(A^\beta)$ with $\beta > 1 + \gamma$, there exists a mild solution of (1.1) on $(-\infty, T]$.*

Proof Define the map \mathcal{F} on the space \mathcal{B}_T by $(\mathcal{F}u)|_0 = \phi(0)$ and

$$(\mathcal{F}u)(t) = \mathcal{S}_q(t)\phi(0) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{T}_q(t-s)f(s, u(s), u_s) ds, \quad t \in J.$$

From Theorems 2.4-2.5 and (H1), we infer that $\mathcal{F}u \in \mathcal{B}_T$.

Let $\bar{x}(\cdot) : (-\infty, T] \rightarrow X$ be the function defined by

$$\bar{x}(t) = \begin{cases} \phi(t), & t \in (-\infty, 0], \\ \mathcal{S}_q(t)\phi(0), & t \in J. \end{cases}$$

Write $u(t) = \bar{x}(t) + y(t)$, $t \in (-\infty, T]$. It is clear that u satisfies (2.6) if and only if y satisfies $y_0 = 0$ and for $t \in J$,

$$y(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{T}_q(t-s) f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s) ds.$$

Set $Y_0 = \{y \in \mathcal{B}_T; y_0 = 0\}$. For any $y \in Y_0$,

$$\|y\|_{Y_0} = \sup_{t \in J} \|y(t)\| + \|y_0\|_{\mathcal{P}} = \sup_{t \in J} \|y(t)\|,$$

thus, $(Y_0, \|\cdot\|_{Y_0})$ is a Banach space.

In order to apply Theorem 2.11 to show that \mathcal{F} has a fixed point, we let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ be an operator defined by $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}y)(t) = 0$, $t \in (-\infty, 0]$ and for $t \in J$,

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}y)(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{T}_q(t-s) f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s) ds.$$

Clearly, the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ has a fixed point is equivalent to \mathcal{F} has one. So, it turns out to prove that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ has a fixed point.

For $L > 0$, let us introduce in the space Y_0 the equivalent norm defined as

$$\|y\|_* = \sup_{t \in J} (e^{-Lt} \|y(t)\|),$$

since for any $\psi \in L^1(J, X)$,

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in J} \int_0^t e^{-L(t-s)} \psi(s) ds = 0,$$

we can take the appropriate L to satisfy

$$M_2 C_1^* \sup_{t \in J} \int_0^t e^{-L(t-s)} (t-s)^{-1-q\gamma} \mu(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $C_1^* = \sup_{t \in J} C_1(t)$.

Consider the set

$$B_\rho = \{y \in Y_0; \|y\|_* \leq \rho\},$$

here ρ is a constant chosen so that

$$\frac{\rho}{2} \geq \ell := M_2 T^{-\frac{(1+pq\gamma)}{p}} l_{p,q} \|\mu\|_{L^p} \cdot (1 + \alpha),$$

where $l_{p,q} := \left(\frac{p-1}{-pq\gamma-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$, $\alpha = C_1^* \sup_{t \in J} \|\mathcal{S}_q(t)\phi(0)\| + C_2^* \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{P}}$, $C_2^* = \sup_{t \in J} C_2(t)$.

Let $\{v^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_\rho$ be a sequence such that $v^n \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Obviously, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem enables us to prove that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is continuous.

In what follows, we prove that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}B_\rho \subset B_\rho$. From (2.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{x}_t + y_t\|_{\mathcal{P}} &\leq \|\bar{x}_t\|_{\mathcal{P}} + \|y_t\|_{\mathcal{P}} \\ &\leq C_1(t) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\bar{x}(s)\| + C_2(t) \|\bar{x}_0\|_{\mathcal{P}} + C_1(t) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|y(s)\| + C_2(t) \|y_0\|_{\mathcal{P}} \\ &\leq C_1^* \sup_{t \in J} \|\mathcal{S}_q(t)\phi(0)\| + C_2^* \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{P}} + C_1(t) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|y(s)\| \\ &\leq \alpha + C_1^* \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|y(s)\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, we see from the Hölder inequality that

$$\int_0^t (t-s)^{-1-q\gamma} \mu(s) \, ds \leq t^{-\frac{(1+pq\gamma)}{p}} l_{p,q} \|\mu\|_{L^p} \leq T^{-\frac{(1+pq\gamma)}{p}} l_{p,q} \|\mu\|_{L^p}. \tag{3.3}$$

For $t \in J, y \in B_\rho$, by (2.5), (H1) and (3.2)-(3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}y)(t)\| &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \|\mathcal{T}_q(t-s)f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s)\| \, ds \\ &\leq M_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1-q\gamma} \mu(s) \left(1 + \alpha + C_1^* \sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq s} \|y(\sigma)\|\right) \, ds \\ &\leq \ell + M_2 C_1^* \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1-q\gamma} \mu(s) \sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq s} \|y(\sigma)\| \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-Lt} \|(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}y)(t)\| &\leq \ell + M_2 C_1^* \int_0^t e^{-L(t-s)} (t-s)^{-1-q\gamma} \mu(s) \sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq s} (e^{-L\sigma} \|y(\sigma)\|) \, ds \\ &\leq \ell + \rho M_2 C_1^* \int_0^t e^{-L(t-s)} (t-s)^{-1-q\gamma} \mu(s) \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

It results that $\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}y\|_* \leq \rho$ by (3.1). Hence, for some positive number $\rho, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}B_\rho \subset B_\rho$.

