

## RESEARCH

## Open Access

# Blow-up phenomena and global existence for the weakly dissipative generalized periodic Degasperis-Procesi equation

Caochuan Ma<sup>1\*</sup>, Ahmed Alsaedi<sup>2</sup>, Tasawar Hayat<sup>2,3</sup> and Yong Zhou<sup>2,4</sup>

\*Correspondence:

ma.caochuan@yahoo.com

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Tianshui Normal University,  
Tianshui, Gansu 741001, P.R. China  
Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article**Abstract**

In this paper, we investigate the Cauchy problem of a weakly dissipative generalized periodic Degasperis-Procesi equation. The precise blow-up scenarios of strong solutions to the equation are derived by a direct method. Several new criteria guaranteeing the blow-up of strong solutions are presented. The exact blow-up rates of strong solutions are also determined. Finally, we give a new global existence results to the equation.

**MSC:** 35G25; 35Q35; 58D05**Keywords:** weakly dissipative; generalized periodic Degasperis-Procesi equation; blow-up; global existence; blow-up rate

## 1 Introduction

Recently, the following generalized periodic Degasperis-Procesi equation ( $\mu$ DP) was introduced and studied in [1–3]

$$\mu(u)_t - u_{txx} + 3\mu(u)u_x = 3u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx},$$

where  $u(t, x)$  is a time-dependent function on the unite circle  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu(u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} u(t, x) dx$  denotes its mean. The  $\mu$ DP equation can be formally described as an evolution equation on the space of tensor densities over the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on the circle  $\mathbb{S}$ . In [2], the authors verified that the periodic  $\mu$ DP equation describes the geodesic flows of a right-invariant affine connection on the Fréchet Lie group  $\text{Diff}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S})$  of all smooth and orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of the circle  $\mathbb{S}$ .

Analogous to the generalized periodic Camassa-Holm ( $\mu$ CH) equation [4–6],  $\mu$ DP equation possesses bi-Hamiltonian form and infinitely many conservation laws. Here we list some of the simplest conserved quantities:

$$H_0 = -\frac{9}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} y dx, \quad H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} u^2 dx, \quad H_2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}} \left( \frac{3}{2} \mu(u) (A^{-1} \partial_x u)^2 + \frac{1}{6} u^3 \right) dx,$$

where  $y = \mu(u) - u_{xx}$ ,  $A = \mu - \partial_x^2$  is an isomorphism between  $H^s$  and  $H^{s-1}$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that  $\int_{\mathbb{S}} u(t, x) dx$  is also a conserved quantity for the  $\mu$ DP equation.

Obviously, under the constraint of  $\mu \equiv 0$ , the  $\mu$ DP equation is reduced to the  $\mu$ Burgers equation [7].

It is clear that the closest relatives of the  $\mu$ DP equation are the DP equation [8–11]

$$u_t - u_{txx} + 4uu_x = 3u_xu_{xx} + uu_{xxx},$$

which was derived by Degasperis and Procesi in [8] as a model for the motion of shallow water waves, and its asymptotic accuracy is the same as for the Camassa-Holm equation.

Generally speaking, energy dissipation is a very common phenomenon in the real world. It is interesting for us to study this kind of equation. Recently, Wu and Yin [12] considered the weakly dissipative Degasperis-Procesi equation. For related studies, we refer to [13] and [14]. Liu and Yin [15] discussed the blow-up, global existence for the weakly dissipative  $\mu$ -Hunter-Saxton equation.

In this paper, we investigate the Cauchy problem of the following weakly dissipative periodic Degasperis-Procesi equation [16]:

$$\begin{cases} \mu(u)_t - u_{txx} + 3\mu(u)u_x = 3u_xu_{xx} + uu_{xxx} - \lambda(\mu(u) - u_{xx}), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(t, x + 1) = u(t, x), & t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

