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## Abstract

We consider the semilinear Petrovsky equation

$$
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta^{2} u(s) d s=|u|^{p} u
$$

in a bounded domain and prove the existence of weak solutions. Furthermore, we show that there are solutions under some conditions on initial data which blow up in finite time with non-positive initial energy as well as positive initial energy.
Estimates of the lifespan of solutions are also given.
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## 1 Introduction

In this article, we concerned with the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta^{2} u(s) d s=|u|^{p} u, \quad x \in \Omega, \tau>0  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, t)=\partial_{v} u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, t \geq 0 \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset R^{n}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ in order that the divergence theorem can be applied. $v$ is the unit normal vector pointing toward the exterior of $\Omega$ and $p>0$. Here, $g$ represents the kernel of the memory term satisfying some conditions to be specified later.
In the absence of the viscoelastic term, i.e., $(g=0)$, we motivate our article by presenting some results related to initial-boundary value Petrovsky problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2}{ }_{u}=f\left(u, u_{t}\right), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t>0 \\
u(x, t)=\partial_{\nu} u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, t \geq 0  \tag{1.2}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega .
\end{gather*}
$$

[^0]Research of global existence, blow-up and energy decay of solutions for the initial boundary value problem (1.2) has attracted a lot of articles (see [1-4] and references there in).
Amroun and Benaissa [1] investigated (1.2) with $f\left(u, u_{t}\right)=b|u|^{p-2} u-h\left(u_{t}\right)$ and proved the global existence of solutions by means of the stable set method in $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ combined with the Faedo-Galerkin procedure. In [3], Messaoudi studied problem (1.2) with $f\left(u, u_{t}\right)=b|u|^{p-2} u-a\left|u_{t}\right|^{m-2} u_{t}$. He proved the existence of a local weak solution and showed that this solution blows up in finite time with negative initial energy if $p>m$.

In the presence of the viscoelastic terms, Rivera et al. [5] considered the plate model:

$$
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta^{2} u(s) d s=0
$$

in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset R^{N}$ and showed that the energy of solution decay exponentially provided the kernel function also decay exponentially. For more related results about the existence, finite time blow-up and asymptotic properties, we refer the reader to [5-16].

In the present article, we devote our study to problem (1.1). We will prove the existence of weak solutions under some appropriate assumptions on the function $g$ and blow-up behavior of solutions. In order to obtain the existence of solutions, we use the Faedo-Galerkin method and to get the blow-up properties of solutions with non-positive and positive initial energy, we modify the method in [17]. Estimates for the blowup time $T^{*}$ are also given.

## 2 Preliminaries

We define the energy function related with problem (1.1) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\|\Delta u\|^{2}+(g \odot \Delta u)(t)\right]-\frac{1}{p+2}\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
(g \odot v)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)\|v(t)-v(s)\|_{2}^{2} d s
$$

We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{k}$, the $L^{k}$-norm over $\Omega$. In particular, the $L^{2}$-norm is denoted $\|\cdot\|_{2}$. We use the familiar function spaces $H_{0}^{2}, H^{4}$ and throughout this article we assume $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \cap H^{4}(\Omega)$ and $u_{1} \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega)$.

In the sequel, we state some hypotheses and three well-known lemmas that will be needed later.
(A1) $p$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<p \leq \infty \quad(N \leq 4) \\
0<p \leq \frac{2(N-2)}{N-4} \quad(N \geq 5)
\end{gathered}
$$

(A2) $g$ is a positive bounded $C^{1}$ function satisfying $g(0)>0$, and for all $t>0$

$$
1-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(t) d s=l>0
$$

also there exists positive constants $L_{0}, L_{1}$ such that
(A3)

$$
-L_{0} \leq g^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq g^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq L_{1}
$$

