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#### Abstract

A phenylene is a conjugated hydrocarbons molecule composed of six- and four-membered rings. The matching energy of a graph $G$ is equal to the sum of the absolute values of the zeros of the matching polynomial of $G$, while the Hosoya index is defined as the total number of the independent edge sets of $G$. In this paper, we determine the extremal graph with respect to the matching energy and Hosoya index for all phenylene chains.


## 1. Introduction

Phenylenes are a class of conjugated hydrocarbons composed of six- and four-membered rings, where the six-membered rings (hexagons) are adjacent only to four-membered rings, and every four-membered ring is adjacent to a pair of hexagons. They are nanostructures that can be precisely designed and manufactured for a wide variety of applications; see [1-3] and the references therein.

A topological index is a numerical quantity derived in an unambiguous manner from the structure graph of a molecule, as a graph structural invariant; that is, it does not depend on the labeling or the pictorial representation of a graph. Various topological indices usually reflect molecular size and shape. One topological index is Hosoya index, which was first introduced by Hosoya [4]. It plays an important role in the so-called inverse structure-property relationship problems. For details of Hosoya index and its applications, the readers are suggested to refer to $[5,6]$. A new topological index in chemistry, matching energy, is first introduced by Gutman and Wagner [7] in 2012 to study topological resonance energy of conjugated molecules, which has received a lot of attention from researchers in recent years. For more background and applications about matching energy, see [8-16].

In this paper, our aim is to determine the phenylenes with minimum and maximum matching energy (Hosoya index) among all the phenylenes with $n$ hexagons.

In the following we present some definitions and notations.

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with the vertex set $V(G)$ and the edge set $E(G)$. Let $e$ and $v$ be an edge and a vertex in $G$, respectively. We denote by $G-e$ the graph obtained from $G$ by removing edge $e$ and by $G-v$ the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting vertex $v$.

By $m(G, k)$ we denote the number of $k$-matchings of a graph $G$. The matching polynomial of a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices is fined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(G, x)=\sum_{k \geq 0}(-1)^{k} m(G, k) x^{n-2 k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(G, 0)=1$ and $m(G, k) \geq 0$ for all $k=1,2, \ldots,[n / 2]$. This expression $\alpha(G, x)$ induces a quasi-order relation (i.e., reflexive and transitive relation) on the set of all graphs with $n$ vertices. If $G$ and $H$ are two graphs with matching polynomial in the form (1), then the quasi-order $\succeq$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& G \succeq H \Longleftrightarrow m(G, k) \geq m(H, k) \\
& \qquad \forall k=0,1, \ldots,\lfloor n / 2\rfloor . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Particularly, if $G \succeq H$ and there exists some $k$ such that $m(G, k)>m(H, k)$, then we write $G \succ H$.

Gutman and Wagner in [7] first proposed the concept of the matching energy of a graph, denoted by $\operatorname{ME}(G)$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ME}=\operatorname{ME}(G)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-2} \ln \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} m(G, k) x^{2 k}\right] d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Meanwhile, they gave also another form of definition of matching energy of a graph. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ME}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\mu_{i}\right| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{i}$ denotes the root of matching polynomial of $G$. By (2) and (3), we easily obtain the fact as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G \succeq H \Longrightarrow \operatorname{ME}(G) \geq \operatorname{ME}(H)  \tag{5}\\
& G \succ H \Longrightarrow \operatorname{ME}(G)>\operatorname{ME}(H)
\end{align*}
$$

The Z-counting polynomial was defined by Hosoya [4] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(G)=Z(G, x)=\sum_{k} m(G, k) x^{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Particularly, set $x=1$; then $Z(G, 1)=\sum_{k} m(G, k)$ is called Hosoya index of G. Furthermore, The Z-counting polynomial of graphs has the property as follows.

Lemma 1 (see [4]). (a) Let $G$ be a graph consisting of two components $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. Then $Z(G)=Z\left(G_{1}\right) Z\left(G_{2}\right)$.
(b) Let $u v \in E(G)$ be an edge of $G$. Then $Z(G)=Z(G-$ $u v)+x Z(G-u-v)$.

