Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Surgical Oncology Volume 2012, Article ID 524981, 6 pages doi:10.1155/2012/524981

Research Article

Preoperative Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate Independently Predicts Overall Survival in Localized Renal Cell Carcinoma following Radical Nephrectomy

Brian W. Cross,¹ Timothy V. Johnson,¹ Austin B. DeRosa,¹ Kenneth Ogan,¹ John G. Pattaras,¹ Peter T. Nieh,¹ Omer Kucuk,^{2,3} Wayne B. Harris,^{2,3} and Viraj A. Master^{1,3}

¹Department of Urology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

²Department of Medical Oncology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

³ Emory Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Viraj A. Master, vmaster@emory.edu

Received 1 April 2012; Accepted 11 June 2012

Academic Editor: Marcos Tobias-Machado

Copyright © 2012 Brian W. Cross et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Objectives. To determine the relationship between preoperative erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and overall survival in localized renal cell carcinoma (RCC) following nephrectomy. *Methods.* 167 patients undergoing nephrectomy for localized RCC had ESR levels measured preoperatively. Receiver Operating Characteristics curves were used to determine Area Under the Curve and relative sensitivity and specificity of preoperative ESR in predicting overall survival. Cut-offs for low (0.0–20.0 mm/hr), intermediate (20.1–50.0 mm/hr), and high risk (>50.0 mm/hr) groups were created. Kaplan-Meier analysis was conducted to assess the univariate impact of these ESR-based groups on overall survival. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis was conducted to assess the potential of these groups to predict overall survival, adjusting for other patient and tumor characteristics. *Results.* Overall, 55.2% were low risk, while 27.0% and 17.8% were intermediate and high risk, respectively. Median (95% CI) survival was 44.1 (42.6–45.5) months, 35.5 (32.3–38.8) months, and 32.1 (25.5–38.6) months, respectively. After controlling for other patient and tumor characteristics, intermediate and high risk groups experienced a 4.5-fold (HR: 4.509, 95% CI: 0.735–27.649) and 18.5-fold (HR: 18.531, 95% CI: 2.117–162.228) increased risk of overall mortality, respectively. *Conclusion*. Preoperative ESR values represent a robust predictor of overall survival following nephrectomy in localized RCC.

1. Introduction

Over 50,000 Americans are diagnosed with renal cell carcinoma (RCC) each year, approximately 30% of whom will ultimately develop metastatic progression of their disease despite apparent curative nephrectomy for localized cancer at the time of clinical presentation [1, 2]. Metastatic RCC, untreated, has a dismal 5-year survival rate of <10% and a median overall survival of less than one year [3–6]. As such, there has been a long-standing interest in accurately identifying those patients most likely to suffer from postoperative disease progression, and much research in recent years has focused on the development of prognostic models to aid in surveillance strategies and patient counseling. Currently, the most commonly used tool to predict outcome in RCC is the TNM staging system. However, there is considerable overlap in survival between stages [5], and this has fostered the search for other prognostic markers to more clearly stratify those patients in whom a poor outcome can be expected.

Recently, efforts at identifying markers of disease progression in RCC have focused on the readily available and cost-effective clinical indices of preoperative laboratory values [7]. It is becoming increasingly clear that neoplastic progression depends on an orchestrated interface between tumor biology and the host inflammatory response [8]. The systemic inflammatory response, as represented by aberrations in circulating levels of acute-phase reactants, has previously been shown to be a predictor of poor overall survival in a variety of advanced malignancies [9–11]. Indeed, we and multiple other groups have recently shown preoperative Creactive protein (CRP) levels are an independent predictor of metastasis and mortality following extirpative surgery for localized RCC [12].

The determination of the erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) is by a simple and inexpensive laboratory test introduced by Westergren in 1921. It measures the distance erythrocytes have fallen after one hour in a vertical column of anticoagulated blood under the influence of gravity [13]. Though its clinical usefulness as a diagnostic tool has diminished as more intricate methods of analysis have emerged, it remains paramount in the specific diagnosis of a few conditions, including temporal arteritis, polymyalgia rheumatica, and rheumatoid arthritis. Moreover, an extreme elevation is mostly associated with infection or malignancy [13]. Numerous studies over three decades have substantiated the prognostic utility of ESR in patients with RCC [7, 14–23], with recent data from the Mayo Clinic showing elevated ESR levels predicting the presence of aggressive disease and poorer outcomes [22].