the constant  $\lambda$  is a nonnegative dissipative parameter and the term  $\lambda y = \lambda(\mu(u) - u_{xx})$  models energy dissipation. Obviously, if  $\lambda = 0$  then the equation reduces to the  $\mu$ DP equation. we can rewrite the system (1.1) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} y_t + uy_x + 3u_xy + \lambda y = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ y = \mu(u) - u_{xx}, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(t, x + 1) = u(t, x), & t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Let  $G(x) := \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}|x| + \frac{13}{12}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  be the associated Green's function of the operator  $A^{-1}$ , then the operator can be expressed by its associated Green's function,

$$A^{-1}f(x) = (G * f)(x), \quad f \in L^2,$$

where  $*$  denotes the spatial convolution. Then equation (1.1) takes the equivalent form of a quasi-linear evolution equation of hyperbolic type:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x + 3\mu(u)A^{-1}\partial_x u + \lambda u = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(t, x + 1) = u(t, x), & t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

It is easy to check that the operator  $A = \mu - \partial_x^2$  has the inverse

$$\begin{aligned} (A^{-1}f)(x) = & \left(\frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{13}{12}\right)\mu(f) + \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^1 \int_0^y f(s) ds dy \\ & - \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s) ds dy + \int_0^1 \int_0^y \int_0^s f(r) dr ds dy. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Since  $A^{-1}$  and  $\partial_x$  commute, the following identities hold:

$$(A^{-1}\partial_x f)(x) = \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^1 f(x) dx - \int_0^x f(y) dy + \int_0^1 \int_0^x f(y) dy dx \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$(A^{-1}\partial_x^2 f)(x) = -f(x) + \int_0^1 f(x) dx. \quad (1.6)$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly give some needed results, including the local well-posedness of equation (1.1), and some useful lemmas and results which will be used in subsequent sections. In Section 3, we establish the precise blow-up scenarios and blow-up criteria of strong solutions. In Section 4, we give the blow-up rate of strong solutions. In Section 5, we give two global existence results of strong solutions.

**Remark 1.1** Although blow-up criteria and global existence results of strong solutions to equation (1.1) are presented in [16], our blow-up results improve considerably earlier results.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some elementary results which we want to use in this paper. We list them and skip their proofs for conciseness. Local well-posedness for equation (1.1) can be obtained by Kato's theory [17], in [16] the authors gave a detailed description on well-posedness theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** [16] *Let  $s > 3/2$  and  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$ ; then there is a maximal time  $T$  and a unique solution*

$$u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}))$$

*of the Cauchy problems (1.1) which depends continuously on the initial data, i.e. the mapping*

$$H^s(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S})), \quad u_0 \mapsto u(\cdot, u_0),$$

*is continuous.*

**Remark 2.1** The maximal time of existence  $T > 0$  in Theorem 2.1 is independent of the Sobolev index  $s > 3/2$ .

Next we present the Sobolev-type inequalities, which play a key role to obtain blow-up results for the Cauchy problem (1.1) in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.2** [18] *If  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{S})$  is such that  $\int_{\mathbb{S}} f(x) dx = 0$ , then we have*

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{S}} f^2(x) \leq \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{S}} f_x^2(x) dx.$$

**Lemma 2.3** [19] *If  $r > 0$ , let  $\Lambda = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{1/2}$ , then*

$$\|[\Lambda^r, f]g\|_{L^2} \leq c(\|\partial_x f\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda^{r-1}g\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^r f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^\infty}),$$

where  $c$  is a constant depending only on  $r$ .

**Lemma 2.4** [20] *Let  $t_0 > 0$  and  $v \in C^1([0, t_0]; H^2(\mathbb{R}))$ , then for every  $t \in [0, t_0)$  there exists at least one point  $\xi(t) \in \mathbb{R}$  with*

$$m(t) := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} v_x(t, x) = v_x(t, \xi(t)),$$

and the function  $m$  is almost everywhere differentiable on  $(0, t_0)$  with

$$\frac{d}{dt}m(t) = v_{tx}(t, \xi(t)) \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, t_0).$$

We also need to introduce the classical particle trajectory method which is motivated by McKean's deep observation for the Camassa-Holm equation in [21]. Suppose  $u(x, t)$  is the solution of the Camassa-Holm equation and  $q(x, t)$  satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} q_t = u(q, t), & 0 < t < T, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ q(x, 0) = x, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ q(x+1, t) = x, & 0 < t < T, x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $T$  is the maximal existence time of solution, then  $q(t, \cdot)$  is a diffeomorphism of the line. Taking the derivative with respect to  $x$ , we have

$$\frac{dq_x}{dt} = q_{tx} = u_x(q, t)q_x, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

Hence

$$q_x(x, t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t u_x(q, s) ds\right) > 0, \quad q_x(x, 0) = 1, \quad (2.2)$$

which is always positive before the blow-up time.