Lemma 1 (Sobolev-Poincare's inequality). Let p be a number that satisfies (A1), then there is a constant $C=C(\Omega, p)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p} \leq C_{*}\|\Delta u\|_{2}, \quad u \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2 [4]. Let $\delta>0$ and $B(t) \in C^{2}(0, \infty)$ be a nonnegative function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime \prime}(t)-4(\delta+1) B^{\prime}(t)+4(\delta+1) B(t) \geq 0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime}(0)>r_{2} B(0)+K_{0} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $r_{2}=2(\delta+1)-2 \sqrt{\delta(\delta+1)}$, then $B^{\prime}(t)>K_{0}$ for $t>0$, where $K_{0}$ is a constant.
Lemma 3 [4]. If $Y(t)$ is a non-increasing function on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime}(t)^{2} \geq a+b Y(t)^{2+\delta^{-1}} \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq t_{0} \geq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a>0, \delta>0$ and $b \in R$, then there exists a finite time $T^{*}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*-}} Y(t)=0
$$

Upper bounds for $T^{*}$ is estimated as follows:
(i) If $b<0$, then

$$
T^{*} \leq t_{0}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-b}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{\frac{-a}{b}}}{\sqrt{\frac{-a}{b}-Y\left(t_{0}\right)}}
$$

(ii) If $b=0$, then

$$
T^{*} \leq t_{0}+\frac{Y\left(t_{0}\right)}{Y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)}
$$

(iii) If $b>0$, then

$$
T^{*} \leq \frac{Y\left(t_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{a}}
$$

or

$$
T^{*} \leq t_{0}+2^{\frac{3 \delta+1}{2 \delta}} \frac{c \delta}{\sqrt{a}}\left\{1-\left[1+c Y\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{\frac{-1}{2 \delta}}\right\},
$$

where $c=\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2+\frac{1}{\delta}}$.

## 3 Existence of solutions

In this section, we are going to obtain the existence of weak solutions to the problem (1.1) using Faedo-Galerkin's approximation.

Theorem 1 Let the assumptions (A1)-(A3) hold. Then there exists at least a solution $u$ of (1.1) satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \cap H^{4}(\Omega)\right), \quad u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \\
u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x, t) \rightarrow u_{0}(x) \quad \text { in } H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \cap H^{4}(\Omega) \\
& u^{\prime}(x, t) \rightarrow u_{1}(x) \quad \text { in } H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$.
Proof We choose a basis $\left\{\omega_{k}\right\}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ in $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \cap H^{4}(\Omega)$ which is orthonormal in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\omega_{k}$ being the eigenfunctions of biharmonic operator subject to the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition.
Let $V_{m}$ be the subspace of $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \cap H^{4}(\Omega)$ generated by the first $m$ vectors. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} d_{m}^{k}(t) \omega_{k}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{m}(t)$ is the solution of the following Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t), \omega_{k}\right)+\left(\Delta u_{m}(t), \Delta \omega_{k}\right)-\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)\left(\Delta u_{m}(s), \Delta \omega_{k}\right) d s  \tag{3.3}\\
-\left(\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{p} u_{m}(t), \omega_{k}\right)=0 \quad \forall k=1, m .
\end{gather*}
$$

with the initial conditions (when $m \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{m}(0)=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(u_{m}(0), \omega_{k}\right) \omega_{k} \rightarrow u_{0} \text { in } H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \cap H^{4}(\Omega)  \tag{3.4}\\
u_{m}^{\prime}(0)=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(0), \omega_{k}\right) \omega_{k} \rightarrow u_{1} \text { in } H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The approximate systems (3.3) and (3.4) are the normal one of differential equations which has a solution in $\left[0, T_{m}\right)$ for some $T_{m}>0$. The solution can be extended to the $[0, T]$ for any given $T>0$ by the first estimate below.
First estimation. Substituting $u_{m}^{\prime}(t)$ instead of $\omega_{k}$ in (3.3), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{m}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{p+2}^{p+2}}{p+2}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)\left(\Delta u_{m}(s), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) d s=0 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simple calculation similar to [11] yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)\left(\Delta u_{m}(s), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) d s=-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_{m}(t) \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t) d x d s \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta u_{m}(s)-\Delta u_{m}(t)\right) \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t) d x d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\Delta u_{m}(s)-\Delta u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} d s-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\Delta u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} d s  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(g \odot \Delta u_{m}\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \odot \Delta u_{m}\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\left\|\Delta u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} d s \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} g(t)\left\|\Delta u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\right. & \left.\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\left\|\Delta u_{m}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(g \odot \Delta u_{m}\right)(t)-\frac{\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{p+2}^{p+2}}{p+2}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \odot \Delta u_{m}\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\left\|\Delta u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