Despite these numerous observations, the ESR level is not routinely incorporated into current prognostic models for RCC, likely due to the nonspecific nature of its elevation [24– 26], and the relationship between ESR and survival in localized RCC following potentially curative nephrectomy has not been fully elucidated. We hypothesized preoperative ESR values were an independent prognostic indicator of overall survival in localized renal cell carcinoma following radical nephrectomy and could have potential benefit with respect to overall clinical management as well as preoperative patient counseling, especially constructing specific risk categories on the basis of ESR levels.

2. Methods

One hundred sixty-seven patients who underwent potentially curative radical nephrectomy (all macroscopic tumor was removed with negative surgical margins) for clear cell RCC had ESR measured preoperatively between November 2006 and February 2010. There were a total of 192 patients with RCC during this time period, 15% of which did not have a preoperative ESR value measured, mostly due to error in paperwork. Follow-up data was available through August 25, 2010. Patients underwent standard followup for post-nephrectomy RCC patients, including imaging studies every 3 months for 1 year, then spaced to every 6 months until 5 years postoperatively, then every year thereafter. Routine laboratory studies including CRP, serum creatinine, and ESR were checked every 3 months and physical exams were performed at office visits. Perioperative deaths (within 30 days of surgery) were excluded from analysis. Inclusion criteria consisted of clear cell histology, and exclusion criteria consisted of nodal or metastatic disease, or age less than 18 years. All patients underwent a cross-sectional imaging study (MRI or IV-contrasted CT) of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis before surgery. No patients received systemic therapy following nephrectomy. The Emory University Institutional Review Board approved this clinical database project.

Patients were staged pathologically according to the AJCC TNM renal tumor classification [27], and tumors were graded based on Fuhrman criteria [28]. Staging was initially

based on six stages (T1a, T1b, T2, T3a, T3b, and T3c). However, one-way analysis of variance revealed no significant difference in outcomes between T1a and T1b and between T3a, T3b, and T3c. Additionally, there was no significant difference between T3 and T4 disease. Therefore, patients were divided into three groups based on T-stages: T1, T2, and T3-4.

Prior to surgery, clinical stage, routine laboratory measurements and ESR levels were assessed. The inter- and intraassay variability for all laboratory values were <10%. Postoperatively, we assessed overall survival via Social Security Death Index.

Frequency and descriptive analyses were conducted to characterize the patient population. Kaplan-Meier analysis was conducted to assess the univariate impact of these ESR-based risk groups on overall survival. Finally, univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis was conducted to assess the potential of these groups to predict overall survival, adjusting for other patient and tumor characteristics. Statistical significance in this study was set at P < 0.05. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 16.0.

3. Results

This study cohort consisted of 167 patients who underwent potentially curative nephrectomy for localized clear cell RCC. Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curves were constructed and used to determine the Area Under the Curve (AUC) and relative sensitivity and specificity of preoperative ESR in predicting overall survival. From this curve, cutoffs for low risk (0.0–20.0 mm/hr), intermediate risk (20.1– 50.0 mm/hr), and high risk (>50.0 mm/hr) groups were created. Of the total cohort, 101 patients (55.2%) were in the low risk group, while 40 patients (27.0%) and 26 patients (17.8%) were in the intermediate risk and high risk groups, respectively. The majority of patients in all risk categories were Caucasian males, with mean (SD) ages of 56.5 (± 12.7) years, 64.4 (± 14.2) years, and 64.2 (± 11.7) years for the low, intermediate, and high risk categories, respectively (Table 1). The majority of patients in the low and intermediate risk groups had T1 disease, while higher T-stages predominated in the high risk group, with nearly half of these patients having either T3 or T4 RCC. Likewise, higher nuclear grades prevailed in the high risk group, with almost 70% of high risk patients having either Fuhrman nuclear grade III or IV. The mean (SD) ESR values were 10.1 (5.0) mm/hr, 31.5 (7.3) mm/hr, and 82.5 (24.9) mm/hr for the low, intermediate, and high risk groups, respectively (Table 1).