In addition, integrating both sides of the first equation in equation (1.1) with respect to  $x$  on  $\mathbb{S}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mu(u) = -\lambda\mu(u),$$

it follows that

$$\mu(u) = \mu(u_0)e^{-\lambda t} := \mu_0 e^{-\lambda t}, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\mu_0 := \mu(u_0) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_0(x) dx. \quad (2.4)$$

### 3 Blow-up solutions

In this section, we are able to derive an import estimate for the  $L^\infty$ -norm of strong solutions. This enables us to establish precise blow-up scenario and several blow-up results for equation (1.1).

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $u_0 \in H^s$ ,  $s > 3/2$  be given and assume the  $T$  is the maximal existence time of the corresponding solution  $u$  to equation (1.1) with the initial data  $u_0$ . Then we have*

$$\|u(t, x)\|_{L^\infty} \leq e^{-\lambda t} \left( \frac{3|\mu_0|(\frac{1}{2}|\mu_0| + 2\mu_2)}{\lambda} + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \right), \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \tag{3.1}$$

*Proof* The first equation of the Cauchy problem (1.1) is

$$u_t + uu_x + 3\mu(u)A^{-1}\partial_x u + \lambda u = 0.$$

In view of equation (1.5), we have

$$|A^{-1}\partial_x u| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\mu_0|e^{-\lambda t} + 2\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}} u^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

A direct computation implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}} u^2 dx &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}} 2uu_t dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{S}} 2u(uu_x + 3\mu(u)A^{-1}\partial_x u + \lambda u) dx \\ &= -2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{S}} u^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} u^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_0^2 dx \cdot e^{-2\lambda t} := \mu_2^2 e^{-2\lambda t}. \tag{3.2}$$

So we have

$$|A^{-1}\partial_x(u)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}|\mu_0| + 2\mu_2\right)e^{-\lambda t}.$$

In view of equation (2.1) we have

$$\frac{du(t, q(t, x))}{dt} = u_t(t, q(t, x)) + u_x(t, q(t, x))\frac{dq(t, x)}{dt} = (u_t + uu_x)(t, q(t, x)).$$

Combing the above relations, we arrive at

$$\left| \frac{du(t, q(t, x))}{dt} + \lambda u(t, q(t, x)) \right| \leq 3|\mu_0| \left(\frac{1}{2}|\mu_0| + 2\mu_2\right) e^{-2\lambda t}.$$

Integrating the above inequality with respect to  $t < T$  on  $[0, t]$  yields

$$|e^{\lambda t} u(t, q(t, x)) - u_0(x)| \leq \frac{3|\mu_0|(\frac{1}{2}|\mu_0| + 2\mu_2)}{\lambda}.$$

Thus

$$|u(t, q(t, x))| \leq \|u(t, q(t, x))\|_{L^\infty} \leq e^{-\lambda t} \left( \frac{3|\mu_0|(\frac{1}{2}|\mu_0| + 2\mu_2)}{\lambda} + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \right).$$

In view of the diffeomorphism property of  $q(t, \cdot)$ , we can obtain

$$|u(t, x)| \leq \|u(t, x)\|_{L^\infty} \leq e^{-\lambda t} \left( \frac{3|\mu_0|(\frac{1}{2}|\mu_0| + 2\mu_2)}{\lambda} + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \right).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $u_0 \in H^s$ ,  $s > 3/2$  be given and assume that  $T$  is the maximal existence time of the corresponding solution  $u(t, x)$  to the Cauchy problem (1.1) with the initial data  $u_0$ . If there exists  $M > 0$  such that*

$$\|u_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq M, \quad t \in [0, T),$$

