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#### Abstract

Sometimes information in a network model is based on multi-agent, multi-attribute, multi-object, multi-polar information or uncertainty rather than a single bit. An $m$-polar fuzzy model is useful for such network models which gives more and more precision, flexibility, and comparability to the system as compared to the classical, fuzzy and bipolar fuzzy models. In this research article, we introduce the notion of $m$-polar fuzzy graph structure and present various operations, including Cartesian product, strong product, cross product, lexicographic product, composition, union and join of $m$-polar fuzzy graph structures. We illustrate these operations by several examples. We also investigate some of their related properties.
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## Background

Graph theory have applications in many areas of computer science including data mining, image segmentation, clustering, image capturing, networking. A graph structure, introduced by Sampathkumar (2006), is a generalization of undirected graph which is quite useful in studying some structures including graphs, signed graphs, graphs in which every edge is labeled or colored. A graph structure helps to study the various relations and the corresponding edges simultaneously.
A fuzzy set (Zadeh 1965) is an important mathematical structure to represent a collection of objects whose boundary is vague. Fuzzy models are becoming useful because of their aim in reducing the differences between the traditional models used in engineering and science. Nowadays fuzzy sets are playing a substantial role in chemistry, economics, computer science, engineering, medicine and decision making problems. In 1998, Zhang (1998) generalized the idea of a fuzzy set and gave the concept of bipolar fuzzy set on a given set $X$ as a map which associates each element of $X$ to a real number in the interval $[-1,1]$. In 2014, Chen et al. (2014) introduced the idea of $m$-polar fuzzy sets as an extension of bipolar fuzzy sets and showed that bipolar fuzzy sets and 2-polar fuzzy sets are cryptomorphic mathematical notions and that we can obtain concisely one from the corresponding one in Chen et al. (2014). The idea behind this is that "multipolar information" (not just bipolar information which corresponds to two-valued logic) exists because data for a real world problem are sometimes from $n$ agents ( $n \geq 2$ ). For example, the exact degree of telecommunication safety of mankind is a point in $[0,1]^{n}\left(n \approx 7 \times 10^{9}\right)$ because different person has been monitored
different times. There are many examples such as truth degrees of a logic formula which are based on $n$ logic implication operators ( $n \geq 2$ ), similarity degrees of two logic formula which are based on $n$ logic implication operators ( $n \geq 2$ ), ordering results of a magazine, ordering results of a university and inclusion degrees (accuracy measures, rough measures, approximation qualities, fuzziness measures, and decision preformation evaluations) of a rough set.
Kauffman (1973) gave the definition of a fuzzy graph in 1973 on the basis of Zadeh's fuzzy relations (Zadeh 1971). Rosenfeld (1975) discussed the idea of fuzzy graph in 1975. Further remarks on fuzzy graphs were given by Bhattacharya (1987). Several concepts on fuzzy graphs were introduced by Mordeson and Nair (2001). Akram et al. has discussed and introduced bipolar fuzzy graphs, regular bipolar fuzzy graphs, properties of bipolar fuzzy hypergraphs, bipolar fuzzy graph structures and bipolar fuzzy competition graphs in Akram (2011, (2013), Akram and Dudek (2012), Akram et al. (2013), Akram and Akmal (2016) and Al-Shehrie and Akram (2015). In 2015, Akram and Younas studied certain types of irregular m-polar fuzzy graphs in Akram and Younas (2016). Akram and Adeel studied m-polar fuzzy line graphs in Akram and Adeel (2016). Akram and Waseem introduced certain metrics in m-polar fuzzy graphs in Akram and Waseem (2016). Dinesh (2014) introduced the notion of a fuzzy graph structure and discussed some related properties. Akram and Akmal (2016) introduced the concept of bipolar fuzzy graph structures. In this research article, we introduce the notion of $m$-polar fuzzy graph structure and present various operations, including Cartesian product, strong product, cross product, lexicographic product, composition, union and join of $m$-polar fuzzy graph structures. We illustrate these operations by several examples. We also investigate some of their related properties. We have used standard definitions and terminologies in this paper. For other notations, terminologies and applications not mentioned in the paper, the readers are referred to Dinesh and Ramakrishnan (2011), Lee (2000) and Zhang (1994).

## Preliminaries

In this section, we review some basic concepts that are necessary for fully benefit of this paper.
In 1965, Zadeh (1965) introduced the notion of a fuzzy set as follows.

Definition 1 (Zadeh 1965, 1971) A fuzzy set $\mu$ in a universe $X$ is a mapping $\mu: X \rightarrow[0,1]$. A fuzzy relation on $X$ is a fuzzy set $v$ in $X \times X$. Let $\mu$ be a fuzzy set in $X$ and $v$ fuzzy relation on $X$. We call $v$ is a fuzzy relation on $\mu$ if $v(x, y) \leq$ $\min \{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \forall x, y \in X$.

Recently, Akram and Akmal (2016) applied the concept of bipolar fuzzy sets to graph structures.

Definition 2 (Akram and Akmal 2016) $\check{G}_{b}=\left(M, N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)$ is called a bipolar fuzzy graph structure(BFGS) of a graph structure (GS) $G^{*}=\left(U, E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{n}\right)$ if $M=\left(\mu_{M}^{P}, \mu_{M}^{N}\right)$ is a bipolar fuzzy set on $U$ and for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n, N_{i}=\left(\mu_{N_{i}}^{P}, \mu_{N_{i}}^{N}\right)$ is a bipolar fuzzy set on $E_{i}$ such that

$$
\mu_{N_{i}}^{P}(x y) \leq \mu_{M}^{P}(x) \wedge \mu_{M}^{P}(y), \quad \mu_{N_{i}}^{N}(x y) \geq \mu_{M}^{N}(x) \vee \mu_{M}^{N}(y) \quad \forall x y \in E_{i} \subset U \times U
$$

Note that $\mu_{N_{i}}^{P}(x y)=0=\mu_{N_{i}}^{N}(x y)$ for all $x y \in U \times U-E_{i}$ and $0<\mu_{N_{i}}^{P}(x y) \leq 1$, $-1 \leq \mu_{N_{i}}^{N}(x y)<0 \forall x y \in E_{i}$, where $U$ and $E_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ are called underlying vertex set and underlying i-edge sets of $\check{G}_{b}$, respectively.

