May et al. Intensive Care Medicine Experimental (2017) 5:20 DOI 10.1186/s40635-017-0132-7

RESEARCH

Intensive Care Medicine Experimental

Open Access



Extracorporeal CO₂ removal by hemodialysis: in vitro model and feasibility

Alexandra G. May^{1,2†}, Ayan Sen^{3,4†}, Matthew E. Cove^{3,5}, John A. Kellum^{2,3,6} and William J. Federspiel^{1,2,3,6*}

* Correspondence: wfedersp@pitt.edu [†]Equal contributors ¹Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA ²McGowan Institute for Regenerative Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

Background: Critically ill patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome and acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease often develop hypercapnia and require mechanical ventilation. Extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal can manage hypercarbia by removing carbon dioxide directly from the bloodstream. Respiratory hemodialysis uses traditional hemodialysis to remove CO_2 from the blood, mainly as bicarbonate. In this study, Stewart's approach to acid-base chemistry was used to create a dialysate that would maintain blood pH while removing CO_2 as well as determine the blood and dialysate flow rates necessary to remove clinically relevant CO_2 volumes.

Methods: Bench studies were performed using a scaled down respiratory hemodialyzer in bovine or porcine blood. The scaling factor for the bench top experiments was 22.5. In vitro dialysate flow rates ranged from 2.2 to 24 mL/min (49.5–540 mL/min scaled up) and blood flow rates were set at 11 and 18.7 mL/min (248–421 mL/min scaled up). Blood inlet CO₂ concentrations were set at 50 and 100 mmHg.

Results: Results are reported as scaled up values. The CO₂ removal rate was highest at intermittent hemodialysis blood and dialysate flow rates. At an inlet pCO₂ of 50 mmHg, the CO₂ removal rate increased from 62.6 ± 4.8 to 77.7 ± 3 mL/min when the blood flow rate increased from 248 to 421 mL/min. At an inlet pCO₂ of 100 mmHg, the device was able to remove up to 117.8 ± 3.8 mL/min of CO₂. None of the test conditions caused the blood pH to decrease, and increases were \leq 0.08.

Conclusions: When the bench top data is scaled up, the system removes a therapeutic amount of CO₂ standard intermittent hemodialysis flow rates. The zero bicarbonate dialysate did not cause acidosis in the post-dialyzer blood. These results demonstrate that, with further development, respiratory hemodialysis can be a minimally invasive extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal treatment option.

Keywords: Respiratory hemodialysis, Extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal, COPD, ARDS, ECCO₂R

Background

Mechanically ventilating patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) can cause additional lung damage [1]. For ARDS patients, it is recommended to ventilate in accordance with lung protective ventilation (LPV) settings, tidal volumes limited to 6 mL/kg, and plateau pressures limited to 30 cmH₂O [2]. Although an ARDS Network clinical trial demonstrated LPV reduced mortality by 8.8% [2], more recent studies have shown that these settings may still cause ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI) [3, 4]. To



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

further reduce mortality, tidal volumes less than 6 mL/kg have been proposed [5]. Several studies have demonstrated the safety and feasibility of ultra-protective lung ventilation when used in conjunction with an extracorporeal CO_2 removal (ECCO₂R) device [5, 6].

In addition to ARDS, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients frequently develop hypercapnia during acute exacerbations. Hypercapnia has long been recognized as a marker of poor prognosis in patients with COPD [1], with those requiring mechanical ventilation being particularly challenging to wean [7, 8]. A method to remove CO_2 in these patients may obviate the need for mechanical ventilation altogether [9, 10]. When combined with the ARDS population, there is a significant unmet need for a simple, minimally invasive therapy to remove CO_2 .

Extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal is a technology that involves removal of blood from the patient, which is then pumped through an artificial lung (oxygenator membrane) where CO_2 is removed and the decarboxylated blood is subsequently returned to the patient [1]. Conventional ECCO₂R requires blood flow rates exceeding 1 L/min, as well as large surface areas for gas exchange in the artificial lung, both of which introduce important limitations. High flow rates require placement of large cannulas, which risk vessel injury and require considerable expertise to place [11]. Large surface areas in artificial lungs demand the use of anticoagulation, and bleeding complications have been high in previous ECCO₂R trails [12]. In an attempt to mitigate some of these problems, low flow ECCO₂R devices have been introduced, but they may take up to 24 h to control CO_2 levels [10], and it has not yet been demonstrated in a clinical trial if these devices remove enough CO_2 to support critically ill patients; however, several case reports and single site pilot studies have shown this [9, 10, 13–15].