Median (95% CI) survival for the ESR-based risk groups was 44.1 (42.6–45.5) months, 35.5 (32.3–38.8) months, and 32.1 (25.5–38.6) months for the low, intermediate, and high risk groups, respectively. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of overall survival showed a 3.2-fold (HR: 3.265, 95% CI: 0.993-10.733) increased risk of overall mortality for the intermediate risk group and a 8.4-fold (HR: 8.409, 95% CI: 2.740-25.805) increased risk for the high risk group. After controlling for patient age, race, gender, Charlson Comorbidity Index, T-Stage, Fuhrman Nuclear

International Journal of Surgical Oncology

TABLE 1: Patient characteristics.							
Low risk ($\leq 20.0 \text{ mm/hr}$) ($n = 101$)	Intermediate risk (20.1–50.0 mm/hr) (n = 40)	High risk (\geq 50.1 mm/hr) ($n = 26$)	All patients $(n = 167)$				
56.5 (12.7)	64.4 (14.2)	64.2 (11.7)	59.4 (12.8)				
84.3/15.7	79.4/20.6	60.0/40.0	72.1/27.9				
70.3	52.5	50.0	64.8				
2.9 (1.6)	3.0 (1.7)	4.0 (2.3)	3.1 (1.7)				
84.3/7.9/7.9	68.4/10.5/21.1	35.5/16.7/45.8	72.3/10.3/17.4				
59.1/39.8/1.1	50.0/44.7/5.3	29.2/54.2/16.7	49.5/43.2/7.3				
4.4 (2.6)	4.9 (2.9)	7.0 (4.3)	5.0 (3.2)				
10.1 (5.0)	31.5 (7.3)	82.5 (24.9)	28.5 (29.4)				
	TABLE 1 Low risk $(\le 20.0 \text{ mm/hr})$ $(n = 101)$ 56.5 (12.7) 84.3/15.7 70.3 2.9 (1.6) 84.3/7.9/7.9 59.1/39.8/1.1 4.4 (2.6) 10.1 (5.0)	TABLE 1: Patient characteristics.Low riskIntermediate risk $(20.1-50.0 \text{ mm/hr})$ $(n = 101)$ $(20.1-50.0 \text{ mm/hr})$ $(n = 40)$ 56.5 (12.7)64.4 (14.2)84.3/15.779.4/20.670.352.52.9 (1.6)3.0 (1.7)84.3/7.9/7.968.4/10.5/21.159.1/39.8/1.150.0/44.7/5.34.4 (2.6)4.9 (2.9)10.1 (5.0)31.5 (7.3)	TABLE 1: Patient characteristics.Low riskIntermediate riskHigh risk ($\geq 20.0 \text{ mm/hr}$) ($n = 101$)High risk ($\geq 50.1 \text{ mm/hr}$) ($n = 26$)56.5 (12.7)64.4 (14.2)64.2 (11.7)84.3/15.779.4/20.660.0/40.070.352.550.02.9 (1.6)3.0 (1.7)4.0 (2.3)84.3/7.9/7.968.4/10.5/21.135.5/16.7/45.859.1/39.8/1.150.0/44.7/5.329.2/54.2/16.74.4 (2.6)4.9 (2.9)7.0 (4.3)10.1 (5.0)31.5 (7.3)82.5 (24.9)				

^TErythrocyte Sedimentation Rate.

