

## RESEARCH

## Open Access

# Explicit averaging cyclic algorithm for common fixed points of a finite family of asymptotically strictly pseudocontractive mappings in $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces

Ying Zhang<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Zhiwei Xie<sup>3</sup>

\*Correspondence:

spzhangying@126.com

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding, Hebei 071003, P.R. China

<sup>2</sup>School of Economics, Renmin University of China, Beijing, 100872, P.R. China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

Let  $E$  be a real  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach space which is also uniformly convex and  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ . We obtain a weak convergence theorem of the explicit averaging cyclic algorithm for a finite family of asymptotically strictly pseudocontractive mappings of  $K$  under suitable control conditions, and elicit a necessary and sufficient condition that guarantees strong convergence of an explicit averaging cyclic process to a common fixed point of a finite family of asymptotically strictly pseudocontractive mappings in  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces. The results of this paper are interesting extensions of those known results.

**MSC:** 47H09; 47H10

**Keywords:** asymptotically strictly pseudocontractive mappings; weak and strong convergence; explicit averaging cyclic algorithm; fixed points;  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces

## 1 Introduction

Let  $E$  and  $E^*$  be a real Banach space and the dual space of  $E$ , respectively. Let  $J_q$  ( $q > 1$ ) denote the generalized duality mapping from  $E$  into  $2^{E^*}$  given by  $J_q(x) = \{f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|^q \text{ and } \|f\| = \|x\|^{q-1}\}$  for all  $x \in E$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the generalized duality pairing between  $E$  and  $E^*$ . In particular,  $J_2$  is called the normalized duality mapping and it is usually denoted by  $J$ . If  $E$  is smooth or  $E^*$  is strictly convex, then  $J_q$  is single-valued. In the sequel, we will denote the single-valued generalized duality mapping by  $j_q$ .

Let  $K$  be a nonempty subset of  $E$ . A mapping  $T : K \rightarrow K$  is called *asymptotically  $\kappa$ -strictly pseudocontractive* with sequence  $\{\kappa_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [1, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_n = 1$  (see, e.g., [1–3]) if for all  $x, y \in K$ , there exist a constant  $\kappa \in [0, 1)$  and  $j_q(x - y) \in J_q(x - y)$  such that

$$\langle T^n x - T^n y, j_q(x - y) \rangle \leq \kappa_n \|x - y\|^q - \kappa \|x - y - (T^n x - T^n y)\|^q, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (1)$$

If  $I$  denotes the identity operator, then (1) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (I - T^n)x - (I - T^n)y, j_q(x - y) \rangle \\ & \geq \kappa \|(I - T^n)x - (I - T^n)y\|^q - (\kappa_n - 1)\|x - y\|^q. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The class of asymptotically  $\kappa$ -strictly pseudocontractive mappings was first introduced in Hilbert spaces by Qihou [3]. In Hilbert spaces,  $j_q$  is the identity, and it is shown by Osilike *et al.* [2] that (1) (and hence (2)) is equivalent to the inequality

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\|^2 \leq \lambda_n \|x - y\|^2 + \lambda \|x - y - (T^n x - T^n y)\|^2,$$

where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [1 + 2(\kappa_n - 1)] = 1$ ,  $\lambda = (1 - 2\kappa) \in [0, 1)$ .

A mapping  $T$  with domain  $D(T)$  and range  $R(T)$  in  $E$  is called *strictly pseudocontractive* of Browder-Petryshyn type [4] if for all  $x, y \in D(T)$ , there exist  $\kappa \in [0, 1)$  and  $j_q(x - y) \in J_q(x - y)$  such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j_q(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^q - \kappa \|x - y - (Tx - Ty)\|^q. \tag{3}$$

If  $I$  denotes the identity operator, then (3) can be written in the form

$$\langle (I - T)x - (I - T)y, j_q(x - y) \rangle \geq \kappa \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^q. \tag{4}$$

In Hilbert spaces, (3) (and hence (4)) is equivalent to the inequality

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k \|x - y - (Tx - Ty)\|^2, \quad k = (1 - 2\kappa) < 1.$$

It is shown in [5] that the class of asymptotically  $\kappa$ -strictly pseudocontractive mappings and the class of  $\kappa$ -strictly pseudocontractive mappings are independent.