A different approach involves using hemodialysis to remove CO_2 in the form of bicarbonate. In respiratory hemodialysis, blood is passed through a dialyzer and bicarbonate is transferred from the blood to the dialysate based on a bicarbonate concentration difference between the two fluids. This is analogous to the movement of waste products in conventional hemodialysis. The similarities between respiratory and conventional hemodialysis provide additional benefits over membrane lung ECCO₂R, including clinician familiarity, availability of dialysis equipment in intensive care units, and a reduced risk of air embolism. Bicarbonate removal, however, has proven challenging due to the development of metabolic acidosis, despite attempts to mitigate the acidosis by replacing the bicarbonate with bases, such as sodium hydroxide, and TRIS [1, 16, 17].

The attempt to "replace" bicarbonate, in these early experiments, reflects conventional acid-base teaching, where pH is dependent on, among other things, bicarbonate concentration. However, 30 years ago, Stewart proposed an alternative model of acid-base physiology based around the important observation that water has a dissociation constant and the principles of electrical neutrality [18]. This approach provides modeling advantages over the traditional acid-base approaches [19] by treating bicarbonate as a dependent anion. Stewart proposed that any compound, in solution, must satisfy both the principles of electrical neutrality and the respective dissociation constant, including water itself, where the dissociation constant (K'w) is equal to the product of hydrogen and hydroxyl ion concentrations ([H] \times [OH]). Using simple algebra, Stewart showed plasma pH is dependent on the strong ion difference (SID) of the solution, the partial pressure of CO₂ (pCO₂), and the total concentration of weak

acids (A_{tot}) . Similarly, the final bicarbonate concentration is dependent on the same variables, i.e., the concentration of bicarbonate does not predict pH, rather the SID, pCO_2 , and A_{tot} , determine the final bicarbonate concentration. Therefore, a bicarbonate-free dialysis solution should remove bicarbonate from plasma, and allow it to return to a normal physiological pH as long as the strong ion difference and A_{tot} are maintained. In this manuscript, we explore whether $ECCO_2R$, in the form of respiratory hemodialysis, is feasible without decreasing blood pH using a custom dialysate developed using Stewart's model.

Methods

Construction of zero bicarbonate dialysate

The electrolyte composition of the dialysate was determined using a published acidbase model, pHorum [20]. This model uses the physicochemical approach to acid-base balance, which is based around the Stewart equation [21] shown below [22].

$$SID = \left(\frac{K_{c} * pCO_{2}}{[H^{+}]} + 2\frac{K_{c} * K_{3} * pCO_{2}}{[H^{+}]^{2}} + \frac{K_{w}}{[H^{+}]} + \frac{K_{a} * [A_{tot}]}{K_{a} + [H^{+}]} - [H^{+}]\right)$$
(1)

 $K_{\rm c}$ is the combined equilibrium and solubility constant for CO₂ (2.45 × 10⁻¹¹ mol²/ (L²*mmHg)), K_3 is the second dissociation constant of carbonic acid (5.76 × 10⁻¹¹ mol/L), $K_{\rm w}$ is the autoionization constant of water applied to plasma (2.39 × 10⁻¹⁴ mol²/L²), $K_{\rm a}$ is the weak acid dissociation constant (1.77×10^{-7} mol/L), and A_{tot} is the total concentration of weak acids [23].