TABLE 2: Univariate and	multivariate Cox regr	ession analyses of	predictors of overal	l survival (OS).
THEE 21 CHINATIALC AND	interte di tate don regi	0001011 analy 000 01	predictoro or overdi	1041 (1141 (00))

Variable	Crude HR	95% CI	Adjusted HR	95% CI
ESR [†] -Based Risk Categories				
Low risk	Reference		Reference	
Intermediate risk	3.265	0.993-10.733	4.509*	0.735-27.649
High risk	8.409	2.740-25.805	18.531**	2.117-162.228
Age	1.028	0.992-1.065	1.030	0.971-1.093
Gender				
Female	Reference		Reference	
Male	1.502	0.610-3.697	1.306	0.322-5.298
Race				
White	Reference		Reference	
Non-white	0.658	0.149-2.896	0.150	0.018-1.243
Stage				
1	Reference		Reference	
2	1.675	0.195-14.374	0.761	0.050-11.573
3-4	10.077	3.436-29.552	4.685	0.721-30.449
Charlson Comorbidity Index	1.274	1.098-1.480	0.754	0.506-1.123
Grade				
1-2	Reference		Reference	
3	3.266	0.883-12.076	1.373	0.222-8.493
4	32.595	7.684-138.264	21.902	1.937-247.590
Tumor size	1.176	1.072-1.290	1.001	0.820-1.221

[†]Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate.

*P = 0.033.

**P < 0.001.

grade, and tumor size, intermediate risk and high risk groups experienced a 4.5-fold (HR: 4.509, 95% CI: 0.735–27.649, P = 0.033) and 18.5-fold (HR: 18.531, 95% CI: 2.117–162.228, P < 0.001) increased risk of overall mortality, respectively (Table 2).

Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of probability of survival versus time since surgery stratified by preoperative ESR risk category into low, intermediate, and high risk groups showed a statistically significant difference in survival when comparing the low risk group to both the high risk group (P < 0.001)



FIGURE 1: Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of probability of survival versus time since surgery (days) by preoperative ESR Risk Category among patients diagnosed with localized clear cell RCC undergoing potentially curative nephrectomy. Patients categorized into Low Risk ($\leq 20.0 \text{ mm/hr}$), Intermediate Risk (20.1-50.0 mm/hr), and High Risk (> 50.0 mm/hr) based on preoperative ESR levels. Logrank: Low Risk versus High Risk (P < 0.001), Low Risk versus Intermediate Risk versus High Risk (P = 0.033), Intermediate Risk versus High Risk (P = 0.066).

as well as comparing the low risk group to the intermediate risk group (P = 0.033). No statistically significant difference in survival was observed between the intermediate and high risk groups (P = 0.066), although a trend was observed (Figure 1).

4. Discussion

Renal cell carcinoma can be ranked among the great masqueraders of clinical medicine, and its diagnosis at a stage early enough for curative nephrectomy remains a significant challenge. Clinically localized tumors are often symptomfree, and by the time clinical symptoms become apparent, more advanced tumors have a complex clinical course with increased morbidity and mortality. As such, numerous studies over several decades have focused on the identification of other objective measures for both diagnostic as well as prognostic use in defining risk groups for preoperative patient counseling and postoperative surveillance strategies [7, 12, 14, 22, 23, 29, 30].

With the rapid and evolving understanding of renal tumor biology, RCC staging systems have likewise evolved over time. The first formal staging system dates to 1958, later modified by Robson in 1969 [31, 32]. Subsequent refinements have led to the development of the often-cited TNM classification, which stratifies patients' primary tumor into one of four classifications (I–IV). Similarly, this classification has also undergone multiple refinements since its inception in 1974. Regardless, many elements of the TNM staging system are cause for debate. This has led to the development of numerous integrated staging systems such as the UCLA/UISS (UCLA Integrated staging system) as well as the SSIGN (Stage, Size, Grade, and Necrosis) scoring algorithm [33]. Of note, none of these staging systems incorporates any measured serum markers.

Several recent studies have focused on the prognostic value of preoperative ESR levels in RCC following potentially curative nephrectomy for clinically localized disease [22, 23], as this is a quick and inexpensive laboratory test costing less than thirty dollars at our institution.

In a recent meta-analysis, Wu and colleagues found the systemic inflammatory response to be a predictor of poor overall survival in patients with renal cell carcinoma [34]. In a total of 47 studies included for meta-analysis, the combined hazard ratios (HRs) for survival of CRP, platelet count (PC), and ESR were 3.46, 3.22, and 3.85, respectively. All three inflammatory indicators also predicted relapse-free survival (HRs > 2.0).