A mapping  $T$  is said to be *uniformly L-Lipschitzian* if there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that, for all  $x, y \in K$ ,

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq L \|x - y\|, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$  be  $N$  asymptotically strictly pseudocontractive self-mappings of  $K$ , and denote the common fixed points set of  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$  by  $F := \bigcap_{j=0}^{N-1} F(T_j)$ , where  $F(T_j) := \{x \in K : T_j x = x\}$ . We consider the following explicit averaging cyclic algorithm.

For a given  $x_0 \in K$ , and a real sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subseteq (0, 1)$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is generated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \alpha_0 x_0 + (1 - \alpha_0) T_0 x_0, \\ x_2 &= \alpha_1 x_1 + (1 - \alpha_1) T_1 x_1, \\ &\vdots \\ x_N &= \alpha_{N-1} x_{N-1} + (1 - \alpha_{N-1}) T_{N-1} x_{N-1}, \\ x_{N+1} &= \alpha_N x_N + (1 - \alpha_N) T_0^2 x_N, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{N+2} &= \alpha_{N+1}x_{N+1} + (1 - \alpha_{N+1})T_1^2x_{N+1}, \\
 &\vdots \\
 x_{2N} &= \alpha_{2N-1}x_{2N-1} + (1 - \alpha_{2N-1})T_{N-1}^2x_{2N-1}, \\
 x_{2N+1} &= \alpha_{2N}x_{2N} + (1 - \alpha_{2N})T_0^3x_{2N}, \\
 x_{2N+2} &= \alpha_{2N+1}x_{2N+1} + (1 - \alpha_{2N+1})T_1^3x_{2N+1}, \\
 &\vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

The algorithm can be expressed in a compact form as

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n, \quad n \geq 0, \tag{5}$$

where  $n = (k - 1)N + i$  with  $i = i(n) \in I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1\}$ ,  $k = k(n) \geq 1$  a positive integer and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k(n) = \infty$ . The cyclic algorithm was first studied by Acedo and Xu [6] for the iterative approximation of common fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings in Hilbert spaces, and it is better than implicit iteration methods.

In [7] Xiaolong Qin *et al.* proved the following theorem in a Hilbert space.

**Theorem QCKS** *Let  $K$  be a closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $N \geq 1$  be an integer. Let, for each  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ,  $T_i : K \rightarrow K$  be an asymptotically  $\kappa_i$ -strictly pseudocontractive mapping for some  $0 \leq \kappa_i < 1$  and a sequence  $\{k_{n,i}\}$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (k_{n,i} - 1) < \infty$ . Let  $\kappa = \max\{\kappa_i : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$  and  $\kappa_n = \max\{\kappa_{n,i} : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ . Assume that  $F \neq \emptyset$ . For any  $x_0 \in K$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence generated by the cyclic algorithm (5). Assume that the control sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is chosen such that  $\kappa + \epsilon \leq \alpha_n \leq 1 - \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and a small enough constant  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of the family  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$ .*

Osilike and Shehu [8] extended the result of Theorem QCKS from a Hilbert space to 2-uniformly smooth Banach spaces which are also uniformly convex. They proved the following theorem.

**Theorem OS** *Let  $E$  be a real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space which is also uniformly convex, and  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$  be  $N$  asymptotically  $\lambda_j$ -strictly pseudocontractive self-mappings of  $K$  for some  $0 \leq \lambda_j < 1$  with a sequence  $\{\kappa_n^{(j)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset [1, \infty)$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\kappa_n^{(j)} - 1) < \infty, \forall j \in J = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1\}$ , and  $F \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  satisfy the conditions*

- (i)<sup>\*</sup>  $0 \leq \alpha_n < 1, \quad n \geq 0,$
- (ii)<sup>\*</sup>  $0 < a \leq 1 - \alpha_n \leq b < \frac{2\lambda}{C_2},$

where  $\lambda = \min_{j \in J} \{\lambda_j\}$  and  $C_2$  is the constant appearing in the inequality (7) with  $q = 2$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence generated by the cyclic algorithm (5). Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of the family  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$ .