Definition 3 (Akram and Akmal 2016) Let $\check{G}_{b}=\left(M, N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)$ be a BFGS of a $G S G^{*}=\left(U, E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{n}\right)$. Let $\phi$ be any permutation on the set $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{n}\right\}$ and the corresponding permutation on $\left\{N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}\right\}$, i.e., $\phi\left(N_{i}\right)=N_{j}$ if and only if $\phi\left(E_{i}\right)=E_{j} \forall i$.

If $x y \in N_{r}$ for some $r$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{N_{i}^{\phi}}^{P}(x y)=\mu_{M}^{P}(x) \wedge \mu_{M}^{P}(y)-\bigvee_{j \neq i} \mu_{\phi N_{j}}^{P}(x y), \\
& \mu_{N_{i}^{\phi}}^{N}(x y)=\mu_{M}^{N}(x) \vee \mu_{M}^{N}(y)-\bigwedge_{j \neq i} \mu_{\phi N_{j}}^{N}(x y), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n,
\end{aligned}
$$

then $x y \in B_{m}^{\phi}$, while $m$ is chosen such that $\mu_{N_{m}^{\phi}}^{P}(x y) \geq \mu_{N_{i}^{\phi}}^{P}(x y)$ and $\mu_{N_{m}^{\phi}}^{N}(x y) \leq \mu_{N_{i}^{\phi}}^{N}(x y) \forall i$.
And BFGS $\left(M, N_{1}^{\phi}, N_{2}^{\phi}, \ldots, N_{n}^{\phi}\right)$ denoted by $\check{G}_{b}^{\phi c}$, is called the $\phi$-complement of BFGS $\check{G}_{b}$.
Chen et al. (2014) introduced the notion of m-polar fuzzy set as a generalization of a bipolar fuzzy set.

Definition 4 (Chen et al. 2014) An m-polar fuzzy set (or a $[0,1]^{m}$-set) on $X$ is exactly a mapping $A: X \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$.

Note that $[0,1]^{m}$ ( $m$ th-power of $[0,1]$ ) is considered as a poset with the pointwise order $\leq$, where $m$ is an arbitrary ordinal number (we make an appointment that $m=\{n \mid n<m\}$ when $m>0), \leq$ is defined by $x \leq y \Leftrightarrow p_{i}(x) \leq p_{i}(y)$ for each $i \in m\left(x, y \in[0,1]^{m}\right)$, and $p_{i}:[0,1]^{m} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is the $i$ th projection mapping $(i \in m)$. $\mathbf{0}=(0,0, \ldots, 0)$ is the smallest element in $[0,1]^{m}$ and $\mathbf{1}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$ is the largest element in $[0,1]^{m}$. Akram and Waseem (2016) defined $m$-polar fuzzy relation as follows.

Definition 5 (Akram and Waseem 2016) Let $C$ be an $m$-polar fuzzy subset of a non-empty set $V$. An m-polar fuzzy relation on $C$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy subset $D$ of $V \times V$ defined by the mapping $D: V \times V \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ such that for all $x, y \in V, p_{i} \circ D(x y) \leq \inf \left\{p_{i} \circ C(x), p_{i} \circ C(y)\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, where $p_{i} \circ C(x)$ denotes the $i$ th degree of membership of the vertex $x$ and $p_{i} \circ D(x y)$ denotes the $i$ th degree of membership of the edge $x y$.

An m-polar fuzzy graph was introduced by Chen et al. (2014) and modified by Akram and Waseem (2016).

Definition 6 (Akram and Waseem 2016), Chen et al. (2014) An m-polar fuzzy graph is a pair $G=(C, D)$, where $C: V \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy set in $V$ and $D: V \times V \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy relation on $V$ such that

$$
p_{i} \circ D(x y) \leq \inf \left\{p_{i} \circ C(x), p_{i} \circ C(y)\right\}
$$

for all $x, y \in V$.
We note that $p_{i} \circ D(x y)=0$ for all $x y \in V \times V-E$ for all $i=1,2,3, \ldots, m . C$ is called the m-polar fuzzy vertex set of $G$ and $D$ is called the $m$-polar fuzzy edge set of $G$, respectively. An $m$-polar fuzzy relation $D$ on $V$ is called symmetric if $p_{i} \circ D(x y)=p_{i} \circ D(y x)$ for all $x, y \in V$.

## m-Polar fuzzy graph structures

We first define the concept of an $m$-polar fuzzy graph structure.

Definition 7 Let $G^{*}=\left(U, E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{n}\right)$ be a graph structure (GS). Let $C$ be an m-polar fuzzy set on $U$ and $D_{i}$ an $m$-polar fuzzy set on $E_{i}$ such that

$$
p_{j} \circ D_{i}(x y) \leq \inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C(x), p_{j} \circ C(y)\right\}
$$

for all $x, y \in U, \quad i \in n, j \in m$ and $p_{j} \circ D_{i}(x y)=0$ for $x y \in U \times U \backslash E_{i}, \forall j$. Then $G_{(m)}=\left(C, D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)$ is called an m-polar fuzzy graph structure ( $m$-PFGS) on $G^{*}$ where $C$ is the m-polar fuzzy vertex set of $G_{(m)}$ and $D_{i}$ is the m-polar fuzzy i-edge set of $G_{(m)}$.
We illustrate the concept of an $m$-polar fuzzy graph structure with an example.