integrating (3.7) over ( $0, t$ ) and using assumption (A3) we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Delta u_{m}\right\|^{2}+\left(g \odot \Delta u_{m}\right)(t)-\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{p+2}^{p+2} \leq C_{1} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a positive constant depending only on $\left\|u_{0}\right\|,\left\|u_{1}\right\|, p$, and $l$. It follows from (3.8) that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\left\{u_{m}\right\} \text { is uniformly bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
\left\{u_{m}^{\prime}\right\} \text { is uniformly bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Second estimation. Differentiating (3.3) with respect to $t$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t), \omega_{k}\right)+\left(\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t), \Delta \omega_{k}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)\left(\Delta u_{m}(s), \Delta \omega_{k}\right) d s  \tag{3.10}\\
& \quad-g(0)\left(\Delta u_{m}(t), \Delta \omega_{k}\right)-(p+1)\left(\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{p} u_{m}^{\prime}(t), \omega_{k}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

If we substitute $u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ instead of $\omega_{k}$ in (3.10), it holds that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)\left(\Delta u_{m}(s), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) d s \\
+\int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime \prime}(t-s)\left(\Delta u_{m}(s), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) d s+g^{\prime}(0)\left(\Delta u_{m}(t), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
\quad-g(0) \frac{d}{d t}\left(\Delta u_{m}(t), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)+g(0)\left(\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
-(p+1)\left(\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{p} u_{m}^{\prime}(t), u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $H^{2}(\Omega) \boxtimes L^{2 p+2}(\Omega)$, using Lemma 2, Hölder and Young's inequalities and (3.8)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|(p+1)\left(\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{p} u_{m}^{\prime}(t), u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq  \tag{3.12}\\
\leq C+1)\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{2 p+2}^{p} \cdot\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2 p+2} \cdot\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|_{2} \\
\leq C(\gamma)\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining the relations (3.11), (3.12) and integrating over ( $0, t$ ) for all $t \in[0, T]$ with arbitrary fixed $T$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)\left(\Delta u_{m}(s), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) d s \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(0)\right\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} g^{\prime \prime}(\tau-s)\left(\Delta u_{m}(s), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d s d \tau \\
& -g^{\prime}(0) \int_{0}^{t}\left(\Delta u_{m}(s), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right)+g(0)\left(\Delta u_{m}(t), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)  \tag{3.13}\\
& -g(0)\left(\Delta u_{m}(0), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(0)\right)-g(0) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \\
& +C(\gamma) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+\gamma \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.4) and (3.8), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(0)\right\|^{2}-g(0)\left(\Delta u_{m}(0), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(0)\right)\right| \leq L_{2} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{2}$ is a positive constant independent of $m$. In the following, we find the upper bound for $\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right\|^{2}$. Again we substitute $u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ instead of $\omega_{k}$ in (3.3), and choosing $t=$ 0 , we arrive at

$$
\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0), u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)+\left(\Delta u_{m}(0), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)-\left(\left|u_{m}(0)\right|^{p} u_{m}(0), u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)=0
$$

which combined with the Green's formula imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right\|^{2}+\left(\Delta^{2} u_{m}(0), u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)-\left(\left|u_{m}(0)\right|^{p} u_{m}(0), u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (A1), (3.4) and Young's inequality, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right\| \leq L_{3} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{3}>0$ is a constant independent of $m$.