We would like to point out that the condition (ii)<sup>\*</sup> in Theorem OS excludes the natural choice  $1 - \frac{1}{n}$  for  $\alpha_n$ . This is overcome by this paper. Moreover, we improve and extend the

result of Theorem OS from 2-uniformly smooth Banach spaces to  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces which are also uniformly convex. We prove that if  $\{\alpha_n\}$  satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \mu \leq \alpha_n < 1, \quad n \geq 0, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) [q\lambda - C_q(1 - \alpha_n)^{q-1}] = \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where  $\mu = \max\{0, 1 - (\frac{q\lambda}{C_q})^{\frac{1}{q-1}}\}$ ,  $\lambda = \min_{j \in I} \{\lambda_j\}$ , then the iterative sequence (5) converges weakly to a common fixed point of the family  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$ .

Furthermore, we elicit a necessary and sufficient condition that guarantees strong convergence of the iterative sequence (5) to a common fixed point of the family  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$  in  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces.

We will use the notation:

1.  $\rightharpoonup$  for weak convergence.
2.  $\omega_{\mathcal{W}}(x_n) = \{x : \exists x_{n_j} \rightharpoonup x\}$  denotes the weak  $\omega$ -limit set of  $\{x_n\}$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $E$  be a real Banach space. The *modulus of smoothness* of  $E$  is the function  $\rho_E : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$\rho_E(\tau) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\|x + y\| + \|x - y\|) - 1 : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq \tau \right\}.$$

$E$  is *uniformly smooth* if and only if  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} [\rho_E(\tau)/\tau] = 0$ .

Let  $q > 1$ .  $E$  is said to be  *$q$ -uniformly smooth* (or to have a modulus of smoothness of power type  $q > 1$ ) if there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\rho_E(\tau) \leq c\tau^q$ . Hilbert spaces,  $L_p$  (or  $l_p$ ) spaces ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) and the Sobolev spaces  $W_m^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) are  $q$ -uniformly smooth. Hilbert spaces are 2-uniformly smooth while

$$L_p \text{ (or } l_p) \text{ or } W_m^p \text{ is } \begin{cases} p\text{-uniformly smooth} & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2, \\ 2\text{-uniformly smooth} & \text{if } p \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem HKX** ([9, p.1130]) *Let  $q > 1$  and let  $E$  be a real  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach space. Then there exists a constant  $C_q > 0$  such that, for all  $x, y \in E$ ,*

$$\|x + y\|^q \leq \|x\|^q + q\langle y, j_q(x) \rangle + C_q \|y\|^q. \tag{7}$$

$E$  is said to have a *Fréchet differentiable norm* if, for all  $x \in U = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists and is attained uniformly in  $y \in U$ . In this case, there exists an increasing function  $b : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} [b(t)/t] = 0$  such that, for all  $x, h \in E$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 + \langle h, j(x) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x + h\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 + \langle h, j(x) \rangle + b(\|h\|). \tag{8}$$

It is well known (see, for example, [10, p.107]) that a  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach space has a Fréchet differentiable norm.

**Lemma 2.1** ([5, p.1338]) *Let  $E$  be a real  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach space which is also uniformly convex. Let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$  and  $T : K \rightarrow K$  be an asymptotically  $\kappa$ -strictly pseudocontractive mapping with a nonempty fixed point set. Then  $(I - T)$  is demiclosed at zero, that is, if whenever  $\{x_n\} \subset D(T)$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $x \in D(T)$  and  $\{(I - T)x_n\}$  converges strongly to 0, then  $Tx = x$ .*

**Lemma 2.2** ([2, p.80]) *Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the following inequality:*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 + \delta_n)a_n + b_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

*If  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \delta_n < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$  exists. If, in addition,  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  has a subsequence which converges strongly to zero, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** ([2, p.78]) *Let  $E$  be a real Banach space,  $K$  be a nonempty subset of  $E$  and  $T : K \rightarrow K$  be an asymptotically  $\kappa$ -strictly pseudocontractive mapping. Then  $T$  is uniformly  $L$ -Lipschitzian.*