Using this model, one can predict the pH of blood under a range of circumstances. For the purposes of designing the dialysate, we determined the ideal electrolyte composition of blood that will maintain pH within the normal range after removal of bicarbonate and carbon dioxide. Physiologically, normal electrolyte concentrations were used as the starting inputs for the model. Table 1 below shows the analysis results, which represents the ideal electrolyte composition for blood exiting the dialysis filter following bicarbonate removal, assuming the bicarbonate concentration is <10 mmol/L

	Normal plasma	Zero bicarbonate dialysate		
рН ^а	7.35–7.45	10.0		
Sodium (mmol/L) [18]	136–145	134		
Potassium (mmol/L) [18]	3.5-5.0	3.5		
Calcium ^b (mmol/L) [18]	2.2–2.6	0		
Magnesium (mmol/L) [18]	0.8-1.2	1.0		
Chloride (mmol/L) [18]	98–106	116 (135.6 final concentration) ^c		
Phosphate ^d (mmol/L) [18]	2.0-4.5	0.5		
Lactate (mmol/L)	<2.0	3.0		
HCO ₃ (mmol/L) ^a	22–26	0		

Table 1 Ion concentrations in zero bicarbonat	e dialy	/sate
---	---------	-------

^aFor a pCO₂ of 40 mmHg

^bTotal calcium

^cModelled chloride concentration was 116 mmol/L. HCl was added so that the dialysate could be analyzed on the blood gas machine resulting in a final concentration of 135.6 mmol/L $^{\rm dPO_4}$

and pCO_2 is <20 mmHg. Since the sieving coefficient is close to 1.0 for most of these electrolytes, we used these concentrations to construct the dialysate. In order to maximize bicarbonate removal in our experiments, the bicarbonate concentration was kept at 0 mmol/L in the dialysate solution. The final pH of the modeled dialysis solution is 10, despite targeting a physiological SID, because the dialysate does not contain protein. The dialysate does not contain calcium to allow for the dialysate to be compatible with regional citrate anticoagulation. Furthermore, at a pH of 10 or more, there is a risk the calcium may precipitate out of solution.

In vitro CO₂ removal

Gas exchange was tested in a single-pass system (Fig. 1). The blood side of the system consisted of a 6-L reservoir bag, a Medtronic Affinity oxygenator (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) used to control inlet pCO_2 and heat the blood to 37 °C, and a Gambro M10 dialyzer (Gambro, Lyon, Paris; surface area 0.04 m²). The dialysis side of the system consisted of a 6-L reservoir bag submerged in a 37 °C water bath. The zero bicarbonate dialysate used is previously described. Masterflex L/S roller pumps (Cole Palmer Instrument Co, Vernon Hills, IL) were used to control blood and dialysate flow rates.

The blood used was same day bovine or porcine blood collected from a local slaughterhouse (Thoma Meat Market, Saxonburg, PA). The blood was heparinized at a concentration of 10 IU/mL and filtered using a 40-µm pore size filter (Pall Biomedical, Inc., Fajardo, PR). Gentamicin was added at a concentration of 0.1 mg/mL to prevent infection. The blood was diluted using 1× phosphate-buffered solution so that the final hemoglobin concentration was 12 ± 1 g/dL and 5% dextrose in 0.45% NaCl (Baxter Healthcare Corp., Deerfield, IL) was added for a final glucose concentration of 100–300 mg/dL.

The benchtop parameters were scaled down based on the surface area ratio of the M100:M10 dialyzers (0.9/0.04), hence a scale factor of 22.5. The Gambro M100 dialyzer would be the dialyzer used in the scaled up system. Blood flow rates were set to 18.7 mL/min (421 mL/min scaled up) and 11 mL/min (248 mL/min scaled up), and dialysis flow rates were varied between 2.2 and 20 mL/min (49.5–450 mL/min scaled up). Blood gases and pH were measured at the inlet and outlet of the dialyzer and analyzed using a Rapid Point 405 Blood Gas Analyzer with Co-oximetry (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc., Tarrytown, NY). The targeted inlet pCO_2 was 50 ± 5 mmHg.



Total CO_2 removal rate was calculated from the change in pCO_2 and bicarbonate concentration from the inlet to the outlet of the dialyzer, according to Eq. 2.

$$\dot{V}_{\rm CO_2} = \left(\Delta \left[\rm HCO_3^-\right] + \Delta p \rm CO_2 * K_s\right) * Q_b * V_m \tag{2}$$

 ΔpCO_2 = change in pCO₂ across the dialyzer (mmHg), ΔHCO_3 = change in actual HCO₃ concentration across the dialyzer (mmol/L), $K_s = CO_2$ solubility constant in blood (0.0307 mmol/mmHg*L) [24], Q_b = experimental blood flow rate (L/min), and V_m = molar volume at STP (22.4 mL/mmol). Bicarbonate concentration was calculated using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation.