Owing to (3.8), (3.5) and Young's inequality with (A3), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mid \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)\left(\Delta u_{m}(s), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) d s\right)\left|=\left|\left(\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t), \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s) \Delta u_{m}(s) d s\right)\right|\right. \\
& \quad \leq \gamma\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s) \Delta u_{m}(s) d s\right)^{2} d x  \tag{3.17}\\
& \quad \leq \gamma\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{L_{0}^{2}}{4 \gamma} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta u_{m}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \\
& \leq \gamma\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+L_{4}(T),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left|-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} g^{\prime \prime}(\tau-s)\left(\Delta u_{m}(s), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d s d \tau\right|
$$

$$
=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(\tau), \int_{0}^{\tau} g^{\prime \prime}(\tau-s) \Delta u_{m}(s) d s\right) d \tau
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{\tau} g^{\prime \prime}(\tau-s) \Delta u_{m}(s) d s\right)^{2} d x d \tau \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+\frac{T L_{1}^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta u_{m}(s)\right\|^{2} d s
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+L_{5}(T)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|-g^{\prime}(0) \int_{0}^{t}\left(\Delta u_{m}(s), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right| \leq L_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+L_{6}(T) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g(0)\left(\Delta u_{m}(t), \Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq \gamma\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+L_{7}(\gamma) . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we choose $\gamma>0$ small enough and combining (A3), (3.8), (3.13), (3.14), and (3.16)-(3.20), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \leq L_{8}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s\right)+L_{9} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Gronwall's lemma we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \leq L_{10} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$, and $L_{10}$ is a positive constant independent of $m$. Estimate (3.22) implies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\{u_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right\} \text { is uniformly bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{3.23}\\
\left\{u_{m}^{\prime}\right\} \text { is uniformly bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

By attention to (3.9) and (3.23), there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ and a function $u$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{i} \rightharpoonup u \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{3.24}\\
u_{i}^{\prime} \rightharpoonup u^{\prime} \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right) \\
u_{i}^{\prime \prime} \rightharpoonup u^{\prime \prime} \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Aubin-Lions compactness lemma [18], it follows from (3.24) that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{i} \rightarrow u \text { strongly in } C\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{3.25}\\
\left.u_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow u \text { strongly in } C([0, T]) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the sequel we will deal with the nonlinear term. By (3.9) and Sobolev embedding theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left|u_{m}\right|^{p} u_{m}\right\} \text { is uniformly bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore we can extract a subsequence $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{i}\right|^{p} u_{i} \rightharpoonup|u|^{p} u \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.24), (3.27) and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.3), we see that $u$ satisfies the equation. For the initial conditions by using (3.4), (3.25) and the simple inequality

$$
\left\|u-u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)} \leq\left\|u-u_{i}\right\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|u_{i}-u_{i}(0)\right\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|u_{i}(0)-u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)^{\prime}}
$$

we get the first initial condition immediately. In the similar way, we can show the second initial condition and the proof is complete.

## 4 Blow-up of solutions

In this section, we study blow-up property of solutions with non-positive initial energy as well as positive initial energy, and estimate the lifespan of solutions. For this purpose, we assume that $g$ is positive and $C^{1}$ function satisfying
(A4)

$$
g(0)>0, \quad g^{\prime}(s) \leq 0, \quad 1-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s=l>0
$$

and we make the following extra assumption on $g$ (A5)

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s<\frac{p}{1+p}
$$

From (2.1), (A4) and Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(t) \geq & \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\|\Delta u\|^{2}+(g \odot \Delta u)(t)\right]-\frac{1}{p+2}\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2} \\
& \left.\geq \frac{1}{2}\left[l\|\Delta u\|^{2}+g \odot \Delta u\right)(t)\right]-\frac{C_{1}^{p+2} l^{\frac{p+2}{2}}}{p+2}\|\Delta u\|^{p+2} \\
& \geq G\left(\sqrt{l\|\Delta u\|^{2}+(g \odot \Delta u)(t)}\right), \quad t \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G(\lambda)=\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2}-\frac{C_{1}^{p+2}}{p+2} \lambda^{p+2}, \quad C_{1}=\frac{C_{*}}{\sqrt{l}}$. It is easy to verify that $G(\lambda)$ has a maximum at $\lambda_{1}=C_{1}^{-\frac{p+2}{p}}$ and the maximum value is $E_{1}=\frac{p}{2 p+4} C_{1}^{-\frac{2 p+4}{p}}$.