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $E$  be a real  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach space which is also uniformly convex, and let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ . Let, for each  $0 \leq j \leq N - 1$ ,  $T_j : K \rightarrow K$  be an asymptotically  $\lambda_j$ -strictly pseudocontractive mapping with  $F \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be the sequence satisfying the following conditions:*

- (a)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists for every  $p \in F$ ;
- (b)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_j x_n\| = 0$ , for each  $0 \leq j \leq N - 1$ ;
- (c)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|tx_n + (1 - t)p_1 - p_2\|$  exists for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $p_1, p_2 \in F$ .

*Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of the family  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$ .*

*Proof* Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists, then  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. By (b) and Lemma 2.1, we have  $\omega_{\mathcal{W}}(x_n) \subset F$ . Assume that  $p_1, p_2 \in \omega_{\mathcal{W}}(x_n)$  and that  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  and  $\{x_{m_j}\}$  are subsequences of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup p_1$  and  $x_{m_j} \rightharpoonup p_2$ , respectively. Since  $E$  is a real  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach space, which is also uniformly convex, then  $E$  has a Fréchet differentiable norm. Set  $x = p_1 - p_2, h = t(x_n - p_1)$  in (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|p_1 - p_2\|^2 + t \langle x_n - p_1, j(p_1 - p_2) \rangle &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|tx_n + (1 - t)p_1 - p_2\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|p_1 - p_2\|^2 + b(t\|x_n - p_1\|) \\ &\quad + t \langle x_n - p_1, j(p_1 - p_2) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $b$  is an increasing function. Since  $\|x_n - p_1\| \leq M, \forall n \geq 0$ , for some  $M > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|p_1 - p_2\|^2 + t \langle x_n - p_1, j(p_1 - p_2) \rangle &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|tx_n + (1 - t)p_1 - p_2\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|p_1 - p_2\|^2 + b(tM) + t \langle x_n - p_1, j(p_1 - p_2) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|p_1 - p_2\|^2 + t \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - p_1, j(p_1 - p_2) \rangle &\leq \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|tx_n + (1-t)p_1 - p_2\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|p_1 - p_2\|^2 + b(tM) \\ &\quad + t \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - p_1, j(p_1 - p_2) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - p_1, j(p_1 - p_2) \rangle \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - p_1, j(p_1 - p_2) \rangle + b(tM)/t$ . Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} [b(tM)/t] = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - p_1, j(p_1 - p_2) \rangle$  exists. Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - p_1, j(p_1 - p_2) \rangle = \langle p - p_1, j(p_1 - p_2) \rangle$ , for all  $p \in \omega_{\mathcal{W}}(x_n)$ . Set  $p = p_2$ . We have  $\langle p_2 - p_1, j(p_1 - p_2) \rangle = 0$ , that is,  $p_2 = p_1$ . Hence,  $\omega_{\mathcal{W}}(x_n)$  is a singleton, so that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of the family  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$ .  $\square$

### 3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $E$  be a real  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach space which is also uniformly convex and  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer and  $J = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1\}$ . Let, for each  $j \in J$ ,  $T_j : K \rightarrow K$  be an asymptotically  $\lambda_j$ -strictly pseudocontractive mapping for some  $0 \leq \lambda_j < 1$  with sequences  $\{\kappa_{n,j}\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset [1, \infty)$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (\kappa_n - 1) < \infty$ , where  $\kappa_n = \max_{j \in J} \{\kappa_{n,j}\}$ , and  $F := \bigcap_{j=0}^{N-1} F(T_j) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\lambda = \min_{j \in J} \{\lambda_j\}$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  satisfy the conditions (6) and  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence generated by the cyclic algorithm (5). Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of the family  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$ .*