Results

 CO_2 removal rates measured from respiratory dialysis are shown in Fig. 2 are presented, by a dual axis, in terms of actual and scaled up (actual multiplied by the 22.5 scale factor) CO_2 removal rates. At an inlet p CO_2 of 50 mmHg and a blood flow rate of 18.7 mL/min (421 mL/min scaled up), the maximum CO_2 removal rate was 3.5 ml/min (78 mL/min scaled up), a 24% increase compared to a blood flow rate of 11 mL/min (248 mL/min scaled up) at the same conditions (p = 0.048). The effect of doubling the inlet p CO_2 , 50 mmHg to 100 mmHg, was also evaluated at a blood flow rate of 11 mL/min (248 mL/min scaled up) (Fig. 2). There was an 85% increase in CO_2 removal between the two inlet p CO_2 conditions.

The blood pH change across the dialyzer is shown in Table 2. No decreases in pH were noted and the largest increase in blood pH was 0.08. This occurred when the inlet pCO_2 was 100 mmHg. At 50-mmHg inlet pCO_2 , the greatest increase in pH was 0.07 and occurred at a blood flow rate of 11 mL/min and a dialysate flow rate of 16 mL/min. At no point did pH fall as a result of bicarbonate removal with the respiratory dialysis procedure.



Dialysate flow		Blood flow rate (mL/min)		
rate (mL/min)		11 (pCO ₂ = 50 mmHg)	11 (pCO ₂ = 100 mmHg)	18.7 (pCO ₂ = 50 mmHg)
2.2	Pre-dialyzer			$7.146 \pm 0.015^{\dagger}$
	Post-dialyzer			$7.151 \pm 0.004^{\dagger}$
3	Pre-dialyzer	$7.207 \pm 0.030^{*}$	7.077 ± 0.003	$7.144 \pm 0.013^{*}$
	Post-dialyzer	$7.230 \pm 0.030^{*}$	7.102 ± 0.010	$7.157 \pm 0.008^{*}$
8	Pre-dialyzer	$7.189 \pm 0.024^{*}$	$7.084 \pm 0.008^{*}$	$7.145 \pm 0.003^{*}$
	Post-dialyzer	7.233 ± 0.018 [*]	$7.130 \pm 0.004^{*}$	$7.174 \pm 0.002^{*}$
11.5	Pre-dialyzer	7.197 ± 0.028	7.072 ± 0.013 [*]	$7.143 \pm 0.003^{*}$
	Post-dialyzer	7.240 ± 0.023	7.125 ± 0.011*	$7.179 \pm 0.005^{*}$
16	Pre-dialyzer	7.181 ± 0.022	$7.086 \pm 0.012^{*}$	$7.148 \pm 0.019^{*}$
	Post-dialyzer	7.252 ± 0.023	7.148 ± 0.006 [*]	$7.181 \pm 0.007^{*}$
20	Pre-dialyzer	7.191 ± 0.024 [*]	$7.083 \pm 0.012^{*}$	
	Post-dialyzer	$7.259 \pm 0.027^{*}$	$7.148 \pm 0.004^{*}$	
24	Pre-dialyzer		$7.070 \pm 0.008^{*}$	
	Post-dialyzer		7.151 ± 0.012 [*]	

Table 2 pH of pre- and post-dialyzer blood

**p* < 0.05, [†]*n* < 3

Discussion

Respiratory hemodialysis, in contrast to traditional ECCO₂R using a hollow fiber membrane, is an attractive treatment option for patients with hypercarbic respiratory failure as intensive care units already have dialysis equipment available, clinical staff are familiar with its use, lower flow rates, and much reduced air embolism risk. This study demonstrates the use of a novel zero bicarbonate dialysate in a bench scale respiratory hemodialysis system. When the data is scaled up to an adult hemodialyzer, 62–78 mL CO_2 /min was removed without changing blood pH.

The inlet pCO_2 was doubled, from 50 to 100 mmHg at a constant blood flow rate, in this experiment to evaluate how the CO_2 removal rates will scale up when the entire system, including dialyzer surface area and flow rates, is scaled up. In the case of the scaled down system tested here, doubling the amount of available CO_2 , accomplished by doubling the inlet pCO_2 , resulted in nearly a doubling of the CO_2 removed, on average an 87% increase in CO_2 removal across the range of dialysate flow rates tested. Thus, when the system is scaled up, the scaled up flow rate will deliver 22.5 times more CO_2 to 22.5 times more dialyzer surface area available for gas exchange, and therefore, the CO_2 removal rate should increase by the same factor.