*then the  $H^s$ -norm of  $u(t, \cdot)$  does not blow up on  $[0, T)$ .*

*Proof* We assume that  $c$  is a generic positive constant depending only on  $s$ . Let  $\Lambda = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{1/2}$ . Applying the operator  $\Lambda^s$  to the first one in equation (1.3), multiplying by  $\Lambda^s u$ , and integrating over  $\mathbb{S}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 = -2(uu_x, u)_{H^s} - 6(u, A^{-1} \partial_x (\mu(u)u))_{H^s} - 2\lambda(u, u)_{H^s}. \tag{3.3}$$

Let us estimate the first term of the above equation,

$$\begin{aligned} |(uu_x, u)_{H^s}| &= |(\Lambda^s(uu_x), \Lambda^s u)_{L^2}| = |([\Lambda^s, u]u_x, \Lambda^s u)_{L^2} + (u\Lambda^s u_x, \Lambda^s u)_{L^2}| \\ &\leq \|[\Lambda^s, u]u_x\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2} |(u_x \Lambda^s u, \Lambda^s u)_{L^2}| \\ &\leq 2\|(u, v)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}^2 (2\|(u, v)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}^2) \\ &\leq c\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{H^s}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where we used Lemma 2.3 with  $r = s$ . Furthermore, we estimate the second term of the right hand side of equation (3.3) in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} |(u, A^{-1} \partial_x (\mu(u)u))_{H^s}| &= |(u, A^{-1} \partial_x (e^{-\lambda t} \mu_0 u))_{H^s}| \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda t} |\mu_0| \|u\|_{H^s} \|A^{-1} \partial_x u\|_{H^s} \\ &\leq c|\mu_0| \|u\|_{H^s}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Combing equations (3.4) and (3.5) with equation (3.3) we arrive at

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \leq c(|\mu_0| + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} + 2\lambda) \|u\|_{H^s}^2.$$

An application of Gronwall's inequality and the assumption of the theorem yield

$$\|u\|_{H^s}^2 \leq e^{c(|\mu_0|+M+2\lambda)t} \|u_0\|_{H^s}^2.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

The following result describes the precise blow-up scenario. Although the result which is proved in [16], our method is new, concise, and direct.

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $u_0 \in H^s$ ,  $s > 3/2$  be given and assume that  $T$  is the maximal existence time of the corresponding solution  $u(t, x)$  to the Cauchy problem (1.1) with the initial data  $u_0$ . Then the corresponding solution blows up in finite time if and only if*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow T} \left\{ \inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u_x(t, x) \right\} = -\infty.$$

*Proof* Since the maximal existence time  $T$  is independent of the choice of  $s$  by Theorem 2.1, applying a simple density argument, we only need to consider the case  $s = 3$ . Multiplying the first one in equation (1.2) by  $y$  and integrating over  $\mathbb{S}$  with respect to  $x$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}} y^2 dx &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}} yy_t dx = -2 \int_{\mathbb{S}} y(uy_x + 3u_x y + \lambda y) dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{S}} uyy_x dx - 6 \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_x y^2 dx - 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{S}} y^2 dx \\ &= -5 \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_x y^2 dx - 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{S}} y^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

If  $u_x$  is bounded from below on  $[0, T) \times \mathbb{S}$ , then there exists  $N > \lambda > 0$  such that

$$u_x(t, x) \geq -N, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{S},$$

then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}} y^2 dx \leq (5N - 2\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{S}} y^2 dx.$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality then yields for  $t \in [0, T)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} y^2 dx \leq e^{(5N-2\lambda)t} \int_{\mathbb{S}} y^2(0, x) dx.$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} y^2 dx = \mu^2(u) + \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_{xx}^2 dx \geq \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Since  $u_x \in H^2 \subset H^1$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{S}} u_x = 0$ , Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2} \leq e^{\frac{(5N-2\lambda)t}{2}} \|y(0, x)\|_{L^2}.$$

Theorem 3.1 ensures that the solution  $u$  does not blow up in finite time. On the other hand, by the Sobolev embedding theorem it is clear that if

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow T} \left\{ \inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u_x(t, x) \right\} = -\infty,$$

then  $T < \infty$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

We now give first sufficient conditions to guarantee wave breaking.