*Proof* Pick a  $p \in F$ . We firstly show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists. To see this, using (2) and (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^q &= \|x_n - p - (1 - \alpha_n)[x_n - p - (T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - p)]\|^q \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^q + C_q(1 - \alpha_n)^q \|x_n - p - (T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - p)\|^q \\ &\quad - q(1 - \alpha_n) \langle x_n - p - (T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - p), j_q(x_n - p) \rangle \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^q + C_q(1 - \alpha_n)^q \|x_n - p - (T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - p)\|^q \\ &\quad - q(1 - \alpha_n) \{ \lambda_{i(n)} \|x_n - p - (T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - p)\|^q \\ &\quad - (\kappa_{k(n), i(n)} - 1) \|x_n - p\|^q \} \\ &= [1 + q(1 - \alpha_n)(\kappa_{k(n), i(n)} - 1)] \|x_n - p\|^q \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n) [q\lambda_{i(n)} - C_q(1 - \alpha_n)^{q-1}] \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\|^q \\ &\leq [1 + q(1 - \alpha_n)(\kappa_{k(n)} - 1)] \|x_n - p\|^q \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n) [q\lambda - C_q(1 - \alpha_n)^{q-1}] \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\|^q, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where  $\kappa_{k(n)} = \max_{i \in J} \{\kappa_{k(n), i(n)}\}$ . Since  $\mu \leq \alpha_n < 1$  for all  $n$ , where  $\mu = \max\{0, 1 - (\frac{q\lambda}{C_q})^{\frac{1}{q-1}}\}$ , we get  $(1 - \alpha_n)[q\lambda - C_q(1 - \alpha_n)^{q-1}] \geq 0$ . Therefore, (9) implies

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\|^q \leq [1 + q(1 - \alpha_n)(\kappa_{k(n)} - 1)] \|x_n - p\|^q. \tag{10}$$

Let  $\delta_n = 1 + q(1 - \alpha_n)(\kappa_{k(n)} - 1)$ . Since  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\kappa_n - 1) < \infty$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\delta_n - 1) = q \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n)(\kappa_{k(n)} - 1) \leq qN \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\kappa_n - 1) < \infty,$$

then (10) implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists by Lemma 2.2 (and hence the sequence  $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$  is bounded, that is, there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $\|x_n - p\| < M$ ).

Then we prove  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_j x_n\| = 0, \forall j \in J$ . In fact, it follows from (9) that

$$(1 - \alpha_n)[q\lambda - C_q(1 - \alpha_n)^{q-1}] \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\|^q \leq \|x_n - p\|^q - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^q + q(1 - \alpha_n)(\kappa_{k(n)} - 1) \|x_n - p\|^q.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n)[q\lambda - C_q(1 - \alpha_n)^{q-1}] \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\|^q < \|x_0 - p\|^q + M^q \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\delta_{k(n)} - 1) < \infty. \quad (11)$$

Since  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n)[q\lambda - C_q(1 - \alpha_n)^{q-1}] = \infty$ , then (11) implies that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\| = 0$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\| = 0$ .

For all  $n > N$ , we have  $k(n) - 1 = k(n - N)$  and  $i(n) = i(n - N)$ . By Lemma 2.3, we know that  $T_j$  is uniformly  $L_j$ -Lipschitzian, then there exists a constant  $L = \max_{j \in J} \{L_j\}$ , such that

$$\|T_j^n x - T_j^n y\| \leq L \|x - y\|, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \forall x, y \in K \text{ and } \forall j \in J.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - T_{i(n)} x_n\| &\leq \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\| + \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - T_{i(n)} x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\| + L \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)-1} x_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\| + L \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)-1} x_n - T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n)-1} x_{n-N}\| \\ &\quad + L \|T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n)-1} x_{n-N} - x_{n-N-1}\| + L \|x_{n-N-1} - x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\| + L^2 \|x_n - x_{n-N}\| \\ &\quad + L \|T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n-N)} x_{n-N} - x_{n-N-1}\| + L \|x_{n-N-1} - x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| = (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently,

$$\|x_n - x_{n+l}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all integer } l.$$

Observe also that

$$\|x_{n-1} - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_{i(n)}x_n\| = 0.$$