Another recent study specifically analyzing the role of preoperative ESR values found that both tumor stage and preoperative ESR levels were both significant independent prognostic indicators of progression-free survival as well as disease-specific survival [23]. When analysis was limited to pT1 tumors, only ESR was an independent prognostic factor for disease-specific survival.

Two recent studies from the Mayo Clinic have reported elevated preoperative ESR levels portended an increased risk of death from RCC [7, 22], however, neither of these studies stratified patients preoperatively into low, intermediate, or high risk based on their ESR level.

The incidence of elevated ESR in patients with RCC has been reported to range between 23% and 50% [22], and has been noted as an independent prognostic factor for disease-specific survival (DSS) as well as progression-free survival (PFS) following nephrectomy [23]. However, as noted previously, despite these observations ESR is not incorporated into current prognostic algorithms for RCC. This could be due to many factors, not the least of which is its nonspecific nature as well as the poorly understood mechanism by which it reaches elevated levels. Early studies on the prognostic significance of ESR were fraught with uncertainty owing mainly to a lack of histologic stratification and the relatively small number of patients in each series. These issues have been addressed in a more recent study of larger cohorts of patients grouped by histologic subtype from the Mayo Clinic [22]. This study evaluated the prognostic significance of preoperative ESR in 1075 patients who underwent nephrectomy for RCC over 30 years. These authors observed an association between elevated preoperative ESR (defined as >22 mm/hr in male patients and >29 mm/hr in female patients) and death from clear cell RCC, papillary RCC, and chromophobe RCC, with risk ratios of 3.6, 3.8, and 10.3, respectively.

Urologists are long familiar with the use of serum markers to risk-stratify patients with cancer. For example, different levels of PSA prior to definitive local therapy can be helpful in predicting outcome, as well as the previously mentioned prognostic value of preoperative CRP in renal cell carcinoma. To our knowledge, the current study is the first to stratify patients based on preoperative ESR level into low, intermediate, and high risk categories based on overall survival following nephrectomy for localized RCC. In multivariate analysis, preoperative ESR levels were significantly associated with an increased risk of overall mortality, with the intermediate and high risk groups experiencing a 4.5-fold and 18.5-fold increased risk of overall mortality, respectively. These results support our hypothesis that preoperative ESR levels independently predict overall survival following nephrectomy for clinically localized RCC and reinforce other studies asserting its prognostic significance.

This distinction is of paramount importance in the preoperative counseling of patients, and in helping to identify those most suitable for intense monitoring for postoperative disease recurrence as well as potential consideration for inclusion into adjuvant therapy trials. Unfortunately, until an adjuvant therapy demonstrates efficacy in those patients most at risk for recurrence, there is little to offer other than aggressive surgical therapy.

This study is limited by both its relatively small cohort of patients as well as the limited followup period. This is especially reflected in the wide confidence intervals. Furthermore, we did not account for lifestyle and socioeconomic variables, including BMI, alcohol or tobacco use, and diet, which could be confounding variables. We must also discuss the likely selection bias inherent in performing this type of study at a large, tertiary-care facility, where a large referral base naturally results in a larger proportion of patients with aggressive disease characteristics as seen in Table 1. Without question, further investigation is needed to fully clarify the role of preoperative ESR levels in the prognostication of patients with clinically localized RCC, as well as to determine the prognostic utility of postoperative values. However, there is clearly an association of elevated preoperative levels of ESR with poor overall outcomes. Further studies would need to investigate this conclusion over a longer period of time and among different patient populations. Nonetheless, we feel these findings are significant and suggest an expanded role for this simple and inexpensive preoperative laboratory assessment.

In conclusion, the erythrocyte sedimentation rate is an easily obtainable, relatively inexpensive serum marker whose level independently segregates patients with clinically localized renal cancer into different risk groups with significant differences in overall survival. Inclusion into nomograms may prove beneficial if this data is confirmed in larger and more varied study populations.

Conflicts of Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

References

 A. Jemal, T. Murray, E. Ward et al., "Cancer statistics, 2005," *CA-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 10–30, 2005.