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $u_0 \in H^s$ ,  $s > 3/2$  and  $T$  be the maximal time of the solution  $u(t, x)$  to equation (1.1) with the initial data  $u_0$ . If*

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u'_0(x) < -\frac{1}{2}\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha},$$

*then the corresponding solution to equation (1.1) blow up in finite time in the following sense: there exists  $T_0$  satisfying*

$$0 < T_0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}} \ln \left( \frac{2 \inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u'_0(x) + \lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}}{2 \inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u'_0(x) + \lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}} \right),$$

*where  $\alpha = 3|\mu_0| \left( \frac{3|\mu_0|(\frac{1}{2}|\mu_0| + 2\mu_2)}{\lambda} + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \right)$ , such that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow T_0} \left\{ \inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u_x(t, x) \right\} = -\infty.$$

*Proof* As mentioned early, we only need to consider the case  $s = 3$ . Let

$$m(t) := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} [u_x(t, x)], \quad t \in [0, T)$$

and let  $\xi(t) \in \mathbb{S}$  be a point where this minimum is attained by using Lemma 2.4. It follows that

$$m(t) = u_x(t, \xi(t)).$$

Differentiating the first one in equation (1.3) with respect to  $x$ , we have

$$u_{tx} + u_x^2 + uu_{xx} + 3\mu(u)A^{-1}\partial_x^2 u + \lambda u_x = 0.$$

From equation (1.6) we deduce that

$$u_{tx} = -u_x^2 - uu_{xx} + 3\mu(u)(u - \mu_0) - \lambda u_x. \tag{3.6}$$



Obviously  $u_{xx}(t, \xi(t)) = 0$  and  $u(t, \cdot) \in H^3(\mathbb{S}) \subset C^2(\mathbb{S})$ . Substituting  $(t, \xi(t))$  into equation (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dm(t)}{dt} &= -m^2(t) - \lambda m(t) + 3\mu(u)u(t, \xi(t)) - 3\mu^2(u) \\ &= -m^2(t) - \lambda m(t) + 3\mu_0 e^{-\lambda t} u(t, \xi(t)) - 3\mu_0^2 e^{-2\lambda t} \\ &\leq -m^2(t) - \lambda m(t) + 3|\mu_0| \left( \frac{3|\mu_0|(\frac{1}{2}|\mu_0| + 2\mu_2)}{\lambda} + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\alpha = 3|\mu_0| \left( \frac{3|\mu_0|(\frac{1}{2}|\mu_0| + 2\mu_2)}{\lambda} + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \right).$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dm(t)}{dt} &\leq -m^2(t) - \lambda m(t) + \alpha \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{4} (2m(t) + \lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}) (2m(t) + \lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $m(0) < -\frac{1}{2}\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}$ , then  $m(t) < -\frac{1}{2}\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . From the above inequality we obtain

$$\frac{2m(0) + \lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}}{2m(0) + \lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}} e^{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}t} - 1 \leq \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}}{2m(0) + \lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}} \leq 0.$$

Since

$$0 < \frac{2m(0) + \lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}}{2m(0) + \lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}} < 1,$$

then there exists  $T_0$ ,

$$0 < T_0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}} \ln \left( \frac{2m(0) + \lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}}{2m(0) + \lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4\alpha}} \right)$$

such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_0} m(t) = -\infty$ . Theorem 3.3 implies that the solution  $u$  blows up in finite time.  $\square$

We give another blow-up result for the solutions of equation (1.1).

