Hindawi Publishing Corporation The Scientific World Journal Volume 2014, Article ID 941086, 15 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/941086



Research Article

Generalized Contractive Mappings and Weakly α -Admissible Pairs in G-Metric Spaces

N. Hussain, 1 V. Parvaneh, 2 and S. J. Hoseini Ghoncheh 3

Correspondence should be addressed to V. Parvaneh; zam.dalahoo@gmail.com

Received 26 June 2014; Accepted 22 July 2014; Published 17 August 2014

Academic Editor: Erdal Karapinar

Copyright © 2014 N. Hussain et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The aim of this paper is to present some coincidence and common fixed point results for generalized (ψ, φ) -contractive mappings using partially weakly G- α -admissibility in the setup of G-metric space. As an application of our results, periodic points of weakly contractive mappings are obtained. We also derive certain new coincidence point and common fixed point theorems in partially ordered G-metric spaces. Moreover, some examples are provided here to illustrate the usability of the obtained results.

1. Introduction and Mathematical Preliminaries

The concept of a generalized metric space, or a *G*-metric space, was introduced by Mustafa and Sims [1]. In recent years, many authors have obtained different fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying various contractive conditions on *G*-metric spaces. For a survey of fixed point theory, its applications, different contractive conditions, and related topics in *G*-metric spaces we refer the reader to [1–33] and the references mentioned therein.

Recall that very recently Samet et al. [33] and Jleli and Samet [22] proved that several results in G-metric spaces can be deduced from the usual one. Later on, Agarwal and Karapnar [23] and Asadi et al. [25] suggested some new contraction mapping type to fail the approaches in [22, 33].

Definition 1 (*G*-metric space [1]). Let *X* be a nonempty set and let $G: X^3 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function satisfying the following properties:

(G1)
$$G(x, y, z) = 0$$
 if $x = y = z$;

(G2)
$$0 < G(x, x, y)$$
, for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$;

(G3)
$$G(x, x, y) \le G(x, y, z)$$
, for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $y \ne z$;

(G4)
$$G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \cdots$$
 (symmetry in all three variables);

(G5) $G(x, y, z) \le G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ (rectangle inequality).

Then, the function G is called a G-metric on X and the pair (X, G) is called a G-metric space.

Definition 2 (see [1]). Let (X,G) be a G-metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of points of X. A point $x \in X$ is said to be the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty}G(x,x_n,x_m)=0$. In this case, one says that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to x. Thus, if $x_n\to x$ in a G-metric space (X,G), then, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $G(x,x_n,x_m)<\varepsilon$, for all $n,m\geq N$.

Definition 3 (see [1]). Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called G-Cauchy if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer N such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m, l \ge N$; that is, if $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \to 0$, as $n, m, l \to \infty$.

Lemma 4 (see [1]). Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to x;
- (2) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$;

¹ Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Mathematics, Gilan-E-Gharb Branch, Islamic Azad University, Gilan-E-Gharb, Iran

³ Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Takestan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Takestan, Iran

(3)
$$G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$$
, as $n \rightarrow \infty$;

(4)
$$G(x_m, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$$
, as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 5 (see [34]). If (X,G) is a G-metric space, then $\{x_n\}$ is a G-Cauchy sequence if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $m > n \ge N$.

Definition 6 (see [1]). A G-metric space (X, G) is said to be G-complete (or complete G-metric space) if every G-Cauchy sequence in (X, G) is G-convergent in X.

Proposition 7 (see [1]). Let X be a G-metric space. Then for each $x, y, z, a \in X$ it follows that

- (1) if G(x, y, z) = 0 then x = y = z,
- $(2) G(x, y, z) \le G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z),$
- (3) $G(x, y, y) \le 2G(y, x, x)$,
- (4) $G(x, y, z) \le G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$.

Definition 8 (see [1]). Let (X, G) and (X', G') be two G-metric spaces. Then a function $f: X \to X'$ is G-continuous at a point $x \in X$ if and only if it is G-sequentially continuous at x; that is, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to x, $\{f(x_n)\}$ is G'-convergent to f(x).

The concept of an altering distance function was introduced by Khan et al. [35] as follows.

Definition 9. The function $\psi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ is called an altering distance function, if the following properties are satisfied.

- (1) ψ is continuous and nondecreasing.
- (2) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0.

Samet et al. [36] defined the notion of α -admissible mappings in the framework of metric spaces as follows.

Definition 10. Let T be a self-mapping on X and let $\alpha: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ be a function. We say that T is an α -admissible mapping if

$$x, y \in X, \quad \alpha(x, y) \ge 1 \Longrightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty) \ge 1.$$
 (1)

For more details on α -admissible mappings we refer the reader to [37–39].

Definition 11 (see [40]). Let (*X*, *G*) be a *G*-metric space and let *f* be a self-mapping on *X* and let $\alpha: X^3 \to [0, \infty)$ be a function. We say that *f* is a *G*-α-admissible mapping if

$$x, y, z \in X$$
, $\alpha(x, y, z) \ge 1 \Longrightarrow \alpha(fx, fy, fz) \ge 1$. (2)

Definition 12. Let X be an arbitrary set, $\alpha: X \times X \times X \to [0,\infty)$, and $f: X \to X$. A mapping f is called an α -dominating map on X if $\alpha(x,fx,fx) \ge 1$ or $\alpha(x,x,fx) \ge 1$ for each x in X.

Example 13. Let X = [0,1]. Let $f: X \to X$ be defined by $fx = x^{1/3}$ and let $\alpha: X \times X \times X \to [0,\infty)$ be defined by $\alpha(x,y,z) = y+z-2x+1$. Then, $x \le x^{1/3} = fx$ for all $x \in X$. That is, $2x^{1/3} - 2x + 1 \ge 1$. Thus, f is an α -dominating map.

Definition 14. Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. We say that X is α -regular if and only if the following hypothesis holds.

For any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \ge 1$ such that $x_n \to z$ as $n \to \infty$, it follows that $\alpha(x_n, z, z) \ge 1$ or $\alpha(z, x_n, z) \ge 1$ or $\alpha(z, z, x_n) \ge 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 15. Let X be a set and let $f, g: X \to X$ be given mappings. We say that the pair (f, g) is partially weakly G- α -admissible if and only if $\alpha(fx, gfx, gfx) \ge 1$ for all $x \in X$.

Let *X* be a nonempty set and $f: X \to X$ a given mapping. For every $x \in X$, let $f^{-1}(x) = \{u \in X \mid fu = x\}$.

Definition 16. Let *X* be a set and let *f*, *g*, *R* : *X* \rightarrow *X* be given mappings. We say that the pair (*f*, *g*) is partially weakly *G*-α-admissible with respect to *R* if and only if for all $x \in X$, $\alpha(fx, gy, gy) \ge 1$, where $y \in R^{-1}(fx)$.

If f = g, we say that f is partially weakly G- α -admissible with respect to R.

If $R = I_X$ (the identity mapping on X), then the previous definition reduces to the partially weakly G- α -admissible pair.

Following is an example of mappings f, g, h, R, S, and T for which ordered pairs (f,g), (g,h), and (h,f) are partially weakly G- α -admissible with respect to R, S, and T, respectively.

Example 17. Let $X = [0, \infty)$. We define functions $f, g, h, R, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x, & 0 \le x \le 1, \\ 1, & 1 \le x \le \infty, \end{cases} \qquad g(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x}, & 0 \le x \le 1, \\ 1, & 1 \le x \le \infty, \end{cases}$$
$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x^2, & 0 \le x \le 1, \\ 1, & 1 \le x \le \infty, \end{cases}$$
$$R(x) = \begin{cases} x^3, & 0 \le x \le 1, \\ 1, & 1 \le x \le \infty, \end{cases} \qquad S(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt[4]{x}, & 0 \le x \le 1, \\ 1, & 1 \le x \le \infty, \end{cases}$$
$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt[3]{x}, & 0 \le x \le 1, \\ 1, & 1 \le x \le \infty. \end{cases}$$
(3)

Also, let $\alpha(x, y, z) = 1 + \sinh^{-1}(\sqrt[3]{y + z - 2x}/1 + (x + y + z)^4)$.

Jungck in [41] introduced the following definition.

Definition 18 (see [42]). Let (X,G) be a G-metric space and let $f,g:X\to X$. The pair (f,g) is said to be compatible if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty}G(fgx_n,fgx_n,gfx_n)=0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}fx_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}gx_n=t$ for some $t\in X$.