- [2] B. C. Leibovich, M. L. Blute, J. C. Cheville et al., "Prediction of progression after radical nephrectomy for patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma: a stratification tool for prospective clinical trials," *Cancer*, vol. 97, no. 7, pp. 1663–1671, 2003.
- [3] T. Klatte, J. S. Lam, B. Shuch, A. S. Belldegrun, and A. J. Pantuck, "Surveillance for renal cell carcinoma: why and how? When and how often?" *Urologic Oncology*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 550–554, 2008.
- [4] B. Ljungberg, "Prognostic markers in renal cell carcinoma," *Current Opinion in Urology*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 303–308, 2007.
- [5] S. Ramsey, G. W. Lamb, M. Aitchison, and D. C. McMillan, "Prospective study of the relationship between the systemic inflammatory response, prognostic scoring systems and relapse-free and cancer-specific survival in patients undergoing potentially curative resection for renal cancer," *British Journal of Urology International*, vol. 101, no. 8, pp. 959–963, 2008.
- [6] A. Zisman, A. J. Pantuck, J. Wieder et al., "Risk group assessment and clinical outcome algorithm to predict the natural history of patients with surgically resected renal cell carcinoma," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 20, no. 23, pp. 4559– 4566, 2002.
- [7] J. S. Magera Jr., B. C. Leibovich, C. M. Lohse et al., "Association of abnormal preoperative laboratory values with survival after radical nephrectomy for clinically confined clear cell renal cell carcinoma," *Urology*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 278–282, 2008.
- [8] G. W. Lamb, P. A. McArdle, S. Ramsey et al., "The relationship between the local and systemic inflammatory responses and survival in patients undergoing resection for localized renal cancer," *British Journal of Urology International*, vol. 102, no. 6, pp. 756–761, 2008.
- [9] E. Bromwich, D. C. McMillan, G. W. Lamb, P. A. Vasey, and M. Aitchison, "The systemic inflammatory response, performance status and survival in patients undergoing alphainterferon treatment for advanced renal cancer," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 91, no. 7, pp. 1236–1238, 2004.
- [10] D. C. McMillan, M. M. Elahi, N. Sattar, W. J. Angerson, J. Johnstone, and C. S. McArdle, "Measurement of the systemic inflammatory response predicts cancer-specific and non-cancer survival in patients with cancer," *Nutrition and Cancer*, vol. 41, no. 1-2, pp. 64–69, 2001.
- [11] P. O'Gorman, D. C. McMillan, and C. S. McArdle, "Prognostic factors in advanced gastrointestinal cancer patients with weight loss," *Nutrition and Cancer*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 36–40, 2000.
- [12] T. V. Johnson, A. Abbasi, A. Owen-Smith et al., "Absolute preoperative C-reactive protein predicts metastasis and mortality in the first year following potentially curative nephrectomy for clear cell renal cell carcinoma," *The Journal of Urology*, vol. 183, no. 2, pp. 480–485, 2010.
- [13] M. L. Brigden, "Clinical utility of the erythrocyte sedimentation rate," *American Family Physician*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 1443– 1450, 1999.
- [14] E. Hannisdal, L. Bostad, K. A. Grottum, and F. Langmark, "Erythrocyte sedimentation rate as a prognostic factor in renal cell carcinoma," *European Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 333–336, 1989.
- [15] R. Hoffmann, A. Franzke, J. Buer et al., "Prognostic impact of in vivo soluble cell adhesion molecules in metastatic renal cell carcinoma," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 79, no. 11-12, pp. 1742–1745, 1999.
- [16] W. C. Hop and B. H. van der Werf-Messing, "Prognostic indexes for renal cell carcinoma," *European Journal of Cancer* and Clinical Oncology, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 833–840, 1980.