For $y \in B_\rho$, let $\delta > 0, t_1, t_2 \in (0, T]$ such that $0 < t_2 - t_1 \leq \delta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{T}_q(t_1-s) f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s) \, ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{T}_q(t_2-s) f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s) \, ds \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^{t_1} [(t_1-s)^{q-1} - (t_2-s)^{q-1}] \mathcal{T}_q(t_1-s) f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s) \, ds \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} (t_2-s)^{q-1} [\mathcal{T}_q(t_1-s) - \mathcal{T}_q(t_2-s)] f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s) \, ds \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{T}_q(t_2-s) f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s) \, ds \right\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

We will show that each term on the right-hand side of (3.4) uniformly converges to zero.

Combining with (3.2), we have

$$\|f(t, \bar{x}(t) + y(t), \bar{x}_t + y_t)\| \leq \mu(t)K(t), \tag{3.5}$$

where $K(t) = 1 + \alpha + C_1^* \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|y(s)\|$.

Taking $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ and using (3.5), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^{t_1} [(t_1 - s)^{q-1} - (t_2 - s)^{q-1}] \mathcal{T}_q(t_1 - s) f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s) \, ds \right\| \\ & \leq M_2 \int_0^{t_1} |(t_1 - s)^{q-1} - (t_2 - s)^{q-1}| (t_1 - s)^{-q(1+\gamma)} \mu(s) K(s) \, ds \\ & \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{q-1} \mathcal{T}_q(t_2 - s) f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s) \, ds \right\| \\ & \leq M_2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{-q\gamma-1} \mu(s) K(s) \, ds \\ & \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, noting that (2.5) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^{t_1} (t_2 - s)^{q-1} [\mathcal{T}_q(t_1 - s) - \mathcal{T}_q(t_2 - s)] f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s) \, ds \right\| \\ & \leq \int_0^{t_1-\varepsilon} (t_2 - s)^{q-1} \|\mathcal{T}_q(t_2 - s) - \mathcal{T}_q(t_1 - s)\|_{L(X)} \mu(s) K(s) \, ds \\ & \quad + \int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1} (t_2 - s)^{q-1} \|\mathcal{T}_q(t_2 - s) - \mathcal{T}_q(t_1 - s)\|_{L(X)} \mu(s) K(s) \, ds \\ & \leq \sup_{s \in [0, t_1-\varepsilon]} \|\mathcal{T}_q(t_2 - s) - \mathcal{T}_q(t_1 - s)\|_{L(X)} \cdot \int_0^{t_1-\varepsilon} (t_2 - s)^{q-1} \mu(s) K(s) \, ds \\ & \quad + M_2 \int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1} \left(\frac{(t_2 - s)^{q-1}}{(t_2 - s)^{q(\gamma+1)}} + \frac{(t_2 - s)^{q-1}}{(t_1 - s)^{q(\gamma+1)}} \right) \mu(s) K(s) \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

This together with Theorem 2.5 shows that the right-hand side tends to zero as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Therefore, the set $\{(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}y)(\cdot); y \in B_\rho\}$ is equicontinuous.

For a bounded set $\Omega \subset Y_0$, we define the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness χ_1 on Y_0 as follows:

$$\chi_1(\Omega) = \sup_{t \in J} \left(e^{-rt} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \chi(\Omega(s)) \right),$$

where $r > 0$ is a constant chosen so that

$$\tilde{L} := 2M_2 \sup_{t \in J} \int_0^t e^{-r(t-s)} (t-s)^{-q\gamma-1} \eta(s) \, ds < 1. \tag{3.6}$$

For any $t \in J$, we set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)(t) = \left\{ \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{T}_q(t-s) f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s) ds; y \in \Omega \right\}.$$

We consider the multifunction $s \in [0, t] \rightarrow H(s)$,

$$H(s) = \left\{ (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{T}_q(t-s) f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s); y \in \Omega \right\}.$$