The aim of this paper is to prove some coincidence and common fixed point theorems for nonlinear weakly (ψ, φ) -contractive mappings (f,g), (g,h), and (h,f) which are partially weakly α -admissible with respect to R, S, and T, respectively, in a G-metric space.

2. Main Results

Let (X,G) be a metric space and let $f,g,h,R,S,T:X\to X$ be six self-mappings. In the rest of this paper, unless otherwise stated, for all $x,y,z\in X$, let

$$M(x, y, z) \in \left\{ G(Tx, Ry, Sz), \frac{G(Tx, gy, gy) + G(Ry, hz, hz) + G(Sz, fx, fx)}{6}, \frac{G(Tx, Tx, gy) + G(Ry, Ry, hz) + G(Sz, Sz, fx)}{6}, \frac{G(Tx, fx, fx) + G(Ry, gy, gy) + G(Sz, Sz, hz)}{6}, \frac{G(Tx, Tx, fx) + G(Ry, Ry, gy) + G(Sz, hz, hz)}{6} \right\}.$$

From now on, let $\alpha: X^3 \to [0, \infty)$ be a function having the following property:

If
$$\alpha(x, y, y) \ge 1$$
 and $\alpha(y, z, w) \ge 1$, then $\alpha(x, y, w) \ge 1$. (5)

For example, one can take $\alpha:[0,\infty)^3\to [0,\infty)$ by $\alpha(x,y,z)=e^{2x-y-z}$.

Our first result is the following.

Theorem 19. Let (X,G) be a G-complete G-metric space. Let $f,g,h,R,S,T:X\to X$ be six mappings such that $f(X)\subseteq R(X),g(X)\subseteq S(X)$, and $h(X)\subseteq T(X)$. Suppose that, for every three elements x,y, and z with $\alpha(Tx,Ry,Sz)\geq 1$, one has

$$\psi\left(G\left(fx,gy,hz\right)\right) \le \psi\left(M\left(x,y,z\right)\right) - \varphi\left(M\left(x,y,z\right)\right), \tag{6}$$

where $\psi, \varphi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ are altering distance functions. Let f, g, h, R, S, and T be continuous, the pairs (f, T), (g, R), and (h, S) compatible, and the pairs (f, g), (g, h), and (h, f) partially weakly α -admissible with respect to R, S, and T, respectively. Then, the pairs (f, T), (g, R), and (h, S) have a coincidence point z in X. Moreover, if $\alpha(Tz, Rz, Sz) \geq 1$, then z is a coincidence point of f, g, h, R, S, and T.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Since $f(X) \subseteq R(X)$, we can choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $fx_0 = Rx_1$. Since $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$, we can choose $x_2 \in X$ such that $gx_1 = Sx_2$. Also, as $h(X) \subseteq T(X)$, we can choose $x_3 \in X$ such that $hx_2 = Tx_3$.

Continuing this process, we can construct a sequence $\{z_n\}$ defined by

$$z_{3n+1} = Rx_{3n+1} = fx_{3n},$$

$$z_{3n+2} = Sx_{3n+2} = gx_{3n+1},$$

$$z_{3n+3} = Tx_{3n+3} = hx_{3n+2}$$
(7)

for all $n \ge 0$.

Now, since $x_1 \in R^{-1}(fx_0)$, $x_2 \in S^{-1}(gx_1)$, and $x_3 \in T^{-1}(hx_2)$ and (f, g), (g, h), and (h, f) are partially weakly α -admissible with respect to R, S, and T, respectively, we obtain that

$$\alpha (fx_0 = Rx_1, gx_1 = Sx_2, gx_1 = Sx_2) \ge 1,$$

$$\alpha (gx_1 = Sx_2, hx_2 = Tx_3, hx_2 = Tx_3) \ge 1,$$

$$\alpha (hx_2 = Tx_3, fx_3 = Rx_4, fx_3 = Rx_4) \ge 1.$$
(8)

Continuing this process, from (5), we get

$$\alpha(Tx_{3n}, Rx_{3n+1}, Sx_{3n+2}) \ge 1$$
 (9)

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Define $G_k = G(z_k, z_{k+1}, z_{k+2})$. Suppose $G_{k_0} = 0$, for some k_0 . Then, $z_{k_0} = z_{k_0+1} = z_{k_0+2}$. In the case that $k_0 = 3n$, then $z_{3n} = z_{3n+1} = z_{3n+2}$ gives $z_{3n+1} = z_{3n+2} = z_{3n+3}$. Indeed,

$$\psi\left(G\left(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}\right)\right)
= \psi\left(G\left(fx_{3n}, gx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2}\right)\right)
\leq \psi\left(M\left(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}\right)\right) - \phi\left(M\left(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}\right)\right).$$
(10)

If

$$M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}) = G(Tx_{3n}, Rx_{3n+1}, Sx_{3n+2}),$$
 (11)

then

$$M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}) = G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}) = 0.$$
 (12)

Thus,

$$\psi\left(G\left(z_{3n+1},z_{3n+2},z_{3n+3}\right)\right) \le \psi\left(0\right) - \varphi\left(0\right),$$
 (13)

which implies that $z_{3n+1} = z_{3n+2} = z_{3n+3}$.

Analogously, for other values of $M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$, we can get this result.

Similarly, if $k_0 = 3n + 1$, then $z_{3n+1} = z_{3n+2} = z_{3n+3}$ gives $z_{3n+2} = z_{3n+3} = z_{3n+4}$. Also, if $k_0 = 3n+2$, then $z_{3n+2} = z_{3n+3} = z_{3n+4}$ implies that $z_{3n+3} = z_{3n+4} = z_{3n+5}$. Consequently, z_{k_0} is a coincidence point of the pairs (f, T), (g, R), and (h, S). Indeed, let $k_0 = 3n$. Then, we know that $z_{3n} = z_{3n+1} = z_{3n+2} = z_{3n+3}$.

$$z_{3n} = Tx_{3n} = hx_{3n-1} = z_{3n+1} = Rx_{3n+1} = fx_{3n}$$

$$= z_{3n+2} = Sx_{3n+2} = gx_{3n+1} = z_{3n+3} = Tx_{3n+3} = hx_{3n+2}.$$
(14)

This means that $T(x_{3n}) = f(x_{3n})$, $R(x_{3n+1}) = g(x_{3n+1})$, and $S(x_{3n+2}) = h(x_{3n+2})$.

On the other hand, the pairs (f,T), (g,R), and (h,S) are compatible. So, they are weakly compatible. Hence, $fT(x_{3n}) = Tf(x_{3n})$, $gR(x_{3n+1}) = Rg(x_{3n+1})$, and $hS(x_{3n+2}) = Sh(x_{3n+2})$ or, equivalently, $fz_{3n} = Tz_{3n+1}$, $gz_{3n+1} = Rz_{3n+2}$, and $hz_{3n+2} = Sz_{3n+3}$.

Now, since $z_{3n} = z_{3n+1} = z_{3n+2} = z_{3n+3}$, we have $fz_{3n} = Tz_{3n}$, $gz_{3n} = Rz_{3n}$, and $hz_{3n} = Sz_{3n}$.

In the other cases, when $k_0 = 3n+1$ ($k_0 = 3n+2$), similarly, one can show that z_{3n+1} (z_{3n+2}) is a coincidence point of the pairs (f, T), (g, R), and (h, S).

So, suppose that

$$G_k = G(z_k, z_{k+1}, z_{k+2}) > 0$$
 (15)

for each k; that is, $z_k \neq z_{k+1}$ for each k.

We complete the proof in three steps as follows.