Example 8 Consider a graph structure $G^{*}=\left(U, E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ such that $U=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$, $E_{1}=\left\{a_{1} a_{2}\right\}$ and $E_{2}=\left\{a_{3} a_{2}, a_{2} a_{4}\right\}$. Let $C, D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be 4-polar fuzzy sets on $U, E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, respectively, defined by the following tables:

| $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p_{1} \circ C$ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| $p_{2} \circ C$ | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $p_{3} \circ C$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| $p_{4} \circ C$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |


| $\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{1}} \boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{3}} \boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{4}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p_{1} \circ D_{i}$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $p_{2} \circ D_{i}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $p_{3} \circ D_{i}$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $p_{4} \circ D_{i}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

By simple calculations, it is easy to check that $G_{(m)}=\left(C, D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ is a 4-polar fuzzy graph structure of $G^{*}$ as shown in Fig. 1. Note that we represent $x y \in D_{i}$ as $(x y)_{i}=\left(p_{1} \circ D_{i}(x y), \ldots, p_{m} \circ D_{i}(x y)\right)_{i}$ in all tables and the figures.

Note that operations on $m$-polar fuzzy sets are generalization of operations on bipolar fuzzy sets. We apply the concept of $m$-polar fuzzy sets on some operations of graph structures.


Fig. 1 4-Polar fuzzy graph structure

Definition 9 Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$ be two m-PFGSs. Then the Cartesian product of $G_{(m)}^{1}$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}$ is given by

$$
G_{(m)}^{1} \times G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}, D_{11} \times D_{21}, D_{12} \times D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n} \times D_{2 n}\right)
$$

where the mappings $C_{1} \times C_{2}: U_{1} \times U_{2} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ and $D_{1 i} \times D_{2 i}: E_{1 i} \times E_{2 i} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ (for $i \in n$ ) are respectively defined by

$$
p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), \quad \forall x_{1} x_{2} \in U_{1} \times U_{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \times D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x x_{2}\right)\left(x y_{2}\right)\right)=p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right), \quad \forall x \in U_{1}, x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i}, \\
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \times D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} y\right)\left(y_{1} y\right)\right)=p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right), \quad \forall y \in U_{2}, x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j$ varies from 1 to $m$.
We illustrate Cartesian product of $G_{(m)}^{1}$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}$ with an example.
Example 10 Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C^{\prime}, D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be a 4-PFGS of graph structure $G_{1}^{*}=\left(U^{\prime}, E_{1}^{\prime}, E_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ where $U^{\prime}=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right\}, E_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{b_{1} b_{2}\right\}$ and $E_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{b_{2} b_{3}\right\} . G_{(m)}^{1}$ is drawn and shown in the Fig. 2.

The Cartesian product of $G_{(m)}$ (Fig. 1) and $G_{(m)}^{1}$, given by $G_{(m)} \times G_{(m)}^{1}=$ $\left(C \times C^{\prime}, D_{1} \times D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2} \times D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, is as shown in Fig. 3. In the figure, a $D_{i} \times D_{i}^{\prime}$-edge can be identified by the subscript " $i$ " with the corresponding degrees of memberships of edge.

We now formulate Cartesian product of $G_{(m)}^{1}$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}$ as a proposition.


Fig. 2 4-Polar fuzzy graph structure


Fig. 3 Cartesian product of two 4-PFGSs

Proposition 11 Cartesian product of two m-polar fuzzy graph structures is an m-polar fuzzy graph structure.

Proof Let GS $G^{*}=\left(U_{1} \times U_{2}, E_{11} \times E_{21}, E_{12} \times E_{22}, \ldots, E_{1 n} \times E_{2 n}\right)$ be the Cartesian product of GSs $G_{1}^{*}=\left(U_{1}, E_{11}, E_{12}, \ldots, E_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{2}^{*}=\left(U_{2}, E_{21}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{2 n}\right)$. Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$ be respective $m$-PFGSs of $G_{1}^{*}$ and $G_{2}^{*}$. Then ( $C_{1} \times C_{2}, D_{11} \times D_{21}, D_{12} \times D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n} \times D_{2 n}$ ) is an $m$-PFGS of $G^{*}$.By the Definition 9 of Cartesian product, $C_{1} \times C_{2}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy set of $U_{1} \times U_{2}$ and $D_{1 i} \times D_{2 i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy set of $E_{1 i} \times E_{2 i}$ for all $i$. So the remaining task is to prove that $D_{1 i} \times D_{2 i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{1} \times C_{2}$ for all $i$. For this, some cases are discussed, as follows:

Case 1. When $x \in U_{1}$ and $x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \times D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x x_{2}\right)\left(x y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& \leq p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right)\left(x x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right)\left(x y_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. When $y \in U_{2}, x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \times D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} y\right)\left(y_{1} y\right)\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \\
& \leq p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} y\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Both cases hold for every $i \in n$. This completes the proof.
We define cross product of $G_{(m)}^{1}$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}$ by an example.
Definition 12 Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$ be two $m$-PFGSs. Then the cross product of $G_{(m)}^{1}$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}$ is given by

$$
G_{(m)}^{1} * G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{1} * C_{2}, D_{11} * D_{21}, D_{12} * D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n} * D_{2 n}\right)
$$

where the mappings $C_{1} * C_{2}: U_{1} * U_{2} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ and $D_{1 i} * D_{2 i}: E_{1 i} * E_{2 i} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ (for $i \in n$ ) are respectively defined by

$$
p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} * C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), \quad \forall x_{1} x_{2} \in U_{1} * U_{2}=U_{1} \times U_{2}
$$

and

$$
p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} * D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right)=p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right), \quad \forall x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}, x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i},
$$

where $j$ varies from 1 to $m$.
We explain the concept of cross product of two m-polar fuzzy graph structures with an example.