Based on contemporary understanding of acid-base balance, previous work by several groups have attempted to replace the bicarbonate with NaOH, Tris, and organic ions [16, 17], believing this would maintain physiological pH. However, these attempts proved unsuccessful, resulting in hemolysis, elevated pulmonary artery pressure, and metabolic acidosis, among other negative effects. Stewart's approach to acid-base chemistry, used to design the dialysate in this study, places the SID and A_{tot} as the most important determinants of pH, whereas bicarbonate concentration is dependent on CO₂, SID, and A_{tot} , and therefore does not need to be replaced as along as the strong ion concentration and A_{tot} is preserved. The change in blood pH from the inlet to the outlet of the dialyzer is statistically significant for nearly all of the conditions. However, an in vivo

respiratory hemodialysis study done by Zanella et al. showed an increase in blood pH of 0.04 between the inlet and outlet of the dialyzer with no reported negative effects [25].

It has been recently demonstrated that CO₂ removal rates of 40-80 mL/min maintain normocapnia in ARDS patients treated with protective ventilation and partial ECCO₂R at blood flow rates of 400-500 mL/min [5, 26]. The CO₂ removal rates achieved in the work described here (63-78 mL/min scaled up) are within clinically useful ranges, and the device was operated at lower blood flow rates (248-421 mL/min scaled up), indicating a higher effectiveness in blood plasma, and a better safety profile. This improved efficiency may reflect the fact that most CO2 is transported as bicarbonate ions (>90%), and the greater effectiveness of bicarbonate dialysate is due to bicarbonate rather than dissolved CO₂ being targeted for removal. During ECCO₂R using hollow fiber membranes, the CO_2 held as bicarbonate must be converted to dissolved CO_2 before it can be removed. This conversion limiting CO2 removal rates was demonstrated in a study using hollow fibers immobilized with carbonic anhydrase, to catalyze the conversion of bicarbonate to dissolved CO₂, resulting in a 37% enhancement of the CO₂ removal rate [27, 28]. Respiratory hemodialysis, by directly removing bicarbonate, is not limited by the conversion of bicarbonate to dissolved CO2 permitting lower blood flow rates yet still able to attain therapeutic results.

Several groups have investigated ways to capitalize on clinician familiarity with dialysis equipment for ECCO₂R. The PrismaLung uses the PrismaFlex unit as the blood pump for the Medos Hilite oxygenator and demonstrates the efficacy of using dialysis pumps for ECCO₂R. The PrismaLung system removed 40–60 mL/min of CO₂ under hypercapnic conditions, at blood flow rates 200–400 mL/min; however, it relies solely on, and is limited by, dissolved carbon dioxide for removal [29]. The respiratory hemodialysis system described in this paper can also use dialysis pumps, but removes 30–58% more CO₂ at comparable blood flow rates. Zanella et al. have published several respiratory hemodialysis circuits with dialysate recirculation [25, 30]. These systems have used either a membrane lung with a lactic acid infusion or an electrodialysis unit as secondary CO₂ removal devices in the dialysate. The reported CO₂ removal rates from the dialysate were 86 and 91 mL/min at a blood flow rate of 250 mL/min, respectively [25, 30].

We recognize that intermittent hemodialysis conditions are not designed for treatment longer than a few hours and a single-pass dialysate may lead to loss of essential minerals, micro-nutrients, hormones, and drugs from the plasma. To prevent this, a less permeable dialyzer could be used or the dialysate could be recycled in a closed loop. The closed loop approach would use a secondary CO₂ removal device, such as a bubble oxygenator or membrane lung, to remove CO₂ from the dialysate post-dialyzer and drive the bicarbonate concentration back down towards zero. This approach would be attractive because it would recycle the dialysate and reduce cost. Work on dialysate recirculation by Zanella, previously described, demonstrates therapeutic CO₂ removal rates and demonstrates that dialysate recirculation for respiratory hemodialysis is possible.