Consequently, for all  $j \in J$ , we have

$$\|x_n - T_{n+j}x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+j}\| + \|x_{n+j} - T_{n+j}x_{n+j}\| + L\|x_n - x_{n+j}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_jx_n\| = 0, \quad \forall j \in J.$$

Now we prove that for all  $p_1, p_2 \in F$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|tx_n + (1-t)p_1 - p_2\|$  exists for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $a_n(t) = \|tx_n + (1-t)p_1 - p_2\|$ . It is obvious that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(0) = \|p_1 - p_2\|$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p_2\|$  exist. So, we only need to consider the case of  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Define  $A_n : K \rightarrow K$  by

$$A_n x = \alpha_n x + (1 - \alpha_n) T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x, \quad x \in K.$$

Then for all  $x, y \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_n x - A_n y\|^q &\leq \|x - y\|^q - q(1 - \alpha_n) \langle (I - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)})x - (I - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)})y, j_q(x - y) \rangle \\ &\quad + C_q(1 - \alpha_n)^q \|x - y - (T_{i(n)}^{k(n)}x - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)}y)\|^q \\ &\leq [1 + q(1 - \alpha_n)(\kappa_{k(n)} - 1)] \|x - y\|^q \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n)[q\lambda - C_q(1 - \alpha_n)^{q-1}] \|x - y - (T_{i(n)}^{k(n)}x - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)}y)\|^q. \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of  $\alpha_n$ , we have  $(1 - \alpha_n)[q\lambda - C_q(1 - \alpha_n)^{q-1}] \geq 0$ , so it follows that  $\|A_n x - A_n y\|^q \leq [1 + q(1 - \alpha_n)(\kappa_{k(n)} - 1)] \|x - y\|^q = \delta_n \|x - y\|^q$ . For the convenience of the following discussion, set  $\eta_n = (\delta_n)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ , then  $\|A_n x - A_n y\| \leq \eta_n \|x - y\|$ .

Set  $S_{n,m} = A_{n+m-1}A_{n+m-2} \cdots A_n$ ,  $m \geq 1$ . We have

$$\|S_{n,m}x - S_{n,m}y\| \leq \left( \prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j \right) \|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in K,$$

and

$$S_{n,m}x_n = x_{n+m}, \quad S_{n,m}p = p \quad \text{for all } p \in F.$$

Set  $b_{n,m} = \|S_{n,m}(tx_n + (1-t)p_1) - tS_{n,m}x_n - (1-t)S_{n,m}p_1\|$ . If  $\|x_n - p_1\| = 0$  for some  $n_0$ , then  $x_n = p_1$  for any  $n \geq n_0$  so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p_1\| = 0$ , in fact,  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $p_1 \in F$ . Thus we may assume  $\|x_n - p_1\| > 0$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $\delta$  denote the modulus of convexity of  $E$ . It is well known (see, for example, [11, p.108]) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|tx + (1-t)y\| &\leq 1 - 2 \min\{t, (1-t)\} \delta(\|x - y\|) \\ &\leq 1 - 2t(1-t)\delta(\|x - y\|) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$



for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $x, y \in E$  such that  $\|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1$ . Set

$$w_{n,m} = \frac{S_{n,m}p_1 - S_{n,m}(tx_n + (1-t)p_1)}{t(\prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j)\|x_n - p_1\|}, \quad z_{n,m} = \frac{S_{n,m}(tx_n + (1-t)p_1) - S_{n,m}x_n}{(1-t)(\prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j)\|x_n - p_1\|}.$$

Then  $\|w_{n,m}\| \leq 1$  and  $\|z_{n,m}\| \leq 1$  so that it follows from (12) that

$$2t(1-t)\delta(\|w_{n,m} - z_{n,m}\|) \leq 1 - \|tw_{n,m} + (1-t)z_{n,m}\|. \tag{13}$$