Example 13 Consider the 4-PFGSs $G_{(m)}$ and $G_{(m)}^{1}$ shown in the Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. The cross product of $G_{(m)}$ and $G_{(m)}^{1}$, given by $G_{(m)} * G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C * C^{\prime}, D_{1} * D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2} * D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, is as shown in Fig. 4. In the figure, a $D_{i} * D_{i}^{\prime}$-edge can be identified by the subscript " $i$ " with the corresponding degrees of memberships of edge.

We formulate cross product of two m-polar fuzzy graph structures as a proposition.

Proposition 14 Cross product of two m-polar fuzzy graph structures is an m-polar fuzzy graph structure.

Proof Let GS $G^{*}=\left(U_{1} * U_{2}, E_{11} * E_{21}, E_{12} * E_{22}, \ldots, E_{1 n} * E_{2 n}\right)$ be the cross product of GSs $G_{1}^{*}=\left(U_{1}, E_{11}, E_{12}, \ldots, E_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{2}^{*}=\left(U_{2}, E_{21}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{2 n}\right)$. If $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right) \quad$ and $\quad G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$ are respective $m$-PFGSs of $G_{1}^{*}$ and $G_{2}^{*}$ then $\left(C_{1} * C_{2}, D_{11} * D_{21}, D_{12} * D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n} * D_{2 n}\right)$ is an $m$-PFGS of $G^{*}$. By the Definition 12 of cross product, $C_{1} * C_{2}$ and $D_{1 i} * D_{2 i}$ are $m$-polar fuzzy sets of $U_{1} * U_{2}$ and $E_{1 i} * E_{2 i}$, respectively, for all $i$. So remaining task is to prove that $D_{1 i} * D_{2 i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{1} * C_{2}$ for all $i$. For this, proceed as follows:


Fig. 4 Cross product of two 4-PFGSs

If $x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}$ and $x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} * D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right\}\right] \wedge\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} * C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} * C_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

This holds for every $i \in n$. Hence $D_{1 i} * D_{2 i}$ is an m-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{1} * C_{2}$, for all $i$, which completes the proof.

We now define lexicographic product of $m$-polar fuzzy graph structures.

Definition 15 Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$ be two m-PFGSs. Then the lexicographic product of $G_{(m)}^{1}$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}$ is given by

$$
G_{(m)}^{1} \bullet G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{1} \bullet C_{2}, D_{11} \bullet D_{21}, D_{12} \bullet D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n} \bullet D_{2 n}\right)
$$

where the mappings $C_{1} \bullet C_{2}: U_{1} \bullet U_{2} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ and $D_{1 i} \bullet D_{2 i}: E_{1 i} \bullet E_{2 i} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ (for $i \in n$ ) are respectively defined by

$$
p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \bullet C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), \quad \forall x_{1} x_{2} \in U_{1} \bullet U_{2}=U_{1} \times U_{2}
$$

and
$p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \bullet D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x x_{2}\right)\left(x y_{2}\right)\right)=p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right), \forall x \in U_{1}, x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i}$,
$p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \bullet D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right)=p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right), \quad \forall x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}, x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i}$,
where $j$ varies from 1 to $m$.
We explain the concept of lexicographic product of $m$-polar fuzzy graph structures by the following example.

Example 16 Consider the 4-PFGSs $G_{(m)}$ and $G_{(m)}^{1}$ shown in the Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. The lexicographic product of $G_{(m)}$ and $G_{(m)}^{1}$, given by $G_{(m)} \bullet G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C \bullet C^{\prime}, D_{1} \bullet D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2} \bullet D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, is as shown in Fig. 5. In the figure, a $D_{i} \bullet D_{i}^{\prime}$-edge can be identified by the subscript " $i$ " with the corresponding degrees of memberships of edge.

We formulate Lexicographic product of two m-polar fuzzy graph structures as a proposition.

Proposition 17 Lexicographic product of two m-polar fuzzy graph structures is an m-polar fuzzy graph structure.

Proof Let GS $G^{*}=\left(U_{1} \bullet U_{2}, E_{11} \bullet E_{21}, E_{12} \bullet E_{22}, \ldots, E_{1 n} \bullet E_{2 n}\right)$ be the lexicographic product of GSs $G_{1}^{*}=\left(U_{1}, E_{11}, E_{12}, \ldots, E_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{2}^{*}=\left(U_{2}, E_{21}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{2 n}\right)$. If $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$ are respective $m$-PFGSs of $G_{1}^{*}$ and $G_{2}^{*}$ then $\left(C_{1} \bullet C_{2}, D_{11} \bullet D_{21}, D_{12} \bullet D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n} \bullet D_{2 n}\right)$ is an $m$-PFGS of $G^{*}$. By the Definition 15 of lexicographic product, $C_{1} \bullet C_{2}$ and $D_{1 i} \bullet D_{2 i}$ are m-polar fuzzy sets of $U_{1} \bullet U_{2}$ and $E_{1 i} \bullet E_{2 i}$, respectively, for all $i$. Now, remaining task is to prove that $D_{1 i} \bullet D_{2 i}$ is an m-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{1} \bullet C_{2}$ for all $i$. For this, we discuss two cases as follows:

Case 1. When $x \in U_{1}$ and $x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \bullet D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x x_{2}\right)\left(x y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& \leq p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \bullet C_{2}\right)\left(x x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \bullet C_{2}\right)\left(x y_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 5 Lexicographic product of two 4-PFGSs

Case 2. When $x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}$ and $x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \bullet D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right\}\right] \wedge\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \bullet C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \bullet C_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

This holds for every $i \in n$. Hence $D_{1 i} \bullet D_{2 i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{1} \bullet C_{2}$, for all $i$, which completes the proof.

We now give definition of strong product of $m$-polar fuzzy graph structures.

