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We give very short and elegant proofs of the main results in the work of Yalcinkaya et al. (2008).

## 1. Introduction and a Proof of Some Resent Results

Motivated by our paper [1], the authors of [2] studied the following two systems of difference equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n+1}^{(i)}=\frac{x_{n}^{(i+1(\bmod k))}}{x_{n}^{(i+1(\bmod k))}-1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0},  \tag{1.1}\\
& x_{n+1}^{(i)}=\frac{x_{n}^{(i-1(\bmod k))}}{x_{n}^{(i-1(\bmod k))}-1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where we regard that $0(\bmod k)=k(\bmod k)=k$.
Following line by line the proofs of the main results in [1] they proved the following result (see Theorems 2.1 and 2.4 in [2])

Theorem A. Assume $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then the following statements are true.
(a) If $k=0(\bmod 2)$, then every (well-defined) solution of systems (1.1) and (1.2) is periodic with period $k$.
(b) If $k=1(\bmod 2)$, then every (well-defined) solution of systems (1.1) and (1.2) is periodic with period $2 k$.

Here we give a very short and elegant proof of Theorem A.
Proof of Theorem $A$. By using the change $y_{n}^{(i)}=x_{n}^{(i)}-1, i=1, \ldots, k$, system (1.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{(i)}=\left(y_{n-1}^{(i+1(\bmod k))}\right)^{-1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k, n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

while system (1.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{(i)}=\left(y_{n-1}^{(i-1(\bmod k))}\right)^{-1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.3) and (1.4), for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, and $n \geq k$, we obtain correspondingly that

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}^{(i)}=\left(y_{n-k}^{(i+1+k-1(\bmod k)}\right)^{(-1)^{k}}=\left(y_{n-k}^{(i)}\right)^{(-1)^{k}}  \tag{1.5}\\
& y_{n}^{(i)}=\left(y_{n-k}^{(i-1-(k-1)(\bmod k)}\right)^{(-1)^{k}}=\left(y_{n-k}^{(i)}\right)^{(-1)^{k}}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.5), with $k=0(\bmod 2)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{(i)}=y_{n-k^{\prime}}^{(i)} \quad i=1, \ldots, k \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which the statement in (a) easily follows.
If $k=1(\bmod 2)$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{(i)}=\left(y_{n-k}^{(i)}\right)^{-1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{(i)}=y_{n-2 k^{\prime}}^{(i)} \quad i=1, \ldots, k \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n \geq 2 k$, implying the statement in (b), as desired.

## 2. An Extension on Theorem $\mathbf{A}$

Here we extend Theorem A in a natural way. Let $\operatorname{gcd}(k, l)$ denote the greatest common divisor of the integers $k$ and $l, \operatorname{lcm}(k, l)$ the least common multiple of $k$ and $l$, and for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ let $f^{[r]}(x)=f\left(f^{[r-1]}(x)\right)$, where $f^{[1]}(x)=f(x)$.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $f$ is a real function such that $f^{[r]}(x) \equiv x$ on its domain of definition, for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then all well-defined solutions of the system of difference equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}^{(1)}=f\left(x_{n-1}^{(2)}\right), x_{n}^{(2)}=f\left(x_{n-1}^{(3)}\right), \ldots, x_{n}^{(k)}=f\left(x_{n-1}^{(1)}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are periodic with period $T=\operatorname{lcm}(k, r)$.

Proof. We use our method of "prolongation" described in [1]. Note that for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$, system (2.1) is equivalent to a system of $k s$ difference equations of the same form, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}^{(i)}=x_{n}^{(j k+i)}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j=1, \ldots, s$.
From (2.1) and since $f^{[r]}(x) \equiv x$, for $n \geq r-1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}^{(i+1)}=f\left(x_{n-1}^{(i+2)}\right)=f^{[2]}\left(x_{n-2}^{(i+3)}\right)=\cdots=f^{[r]}\left(x_{n-r}^{(i+r+1)}\right)=x_{n-r}^{(i+r+1)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, and every $n \geq r-1$.
It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=k \cdot r_{1}=k_{1} \cdot r \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{1}, k_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k, r_{1}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k_{1}, r\right)=1$.
From (2.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}^{(i+1)}=x_{n-r}^{(i+r+1)}=\cdots=x_{n-k_{1} r}^{\left(i+k_{1} r+1\right)}=x_{n-k r_{1}}^{\left(i+k r_{1}+1\right)}=x_{n-T}^{(i+1)}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $i=0,1, \ldots, k-1$, and $n \geq T-1$, from which the result follows.
The following result is proved similarly. Hence we omit its proof.
Theorem 2.2. Assume that $f$ is a real function such that $f^{[r]}(x) \equiv x$ on its domain of definition, for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then all well-defined solutions of the system of difference equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}^{(2)}=f\left(x_{n-1}^{(1)}\right), \ldots, x_{n}^{(k)}=f\left(x_{n-1}^{(k-1)}\right), \quad x_{n}^{(1)}=f\left(x_{n-1}^{(k)}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are periodic with period $T=\operatorname{lcm}(k, r)$.
Remark 2.3. The proof of Theorem A follows from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. Indeed, note that the function $f(x)=x /(x-1)$ satisfies the condition $f^{[2]}(x) \equiv x$ on its domain of definition. By Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we know that all well-defined solutions of systems (1.1) and (1.2) are periodic with period $T=\operatorname{lcm}(k, 2)$, from which the result follows.

Remark 2.4. We also have to say that the main result in [3] is a trivial consequence of a result in [1] (see Remark 5 therein). Just note that the simple change of variables $x_{n}^{(i)}=a y_{n}^{(i)}, i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, k\}$, transforms their system (1.3) satisfying conditions $a_{1}=a_{2}=\cdots=a_{k}=a$ and $b_{1}=b_{2}=\cdots=b_{k}=b=a^{2}$, into system (4) in [1].
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