Lemma 4 Let (A4) hold andu be a local solution of (1.1). Then $E(t)$ is a non-increasing function on $[0, T]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \odot \Delta u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\Delta u\|^{2} \leq 0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $t \in[0, T]$.
Proof Multiplying (1.1) by $u_{t}$, integrating over $\Omega$, and finally integrating by parts, we obtain (4.2) for any regular solution. Then by density arguments, we have the result.

Lemma 5 Let (A4) hold and $u$ be a local solution of (1.1) with initial data satisfying $E(0)<E_{1}$ and $l^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|>\lambda_{1}$. Then there exists $\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\|\Delta u\|^{2}+(g \odot \Delta u)(t) \geq \lambda_{2}^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof See Li and Tsai [11].
The choice of the functional is standard (see [19])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t)=\|u\|^{2} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(t)=2\left(u, u_{t}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (1.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)=2\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-2\|\Delta u\|^{2}+2\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2}+2 \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\Delta u(t), \Delta u(s)) d s \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6 Let $u$ be a solution of (1.1) and (A4), (A5) hold, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-(4+p) \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x \geq m\left(l\|\Delta u\|^{2}+(g \odot \Delta u)(t)\right)-(4+2 p) E(0) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=(1+p)-\frac{1}{l}>0$.
Proof Using the Hölder and Young's inequalities, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\Delta u(t), \Delta u(s)) d s & \geq-\left[\frac{1}{2}(g \odot \Delta u)(t)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\|\Delta u\|^{2}\right] \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\|\Delta u\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore (4.6) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-(4+p)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} & \geq-(2+p)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}-2\|\Delta u\|^{2}-(g \odot \Delta u)(t) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\|\Delta u\|^{2}+2\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using (4.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-(4+p)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} \geq-(4+2 p) E(0)+p\|\Delta u\|^{2}+(1+p)(g \odot \Delta u)(t) \\
&-(1+p) \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\|\Delta u\|^{2}-(2+p) \int_{0}^{t}\left(g^{\prime} \odot \Delta u\right)(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and so by (2.5) and (A5), we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-(4+p)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} \geq- & (4+2 p) E(0)+(p-(1+p)(1-l))\|\Delta u\|^{2} \\
+ & (1+p)(g \odot \Delta u)(t), \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

if we set $m:=(1+p)-\frac{1}{l}$ then inequality (4.8) yields the desired result.
Consequently, we have the following result.
Lemma 7 Assume that (A4) and (A5) hold. u be a local solution of (1.1) and that either one of the following four conditions is satisfied:
(i) $E(0)<0$
(ii) $E(0)=0$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)>0$
(iii) $0<E(0)<\frac{m}{p} E_{1 \text { and }} l^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|>\lambda_{1}$
(iv) $\frac{m}{p} E_{1} \leq E(0)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)>r_{2}\left[\psi(0)+\frac{(4+2 p) E(0)}{4+p}\right]$.

Then $\psi^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t>t^{*}$, where
in case (i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{*}=\max \left\{0, \frac{\psi^{\prime}(0)}{(4+2 p) E(0)}\right\}, \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in cases (ii), (iv)

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{*}=0, \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in case (iii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{*}=\max \left\{0, \frac{-\psi^{\prime}(0)}{(4+2 p)\left(\frac{m}{p} E_{1}-E(0)\right)}\right\} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Suppose that condition (i) is satisfied. Then from (4.5), we have

$$
\psi^{\prime}(t) \geq \psi^{\prime}(0)-(4+2 p) E(0) t, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Thus $\psi^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})>0$ for $t>t^{*}$, and it is easy to see that $t^{*}$ satisfies (4.9).
If $E(0)=0$, then by using (4.3) we have $\psi^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0$, and since $\psi^{\prime}(0)>0$ we arrive at