Step 1. We will prove that $\lim_{k\to\infty}G(z_k,z_{k+1},z_{k+1})=0$. Since $\alpha(Tx_{3n},Rx_{3n+1},Sx_{3n+2})\geq 1$, using (6), we obtain that

$$\psi\left(G\left(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}\right)\right)
= \psi\left(G\left(fx_{3n}, gx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2}\right)\right)
\leq \psi\left(M\left(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}\right)\right) - \phi\left(M\left(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}\right)\right)
\leq \psi\left(M\left(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}\right)\right).$$
(16)

Since ψ is a nondecreasing function, we get that

$$G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}) \le M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}).$$
 (17)

If $M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}) = G(Tx_{3n}, Rx_{3n+1}, Sx_{3n+2})$, then (17) becomes

$$G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}) \le G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}).$$
 (18)

If

$$M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$$

$$= (G(Tx_{3n}, gx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1}) + G(Rx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2})$$

$$+ G(Sx_{3n+2}, fx_{3n}, fx_{3n}))/6,$$
(19)

then, from (G3) and (G4) in Definition 1,

$$M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$$

$$= (G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+2}) + G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+3}, z_{3n+3})$$

$$+ G(z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+1})) / 6$$

$$\leq (G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}) + G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3})$$

$$+ G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3})) / 6,$$
(20)

and then (17) will be

$$G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}) \le G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}).$$
 (21)

If
$$M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$$

$$= (G(Tx_{3n}, Tx_{3n}, gx_{3n+1}) + G(Rx_{3n+1}, Rx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2})$$

$$+ G(Sx_{3n+2}, Sx_{3n+2}, fx_{3n})) / 6,$$
(22)

then, again from (G3) and (G4),

$$M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$$

$$= (G(z_{3n}, z_{3n}, z_{3n+2}) + G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+3})$$

$$+ G(z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+1})) / 6$$

$$\leq (G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+2}) + G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+3}, z_{3n+3})$$

$$+ G(z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+1})) / 3$$

$$\leq (G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}) + G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3})$$

$$+ G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3})) / 3,$$

$$(23)$$

and then (17) becomes

$$G\left(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}\right) \le G\left(z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}\right). \tag{24}$$
If

$$M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$$

$$= (G(Tx_{3n}, fx_{3n}, fx_{3n}) + G(Rx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1})$$

$$+ G(Sx_{3n+2}, Sx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}))/6,$$
(25)

then, again from (G3) and (G4),

$$M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$$

$$= (G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+1}) + G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+2})$$

$$+ G(z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3})) / 6$$

$$\leq (2G(z_{3n}, z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}) + 2G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2})$$

$$+ 2G(z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}, z_{3n+3})) / 6$$

$$\leq (2G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}) + 2G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3})$$

$$+ 2G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3})) / 6,$$

and then (17) becomes

$$G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}) \le G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}).$$
 (27)

Finally, if

$$M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$$

$$= (G(Tx_{3n}, Tx_{3n}, fx_{3n}) + G(Rx_{3n+1}, Rx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1})$$

$$+ G(Sx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}))/3,$$
(28)

then

$$M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$$

$$= (G(z_{3n}, z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}) + G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2})$$

$$+ G(z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}, z_{3n+3}))/6$$

$$\leq (G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}) + G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3})$$

$$+ G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}))/6,$$
(29)

and then (17) becomes

$$G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}) \le G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}).$$
 (30)

Similarly it can be shown that

$$G(z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}, z_{3n+4}) \le G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}),$$

$$G(z_{3n+3}, z_{3n+4}, z_{3n+5}) \le G(z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3}, z_{3n+4}).$$
(31)

Hence, we conclude that $\{G(z_k,z_{k+1},z_{k+2})\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers. Thus, there is an $r\geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} G(z_k, z_{k+1}, z_{k+2}) = r.$$
 (32)

Reviewing the above argument, from (17), we have

$$G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+3})$$

$$\leq M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}) \leq G(z_{3n}, z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+2}).$$
(33)

In general, we can show that

$$G\left(z_{k+1}, z_{k+2}, z_{k+3}\right) \le M\left(x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}\right) \le G\left(z_k, z_{k+1}, z_{k+2}\right). \tag{34}$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ in (34), we get that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M(x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}) = r.$$
 (35)

Letting $n \to \infty$ and using (6), (35), and the continuity of ψ and φ , we get $\psi(r) \le \psi(r) - \varphi(r)$, and hence $\varphi(r) = 0$. This gives us that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} G(z_k, z_{k+1}, z_{k+2}) = 0, \tag{36}$$

from our assumptions about φ . Also, from Definition 1, part (G3), we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} G(z_k, z_{k+1}, z_{k+1}) = 0.$$
 (37)

Step 2. We will show that $\{z_n\}$ is a G-Cauchy sequence in X. So, we will show that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $m, n \ge k$, $G(z_m, z_n, z_n) < \varepsilon$.

Suppose the above statement is false. Then, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ for which we can find subsequences $\{z_{3m(k)}\}$ and $\{z_{3n(k)}\}$ of $\{z_{3n}\}$ such that $n(k) > m(k) \ge k$ satisfying that

$$G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)}, z_{3n(k)}\right) \ge \varepsilon \tag{38}$$

and n(k) is the smallest number such that (38) holds; that is,

$$G(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)-1}, z_{3n(k)-1}) < \varepsilon.$$
 (39)

From rectangle inequality,

$$G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) \le G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)-1}, z_{3n(k)-1}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)-1}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right). \tag{40}$$

Hence, in (40), if $k \to \infty$, using (36) and (39), we have

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) \le \varepsilon. \tag{41}$$

Also,

$$G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)}, z_{3n(k)}\right)$$

$$\leq G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+1}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)}, z_{3n(k)}\right)$$

$$\leq G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)}, z_{3n(k)}\right). \tag{42}$$

Hence, in (42), if $k \to \infty$, using (36) and (38), we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \inf G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) \ge \varepsilon. \tag{43}$$

On the other hand,

$$G\left(z_{3m(k)},z_{3n(k)+2},z_{3n(k)+2}\right) \le G\left(z_{3m(k)},z_{3n(k)+1},z_{3n(k)+2}\right). \tag{44}$$

Hence, in (44), if $k \to \infty$, from (43), we have

$$\lim \sup_{k \to \infty} G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) \le \varepsilon. \tag{45}$$

Also,

$$\begin{split} G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)}, z_{3n(k)}\right) \\ &\leq G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)}, z_{3n(k)}\right). \end{split} \tag{46}$$

Hence, in (46), if $k \to \infty$, using (36) and (38), we have

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) \ge \varepsilon.$$
(47)

In a similar way, we have

$$\begin{split} &G\left(z_{3m(k)+1},z_{3m(k)+1},z_{3n(k)+2}\right)\\ &\leq 2G\left(z_{3m(k)+1},z_{3n(k)+2},z_{3n(k)+2}\right)\\ &\leq 2G\left(z_{3m(k)+1},z_{3m(k)},z_{3m(k)}\right) + 2G\left(z_{3m(k)},z_{3n(k)+2},z_{3n(k)+2}\right). \end{split} \tag{48}$$

Therefore, from (48) by taking limit when $k \to \infty$, using (36) and (45), we get that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup G\left(z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) \le 2\varepsilon. \tag{49}$$

Further, we can obtain that

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} G\left(z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) \le 4\varepsilon,$$

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) \le 2\varepsilon.$$
(50)

Also,

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} 2G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right)
\ge \liminf_{k \to \infty} G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) \ge \varepsilon,$$
(51)

or, equivalently,

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$
(52)

Also,

$$G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)}, z_{3n(k)}\right)$$

$$\leq G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3m(k)+1}\right) + G\left(z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)}, z_{3n(k)}\right)$$

$$\leq G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3m(k)+1}\right) + G\left(z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right)$$

$$+ G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)}, z_{3n(k)}\right)$$

$$\leq G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3m(k)+1}\right) + G\left(z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+3}\right)$$

$$+ G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)}, z_{3n(k)}\right). \tag{53}$$

Hence, in (53), if $k \to \infty$, using (36) and (38), we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup G\left(z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+3}\right) \ge \varepsilon.$$
 (54)