Definition 18 Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$ be two $m$-PFGSs. Then the strong product of $G_{(m)}^{1}$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}$ is given by

$$
G_{(m)}^{1} \boxtimes G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{1} \boxtimes C_{2}, D_{11} \boxtimes D_{21}, D_{12} \boxtimes D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n} \boxtimes D_{2 n}\right)
$$

where the mappings $C_{1} \boxtimes C_{2}: U_{1} \boxtimes U_{2} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ and $D_{1 i} \boxtimes D_{2 i}: E_{1 i} \boxtimes E_{2 i} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ (for $i \in n$ ) are respectively defined by

$$
p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \boxtimes C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), \quad \forall x_{1} x_{2} \in U_{1} \boxtimes U_{2}=U_{1} \times U_{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \boxtimes D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x x_{2}\right)\left(x y_{2}\right)\right)=p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right), \quad \forall x \in U_{1}, x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i}, \\
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \boxtimes D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} y\right)\left(y_{1} y\right)\right)=p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right), \quad \forall y \in U_{2}, x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}, \\
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \boxtimes D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right)=p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right), \quad \forall x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}, x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j$ varies from 1 to $m$.
We illustrate the idea of strong product of $m$-polar fuzzy graph structures by the following example.

Example 19 Consider the 4-PFGSs $G_{(m)}$ and $G_{(m)}^{1}$ shown in the Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. The strong product of $G_{(m)}$ and $G_{(m)}^{1}$, given by $G_{(m)} \boxtimes G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C \boxtimes C^{\prime}, D_{1} \boxtimes D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2} \boxtimes D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, is as shown in Fig. 6. In the figure, a $D_{i} \boxtimes D_{i}^{\prime}$-edge can be identified by the subscript " $i$ " with the corresponding degrees of memberships of edge.

We formulate strong product of $G_{(m)}^{1}$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}$ as a proposition.

Proposition 20 Strong product of two m-polar fuzzy graph structures is an m-polar fuzzy graph structure.

Proof Let GS $G^{*}=\left(U_{1} \boxtimes U_{2}, E_{11} \boxtimes E_{21}, E_{12} \boxtimes E_{22}, \ldots, E_{1 n} \boxtimes E_{2 n}\right)$ be the strong product of GSs $G_{1}^{*}=\left(U_{1}, E_{11}, E_{12}, \ldots, E_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{2}^{*}=\left(U_{2}, E_{21}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{2 n}\right)$. Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right) \quad$ and $\quad G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$ be respective $m$-PFGSs of $G_{1}^{*}$ and $G_{2}^{*}$. Then $\left(C_{1} \boxtimes C_{2}, D_{11} \boxtimes D_{21}, D_{12} \boxtimes D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n} \boxtimes D_{2 n}\right)$ is an $m$-PFGS of $G^{*}$. By Definition 18 of strong product, $C_{1} \boxtimes C_{2}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy set of $U_{1} \boxtimes U_{2}$ and $D_{1 i} \boxtimes D_{2 i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy set of $E_{1 i} \boxtimes E_{2 i}$ for all $i$. So the remaining task
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is to prove that $D_{1 i} \boxtimes D_{2 i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{1} \boxtimes C_{2}$ for all $i$. For this, some cases are discussed, as follows:

Case 1. When $x \in U_{1}$ and $x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} & \circ\left(D_{1 i} \boxtimes D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x x_{2}\right)\left(x y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& \leq p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \boxtimes C_{2}\right)\left(x x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \boxtimes C_{2}\right)\left(x y_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. When $y \in U_{2}, x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} & \circ\left(D_{1 i} \boxtimes D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} y\right)\left(y_{1} y\right)\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \\
& \leq p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \boxtimes C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} y\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \boxtimes C_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3. When $x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}$ and $x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} \circ & \left(D_{1 i} \boxtimes D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right\}\right] \wedge\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \boxtimes C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \boxtimes C_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

All three cases hold for every $i \in n$. This completes the proof.

We define the notion of composition of two m-polar fuzzy graph structures.

Definition 21 Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$ be two $m$-PFGSs. Then composition of $G_{(m)}^{1}$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}$ is given by

$$
G_{(m)}^{1} \circ G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{1} \circ C_{2}, D_{11} \circ D_{21}, D_{12} \circ D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n} \circ D_{2 n}\right)
$$

where the mappings $C_{1} \circ C_{2}: U_{1} \circ U_{2} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ and $D_{1 i} \circ D_{2 i}: E_{1 i} \circ E_{2 i} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ (for $i \in n$ ) are respectively defined by

$$
p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \circ C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), \quad \forall x_{1} x_{2} \in U_{1} \circ U_{2}=U_{1} \times U_{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \circ D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x x_{2}\right)\left(x y_{2}\right)\right)=p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right), \quad \forall x \in U_{1}, x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i}, \\
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \circ D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} y\right)\left(y_{1} y\right)\right)=p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right), \quad \forall y \in U_{2}, x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}, \\
& p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \circ D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right)=p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right), \\
& \quad \forall x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}, x_{2}, y_{2} \in U_{2}, \text { such that } x_{2} \neq y_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j$ varies from 1 to $m$.
We discuss the notion of composition of two m-polar fuzzy graph structures by the following example.

Example 22 Consider the 4-PFGSs $G_{(m)}$ and $G_{(m)}^{1}$ shown in the Fig. 1 and The composition of $G_{(m)}$ and $G_{(m)}^{1}$, given by $G_{(m)} \circ G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C \circ C^{\prime}, D_{1} \circ D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2} \circ D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, is as shown in Fig. 7. In the figure, a $D_{i} \circ D_{i}^{\prime}$-edge can be identified by the subscript " $i$ " with the corresponding degrees of memberships of edge.
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We present composition of two $m$-polar fuzzy graph structures as a propostion.