$$
\psi^{\prime}(t)>0, \quad \text { for } \quad t>0
$$

If $0<E(0)<\frac{m}{p} E_{1}$ and $l^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|>\lambda_{1}$ then by Lemma 4, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
m\left(l\|\Delta u\|^{2}+(g \odot \Delta u)(t)\right)-(4 & +2 p) E(0) \geq m \lambda_{2}^{2}-(4+2 p) E(0) \\
& >m \frac{4+2 p}{p} E_{1}-(4+2 p) E(0) \\
= & (4+2 p)\left[\frac{m}{p} E_{1}-E(0)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus from (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq & m\left(l\|\Delta u\|^{2}+(g \odot \Delta u)(t)\right)-(4+2 p) E(0) \\
& >(4+2 p)\left[\frac{m}{p} E_{1}-E(0)\right]>0, \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and integrating (4.12) from 0 to $t$ gives

$$
\psi^{\prime}(t)>0, \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq t^{*}
$$

where $t^{*}$ satisfies (4.11).
Let $\frac{m}{p} E_{1} \leq E(0)$, this assumption causes that

$$
\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-(4+p)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+(4+2 p) E(0) \geq 0
$$

and by using Hölder and Young's inequalities, we get

$$
\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} \geq \psi^{\prime}(t)-\psi(t)
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-(4+p) \psi^{\prime}(t)+(4+p) \psi(t)+(4+2 p) E(0) \geq 0 . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that the hypotheses of Lemma 2 are fulfilled with

$$
\delta=\frac{p}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad B(t)=\psi(t)+\frac{(4+2 p) E(0)}{4+p}
$$

and the conclusion of Lemma 2.2 gives us

$$
\psi^{\prime}(t)>0, \quad \text { for } \quad t>0
$$

Therefore the proof is complete.
To estimate the life-span of $\psi(t)$, we define the following functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(t)=\psi(t)^{-\frac{p}{4}}, \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq 0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y^{\prime}(t)=\frac{p}{4} Y(t)^{1+\frac{4}{p}} \psi^{\prime}(t)  \tag{4.15}\\
& Y^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\frac{p}{4} Y(t)^{1+\frac{8}{p}}\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(t) \psi(t)-\left(1+\frac{p}{4}\right)\left(\psi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.4)-(4.6) and exploiting Holder's inequality on $\psi^{\prime}(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi^{\prime \prime}(t) \psi(t)-\left(1+\frac{p}{4}\right)\left(\psi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \\
& \quad\left[\left(l\|\Delta u\|^{2}+(g \odot \Delta u)(t)\right)-(4+2 p) E(0)+(4+p)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}\right] \psi(t) \\
& \quad-4\left(1+\frac{p}{4}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} \psi(t) \\
& =\left[\left(l\|\Delta u\|^{2}+(g \odot \Delta u)(t)\right)-(4+2 p) E(0)\right] Y(t)^{\frac{-4}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Utilizing the last inequality into (4.16) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq-\frac{p}{4}\left[\left(l\|\Delta u\|^{2}+(g \odot \Delta u)(t)\right)-(4+2 p) E(0)\right] Y(t)^{1+\frac{4}{p}} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we should assume different values for initial energy $E(0)$.
(1) At first if $E(0) \leq 0$ then from (4.17) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \frac{p}{4}(4+2 p) E(0) Y(t)^{1+\frac{4}{p}}, \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the other hand by Lemma $7, Y^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>t^{*}$. Multiplying (4.18) by $Y^{\prime}(t)$ and integrating from $t^{*}$ to $t$, we deduce that