Another limitation of the current bench experiments was the necessity of adjusting dialysate pH to obtain usable measurements with our laboratory blood gas analyzer. For this purpose, hydrochloric acid was added. As a result, the final chloride concentration exceeded 116 mmol/L (Table 1). Consequently, although pH increased slightly in the post-dialyser plasma (Table 2), it did not return to physiologically normal levels of

7.35–7.45, since the chloride resulted in a metabolic acidosis. It should be highlighted, however, that this small pH increase, despite removal of bicarbonate, proving the concept that bicarbonate can be removed without further lowering pH. In future work, we plan to define the safe pH boundaries of our dialysate and target the final dialysate pH accordingly, while limiting chloride, and accomplishing CO_2 removal with restoration of a physiological pH. Importantly, bicarbonate removal resulted in lower CO_2 levels and was achieved at much lower blood flows than those required for conventional $ECCO_2R$. At these low blood flow rates, about 10% of cardiac output, blood exiting the dialyzer would be significantly diluted by venous blood in circulation.

Conclusions

 $ECCO_2R$ by continuous hemodialysis is feasible in a bench model of hypercarbic respiratory acidosis without worsening blood pH. There is a critical need for a simple, minimally invasive $ECCO_2R$ system. Our respiratory dialysis approach promises to fulfill this need, which may have application in a wider cohort of patients including hypercarbic respiratory failure due to asthma, COPD, and restrictive lung disease as well as enable ultra-protective lung ventilation in ARDS.

Abbreviations

 Δ HCO₃: Change in HCO₃ concentration across the dialyzer; Δ pCO₂: Change in pCO₂ across the dialyzer; ARDS: Acute respiratory distress syndrome; A_{tot} : Total concentration of weak acids; CO₂: Carbon dioxide; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ECCO₂R: Extracorporeal CO₂ removal; HCO₃: Bicarbonate ion; IHD: Intermittent hemodialysis; K_3 : Second dissociation constant of carbonic acid; K_9 : Weak acid dissociation constant; K_c : Combined equilibrium and solubility constant for CO₂; K_w : Autoionization constant of water applied to plasma; LPV: Lung protective ventilation; pCO₂: Partial pressure of CO₂; Q_b : Experimental blood flow rate; S_a : Surface area adult dialyzer; S_f : Surface area experimental dialyzer; SID: Strong ion difference; Tris: Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane; VILI: Ventilator-induced lung injury; V_m : Molar volume

Funding

The study was supported by ALung Technologies and the Center for Medical Innovation at the University of Pittsburgh (CMI Grant: F_031-2012).

Authors' contributions

AM performed the gas exchange experiments. WJF contributed to the analysis and interpretation of the results. AS, MEC, and JAK designed the zero bicarbonate dialysate. AM and AS wrote the manuscript, and all authors participated editing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

WJF is the head of the scientific advisory board and an equity holder in ALung Technologies.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA. ²McGowan Institute for Regenerative Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA. ³Department of Critical Care Medicine, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, USA. ⁴Department of Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic Arizona, Phoenix, AZ, USA. ⁵Division of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Level 10, 1E Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 119228, Singapore. ⁶Department of Bioengineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA.

Received: 9 December 2016 Accepted: 30 March 2017 Published online: 07 April 2017

References

- 1. Cove M, McLaren G, Federspiel W, Kellum J (2012) Bench to bedside review: extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal, past present and future. Crit Care 16:232–241
- ARDSNetwork (2000) Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volume for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 342:1301–1308
- Terragni P, Rosboch G, Tealdi A et al (2007) Tidal hyperinflation during low tidal volume ventilation in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 175:160–166