Observe that

$$\|w_{n,m} - z_{n,m}\| = \frac{b_{n,m}}{t(1-t)(\prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j)\|x_n - p_1\|}$$

and

$$\|tw_{n,m} + (1-t)z_{n,m}\| = \frac{\|S_{n,m}x_n - S_{n,m}p_1\|}{(\prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j)\|x_n - p_1\|},$$

it follows from (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2t(1-t) \left( \prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j \right) \|x_n - p_1\| \delta \left( \frac{b_{n,m}}{t(1-t)(\prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j)\|x_n - p_1\|} \right) \\ & \leq \left( \prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j \right) \|x_n - p_1\| - \|S_{n,m}x_n - S_{n,m}p_1\| \\ & = \left( \prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j \right) \|x_n - p_1\| - \|x_{n+m} - p_1\|. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Since  $E$  is uniformly convex, then  $\delta(s)/s$  is nondecreasing, and since  $(\prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j)\|x_n - p_1\| \leq (\prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j)\eta_{n-1}\|x_{n-1} - p_1\| \leq \dots \leq (\prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j)(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \eta_j)\|x_0 - p_1\| = (\prod_{j=0}^{n+m-1} \eta_j)\|x_0 - p_1\|$ , hence it follows from (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\prod_{j=0}^{n+m-1} \eta_j)\|x_0 - p_1\|}{2} \delta \left( \frac{4}{(\prod_{j=0}^{n+m-1} \eta_j)\|x_0 - p_1\|} b_{n,m} \right) \\ & \leq \left( \prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j \right) \|x_n - p_1\| - \|x_{n+m} - p_1\| \quad \left( \text{since } t(1-t) \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=0}^{n+m-1} \eta_j$  exists and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=0}^{n+m-1} \eta_j \neq 0$ . Also since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p_1\|$  exists, then the continuity of  $\delta$  and  $\delta(0) = 0$  yield  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n,m} = 0$  uniformly for all  $m \geq 1$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+m}(t) & \leq \|tx_{n+m} + (1-t)p_1 - p_2 + (S_{n,m}(tx_n + (1-t)p_1) \\ & \quad - tS_{n,m}x_n - (1-t)S_{n,m}p_1)\| \\ & \quad + \|S_{n,m}(tx_n + (1-t)p_1) - tS_{n,m}x_n - (1-t)S_{n,m}p_1\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \|S_{n,m}(tx_n + (1-t)p_1) - S_{n,m}p_2\| + b_{n,m} \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j\right) \|tx_n + (1-t)p_1 - p_2\| + b_{n,m} = \left(\prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \eta_j\right) a_n(t) + b_{n,m}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(t) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(t)$ , this ensures that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(t)$  exists for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

Now apply Lemma 2.4 to conclude that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of the family  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $E$  be a real  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach space, and let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer and  $J = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$ . Let, for each  $j \in J$ ,  $T_j : K \rightarrow K$  be an asymptotically  $\lambda_j$ -strictly pseudocontractive mapping for some  $0 \leq \lambda_j < 1$  with sequences  $\{\kappa_{n,j}\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset [1, \infty)$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (\kappa_n - 1) < \infty$ , where  $\kappa_n = \max_{j \in J} \{\kappa_{n,j}\}$ , and  $F := \bigcap_{j=0}^{N-1} F(T_j) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\lambda = \min_{j \in J} \{\lambda_j\}$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  satisfy the conditions (6) and  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence generated by the cyclic algorithm (5). Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point of the family  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$  if and only if*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0,$$

where  $d(x_n, F) = \inf_{p \in F} \|x_n - p\|$ .

*Proof* It follows from (10) that

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\|^q \leq \delta_n \|x_n - p\|^q.$$

Thus  $[d(x_{n+1} - p)]^q \leq \delta_n [d(x_n - p)]^q$ , and it follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F)$  exists.

Now if  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point  $p$  of the family  $\{T_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| = 0$ . Since

$$0 \leq d(x_n, F) \leq \|x_n - p\|,$$

we have  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ , then the existence of  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F)$  implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ . Thus, for arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that  $d(x_n, F) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for any  $n \geq n_0$ .