## Proposition 23 Composition of two m-polar fuzzy graph structures is an m-polar fuzzy

 graph structure.Proof Let GS $G^{*}=\left(U_{1} \circ U_{2}, E_{11} \circ E_{21}, E_{12} \circ E_{22}, \ldots, E_{1 n} \circ E_{2 n}\right)$ be the composition of GSs $G_{1}^{*}=\left(U_{1}, E_{11}, E_{12}, \ldots, E_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{2}^{*}=\left(U_{2}, E_{21}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{2 n}\right)$. Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right) \quad$ and $\quad G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right) \quad$ be respective $m$-PFGSs of $G_{1}^{*}$ and $G_{2}^{*}$. Then $\left(C_{1} \circ C_{2}, D_{11} \circ D_{21}, D_{12} \circ D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n} \circ D_{2 n}\right)$ is an $m$-PFGS of $G^{*}$. By Definition 21 of composition, $C_{1} \circ C_{2}$ is an m-polar fuzzy set of $U_{1} \circ U_{2}$ and $D_{1 i} \circ D_{2 i}$ is an m-polar fuzzy set of $E_{1 i} \circ E_{2 i}$ for all $i$. Therefore the remaining task is to show that $D_{1 i} \circ D_{2 i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{1} \circ C_{2}$ for all $i$. For this, consider the following cases:

Case 1. When $x \in U_{1}$ and $x_{2} y_{2} \in E_{2 i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} & \circ\left(D_{1 i} \circ D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x x_{2}\right)\left(x y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& \leq p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \circ C_{2}\right)\left(x x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \circ C_{2}\right)\left(x y_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. When $y \in U_{2}, x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} & \circ\left(D_{1 i} \circ D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} y\right)\left(y_{1} y\right)\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \\
& \leq p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}(y)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \circ C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} y\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \circ C_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3. When $x_{1} y_{1} \in E_{1 i}$ and $x_{2}, y_{2} \in U_{2}$, such that $x_{2} \neq y_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} \circ & \left(D_{1 i} \circ D_{2 i}\right)\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
\leq & {\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right\}\right] \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) } \\
= & \inf \left\{\left[p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right],\right. \\
& {\left.\left[p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right]\right\} } \\
\leq & \inf \left\{\left[p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right],\left[p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \wedge p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & \inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \circ C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \circ C_{2}\right)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

All three cases hold for every $i \in n$. This completes the proof.
We now introduce the concept of union of two $m$-polar fuzzy graph structures.

Definition 24 Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$ be two $m$-PFGSs. Then union of $G_{(m)}^{1}$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}$ is given by

$$
G_{(m)}^{1} \cup G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}, D_{11} \cup D_{21}, D_{12} \cup D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n} \cup D_{2 n}\right)
$$

where the mappings $C_{1} \cup C_{2}: U_{1} \cup U_{2} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ and $D_{1 i} \cup D_{2 i}: E_{1 i} \cup E_{2 i} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ (for $i \in n$ ) are respectively defined by

$$
p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x), \quad \forall x \in U_{1} \backslash U_{2} \\
p_{j} \circ C_{2}(x), \quad \forall x \in U_{2} \backslash U_{1} \\
p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) \vee p_{j} \circ C_{2}(x), \quad \forall x \in U_{1} \cap U_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i} \cup D_{2 i}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), & \forall x_{1} x_{2} \in E_{1 i} \backslash E_{2 i} \\ p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), & \forall x_{1} x_{2} \in E_{2 i} \backslash E_{1 i} \\ p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \vee p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), \quad \forall x_{1} x_{2} \in E_{1 i} \cap E_{2 i}\end{cases}
$$

where $j$ varies from 1 to $m$.
We describe the concept of union of two m-polar fuzzy graph structures with an example.

Example 25 Consider the 4-PFGSs $G_{(m)}$ and $G_{(m)}^{1}$ shown in the Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. The union of $G_{(m)}$ and $G_{(m)}^{1}$, given by $G_{(m)} \cup G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C \cup C^{\prime}, D_{1} \cup D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2} \cup D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, is as shown in Fig. 8. In the figure, a $D_{i} \cup D_{i}^{\prime}$-edge can be identified by the subscript " $i$ " with the corresponding degrees of memberships of edge.

Proposition 26 Union of two m-polar fuzzy graph structures is an m-polar fuzzy graph structure.

Proof Let GS $G^{*}=\left(U_{1} \cup U_{2}, E_{11} \cup E_{21}, E_{12} \cup E_{22}, \ldots, E_{1 n} \cup E_{2 n}\right)$ be the union of GSs $\quad G_{1}^{*}=\left(U_{1}, E_{11}, E_{12}, \ldots, E_{1 n}\right) \quad$ and $\quad G_{2}^{*}=\left(U_{2}, E_{21}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{2 n}\right)$. Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right) \quad$ and $\quad G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right) \quad$ be respective $m$-PFGSs of $G_{1}^{*}$ and $G_{2}^{*}$. Then $\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}, D_{11} \cup D_{21}, D_{12} \cup D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n} \cup D_{2 n}\right)$ is an $m$-PFGS of $G^{*}$. From the Definition 24 of union, $C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ is an m-polar fuzzy set of $U_{1} \cup U_{2}$ and $D_{1 i} \cup D_{2 i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy set of $E_{1 i} \cup E_{2 i}$ for all $i$. So the remaining task

is to show that $D_{1 i} \cup D_{2 i}$ is an m-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ for all $i$. For this, consider following cases:

Case 1. When $x_{1} x_{2} \in E_{1 i} \backslash E_{2 i}$, then there are three possibilities (i) $x_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{1}$ (ii) $x_{1} \in U_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{1} \cap U_{2}$ (ii) $x_{2} \in U_{1}, x_{1} \in U_{1} \cap U_{2}$. So for all $j \in m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} \circ & \left(D_{1 i} \cup D_{2 i}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
& \leq \inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \text { if } x_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{1} . \\
& \leq \inf \left[p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), \max \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \text { if } x_{1} \in U_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{1} \cap U_{2} . \\
& \leq \inf \left[\max \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}, p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \text { if } x_{2} \in U_{1}, x_{1} \in U_{1} \cap U_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. When $x_{1} x_{2} \in E_{2 i} \backslash E_{1 i}$, then there are three possibilities (i) $x_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{2}$ (ii) $x_{1} \in U_{2}, x_{2} \in U_{1} \cap U_{2}$ (ii) $x_{2} \in U_{2}, x_{1} \in U_{1} \cap U_{2}$. So for all $j \in m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} \circ & \left(D_{1 i} \cup D_{2 i}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
& \leq \inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \text { if } x_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{2} . \\
& \leq \inf \left[p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{1}\right), \max \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{2}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \text { if } x_{1} \in U_{2}, x_{2} \in U_{1} \cap U_{2} . \\
& \leq \inf \left[\max \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}, p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \text { if } x_{2} \in U_{2}, x_{1} \in U_{1} \cap U_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3. When $x_{1} x_{2} \in E_{2 i} \cap E_{1 i}$, then $x_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{1} \cap U_{2}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} \circ & \left(D_{1 i} \cup D_{2 i}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
= & {\left[p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\right] \vee\left[p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\right] } \\
\leq & {\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \vee\left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}\right] } \\
= & \inf \left[\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\} \vee\left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\},\right. \\
& \left.\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\} \vee\left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \\
\leq & \inf \left[\left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\} \vee\left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\},\left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\} \vee\left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \\
= & \inf \left[p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right], \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

All three cases hold for every $i \in n$. Hence $D_{1 i} \cup D_{2 i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ for all $i$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 27 If $G S G^{*}=\left(U_{1} \cup U_{2}, E_{11} \cup E_{21}, E_{12} \cup E_{22}, \ldots, E_{1 n} \cup E_{2 n}\right)$ is the union of GSs $G_{1}^{*}=\left(U_{1}, E_{11}, E_{12}, \ldots, E_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{2}^{*}=\left(U_{2}, E_{21}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{2 n}\right)$. Then every m-PFGS $\left(C, D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)$ of $G^{*}$ is the union of an m-PFGS $G_{(m)}^{1}$ of $G_{1}^{*}$ and an m-PFGS G ${ }_{(m)}^{2}$ of $G_{2}^{*}$.

Proof Observe that $C=C_{1} \cup C_{2}, D_{i}=D_{1 i} \cup D_{2 i}$ and $C_{1}, C_{2}, D_{1 i}$ and $D_{2 i}$ are m-polar fuzzy sets on $U_{1}, U_{2}, E_{1 i}$ and $E_{2 i}$, respectively, for $i \in n$ if for every $j$, we define $C_{1}, C_{2}, D_{1 i}$ and $D_{2 i}$ as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) & =p_{j} \circ C(x), \quad \text { if } u \in U_{1} \backslash U_{2} . \\
p_{j} \circ C_{2}(x) & =p_{j} \circ C(x), \quad \text { if } u \in U_{2} \backslash U_{1} . \\
p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) & =p_{j} \circ C_{2}(x)=p_{j} \circ C(x), \quad \text { if } u \in U_{2} \cap U_{1} . \\
p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) & =p_{j} \circ D_{i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), \quad \text { if }\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \in E_{1 i} \backslash E_{2 i} . \\
p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) & =p_{j} \circ D_{i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), \quad \text { if }\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \in E_{2 i} \backslash E_{1 i} . \\
p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) & =p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=p_{j} \circ D_{i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), \quad \text { if }\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \in E_{1 i} \cap E_{2 i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k=1,2, D_{k i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{k}$, since

$$
p_{j} \circ D_{k i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=p_{j} \circ D_{i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \leq \inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}=\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{k}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{k}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

Therefore, $G_{(m)}^{k}=\left(C_{k}, D_{k 1}, \ldots, D_{k n}\right)$ is a $m$-PFGS of $G_{k}^{*}$ for $k=1,2$ and $m$-PFGS $\left(C, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)$ is union of $m$-PFGS $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right)$ and $m$-PFGS $G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$. Hence every $m$-PFGS of $G^{*}=\bigcup_{k} G_{k}^{*}$, is the union of some $m$-PFGSs of $G_{k}^{*}$ for $k=1,2$.

Finally, we study the concept of join of two $m$-polar fuzzy graph structures.

Definition 28 Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$ be two m-PFGSs such that $U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\emptyset$. Let $U_{1 i}=\left\{x \in U_{1}:\right.$ All the edges incident with $x$ are $E_{1 i}-$ edges $\}$ and $U_{2 i}=\left\{x \in U_{2}:\right.$ All the edges incident with $x$ are $E_{2 i}-$ edges $\}$. Then join of $G_{(m)}^{1}$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}$ is given by

$$
G_{(m)}^{1}+G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{1}+C_{2}, D_{11}+D_{21}, D_{12}+D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n}+D_{2 n}\right)
$$

where the mappings $C_{1}+C_{2}: U_{1}+U_{2} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ and $D_{1 i}+D_{2 i}: E_{1 i}+E_{2 i} \rightarrow[0,1]^{m}$ (for $i \in n$ ) are respectively defined by

$$
p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)(x)= \begin{cases}p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x), & \forall x \in U_{1} \\ p_{j} \circ C_{2}(x), & \forall x \in U_{2}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i}+D_{2 i}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), & \forall x_{1} x_{2} \in E_{1 i} \\ p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), & \forall x_{1} x_{2} \in E_{2 i} \\ \inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall x_{1} \in U_{1 i}, x_{2} \in U_{2 i}\end{cases}
$$

where $j$ varies from 1 to $m$.

Example 29 Consider the 4-PFGSs $G_{(m)}$ and $G_{(m)}^{1}$ shown in the Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. The join of $G_{(m)}$ and $G_{(m)}^{1}$, given by $G_{(m)}+G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C+C^{\prime}, D_{1}+D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2}+D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, is as shown in Fig. 9. In the figure, a $D_{i}+D_{i}^{\prime}$-edge can be identified by the subscript " $i$ " with the corresponding degrees of memberships of edge.