Obviously, H is integrable, and from (2.5), (H1) and (3.5) it follows that H is integrably bounded. Moreover, noting that (H2), we have the following estimate for a.e. $s \in [0, t]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(H(s)) &= \chi\left(\{(t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{T}_q(t-s) f(s, \bar{x}(s) + y(s), \bar{x}_s + y_s); y \in \Omega\}\right) \\ &= \chi\left((t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{T}_q(t-s) f(s, \bar{x}(s) + \Omega(s), \bar{x}_s + \Omega_s)\right) \\ &\leq M_2 (t-s)^{-q\gamma-1} \eta(s) \left(\chi(\Omega(s)) + \sup_{\sigma \in [0, s]} \chi(\Omega(\sigma)) \right) \\ &\leq 2M_2 (t-s)^{-q\gamma-1} \eta(s) \sup_{\sigma \in [0, s]} \chi(\Omega(\sigma)). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 2.12, we obtain

$$\chi(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)(t)) = \chi\left(\int_0^t H(s) ds\right) \leq 2M_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{-q\gamma-1} \eta(s) \sup_{\sigma \in [0, s]} \chi(\Omega(\sigma)) ds,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)) &= \sup_{t \in J} \left(e^{-rt} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \chi(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)(s)) \right) \\ &\leq 2M_2 \sup_{t \in J} \int_0^t e^{-r(t-s)} (t-s)^{-q\gamma-1} \eta(s) \left(e^{-rs} \sup_{\sigma \in [0, s]} \chi(\Omega(\sigma)) \right) ds \\ &\leq \tilde{L} \chi_1(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Hence $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a χ_1 -contraction on Y_0 by Definition 2.10. According to Theorem 2.11, the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ has at least one fixed point y in B_ρ . Let $u(t) = \bar{x}(t) + y(t)$, $t \in (-\infty, T]$, then $u(t)$ is a fixed point of the operator \mathcal{F} which is a mild solution of Equation (1.1). This ends the proof. \square

4 Application

Let $X = L^3(\mathbf{R}^2)$, we consider the following integrodifferential problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^q v(t, x) = \widehat{A}v(t, x) + a(t) \cos(|v(t, x)|) + \int_{-\infty}^0 c(\tau) \sin(t^b |v(\tau, x)|) d\tau, \\ t \in (0, 1], x \in [0, 1], \\ v(\tau, x) = v_0(\tau, x), \quad -\infty < \tau \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\widehat{A} = (-i\Delta + \sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad D(\widehat{A}) = W^{1,3}(\mathbf{R}^2) \quad (\text{a Sobolev space, see Example 6.3 in [15]})$$

$a(t) \in L^p([0, 1], \mathbf{R}^+)$ ($p > \frac{6}{q}$) and $a(t)$ is nondecreasing, $b > 0$, $c : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $v_0 : (-\infty, 0] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are continuous functions, and $\int_{-\infty}^0 |c(\tau)| \, d\tau < \infty$.

In Example 6.3 of [15], the authors demonstrate that $\widehat{A} \in \Theta_\omega^\gamma(L^3(\mathbf{R}^2))$ for some $0 < \omega < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\gamma = -\frac{1}{6}$. We denote the semigroup associated with \widehat{A} by $T(t)$ and $\|T(t)\|_{L(X)} \leq C_0 t^{-\frac{5}{6}}$ (C_0 is a constant).

Let the phase space \mathcal{P} be $BUC(\mathbf{R}^-, X)$, the space of bounded uniformly continuous functions endowed with the following norm:

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{P}} = \sup_{-\infty < \tau \leq 0} \|\varphi(\tau)\| \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{P},$$

then we can see that $C_1(t) = 1$ in (2.2).

For $t \in [0, 1]$, $x \in [0, 1]$ and $\varphi \in BUC(\mathbf{R}^-, X)$, we set

$$u(t)(x) = v(t, x),$$

$$\phi(\tau)(x) = v_0(\tau, x), \quad \tau \in (-\infty, 0],$$

$$f(t, u(t), \varphi)(x) = a(t) \cos(|u(t)(x)|) + \int_{-\infty}^0 c(\tau) \sin(t^b |\varphi(\tau)(x)|) \, d\tau.$$

Then we can rewrite Equation (4.1) above as abstract Equation (1.1).

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t, u(t), \varphi)(x)\| &\leq a(t) + t^b \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{P}} \int_{-\infty}^0 |c(\tau)| \, d\tau \\ &= \mu(t)(1 + \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{P}}), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(t) := \max\{a(t), t^b \int_{-\infty}^0 |c(\tau)| \, d\tau\}$.

For any $u_1, u_2 \in X$, $\varphi, \tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(t, u_1(t), \varphi)(x) - f(t, u_2(t), \tilde{\varphi})(x)\| \\ &\leq a(t) \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\| + t^b \int_{-\infty}^0 |c(\tau)| \cdot \|\varphi(\tau) - \tilde{\varphi}(\tau)\| \, d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for any bounded sets $D_1 \subset X$, $D_2 \subset \mathcal{P}$,

$$\chi(f(t, D_1, D_2)) \leq \eta(t) \left(\chi(D_1) + \sup_{-\infty < \tau \leq 0} \chi(D_2(\tau)) \right), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where $\eta(t) = \mu(t)$.