Since $\alpha(Tx_{3m(k)}, Rx_{3n(k)+1}, Sx_{3n(k)+2}) \ge 1$, putting $x = x_{3m(k)}$, $y = x_{3n(k)+1}$, and $z = x_{3n(k)+2}$ in (6), for all $k \ge 0$, we have

$$\psi\left(G\left(z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+3}\right)\right)
= \psi\left(G\left(fx_{3m(k)}, gx_{3n(k)+1}, hx_{3n(k)+2}\right)\right)
\leq \psi\left(M\left(x_{3m(k)}, x_{3n(k)+1}, x_{3n(k)+2}\right)\right)
- \phi\left(M\left(x_{3m(k)}, x_{3n(k)+1}, x_{3n(k)+2}\right)\right),$$
(55)

where

$$M\left(x_{3m(k)}, x_{3n(k)+1}, x_{3n(k)+2}\right) \in \left\{G\left(Tx_{3m(k)}, Rx_{3n(k)+1}, Sx_{3n(k)+2}\right), \\ \left(G\left(Tx_{3m(k)}, Rx_{3n(k)+1}, Sx_{3n(k)+1}\right) + G\left(Rx_{3n(k)+1}, hx_{3n(k)+2}, hx_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(Sx_{3n(k)+2}, fx_{3m(k)}, fx_{3m(k)}\right)\right) / 6, \\ \left(G\left(Tx_{3m(k)}, Tx_{3m(k)}, gx_{3n(k)+1}\right) + G\left(Rx_{3n(k)+1}, Rx_{3n(k)+1}, hx_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(Sx_{3n(k)+2}, Sx_{3n(k)+2}, fx_{3m(k)}\right)\right) / 6, \\ \left(G\left(Tx_{3m(k)}, fx_{3m(k)}, fx_{3m(k)}\right) + G\left(Sx_{3n(k)+2}, Sx_{3n(k)+2}, fx_{3m(k)}\right)\right) / 6, \\ \left(G\left(Tx_{3m(k)}, fx_{3m(k)}, fx_{3m(k)}\right) + G\left(Sx_{3n(k)+2}, Sx_{3n(k)+2}, hx_{3n(k)+2}\right)\right) / 6, \\ \left(G\left(Tx_{3m(k)}, Tx_{3m(k)}, fx_{3m(k)}\right) + G\left(Sx_{3n(k)+2}, hx_{3n(k)+1}, gx_{3n(k)+1}\right) + G\left(Sx_{3n(k)+2}, hx_{3n(k)+2}, hx_{3n(k)+2}\right)\right) / 6\right\} \\ = \left\{G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)}, z_{3n(k)+2}, x_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+3}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+1}\right) / 6, \\ \left(G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+3}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+1}\right) / 6, \\ \left(G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) / 6, \\ \left(G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) / 6, \\ \left(G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) / 6, \\ \left(G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) / 6, \\ \left(G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) / 6, \\ \left(G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) / 6, \\ \left(G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)+1}, z$$

If $M(x_{3m(k)}, x_{3n(k)+1}, x_{3n(k)+2}) = G(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2})$, from (54) and (41), if $k \to \infty$ in (55), we have

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \psi\left(\limsup_{k \to \infty} G\left(z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+3}\right)\right)$$

$$\leq \psi(\varepsilon) - \varphi\left(\liminf_{k \to \infty} G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right)\right),$$
(57)

which is a contradiction to (43).

If

$$M\left(x_{3m(k)}, x_{3n(k)+1}, x_{3n(k)+2}\right)$$

$$= \left(G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+3}, z_{3n(k)+3}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3m(k)+1}\right)\right)/6,$$
(58)

from (37), (45), (49), and (54), if $k \to \infty$ in (55), we have $\psi(\varepsilon)$

$$\leq \psi\left(\frac{\varepsilon+2\varepsilon}{3}\right) - \varphi\left(\liminf_{k\to\infty} M\left(x_{3m(k)}, x_{3n(k)+1}, x_{3n(k)+2}\right)\right),\tag{59}$$

which is a contradiction to (47).

Ιf

$$M\left(x_{3m(k)}, x_{3n(k)+1}, x_{3n(k)+2}\right)$$

$$= \left(G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+3}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3m(k)+1}\right)\right) / 6,$$
(60)

from (37), (50), and (54), if $k \to \infty$ in (55), we have

$$\psi\left(\varepsilon\right) \leq \psi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) - \varphi\left(\liminf_{k \to \infty} M\left(x_{3m(k)}, x_{3n(k)+1}, x_{3n(k)+2}\right)\right),\tag{61}$$

which is a contradiction to (52).

If

$$M\left(x_{3m(k)}, x_{3n(k)+1}, x_{3n(k)+2}\right)$$

$$= \left(G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)+1}, z_{3m(k)+1}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+3}\right)\right) / 6,$$
(62)

from (37) and (54), if $k \to \infty$ in (55), we have

$$\psi\left(\varepsilon\right) \le \psi\left(0\right) - \varphi\left(0\right) = 0. \tag{63}$$

If

$$M\left(x_{3m(k)}, x_{3n(k)}, x_{3n(k)+1}\right)$$

$$= \left(G\left(z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)}, z_{3m(k)+1}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+1}, z_{3n(k)+2}\right) + G\left(z_{3n(k)+2}, z_{3n(k)+3}, z_{3n(k)+3}\right)\right)/6,$$
(64)

from (37) and (54), if $k \to \infty$ in (55), we have

$$\psi\left(\varepsilon\right) \le \psi\left(0\right) - \varphi\left(0\right) = 0. \tag{65}$$

Hence, (63) and (65) yield that $\varepsilon = 0$ which is a contradiction. Consequently, $\{z_n\}$ is a G-Cauchy sequence.

Step 3. We will show that f, g, h, R, S, and T have a coincidence point.

Since $\{z_n\}$ is a G-Cauchy sequence in the complete G-metric space X, there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+1}, z)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} G(Rx_{3n+1}, Rx_{3n+1}, z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G(fx_{3n}, fx_{3n}, z) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G(z_{3n+2}, z_{3n+2}, z)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} G(Sx_{3n+2}, Sx_{3n+2}, z)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} G(gx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1}, z) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G(z_{3n+3}, z_{3n+3}, z)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} G(Tx_{3n+3}, Tx_{3n+3}, z)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} G(hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}, z) = 0.$$
(66)

Hence,

$$Tx_{3n} \longrightarrow z$$
, $fx_{3n} \longrightarrow z$, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. (67)

As (f, T) is compatible, so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G(Tfx_{3n}, fTx_{3n}, fTx_{3n}) = 0.$$
 (68)

Moreover, from $\lim_{n\to\infty}G(fx_{3n},fx_{3n},z)=0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty}G(Tx_{3n},z,z)=0$, and the continuity of T and f, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G\left(Tfx_{3n}, Tfx_{3n}, Tz\right) = 0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} G\left(fTx_{3n}, fz, fz\right).$$
(69)

By the rectangle inequality, we have

$$G(Tz, fz, fz)$$

$$\leq G(Tz, Tfx_{3n}, Tfx_{3n}) + G(Tfx_{3n}, fz, fz)$$

$$\leq G(Tz, Tfx_{3n}, Tfx_{3n}) + G(Tfx_{3n}, fTx_{3n}, fTx_{3n})$$

$$+ G(fTx_{3n}, fz, fz).$$
(70)

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ in (70), using (68) and (69), we obtain

$$G(Tz, fz, fz) \le 0, (71)$$

which implies that fz = Tz; that is, z is a coincidence point of f and T.

Similarly, we can obtain that gz = Rz and hz = Sz. Now, let $\alpha(Tz, Rz, Sz) \ge 1$. By (6), we have

$$\psi\left(G\left(fz,gz,hz\right)\right)\leq\psi\left(M\left(z,z,z\right)\right)-\varphi\left(M\left(z,z,z\right)\right),\tag{72}$$

where

$$\in \left\{ G(Tz, Rz, Sz), \\ \frac{G(Tz, gz, gz) + G(Rz, hz, hz) + G(Sz, fz, fz)}{6}, \\ \frac{G(Tz, Tz, gz) + G(Rz, Rz, hz) + G(Sz, Sz, fz)}{6}, \\ \frac{G(Tz, fz, fz) + G(Rz, gz, gz) + G(Sz, Sz, hz)}{6}, \\ \frac{G(Tz, Tz, fz) + G(Rz, Rz, gz) + G(Sz, hz, hz)}{6} \right\}.$$

Let G(fz, gz, hz) > 0; that is, $fz \neq gz = hz$ or $fz = gz \neq hz$.

If M(z, z, z) = G(Tz, Rz, Sz) = G(fz, gz, hz), from (72), we have

$$\psi\left(G\left(fz,gz,hz\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(G\left(fz,gz,hz\right)\right) - \varphi\left(G\left(fz,gz,hz\right)\right);\tag{74}$$

hence, G(fz, gz, hz) = 0, a contradiction.