Fig. 9 Join of two m-PFGSs

Proposition 30 Let $G S \quad G^{*}=\left(U_{1}+U_{2}, E_{11}+E_{21}, E_{12}+E_{22}, \ldots, E_{1 n}+E_{2 n}\right) \quad$ be the join of GSs $G_{1}^{*}=\left(U_{1}, E_{11}, E_{12}, \ldots, E_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{2}^{*}=\left(U_{2}, E_{21}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{2 n}\right)$. Let $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$ be respective m-PFGSs of $G_{1}^{*}$ and $G_{2}^{*}$. Then $\left(C_{1}+C_{2}, D_{11}+D_{21}, D_{12}+D_{22}, \ldots, D_{1 n}+D_{2 n}\right)$ is an $m$-PFGS of $G^{*}$.

Proof From the Definition 28 of Join, $C_{1}+C_{2}$ is an m-polar fuzzy set of $U_{1}+U_{2}$ and $D_{1 i}+D_{2 i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy set of $E_{1 i}+E_{2 i}$ for all $i$. So the remaining task is to show that $D_{1 i}+D_{2 i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{1}+C_{2}$ for all $i$. For this, consider following cases:

Case 1. When $x_{1} x_{2} \in E_{1 i}$, then $x_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{1}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} \circ & \left(D_{1 i}+D_{2 i}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
& \leq \inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. When $x_{1} x_{2} \in E_{2 i}$, then $x_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{2}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} \circ & \left(D_{1 i}+D_{2 i}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
& =p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
& \leq \inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3. When $x_{1} \in U_{1 i}, x_{2} \in U_{2 i}$, then $x_{1} \in U_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{2}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} & \circ\left(D_{1 i}+D_{2 i}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
& =\left[p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \wedge\left[p_{j} \circ C_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\left[p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \wedge\left[p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\inf \left[p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right], \quad \forall j \in m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $D_{1 i}+D_{2 i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{1}+C_{2}$ in all three cases. All cases hold for every $i \in n$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 31 If $G S G^{*}=\left(U_{1}+U_{2}, E_{11}+E_{21}, E_{12}+E_{22}, \ldots, E_{1 n}+E_{2 n}\right)$ is the join of $G S s G_{1}^{*}=\left(U_{1}, E_{11}, E_{12}, \ldots, E_{1 n}\right)$ and $G_{2}^{*}=\left(U_{2}, E_{21}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{2 n}\right)$. Then every strong $m$-PFGS $\left(C, D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)$ of $G^{*}$ is the join of a strong m-PFGS of $G_{1}^{*}$ and a strong $m$-PFGS of $G_{2}^{*}$

Proof Let $\left(C, D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)$ be a strong $m$-PFGS of $G^{*}$. Define $C_{1}, C_{2}, D_{1 i}$ and $D_{2 i}$ for every $j$, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j} \circ C_{1}(x) & =p_{j} \circ C(x), \quad \text { if } u \in U_{1}, \\
p_{j} \circ C_{2}(x) & =p_{j} \circ C(x), \quad \text { if } u \in U_{2}, \\
p_{j} \circ D_{1 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) & =p_{j} \circ D_{i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), \quad \text { if }\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \in E_{1 i}, \\
p_{j} \circ D_{2 i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) & =p_{j} \circ D_{i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right), \quad \text { if }\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \in E_{2 i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $C_{1}, C_{2}, D_{1 i}$ and $D_{2 i}$ are m-polar fuzzy sets on $U_{1}, U_{2}, E_{1 i}$ and $E_{2 i}$, respectively, for $i \in n$. For $k=1,2, D_{k i}$ is an $m$-polar fuzzy relation on $C_{k}$, so $G_{(m)}^{k}=\left(C_{k}, D_{k 1}, \ldots, D_{k n}\right)$ is a strong $m$-PFGS of $G_{k}^{*}$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{j} \circ D_{k i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=p_{j} \circ D_{i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C\left(x_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad=\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{k}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{k}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x_{1} x_{2} \in E_{k i}$. Moreover, $C=C_{1}+C_{2}$ and $D_{i}=D_{1 i}+D_{2 i}$, since $p_{j} \circ D_{i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=$ $p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i}+D_{2 i}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)$ for all $x_{1} x_{2} \in E_{1 i} \cup E_{2 i}$ and $p_{j} \circ D_{i}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C\left(x_{1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\left.p_{j} \circ C\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}=\inf \left\{p_{j} \circ C_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), p_{j} \circ C_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}=p_{j} \circ\left(D_{1 i}+D_{2 i}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)$ for all $x_{1} \in U_{1 i}, x_{2} \in U_{2 i}$. Therefore $m$-PFGS $\left(C, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)$ is join of $m$-PFGS $G_{(m)}^{1}=\left(C_{1}, D_{11}, D_{12}, \ldots, D_{1 n}\right)$ and $m$-PFGS $G_{(m)}^{2}=\left(C_{2}, D_{21}, D_{22}, \ldots, D_{2 n}\right)$. Hence a strong $m$-PFGS of $G^{*}=G_{1}^{*}+G_{2}^{*}$ is the join of a strong $m$-PFGSs of $G_{1}^{*}$ and a strong $m$-PFGSs of $G_{2}^{*}$. Which completes the proof.

## Conclusions

A graph structure is a useful tool in solving the combinatorial problems in different areas of computer science and computational intelligence systems. It helps to study various relations and the corresponding edges simultaneously. We have introduced the notion of $m$-polar fuzzy graph structure, and presented various methods of their construction. We are extending our work to (1) domination in bipolar fuzzy graph structure, (2) bipolar fuzzy soft graph structures, (3) roughness in graph structures, (4) intuitionistic fuzzy soft graph structures, and (5) multiple-attribute decision making methods based on $m$-polar fuzzy graph structures.
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