From (10), we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\|^q \leq \|x_n - p\|^q + M^q(\delta_n - 1), \quad n \geq 0,$$

and for some  $M > 0$ ,  $\|x_n - p\| < M$ . Now, an induction yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - p\|^q &\leq \|x_{n-1} - p\|^q + M^q(\delta_{n-1} - 1) \\ &\leq \|x_{n-2} - p\|^q + M^q(\delta_{n-2} - 1) + M^q(\delta_{n-1} - 1) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \|x_l - p\|^q + M^q \sum_{j=l}^{n-1} (\delta_j - 1), \quad n-1 \geq l \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\delta_n - 1) < \infty$ , then there exists a positive integer  $n_1$  such that  $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (\delta_j - 1) < (\frac{\epsilon}{2M})^q$ ,  $\forall n \geq n_1$ . Choose  $N = \max\{n_0, n_1\}$ , then for all  $n, m \geq N + 1$  and for all  $p \in F$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x_m\| &\leq \|x_n - p\| + \|x_m - p\| \\ &\leq \left[ \|x_N - p\|^q + M^q \sum_{j=N}^{n-1} (\delta_j - 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left[ \|x_N - p\|^q + M^q \sum_{j=N}^{m-1} (\delta_j - 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left[ \|x_N - p\|^q + M^q \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} (\delta_j - 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left[ \|x_N - p\|^q + M^q \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} (\delta_j - 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= 2 \left[ \|x_N - p\|^q + M^q \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} (\delta_j - 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking infimum over all  $p \in F$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x_m\| &\leq 2 \left\{ [d(x_N, F)]^q + M^q \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} (\delta_j - 1) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &< 2 \left[ \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^q + M^q \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2M}\right)^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} < 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy. Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$ . Then for all  $j \in J$  we have

$$0 \leq \|u - T_j u\| \leq \|u - x_n\| + \|x_n - T_j x_n\| + L \|x_n - u\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus  $u \in F(T_j)$ ,  $\forall j \in J$ , and hence  $u \in F$ . □

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' contributions**

All the authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

**Author details**

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding, Hebei 071003, P.R. China. <sup>2</sup>School of Economics, Renmin University of China, Beijing, 100872, P.R. China. <sup>3</sup>Easyway Company Limited, Beijing, 100872, P.R. China.

Received: 9 June 2012 Accepted: 13 September 2012 Published: 2 October 2012

**References**

1. Osilike, MO: Iterative approximations of fixed points of asymptotically demicontractive mappings. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **29**(12), 1291-1300 (1998)
2. Osilike, MO, Aniagbosor, SC, Akuchu, BG: Fixed points of asymptotically demicontractive mappings in arbitrary Banach spaces. *Panam. Math. J.* **12**(2), 77-88 (2002)
3. Qihou, L: Convergence theorems of the sequence of iterates for asymptotically demicontractive and hemicontractive mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* **26**(11), 1835-1842 (1996)
4. Browder, FE, Petryshyn, WV: Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **20**, 197-228 (1967)
5. Osilike, MO, Udomene, A, Igbokwe, DI, Akuchu, BG: Demiclosedness principle and convergence theorems for  $\kappa$ -strictly asymptotically pseudo-contractive maps. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **326**, 1334-1345 (2007)
6. Acedo, GL, Xu, HK: Iterative methods for strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **67**, 2258-2271 (2007)
7. Qin, X, Cho, YJ, Ku, SM, Shang, M: A hybrid iterative scheme for asymptotically  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**, 1902-1911 (2009)

8. Osilike, MO, Shehu, Y: Explicit averaging cyclic algorithm for common fixed points of a finite family of asymptotically strictly pseudocontractive maps in Banach spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **57**, 1502-1510 (2009)
9. Xu, HK: Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **16**, 1127-1138 (1991)
10. Takahashi, W: *Nonlinear Functional Analysis. Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications*. Yokohama, Yokohama (2000)
11. Bruck, RE: A simple proof of the mean ergodic theorem for nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces. *Isr. J. Math.* **32**(2-3), 107-116 (1979)

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-167

**Cite this article as:** Zhang and Xie: Explicit averaging cyclic algorithm for common fixed points of a finite family of asymptotically strictly pseudocontractive mappings in  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2012 **2012**:167.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---