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $u_0 \in H^s$ ,  $s > 3/2$  and  $T$  be the maximal time of the solution  $u(t, x)$  to equation (1.1) with the initial data  $u_0$ . If  $u_0$  is odd satisfies  $u'_0 < -\lambda$ , then the corresponding solution to equation (1.1) blows up in finite time.*

*Proof* By  $\mu(u(t, -x)) = \mu_0(t, -x)e^{-\lambda t} = -\mu_0(t, x)e^{-\lambda t} = -\mu(u(t, x))$ , we can check the function

$$v(t, x) := -u(t, -x), \quad t \in [0, T), x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is also a solution of equation (1.1), therefore  $u(x, t)$  is odd for any  $t \in [0, T)$ . By continuity with respect to  $x$  of  $u$  and  $u_{xx}$ , we get

$$u(t, 0) = u_{xx}(t, 0) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T).$$

Define  $h(t) := u_x(t, 0)$  for  $t \in [0, T)$ . From equation (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dh(t)}{dt} &= -h^2(t) - \lambda h(t) - 3\mu^2(u) \\ &\leq -h^2(t) - \lambda h(t) \\ &= -h(t)(h(t) + \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $h(0) < -\lambda$ , then  $h(t) < -\lambda$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . From the above inequality we obtain

$$\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{h(0)}\right)e^{\lambda t} - 1 \leq \frac{\lambda}{h(t)} \leq 0.$$

Since

$$0 < \frac{h(0) + \lambda}{h(0)} < 1,$$

there exists  $T_0$ ,

$$0 < T_0 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \frac{h(0)}{h(0) + \lambda}$$

such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_0} m(t) = -\infty$ . Theorem 3.3 implies that the solution  $u$  blows up in finite time.  $\square$

#### 4 Blow-up rate

In this section, we consider the blow-up profile; the blow-up rate of equation (1.1) with respect to time can be shown as follows.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $u_0 \in H^s$ ,  $s > 3/2$  and  $T$  be the maximal time of the solution  $u(t, x)$  to equation (1.1) with the initial data  $u_0$ . If  $T$  is finite, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \left\{ (T - t) \min_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u_x(x, t) \right\} = -1.$$

*Proof* It is inferred from Lemma 2.4 that the function

$$m(t) := \min_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u_x(x, t) = u_x(t, \xi(t))$$

is locally Lipschitz with  $m(t) < 0$ ,  $t \in [0, T)$ . Note that  $u_{xx} = 0$ , a.e.  $t \in [0, T)$ . Then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |m'(t) + m^2(t) + \lambda m(t)| &= |3\mu(u)u(t, \xi(t)) - 3\mu^2(u)| \\ &= |3\mu_0 e^{-\lambda t} u(t, \xi(t)) - 3\mu_0^2 e^{-2\lambda t}| \\ &\leq 3|\mu_0| \left( \frac{3|\mu_0|(\frac{1}{2}|\mu_0| + 2\mu_2)}{\lambda} + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + |\mu_0| \right) := K. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$-K \leq m'(t) + m^2(t) + \lambda m(t) \leq K \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, T). \tag{4.1}$$

Thus,

$$-K - \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2 \leq m'(t) + \left(m(t) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\right)^2 \leq K + \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2 \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, T).$$

Now fix any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . In view of Theorem 3.1, there exists  $t_0 \in (0, T)$  such that  $m(t_0) < -\sqrt{(K + \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2)(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon})} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda$ . Being locally Lipschitz, the function  $m(t)$  is absolutely continuous on  $[0, T)$ . It then follows from the above inequality that  $m(t)$  is decreasing on  $[t_0, T)$  and satisfies

$$m(t) < -\sqrt{\left(K + \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2\right)\left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda, \quad t \in [t_0, T).$$

Since  $m(t)$  is decreasing on  $[t_0, T)$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} m(t) = -\infty.$$

It is found from equation (4.1) that

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \frac{d}{dt} \left(m(t) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\right)^{-1} = -\frac{m'(t)}{\left(m(t) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\right)^2} \leq 1 + \varepsilon. \tag{4.2}$$

Integrating both sides of equation (4.2) on  $(t, T)$ , we obtain

$$(1 - \varepsilon)(T - t) \leq -\frac{1}{\left(m(t) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\right)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)(T - t), \quad t \in [t_0, T), \tag{4.3}$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{(1 + \varepsilon)} - \left(m(t) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\right)(T - t) \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon)}, \quad t \in [t_0, T). \tag{4.4}$$