Thus, problem (4.1) has at least a mild solution by Theorem 3.1 for every $\phi(0) \in D(\widehat{A}^\beta)$ ($\beta > \frac{5}{6}$).

Competing interests

The author has no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions. This work was partly supported by the NSF of China (11201413), the Educational Commission of Yunnan Province (2012Z010).

References

1. Agarwal, RP, Lakshmikantham, V, Nieto, JJ: On the concept of solution for fractional differential equations with uncertainty. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**, 2859-2862 (2010)
2. El-Borai, MM: Semigroups and some nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **149**, 823-831 (2004)
3. El-Borai, MM: Some probability densities and fundamental solutions of fractional evolution equations. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **14**, 433-440 (2002)
4. Hernández, E, O'Regan, D, Balachandran, K: On recent developments in the theory of abstract differential equations with fractional derivatives. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **73**, 3462-3471 (2010)
5. Li, F, Liang, J, Xu, HK: Existence of mild solutions for fractional integrodifferential equations of Sobolev type with nonlocal conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **391**, 510-525 (2012)
6. Li, F, Xiao, TJ, Xu, HK: On nonlinear neutral fractional integrodifferential inclusions with infinite delay. *J. Appl. Math.* **2012**(19), Article ID 916543 (2012)
7. Li, F: Solvability of nonautonomous fractional integrodifferential equations with infinite delay. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2011**(18), Article ID 806729 (2011)
8. Liang, J, Yan, SH, Li, F, Huang, TW: On the existence of mild solutions to the Cauchy problem for a class of fractional evolution equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2012**(40), 1-16 (2012)
9. Lv, ZW, Liang, J, Xiao, TJ: Solutions to fractional differential equations with nonlocal initial condition in Banach spaces. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2010**(10), Article ID 340349 (2010)
10. Miller, KS, Ross, B: *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential Equations*. Wiley, New York (1993)
11. Mophou, GM, N'Guérékata, GM: Existence of mild solutions for some semilinear neutral fractional functional evolution equations with infinite delay. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **216**, 61-69 (2010)
12. N'Guérékata, GM: A Cauchy problem for some fractional abstract differential equation with non local conditions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**(5), 1873-1876 (2009)
13. Nieto, JJ: Maximum principles for fractional differential equations derived from Mittag-Leffler functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23**, 1248-1251 (2010)
14. Podlubny, I: *Fractional Differential Equations*. Academic Press, San Diego (1999)
15. Wang, RN, Chen, DH, Xiao, TJ: Abstract fractional Cauchy problems with almost sectorial operators. *J. Differ. Equ.* **252**, 202-235 (2012)
16. von Wahl, W: Gebrochene potenzen eines elliptischen operators und parabolische differentialgleichungen in Räumen hölderstetiger Funktionen. *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Gött.*, **2** **11**, 231-258 (1972)
17. Xiao, TJ, Liang, J: *The Cauchy Problem for Higher-Order Abstract Differential Equations*. Lect. Notes in Math, vol. 1701. Springer, Berlin (1998)
18. Xiao, TJ, Liang, J: Blow-up and global existence of solutions to integral equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **71**, e1442-e1447 (2009)
19. Liang, J, Xiao, TJ: The Cauchy problem for nonlinear abstract functional differential equations with infinite delay. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **40**, 693-703 (2000)
20. Liang, J, Xiao, TJ, Casteren, JV: A note on semilinear abstract functional differential and integrodifferential equations with infinite delay. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **17**(4), 473-477 (2004)
21. Liang, J, Xiao, TJ: Solvability of the Cauchy problem for infinite delay equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **58**, 271-297 (2004)
22. Markus, H: *The Functional Calculus for Sectorial Operators*. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 69. Birkhäuser, Basel (2006)
23. Periago, F, Straub, B: A functional calculus for almost sectorial operators and applications to abstract evolution equations. *J. Evol. Equ.* **2**, 41-68 (2002)
24. Hale, J, Kato, J: Phase space for retarded equations with infinite delay. *Funkc. Ekvacioj* **21**, 11-41 (1978)
25. Banas, J, Goebel, K: *Measure of Noncompactness in Banach Space*. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 60. Dekker, New York (1980)
26. Kamenskii, M, Obukhovskii, V, Zecca, P: *Condensing Multivalued Maps and Semilinear Differential Inclusions in Banach Spaces*. de Gruyter Ser Nonlinear Anal Appl, vol. 7. de Gruyter, Berlin (2001)

10.1186/1687-1847-2013-327

Cite this article as: Li: Mild solutions for abstract fractional differential equations with almost sectorial operators and infinite delay. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2013, **2013**:327

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com