If M(z,z,z) = (G(Tz,gz,gz) + G(Rz,hz,hz) + G(Sz,fz,fz))/6 and $fz \neq gz = hz$, then

M(z,z,z)

$$\leq \frac{G(fz,gz,gz) + G(gz,hz,hz) + 2G(hz,hz,fz)}{6}$$

$$= \frac{3G(hz,hz,fz)}{6} \leq \frac{3G(fz,gz,hz)}{6},$$
(75)

so, from (72), we have

$$\psi(G(fz, qz, hz))$$

$$\leq \psi\left(\frac{G\left(fz,gz,hz\right)}{2}\right)$$

$$-\varphi\left(\frac{G\left(fz,gz,gz\right)+0+G\left(hz,fz,fz\right)}{6}\right);$$
(76)

that is,

$$\varphi\left(\frac{G(fz,gz,gz)+0+G(hz,fz,fz)}{6}\right)$$

$$\leq \psi\left(\frac{G(iz,gz,hz)}{2}\right)-\psi\left(G(fz,gz,hz)\right)\leq 0;$$
(77)

hence, fz = gz = hz, a contradiction to G(fz = gz = hz) > 0

In the other cases, by a similar manner, we can show that fz = gz = hz = Tz = Rz = Sz.

In the following theorem, we will omit the compatibility and continuity assumptions.

Theorem 20. Let (X,G) be an α -regular G-metric space and $f, g, h, R, S, T : X \to X$ six mappings such that $f(X) \subseteq R(X)$, $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$, and $h(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and RX, SX, and TX are G-complete subsets of X. Suppose that, for elements x, y, and z with $\alpha(Tx, Ry, Sz) \ge 1$, we have

$$\psi\left(G\left(fx,gy,hz\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(M\left(x,y,z\right)\right) - \varphi\left(M\left(x,y,z\right)\right),\tag{78}$$

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 19, there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} G\left(z_k, z_k, z\right) = 0. \tag{79}$$

Since R(X) is G-complete and $\{z_{3n+1}\}\subseteq R(X)$, therefore $z\in R(X)$, so there exists $u\in X$ such that z=Ru and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G\left(z_{3n+1}, z_{3n+1}, Ru\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G\left(Rx_{3n+1}, Rx_{3n+1}, Ru\right) = 0.$$
 (80)

Similarly, there exists $v, w \in X$ such that z = Sv = Tw and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G\left(Sx_{3n+2}, Sx_{3n+2}, Sv\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G\left(Tx_{3n}, Tx_{3n}, Tw\right) = 0.$$
(81)

Now we prove that w is a coincidence point of f and T.

As $Tx_{3n} \to z = Tw = Ru = Sv$ as $n \to \infty$, α -regularity of X implies that $\alpha(Tx_{3n}, Ru, Sv) \ge 1$. Therefore, from (6), we have

$$\psi\left(G\left(fx_{3n},gu,hv\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(M\left(x_{3n},u,v\right)\right) - \varphi\left(M\left(x_{3n},u,v\right)\right),\tag{82}$$

where

$$M(x_{3n}, u, v)$$

$$\frac{G(Tx_{3n}, Ru, Sv),}{G(Tx_{3n}, gu, gu) + G(Ru, hv, hv) + G(Sv, fx_{3n}, fx_{3n})}{6},$$

$$\frac{G(Tx_{3n}, Tx_{3n}, gu) + G(Ru, Ru, hv) + G(Sv, Sv, fx_{3n})}{6},$$

$$\frac{G(Tx_{3n}, fx_{3n}, fx_{3n}) + G(Ru, gu, gu) + G(Sv, Sv, hv)}{6},$$

$$\frac{G\left(Tx_{3n}, Tx_{3n}, fx_{3n}\right) + G\left(Ru, Ru, gu\right) + G\left(Sv, hv, hv\right)}{6} \cdot$$
(83)

Let $M(x_{3n}, u, v) = G(Tx_{3n}, Ru, Sv)$.

Letting $n \to \infty$ in (82), from the continuity of ψ and φ , we get

$$\psi\left(G\left(z,gu,hv\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(0\right) - \varphi\left(0\right) = 0,\tag{84}$$

so Tw = Ru = Sv = z = qu = hv.

As g and R are weakly compatible, we have gz = gRu = Rgu = Rz. Thus z is a coincidence point of f and T.

Similarly, in other cases for M(x, y, z), it can be shown that z is a coincidence point of the pairs (g, R) and (h, S).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 19.

Assume that

$$M_{1}(x, y, z)$$

$$\in \left\{G(x, y, z), \frac{G(x, gy, gy) + G(y, hz, hz) + G(z, fx, fx)}{6}, \frac{G(x, x, gy) + G(y, y, hz) + G(z, z, fx)}{6}, \frac{G(x, fx, fx) + G(y, gy, gy) + G(z, z, hz)}{6}, \frac{G(x, x, fx) + G(y, y, gy) + G(z, hz, hz)}{6}\right\}.$$
(85)

Taking $R=S=T=I_X$ (the identity mapping on X) in the previous theorems, we obtain the following common fixed point result.

Corollary 21. Let (X,G) be a G-complete G-metric space. Let $f,g,h:X\to X$ be three mappings. Suppose that, for every three elements x,y, and z with $\alpha(x,y,z)\geq 1$, we have

$$\psi\left(G\left(fx,gy,hz\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(M_{1}\left(x,y,z\right)\right) - \varphi\left(M_{1}\left(x,y,z\right)\right),\tag{86}$$

where $\psi, \varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ are altering distance functions. Let the pairs (f, g), (g, h), and (h, f) be partially weakly α -admissible. Then, the triple (f, g, h) has a common fixed point z in X provided that (a) f, g, and h are continuous or (b) X is α -regular.

Assume that

$$M_{3}(x, y, z)$$

$$\in \left\{G(Rx, Ry, Rz), \frac{G(Rx, fy, fy) + G(Ry, fz, fz) + G(Rz, fx, fx)}{3}, \frac{G(Rx, fy, fy) + G(Ry, fz, fz) + G(Rz, fx, fx)}{3}\right\}$$

$$\frac{G(Rx, Rx, fy) + G(Ry, Ry, fz) + G(Rz, Rz, fx)}{3},$$

$$\frac{G(Rx, fx, fx) + G(Ry, fy, fy) + G(Rz, fz, fz)}{3},$$

$$\frac{G(Rx, Rx, fx) + G(Ry, Ry, fy) + G(Rz, Rz, fz)}{3}.$$
(87)

Taking f = g = h in Theorems 19 and 20, we obtain the following coincidence point result.

Corollary 22. Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. Let $f,R:X \to X$ be two mappings such that $f(X) \subseteq R(X)$. Suppose that, for every three elements x, y, and z with $\alpha(Rx, Ry, Rz) \ge 1$, we have

$$\psi\left(G\left(fx,fy,fz\right)\right)\leq\psi\left(M_{3}\left(x,y,z\right)\right)-\varphi\left(M_{3}\left(x,y,z\right)\right),\tag{88}$$

where $\psi, \varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ are altering distance functions. Let the pair (f, R) be compatible and f is partially weakly α -admissible w.r.t. R. Then, (f, R) has a coincidence point z in X provided that (a) f and R are continuous and (X, G) is a G-complete G-metric space or (b) X is α -regular and R(X) is G-complete.

Theorem 23. Under the hypotheses of Corollary 22, f and R have a common fixed point in X if R is an α -dominating map. Moreover, f and R have one and only one common fixed point if $\alpha(u, u, v) \ge 1$ or $\alpha(u, v, v) \ge 1$, where u and v are common fixed points of f and R.