- [17] J. Lehmann, M. Retz, N. Nürnberg et al., "The superior prognostic value of humoral factors compared with molecular proliferation markers in renal cell carcinoma," *Cancer*, vol. 101, no. 7, pp. 1552–1562, 2004.
- [18] B. Ljungberg, K. Grankvist, and T. Rasmuson, "Serum interleukin-6 in relation to acute-phase reactants and survival in patients with renal cell carcinoma," *European Journal of Cancer*, vol. 33, no. 11, pp. 1794–1798, 1997.
- [19] B. Ljungberg, G. Landberg, and F. I. Alamdari, "Factors of importance for prediction of survival in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma, treated with or without nephrectomy," *Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 246–251, 2000.
- [20] J. U. Roosen, U. Engel, R. H. Jensen, E. Kvist, and G. Schou, "Renal cell carcinoma: prognostic factors," *British Journal of Urology*, vol. 74, no. 2, pp. 160–164, 1994.
- [21] A. P. Sene, L. Hunt, R. F. McMahon, and R. N. Carroll, "Renal carcinoma in patients undergoing nephrectomy: analysis of survival and prognostic factors," *British Journal of Urology*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 125–134, 1992.
- [22] S. Sengupta, C. M. Lohse, J. C. Cheville et al., "The preoperative erythrocyte sedimentation rate is an independent prognostic factor in renal cell carcinoma," *Cancer*, vol. 106, no. 2, pp. 304–312, 2006.
- [23] Y. Kawai, H. Matsuyama, Y. Korenaga et al., "Preoperative erythrocyte sedimentation rate is an independent prognostic factor in japanese patients with localized clear cell renal cell carcinoma," *Urologia Internationalis*, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 306– 310, 2009.
- [24] I. Frank, M. L. Blute, J. C. Cheville, C. M. Lohse, A. L. Weaver, and H. Zincke, "An outcome prediction model for patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma treated with radical nephrectomy based on tumor stage, size, grade and necrosis: the SSIGN score," *The Journal of Urology*, vol. 168, no. 6, pp. 2395– 2400, 2002.
- [25] M. W. Kattan, V. Reuter, R. J. Motzer, J. Katz, and P. Russo, "A postoperative prognostic nomogram for renal cell carcinoma," *The Journal of Urology*, vol. 166, no. 1, pp. 63–67, 2001.
- [26] A. Zisman, A. J. Pantuck, F. Dorey et al., "Improved prognostication of renal cell carcinoma using an integrated staging system," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 1649– 1657, 2001.
- [27] L. H. Sobin and I. D. Fleming, "TNM classification of malignant tumors, fifth edition (1997). Union Internationale Contre le Cancer and the American Joint Committee on Cancer," *Cancer*, vol. 80, no. 9, pp. 1803–1804, 1997.
- [28] S. A. Fuhrman, L. C. Lasky, and C. Limas, "Prognostic significance of morphologic parameters in renal cell carcinoma," *American Journal of Surgical Pathology*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 655– 663, 1982.
- [29] T. Donmez, M. Kale, Y. Ozyurek, and H. Atalay, "Erythrocyte sedimentation rates in patients with renal cell carcinoma," *European Urology*, vol. 21, supplement 1, pp. 51–52, 1992.
- [30] O. H. Iversen, M. Røger, H. E. Solberg, and P. Wetteland, "Rising erythrocyte sedimentation rate during several years before diagnosis can be a predictive factor in 70% of renal cell carcinoma patients. The benefit of knowing subject-based reference values," *Journal of Internal Medicine*, vol. 240, no. 3, pp. 133–141, 1996.
- [31] R. H. Flocks and M. C. Kadesky, "Malignant neoplasms of the kidney; an analysis of 353 patients followed five years or more," *The Journal of Urology*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 196–201, 1958.

- [32] C. J. Robson, B. M. Churchill, and W. Anderson, "The results of radical nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma," *The Journal* of Urology, vol. 101, no. 3, pp. 297–301, 1969.
- [33] B. Shuch, J. C. La Rochelle, A. J. Pantuck, and A. S. Belldegrun, "The staging of renal cell carcinoma," *Current Opinion in Urology*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 455–461, 2008.
- [34] Y. Wu, X. Fu, X. Zhu et al., "Prognostic role of systemic inflammatory response in renal cell carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology*, vol. 137, no. 5, pp. 887–896, 2011.



The Scientific **World Journal**



Gastroenterology Research and Practice





Journal of Diabetes Research



Disease Markers



Immunology Research





Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com





BioMed **Research International**



Journal of Ophthalmology

Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine





Behavioural Neurology









Research and Treatment





Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity



Stem Cells International