By the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} (T - t)(m(t) + \lambda) = -1. \tag{4.5}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

### 5 Global existence

In this section, we will present some global existence results. Let us now prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1** *Let  $u_0 \in H^s$ ,  $s > 3/2$  be given and assume that  $T > 0$  is the maximal existence time of the corresponding solution  $u(t, x)$  to the Cauchy problem (1.1). Let  $q \in C^1([0, T) \times$*

$\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}$ ) be the unique solution of equation (2.1). Then we have

$$y(t, q(t, x))q_x^3 = y_0(x)e^{-\lambda t},$$

where  $y = \mu(u) - u_{xx}$ .

*Proof* By the first one in equation (1.2) and equation (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}y(t, q(t, x))q_x^3 &= (y_t + y_x q_t)q_x^3 + 3yq_x q_{xt} \\ &= (y_t + y_x u)q_x^3 + 3yq_x q_{xt} \\ &= (y_t + u y_x + 3y u_x y_x u)q_x^3 \\ &= -\lambda y q_x^3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$y(t, q(t, x))q_x^3 = y_0(x)e^{-\lambda t}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.1 and equation (2.2) imply that  $y$  and  $y_0$  have the same sign.

**Theorem 5.2** *Let  $u_0 \in H^s$ ,  $s > 3/2$ . If  $y_0 = \mu_0 - u_{0,xx} \in H^1$  does not change sign, then the corresponding solution  $u(t, x)$  to equation (1.1) with the initial data  $u_0$  exists globally in time.*

*Proof* By equation (2.1), we know that  $q(t, \cdot)$  is diffeomorphism of the line and the periodicity of  $u$  with respect to spatial variable  $x$ , given  $t \in [0, T)$ , there exists a  $\xi(t) \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $u_x(t, \xi(t)) = 0$ .

We first consider the case that  $y_0 \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{S}$ , in which case Lemma 5.1 ensures that  $y \geq 0$ . For  $x \in [\xi(t), \xi(t) + 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -u_x(t, x) &= -\int_{\xi(t)}^x u_{xx}(t, x) dx = \int_{\xi(t)}^x (y - \mu(u)) dx \\ &= \int_{\xi(t)}^x y dx - \mu(u)(x - \xi(t)) \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}} y dx - \mu(u)(x - \xi(t)) \\ &= \mu(u)(1 - x + \xi(t)) \leq |\mu_0|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $u_x(t, x) \geq -|\mu_0|$ .

On the other hand, if  $y_0 \leq 0$  on  $\mathbb{S}$ , then Lemma 5.1 ensures that  $y \leq 0$ . Therefore, for  $x \in [\xi(t), \xi(t) + 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -u_x(t, x) &= -\int_{\xi(t)}^x u_{xx}(t, x) dx = \int_{\xi(t)}^x (y - \mu(u)) dx \\ &= \int_{\xi(t)}^x y dx - \mu(u)(x - \xi(t)) \\ &\leq -\mu(u)(x - \xi(t)) \leq |\mu_0|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $u_x(t, x) \geq -|\mu_0|$ . By using Theorem 3.2, we immediately conclude that the solution is global. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3** *If the initial value  $u_0 \in H^3$  such that*

$$\|\partial_x^3 u_0\|_{L^2} \leq 2\sqrt{3}|\mu_0|,$$

*then the corresponding solution  $u$  of the initial value  $u_0$  exists globally in time.*

*Proof* Since  $\int_{\mathbb{S}} \partial_x^2 u_0 dx = 0$ , by Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\|\partial_x^2 u_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \|\partial_x^3 u_0\|_{L^2}.$$

If  $\mu_0 \geq 0$ , we have

$$y_0 = \mu_0 - \partial_x^2 u_0 \geq \mu_0 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \|\partial_x^3 u_0\|_{L^2} \geq \mu_0 - |\mu_0| = 0.$$

If  $\mu_0 < 0$ , we have

$$y_0 = \mu_0 - \partial_x^2 u_0 \leq \mu_0 + \|\partial_x^2 u_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \mu_0 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \|\partial_x^3 u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \mu_0 + |\mu_0| = 0. \quad \square$$

Thus the theorem is proved by using Theorem 5.2.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Tianshui Normal University, Tianshui, Gansu 741001, P.R. China. <sup>2</sup>Nonlinear Analysis and Applied Mathematics (NAAM) Research Group, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Quaid-I-Azam University 45320, Islamabad, 44000, Pakistan. <sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, 321004, P.R. China.

#### Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by the NSFC (Grant No. 11101376) the HiCi Project (Grant No. 27-130-35-HiCi).

Received: 19 February 2014 Accepted: 6 May 2014 Published: 20 May 2014

#### References

1. Lenells, J, Misiolek, G, Tiğlay, F: Integrable evolution equations on spaces of tensor densities and their peakon solutions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **299**, 129-161 (2010)
2. Escher, J, Kohlmann, M, Kolev, B: Geometric aspects of the periodic  $\mu$ DP equation. *Prog. Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **80**, 193-209 (2011)
3. Fu, Y, Liu, Y, Qu, C: On the blow-up structure for the generalized periodic Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi equations. *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**, 3125-3158 (2012)
4. Khesin, B, Lenells, J, Misiolek, G: Generalized Hunter-Saxton equation and the geometry of the group of circle diffeomorphisms. *Math. Ann.* **324**, 617-656 (2008)
5. Gui, G, Liu, Y, Zhu, M: On the wave-breaking phenomena and global existence for the generalized periodic Camassa-Holm equation. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **21**, 4858-4903 (2012)
6. Chen, R, Lenells, J, Liu, Y: Stability of the  $\mu$ -Camassa-Holm peakons. *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **23**, 97-112 (2013)
7. Christov, O: On the nonlocal symmetries of the  $\mu$ -Camassa-Holm equation. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **19**, 411-427 (2012)
8. Degasperis, A, Procesi, M: Asymptotic integrability. In: *Symmetry and Perturbation Theory*, SPT 98, Rome, 1998, pp. 23-37. World Scientific, River Edge (1999)
9. Zhou, Y: Blow up phenomena for the integrable Degasperis-Procesi equation. *Phys. Lett. A* **328**, 157-162 (2004)

10. Chen, W: On solutions to the Degasperis-Procesi equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **379**, 351-359 (2011)
11. Liu, Y, Yin, Z: Global existence and blow-up phenomena for the Degasperis-Procesi equation. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **267**, 801-820 (2006)
12. Wu, S, Yin, Z: Blow up, blow-up and decay of the solution of the weakly dissipative Degasperis-Procesi equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **40**, 475-490 (2008)
13. Guo, Z: Blow up, global existence, and infinite propagation speed for the weakly dissipative Camassa-Holm equation. *J. Math. Phys.* **49**, 033516 (2008)
14. Guo, Z: Some properties of solutions to the weakly dissipative Degasperis-Procesi equation. *J. Differ. Equ.* **246**, 4332-4344 (2009)
15. Liu, J, Yin, Z: On the Cauchy problem of a weakly dissipative  $\mu$  HS equation. Preprint. arXiv:1108.4550 (2011)
16. Kohlmann, M: Global existence and blow-up for a weakly dissipative  $\mu$ DP equation. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 4746-4753 (2011)
17. Kato, T: Quasi-linear equations of evolution, with applications to partial differential equations. In: *Spectral Theory and Differential Equations. Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 448, pp. 25-70. Springer, Berlin (1975)
18. Constantin, A: On the blow-up of solutions of a periodic shallow water equation. *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **10**, 391-399 (2000)
19. Kato, T, Ponce, G: Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **41**, 891-907 (1988)
20. Constantin, A, Escher, J: Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations. *Acta Math.* **181**, 229-243 (1998)
21. McKean, HP: Breakdown of a shallow water equation. *Asian J. Math.* **2**, 767-774 (1998)

10.1186/1687-2770-2014-123

**Cite this article as:** Ma et al.: Blow-up phenomena and global existence for the weakly dissipative generalized periodic Degasperis-Procesi equation. *Boundary Value Problems* 2014, **2014**:123

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---