Proof. Corollary 22 guarantees that there is a $z \in X$ such that fz = Rz. Since f and R are weakly compatible (since the pair (f, R) is compatible), we have fRz = Rfz. Let w = Rz = fz. Therefore, we have

$$fw = Rw. (89)$$

Since R is an α -dominating map,

$$\alpha(w, w, Rw) = \alpha(Rz, Rz, Rw) \ge 1. \tag{90}$$

If z = w, then z is a common fixed point of f and R. If $z \neq w$, then, from (90) $\alpha(Rz, Rz, Rw) \geq 1$, from (88), we have

$$\psi\left(G\left(fz,fz,fw\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(M_{3}\left(z,z,w\right)\right) - \phi\left(M_{3}\left(z,z,w\right)\right),\tag{91}$$

where

$$M_{3}(z,z,w) \in \left\{ G(Rz,Rz,Rw), \frac{G(Rz,fz,fz) + G(Rz,fw,fw) + G(Rw,fz,fz)}{6}, \frac{G(Rz,Rz,fz) + G(Rz,Rz,fw) + G(Rw,Rw,fz)}{6}, \frac{G(Rz,fz,fz) + G(Rz,fz,fz) + G(Rw,Rw,fw)}{6}, \frac{G(Rz,Rz,fz) + G(Rz,Rz,fz) + G(Rw,Rw,fw)}{6} \right\}.$$
(92)

Let $M_3(z, z, w) = (G(Rz, fz, fz) + G(Rz, fw, fw) + G(Rw, fz, fz))/6$. Then, from (91),

$$\psi (G(fz, fz, fw))
\leq \psi \left(\frac{G(Rz, fz, fz) + G(Rz, fw, fw) + G(Rw, fz, fz)}{6} \right)
- \varphi \left(\frac{G(Rz, fz, fz) + G(Rz, fw, fw) + G(Rw, fz, fz)}{6} \right)
= \psi \left(\frac{G(fz, fz, fz) + G(fz, fw, fw) + G(fw, fz, fz)}{6} \right)
- \varphi \left(\frac{G(fz, fz, fz) + G(fz, fw, fw) + G(fw, fz, fz)}{6} \right)
\leq \psi \left(\frac{2G(fz, fz, fw) + G(fz, fz, fw)}{6} \right)
- \varphi \left(\frac{G(fz, fz, fz) + G(fz, fw, fw) + G(fw, fz, fz)}{6} \right).$$
(93)

Therefore, $\varphi((G(fz, fz, fz) + G(fz, fw, fw) + G(fw, fz, fz))/6) = 0$. So, fz = fw. Now, since w = Rz = fz and fw = Rw, we have w = Rw = fw.

Suppose that $\alpha(u, u, v) \ge 1$ or $\alpha(u, v, v) \ge 1$, where u and v are common fixed points of f and R. We claim that common fixed point of f and R is unique. Assume on the contrary that fu = Ru = u and fv = Rv = v and $u \ne v$. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha(u, u, v) = \alpha(Ru, Ru, Rv) \ge 1$. Using (88), we obtain

$$\psi (G(u, u, v))$$

$$= \psi (G(fu, fu, fv)) \le \psi (M_3(u, u, v)) - \varphi (M_3(u, u, v)).$$
(94)

Let $M_3(u, u, v) = (G(Ru, fu, fu) + G(Ru, fv, fv) + G(Rv, fu, fu))/6$. Then we have

$$\psi(G(u, u, v))$$

$$\leq \psi\left(\frac{G(Ru, fu, fu) + G(Ru, fv, fv) + G(Rv, fu, fu)}{6}\right)$$

$$- \phi\left(\frac{G(Ru, fu, fu) + G(Ru, fv, fv) + G(Rv, fu, fu)}{6}\right)$$

$$\leq \psi\left(\frac{2G(v, u, u) + G(v, u, u)}{6}\right)$$

$$- \phi\left(\frac{G(u, u, u) + G(u, v, v) + G(v, u, u)}{6}\right).$$
(95)

Therefore, u = v, a contradiction.

In the other cases the proof will be done in a similar way. $\hfill\Box$

Example 24. Let $X = [0, \infty)$, G on X given by G(x, y, z) = |x-y|+|y-z|+|z-x|, for all $x, y, z \in X$, and $\alpha : X^3 \to [0, \infty)$ given by $\alpha(x, y, z) = e^{2x-y-z}$. Define self-maps f, g, h, R, S, and T on X by

$$fx = \ln(1+x), \qquad gx = \ln\left(1+\frac{x}{2}\right),$$

$$hx = \ln\left(1+\frac{x}{3}\right), \qquad (96)$$

$$Rx = e^{3x} - 1, \qquad Sx = e^{2x} - 1, \qquad Tx = e^{6x} - 1.$$

To prove that (f,g) is partially weakly α -admissible with respect to R, let $x \in X$ and $y \in R^{-1}fx$; that is, Ry = fx. By the definition of f and R, we have $\ln(1+x) = e^{3y} - 1$. So, $y = \ln(\ln(1+x) + 1)/3$ and hence

$$f(x) = \ln(1+x) \ge \ln\left(1 + \frac{\ln(\ln(1+x) + 1)}{3}\right)$$

$$\ge \ln\left(1 + \frac{\ln(\ln(1+x) + 1)}{2}\right) = gy.$$
(97)

Therefore, $\alpha(fx, gy, gy) \ge 1$.

To prove that (g, h) is partially weakly α-admissible with respect to S, let $x \in X$ and $y \in S^{-1}gx$; that is, Sy = gx. By the definition of g and S, we have $\ln(1 + (x/2)) = e^{2y} - 1$. So,

$$g(x) = \ln\left(1 + \frac{x}{2}\right) \ge \ln\left(1 + \frac{\ln\left(\ln\left(1 + (x/2)\right) + 1\right)/2}{2}\right)$$
$$\ge \ln\left(1 + \frac{\ln\left(\ln\left(1 + (x/2)\right) + 1\right)/2}{3}\right) = hy. \tag{98}$$

Therefore, $\alpha(gx, hy, hy) \ge 1$.

To prove that (h, f) is partially weakly α -admissible with respect to T, let $x \in X$ and $y \in T^{-1}hx$; that is, Ty = hx. By the definition of h and T, we have $\ln(1 + (x/3)) = e^{6y} - 1$. So,

$$h(x) = \ln\left(1 + \frac{x}{3}\right) \ge \ln\left(1 + \frac{\ln\left(\ln\left(1 + (x/3)\right) + 1\right)/6}{3}\right)$$
$$\ge \ln\left(1 + \frac{\ln\left(\ln\left(1 + (x/3)\right) + 1\right)/6}{4}\right) = fy. \tag{99}$$

Therefore, $\alpha(hx, fy, fy) \ge 1$.

Furthermore, $fX = gX = hX = RX = SX = TX = [0, \infty)$.

Define $\psi, \varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ as $\psi(t) = bt$ and $\varphi(t) = (b-1)t$, for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, where $1 < b \le 36$.

Using the mean value theorem for all x, y, and z with $\alpha(Tx, Ry, Sz) \ge 1$ we have

$$\psi(G(fx, gy, hz))
= b |fx - gy| + b |gy - hz| + b |hz - fx|
= b |\ln(1+x) - \ln(1+\frac{y}{2})|
+ b |\ln(1+\frac{y}{2}) - \ln(1+\frac{z}{3})|
+ b |\ln(1+\frac{z}{3}) - \ln(1+x)|
\le b |x - \frac{y}{2}| + b |\frac{y}{2} - \frac{z}{3}| + b |\frac{z}{3} - x|
\le b |\frac{|6x - 3y|}{6} + b |\frac{|3y - 2z|}{6} + b |\frac{|2z - 6x|}{6}|
\le \frac{b}{36} |e^{6x} - 1 - [e^{3y} - 1]| + \frac{b}{36} |e^{3y} - 1 - [e^{2z} - 1]|
+ \frac{b}{36} |e^{2z} - 1 - [e^{6x} - 1]|
= G(Tx, Ry, Sz)
= \psi(G(Tx, Ry, Sz)) - \phi(G(Tx, Ry, Sz)).$$
(100)

Thus, (6) is true for M(x, y, z) = G(Tx, Ry, Sz). Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 19 are satisfied. Moreover, 0 is a coincidence point of all six maps.

3. Periodic Point Results

Let $F(f) = \{x \in X : fx = x\}$ be the fixed point set of f. Clearly, a fixed point of f is also a fixed point of f^n , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$; that is, $F(f) \in F(f^n)$. However, the converse is false. For example, the mapping $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by fx = (1/2) - x, has the unique fixed point 1/4, but every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed point of f^2 . If $F(f) = F(f^n)$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then f is said to have property P. For more details, we refer the reader to [5, 42-45] and the references mentioned therein.

Assume that

$$M_{0}(x, y, z)$$

$$\in \left\{G(x, y, z), \frac{G(x, fy, fy) + G(y, fz, fz) + G(z, fx, fx)}{6}, \frac{G(x, x, fy) + G(y, y, fz) + G(z, z, fx)}{6}, \frac{G(x, fx, fx) + G(y, fy, fy) + G(z, z, fz)}{6}, \frac{G(x, x, fx) + G(y, y, fy) + G(z, fz, fz)}{6}\right\}.$$
(101)

Taking $R = I_X$ (the identity mapping on X) in Corollary 22, we obtain the following fixed point result.