$$
Y^{\prime}(t)^{2} \geq \alpha+\beta Y(t)^{2+\frac{4}{p}} \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq t^{*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{p^{2}}{16} Y\left(t^{*}\right)^{2+\frac{8}{p}}\left[\psi^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)^{2}-8 E(0) Y\left(t^{*}\right)^{-\frac{4}{p}}\right]>0, \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{p^{2}}{2} E(0) . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the hypotheses of Lemma 3 are fulfilled with $\delta=\frac{p}{4}, t_{0}=t^{*}$ and using the conclusion of Lemma 3, there exists a finite time $T^{*}$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*-}} Y(t)=0$, i.e., in this case some solutions blow up in finite time $T^{*}$.
(2) If $0<E(0)<\frac{m}{p} E_{1}$, then from (4.17) and (4.12) we have

$$
Y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq-\frac{p}{4}(4+2 p)\left[\frac{m}{p} E_{1}-E(0)\right] Y(t)^{1+\frac{4}{p}}
$$

Then using the same arguments as in (1), we get

$$
Y^{\prime}(t)^{2} \geq \alpha_{1}+\beta_{1} Y(t)^{2+\frac{4}{p}} \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq t^{*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{p^{2}}{16} Y\left(t^{*}\right)^{2+\frac{8}{p}}\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)^{2}+8\left[\frac{m}{p} E_{1}-E(0)\right] Y\left(t^{*}\right)^{-\frac{4}{p}}\right)>0, \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}=\frac{p^{2}}{2}\left[E(0)-\frac{m}{p} E_{1}\right] . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by Lemma 3, there exists a finite time $T^{*}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T *-} \psi(t)=\infty
$$

(3) $\frac{m}{p} E_{1} \leq E(0)$. In this case, it is easy to see that by using (4.19) and (4.20) into discussion in part (1), we obtain

$$
\alpha>0 \quad \text { if and only if } \quad E(0)<\frac{\psi^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)^{2}}{8 \psi\left(t^{*}\right)} .
$$

Hence, Lemma 3 yields the blow-up property in this case.
Therefore, we proved the following theorem.
Theorem 2 Assume that (A4) and (A5) hold. $u$ be a local solution of (1.1) and that either one of the following four conditions is satisfied:
(i) $E(0)<0$
(ii) $E(0)=0$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)>0$
(iii) $0<E(0)<\frac{m}{p} E_{1 \text { and }} l^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|>\lambda_{1}$
(iv) $\frac{m}{p} E_{1} \leq E(0)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)>r_{2}\left[\psi(0)+\frac{(4+2 p) E(0)}{4+p}\right]$ holds.

Then the solution $u$ blows up at finite time $T^{*}$. Moreover, the upper bounds for $T^{*}$ can be estimated according to the sign of $E(0)$ :
in case (i)

$$
T^{*} \leq t^{*}-\frac{Y\left(t^{*}\right)}{Y^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)}
$$

Furthermore, if $Y\left(t^{*}\right)<\min \left\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{-\beta}}\right\}$, then

$$
T^{*} \leq t^{*}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\beta}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{-\beta}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{-\beta}}-Y\left(t^{*}\right)}
$$

in cases (ii)

$$
T^{*} \leq t^{*}-\frac{Y\left(t^{*}\right)}{Y^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)} \text { or } T^{*} \leq t^{*}+\frac{Y\left(t^{*}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha}}
$$

in case (iii)

$$
T^{*} \leq t^{*}-\frac{Y\left(t^{*}\right)}{Y^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)}
$$

Furthermore, if $Y\left(t^{*}\right)<\min \left\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{-\beta}}\right\}$, then

$$
T^{*} \leq t^{*}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\beta_{1}}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{-\beta_{1}}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{-\beta_{1}}}-Y\left(t^{*}\right)}
$$

and in case (iv)

$$
T^{*} \leq \frac{Y\left(t^{*}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \text { or } T^{*} \leq t^{*}+2^{\frac{3 p+4}{2 p}} \frac{p c}{4 \sqrt{\alpha}}\left[1-\left(1+c Y\left(t^{*}\right)\right)^{\frac{-2}{p}}\right]
$$

where $d=\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+8}}$. Here $\alpha, \beta, \alpha_{1}$, and $\beta_{1}$ are given in (4.19)-(4.22), respectively. Note

## that each $t^{*}$ in the above cases satisfy the same case in Lemma 7.
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