- Grasso S, Stripoli T, De Michele M et al (2007) ARDSnet ventilatory protocol and alveolar hyperinflation role of positive end-expiratory pressure. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 176:761–767
- Terragni P, Del Sorbo L, Mascia L et al (2009) Tidal volume lower than 6 ml/kg enhances lung protection. Anesthesiology 111:826–835
- Fanelli V, Ranieri M, Mancebo J et al (2016) Feasibility and safety of low-flow extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal to facilitate ultra-protective ventilation in patients with moderate acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care 20:1–7
- Hilbert G, Gruson D, Portel L et al (1998) Noninvasive pressure support ventilation in COPD patients with postextubation respiratory insufficiency. Eur Respir J 11:1349–1353
- 8. Nava S, Rubini F, Zanotti E et al (1994) Survival and prediction of successful ventilator weaning in COPD patients requireing mechanical ventilation for more than 21 days. Eur Respr J 7:1645–1652
- Bonin F, Sommerwerck U, Lund L, Teschler H (2013) Avoidance of intubation during acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease for a lung transplant candidate using extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal with the Hemolung. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 145:e43–e44
- Burki N, Mani R, Herth F et al (2013) A novel extracorporeal CO2 removal system: results of a pilot study of hypercapnic respiratory failure in patients with COPD. Chest 143:678–686
- 11. Baker A, Richardson D, Craig G (2012) Extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal (ECCO2R) in respiratory failure: an overview, and where next? J Intensive Care Soc 13:232–237
- 12. Morris A, Wallace C, Menlove R et al (1994) Randomized clinical trial of pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation and extracorporeal CO2 removal for adult respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 149:295–305
- Engel M, Albrecht H, Volz S (2016) Use of extracorporeal CO2 removal to avoid invasive mechanical ventilation in hypercapnic coma and failure of noninvasive ventilation. J Pulm Respir Med 6:357
- Abrams D, Brenner K, Burkart K et al (2013) Pilot study of extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal to facilitate extubation and ambulation in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Ann Am Thorac Soc 10: 307–314
- Del Sorbo L, Pisani L, Filippini C et al (2015) Extracorporeal CO2 removal in hypercapnic patients at risk of noninvasive ventilation failure: a matched cohort study with historical control. Crit Care Med 43:120–127
- Nolte S, Benfer R, Grau J (1991) Extracorporeal CO2 removal with hemodialysis (ECBicCO2R): how to make up for the bicarbonate loss? Int J Artif Organs 14:759–764
- 17. Gille J, Saunier C, Schrijen F et al (1989) Metabolic CO2 removal by dialysis: THAM vs NaOH infusion. Int J Artif Organs 12:720–727
- 18. Kratz A, Ferraro M, Sluss P, Lewandrowski K (2004) Laboratory reference values. N Engl J Med 351:1548–1563
- 19. Morgan TJ (2009) The Stewart approach—one clinician's perspective. Clin Biochem Rev 30:41-54
- 20. Kellum J (2005) The Acid Base pHorum. https://www.ccm.pitt.edu/acid-base-phorum. Accessed 5 Aug 2016
- 21. Stewart P (1983) Modern quantitative acid-base chemistry. CA J Physiol Pharmacol 61:1444–61
- 22. Ring T (2009) Mixing bicarbonates: dilution acidosis from first principles. Intensive Care Med 35:2183-2184
- Doberer D, Funk G, Kirchner K, Schneeweiss B (2009) A critique of Stewart's approach: the chemical mechanism of dilutional acidosis. Intensive Care Med 35:2173–2180
- 24. Arthurs G, Sudhakar M (2005) Carbon dioxide transport. Crit Care Pain 5:207-210
- 25. Zanella A, Mangili P, Giani M et al (2014) Extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal through ventilation of acidified dialysate: an experimental study. J Heart Lung Trans 33:536–541
- 26. Rauch S, Roth H, Kuhwald A et al (2015) Case series of protective ventilation for ARDS using partial extracorporeal CO2 removal
- 27. Kimmel J, Arazawa D, Ye S et al (2013) Carbonic anhydrase immobilized on hollow fiber membranes using glutaraldehyde activated chitosan for artificial lung applications. J Mater Sci Mater Med 24:2611–2621
- Arazawa D, Kimmel J, Federspiel W (2015) Kinetics of CO2 exchange with carbonic anhydrase immobilized on fiber membranes in artificial lungs. J Mater Sci Mater Med 26:1–8
- 29. Godet T, Combes A, Zogheib E et al (2015) Novel CO2 removal device driven by a renal-replacement system without hemofilter. A first step experimental validation. Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med 34:135–140
- 30. Zanella A, Castagna L, Abd El Aziz El Sayed Deab S et al (2016) Extracorporeal CO2 removal by respiratory electrodialysis: an in vitro study. ASAIO J 62:143–149

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com