Corollary 25. Let (X,G) be a G-complete G-metric space. Let $f: X \to X$ be a mapping such that f is partially weakly α -admissible and, for every $x, y, z \in X$ such that $\alpha(x, y, z) \ge 1$,

$$\psi\left(G\left(fx,fy,fz\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(M_{0}\left(x,y,z\right)\right) - \varphi\left(M_{0}\left(x,y,z\right)\right),\tag{102}$$

where $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is an altering distance function. Then, f has a fixed point z in X provided that (a) f is continuous or (b) X is α -regular.

Theorem 26. Let X and f be as in Corollary 25. Then f has property P if f is an α -dominating map.

Proof. From Corollary 25, $F(f) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in F(f^n)$ for some n > 1. We will show that u = fu. We have $\alpha(f^{n-1}u, f^nu, f^nu) \geq 1$, as f is α -dominating. Using (6), we obtain that

$$G(u, fu, fu)$$

$$= G(f^{n}u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u)$$

$$= G(ff^{n-1}u, ff^{n}u, ff^{n}u)$$

$$\leq M(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u) - \varphi(M(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u)),$$
(103)

where

G(u, fu, fu)

$$M(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u)$$

$$\in \{G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u),$$

$$(G(f^{n-1}u, ff^{n}u, ff^{n}u) + G(f^{n}u, ff^{n}u, ff^{n}u)$$

$$+G(f^{n}u, ff^{n-1}u, ff^{n-1}u))/6,$$

$$(G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n-1}u, ff^{n}u) + G(f^{n}u, f^{n}u, ff^{n}u)$$

$$+G(f^{n}u, f^{n}u, ff^{n-1}u))/6,$$

$$(G(f^{n-1}u, ff^{n-1}u, ff^{n-1}u) + G(f^{n}u, ff^{n}u, ff^{n}u)$$

$$+G(f^{n}u, ff^{n}u, ff^{n}u))/6,$$

$$(G(f^{n-1}u, ff^{n-1}u, ff^{n-1}u) + G(f^{n}u, ff^{n}u, ff^{n}u)$$

$$+G(f^{n}u, ff^{n}u, ff^{n}u))/6 \}.$$

$$(104)$$

If $M(f^{n-1}u, f^nu, f^nu) = G(f^{n-1}u, f^nu, f^nu)$, then, from (103), we have

$$G(u, fu, fu)$$

$$\leq G(f^{n-1}u, f^n u, f^n u) - \varphi(G(f^{n-1}u, f^n u, f^n u)).$$

Starting from $G(f^{n-1}u, f^nu, f^nu)$ and repeating the above process, we get

$$\leq G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u) - \varphi(G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u))
\leq G(f^{n-2}u, f^{n-1}u, f^{n-1}u) - \varphi(G(f^{n-2}u, f^{n-1}u, f^{n-u}))
- \varphi(G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u))
\vdots
\leq G(u, fu, fu)
- \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(G(f^{n-(i+1)}u, f^{n-(i)}u, f^{n-(i)}u));$$
(106)

which from our assumptions about φ implies that

$$G(f^{n-(i+1)}u, f^{n-(i)}u, f^{n-(i)}u) = 0,$$
 (107)

for all $0 \le i \le n - 1$. Now, taking i = n - 1, we have u = fu. Now, let

$$M(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u)$$

$$= (G(f^{n-1}u, ff^{n}u, ff^{n}u) + G(f^{n}u, ff^{n}u, ff^{n}u)$$
(108)
$$+G(f^{n}u, ff^{n-1}u, ff^{n-1}u))/6.$$

So, we have

$$G(u, fu, fu)$$

$$= G(f^{n}u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u)$$

$$= G(ff^{n-1}u, ff^{n}u, ff^{n}u)$$

$$\leq (G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) + G(f^{n}u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u)$$

$$+G(f^{n}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u))/6$$

$$-\varphi((G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) + G(f^{n}u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u)$$

$$+G(f^{n}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u))/6)$$

$$\leq (G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u) + 2G(f^{n}u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) + 0)/6$$

$$-\varphi((G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) + 0)/6);$$

$$(109)$$

that is,

$$G(u, fu, fu)$$

$$= G(f^{n}u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u)$$

$$\leq G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u)$$

$$-3\varphi((G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) + G(f^{n}u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) + 0)/6).$$
(110)

Repeating the above process, we get

$$G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u)$$

$$\leq 4G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u)$$

$$\leq G(f^{n-2}u, f^{n-1}u, f^{n-1}u)$$

$$-6\varphi\left(\frac{G(f^{n-2}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u) + G(f^{n-1}u, f^{n}u, f^{n}u)}{6}\right).$$
(111)

From the above inequalities, we have

$$G(u, fu, fu)$$

$$\leq G(u, fu, fu)$$

$$-6\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi\left(\left(G\left(f^{n-(i+1)}u, f^{n-(i-1)}u, f^{n-(i-1)}u\right) + G\left(f^{n-(i)}u, f^{n-(i-1)}u, f^{n-(i-1)}u\right)\right)/6\right).$$
(112)

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi\left(\left(G\left(f^{n-(i+1)}u, f^{n-(i-1)}u, f^{n-(i-1)}u\right) + G\left(f^{n-(i)}u, f^{n-(i-1)}u, f^{n-(i-1)}u\right)\right)/6\right) = 0,$$
(113)

which from our assumptions about φ implies that

$$G\left(f^{n-(i+1)}u, f^{n-(i-1)}u, f^{n-(i-1)}u\right)$$

$$= G\left(f^{n-(i)}u, f^{n-(i-1)}u, f^{n-(i-1)}u\right) = 0$$
(114)

for all $0 \le i \le n-1$. Now, taking i = n-1, we have u = fu. In other three cases, the proof will be done in a similar way.

4. Results in Ordered G-Metric Spaces

Fixed point theorems for monotone operators in ordered metric spaces are widely investigated and have found various applications in differential and integral equations (see [46–48], and references therein). As an application of our results, we derive some new coincidence point and common fixed point theorems for partially weakly increasing contractions which generalize many results in the literature.

Definition 27 (see [49]). Let (X, \leq, G) be a partially ordered G-metric space. We say that X is regular if and only if the following hypothesis holds.

For any nondecreasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_n \to z$ as $n \to \infty$, it follows that $x_n \le z$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 28 (see [49]). Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and $f, g, h : X \to X$ given mappings such that $fX \subseteq hX$ and $gX \subseteq hX$. We say that f and g are weakly increasing with respect to h if and only if for all $x \in X$, $fx \leq gy$, for all $y \in h^{-1}(fx)$, and $gx \leq fy$, for all $y \in h^{-1}(gx)$.

If f = g, we say that f is weakly increasing with respect to h.

Definition 29 (see [49]). Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and f and g two self-maps on X. An ordered pair (f, g) is said to be partially weakly increasing with respect to h if $fx \leq gy$, for all $y \in h^{-1}(fx)$.

If h = I (the identity mapping on X), then the previous definition reduces to the weakly increasing mapping [50] (also see [51, 52]).

Note that a pair (f, g) is weakly increasing with respect to h if and only if ordered pairs (f, g) and (g, f) are partially weakly increasing with respect to it.

Let (X, \leq, G) be a partially ordered set and let

$$\alpha(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \le y \le z, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (115)

Theorem 30. Let (X, \leq, G) be a partially ordered G-complete G-metric space. Let $f, g, h, R, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be six mappings

such that $f(X) \subseteq R(X)$, $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$, and $h(X) \subseteq T(X)$. Suppose that, for every three elements $Tx \leq Ry \leq Sz$, one has

$$\psi\left(G\left(fx,gy,hz\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(M\left(x,y,z\right)\right) - \varphi\left(M\left(x,y,z\right)\right),\tag{116}$$

where $\psi, \varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ are altering distance functions. Let f, g, h, R, S, and T be continuous, the pairs (f, T), (g, R), and (h, S) compatible, and the pairs (f, g), (g, h), and (h, f) partially weakly increasing with respect to R, S, and T, respectively. Then, the pairs (f, T), (g, R), and (h, S) have a coincidence point z in X. Moreover, if $Tz \leq Rz \leq Sz$, then z is a coincidence point of f, g, h, R, S, and T.

Theorem 31. Let (X, \leq, G) be a regular partially ordered G-metric space, $f, g, h, R, S, T : X \to X$ six mappings such that $f(X) \subseteq R(X)$, $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$, and $h(X) \subseteq T(X)$, and RX, SX, and TXG-complete subsets of X. Suppose that, for elements $Tx \leq Ry \leq Sz$, one has

$$\psi\left(G\left(fx,gy,hz\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(M\left(x,y,z\right)\right) - \varphi\left(M\left(x,y,z\right)\right),\tag{117}$$

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

This paper was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah. Therefore, the first author acknowledges with thanks DSR, KAU, for financial support.

References

- [1] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, "A new approach to generalized metric spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 289–297, 2006.
- [2] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, and D. Dorić, "Common fixed point of mappings satisfying (E.A) property in generalized metric spaces," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 14, pp. 7665–7670, 2012.
- [3] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, and S. Radenović, "Common fixed point of generalized weakly contractive maps in partially ordered Gmetric spaces," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 18, pp. 9383–9395, 2012.
- [4] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, and S. Radenović, "Some periodic point results in generalized metric spaces," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 8, pp. 4094–4099, 2010.

- [5] M. Khandaqji, S. Al-Sharif, and M. Al-Khaleel, "Property P and some fixed point results on ψ , φ -weakly contractive G-metric spaces," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2012, Article ID 675094, 11 pages, 2012.
- [6] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, "Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric space," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2009, Article ID 917175, 10 pages, 2009.
- [7] W. Shatanawi, "Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying φ-maps in G-metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 181650, 9 pages, 2010.
- [8] W. Shatanawi, "Some fixed point theorems in ordered G-metric spaces and applications," Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2011, Article ID 126205, 11 pages, 2011.
- [9] N. Tahat, H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, and W. Shatanawi, "Common fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued maps satisfying a generalized contraction in G-metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, article 48, 2012.
- [10] M. Abbas, S. H. Khan, and T. Nazir, "Common fixed points of R-weakly commuting maps in generalized metric spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2011, Article ID 784595, 11 pages, 2011.
- [11] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi, and C. Vetro, "On generalized weak *G*-contraction mapping in *G*-metric spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 11, pp. 4223–4229, 2011.
- [12] Z. Mustafa, M. Khandagji, and W. Shatanawi, "Fixed point results on complete G-metric spaces," Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica. A Quarterly of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 304–319, 2011.
- [13] H. Ye and F. Gu, "Common fixed point theorems for a class of twice power type contraction maps in *G*-metric spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 736214, 19 pages, 2012.
- [14] F. Gu, "Common fixed point theorems for six mappings in generalized metric spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 379212, 21 pages, 2012.
- [15] F. Gu and H. Ye, "Common fixed point theorems of Altman integral type mappings in G-metric spaces," Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2012, Article ID 630457, 13 pages, 2012.
- [16] F. Gu and W. Shatanawi, "Common fixed point for generalized weakly *G*-contraction mappings satisfying common (E.A) property in *G*-metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 309, 2013.
- [17] F. Gu and Z. Yang, "Some new common fixed point results for three pairs of mappings in generalized metric spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2013, article 174, 21 pages, 2013.
- [18] F. Gu and Y. Yin, "Common fixed point for three pairs of self-maps satisfying common (*E.A*) property in generalized metric spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 808092, 11 pages, 2013.
- [19] Z. Mustafa, H. Aydi, and E. Karapnar, "On common fixed points in *G*-metric spaces using (E.A) property," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 1944–1956, 2012.
- [20] K. P. R. Rao, K. B. Lakshmi, and Z. Mustafa, "Fixed and related fixed point theorems for three maps in G-metric spaces," *Journal* of Advanced Studies in Topology, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 12–19, 2012.
- [21] Z. Mustafa, H. Aydi, and E. Karapnar, "Generalized Meir-Keeler type contractions on G-metric spaces," Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 219, no. 21, pp. 10441–10447, 2013.

- [22] M. Jleli and B. Samet, "Remarks on G-metric spaces and fixed point theorems," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2012, article 210, 2012.
- [23] R. Agarwal and E. Karapnar, "Remarks on some coupled fixed point theorems in *G*-metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 2, 2013.
- [24] R. Agarwal and E. Karapnar, "Further fixed point results on G-metric spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2013, article 154, 2013.
- [25] M. Asadi, E. Karapnar, and P. Salimi, "A new approach to G-metric and related fixed point theorems," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 454, 2013.
- [26] M. A. Alghamdi and E. Karapnar, "G-β-ψ contractive type mappings in G-metric spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2013, article 123, 2013.
- [27] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi, and C. Vetro, "On generalized weak G-contraction mapping in G-metric spaces," Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 62, no. 11, pp. 4223–4229, 2011
- [28] Z. Mustafa, H. Aydi, and E. Karapınar, "Generalized Meir-Keeler type contractions on G-metric spaces," Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 219, no. 21, pp. 10441–10447, 2013.
- [29] Z. Mustafa, H. Aydi, and E. Karapınar, "On common fixed points in G-metric spaces using (E.A) property," Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 1944–1956, 2012.
- [30] N. Bilgili and E. Karapinar, "Cyclic contractions via auxiliary functions on G-metric spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2013, article 49, 2013.
- [31] H.-S. Ding and E. Karapnar, "Meir Keeler type contractions in partially ordered *G*-metric space," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 35, 2013.
- [32] E. Karapinar, M. Erhan, and A. Y. Ulus, "Cyclic contractions on G-metric spaces," Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2012, Article ID 182947, 15 pages, 2012.
- [33] B. Samet, C. Vetro, and F. Vetro, "Remarks on *G*-metric spaces," *International Journal of Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 917158, 6 pages, 2013.
- [34] B. S. Choudhury and P. Maity, "Coupled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 54, no. 1-2, pp. 73–79, 2011.
- [35] M. S. Khan, M. Swaleh, and S. Sessa, "Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 1984.
- [36] B. Samet, C. Vetro, and P. Vetro, "Fixed point theorems for α-ψ-contractive type mappings," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications A*, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 2154–2165, 2012.
- [37] N. Hussain, E. Karapnar, P. Salimi, and P. Vetro, "Fixed point results for G^m -Meir-Keeler contractive and G-(α , ψ)-Meir-Keeler contractive mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 34, 2013.
- [38] P. Salimi, C. Vetro, and P. Vetro, "Fixed point theorems for twisted (α, β)-ψ-contractive type mappings and applications," *Filomat*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 605–615, 2013.
- [39] N. Hussain, P. Salimi, and A. Latif, "Fixed point results for single and set-valued α - η - ψ -contractive mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 212, 2013.
- [40] M. A. Alghamdi and E. Karapnar, "G-β-ψ contractive-type mappings and related fixed point theorems," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 70, 2013.

- [41] G. Jungck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 771–779, 1986.
- [42] A. Razani and V. Parvaneh, "On generalized weakly G-contractive mappings in partially ordered G-metric spaces," Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2012, Article ID 701910, 18 pages, 2012.
- [43] R. Chugh, T. Kadian, A. Rani, and B. E. Rhoades, "Property *P* in *G*-metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 401684, 12 pages, 2010.
- [44] G. S. Jeong and B. E. Rhoades, "Maps for which $F(T) = F(T^n)$," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 6, pp. 87–131, 2005.
- [45] H. K. Pathak and N. Hussain, "Common fixed points for Banach operator pairs with applications," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 69, no. 9, pp. 2788–2802, 2008.
- [46] L. Ćirića, M. Abbas, R. Saadati, and N. Hussain, "Common fixed points of almost generalized contractive mappings in ordered metric spaces," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 12, pp. 5784–5789, 2011.
- [47] R. P. Agarwal, N. Hussain, and M. Taoudi, "Fixed point theorems in ordered Banach spaces and applications to nonlinear integral equations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 245872, 15 pages, 2012.
- [48] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodrguez-López, "Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations," *Order*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 223–239, 2005.
- [49] Z. Mustafa, V. Parvaneh, M. Abbas, and J. R. Roshan, "Some coincidence point results for generalized (ψ, φ) -weakly contractive mappings in ordered G-metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 326, 2013.
- [50] I. Altun and H. Simsek, "Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 621469, 17 pages, 2010.
- [51] H. K. Nashine and B. Samet, "Fixed point results for mappings satisfying (ψ, φ) -weakly contractive condition in partially ordered metric spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 74, no. 6, pp. 2201–2209, 2011.
- [52] W. Shatanawi and B. Samet, "On (ψ, φ)-weakly contractive condition in partially ordered metric spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 8, pp. 3204–3214, 2011.

















Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com











Journal of Discrete Mathematics