A phenylene chain containing $n(n \geq 2)$ hexagons, denoted by $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}$, is a phenylene with the properties that (a) no vertex is incident with two hexagons or squares and (b) no hexagon is adjacent to more than two squares. We denote by $\mathscr{B}_{n}$ the set of all phenylene chains with $n$ hexagons. Let $\mathrm{PHB}_{n} \in \mathscr{B}_{n}$. If the subgraph $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}$ induced by the vertices with degree 3 is the union of $n-1$ disjoint copies of a square, then $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}$ is called a linear phenylene chain and denoted by $\mathrm{PHL}_{n}$ (see Figure 1). If the subgraph $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}$ of induced by the vertices with degree 3 is isomorphic to the graph $S_{n-1}$ having $n-1$ squares (see Figure 1), then $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}$ is
called a zigzag phenylene chain and is denoted by $\mathrm{PHZ}_{n}$ (see Figure 1). It is easy to see that $\mathscr{B}_{2}=\left\{\mathrm{PHL}_{2}\right\}=\left\{\mathrm{PHZ}_{2}\right\}$ and $\mathscr{B}_{3}=\left\{\mathrm{PHL}_{3}, \mathrm{PHZ}_{3}\right\}$. Finally, by the definition of a phenylene chain, any element $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}$ in $\mathscr{B}_{n}$ can be obtained from an appropriately chosen graph $\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1} \in \mathscr{B}_{n-1}$ by attaching to it a new graph $\theta$, where $\theta$ is obtained from an edge of a square attaching an edge of a hexagon; see Figure 2.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 2. Let $\mathscr{B}_{n}$ be the set of all phenylene chains with $n$ hexagons. For any $P H B_{n} \in \mathscr{B}_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M E\left(P H L_{n}\right) \leq M E\left(P H B_{n}\right) \leq M E\left(P H Z_{n}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equalities on the left side hold only if $P H B_{n} \cong P H L_{n}$, and the equalities on the right side hold only if $P H B_{n} \cong P H Z_{n}$.

By (2) and (5), we know that Theorem 2 holding only needs to prove the following result.

Theorem 3. For any $P H B_{n} \in \mathscr{B}_{n}$ and for each $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(P H L_{n}, k\right) \leq m\left(P H B_{n}, k\right) \leq m\left(P H Z_{n}, k\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equalities on the left side hold only if $P H B_{n} \cong P H L_{n}$ and the equalities on the right side hold only if $P H B_{n} \cong P H Z_{n}$.

Let $f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} x^{k}$ and $g(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} x^{k}$ be two polynomials of $x$. We say $f(x) \preceq g(x)$ if $a_{k} \leq b_{k}$ for all $k$. If $f(x) \leq g(x)$ and there exists some $k$ such that $a_{k}<b_{k}$, then we say $f(x) \prec g(x)$. By (6), it is easy to obtain the following result which is equivalent to Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. For any $P H B_{n} \in \mathscr{B}_{n}(n \geq 2)$,
(I) if $P H L_{n} \neq P H B_{n}$, then $Z\left(P H L_{n}\right)<Z\left(P H B_{n}\right)$,
(II) if $P H Z_{n} \neq P H B_{n}$, then $Z\left(P H B_{n}\right)<Z\left(P H Z_{n}\right)$.

In the following we will use the notation $G$ for $Z(G)$, when it would lead to no confusion.

Proof. Checking Figure 2, by Lemma 1, we obtained that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{PHB}_{n}=\left(1+6 x+9 x^{2}+2 x^{3}\right) \operatorname{PHB}_{n-1}+\left(x+4 x^{2}+3 x^{3}\right)\left[\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-t_{2 n-3}\right)\right]+\left(x^{2}+3 x^{3}+x^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}-t_{2 n-3}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{PHB}_{n}-y$

$$
=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(1+4 x+3 x^{2}\right) \mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}+\left(x+2 x^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-t_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x+3 x^{2}+x^{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x^{2}+2 x^{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}-t_{2 n-3}\right) & \text { if } y=b_{1}^{n},  \tag{10}\\
\left(1+4 x+3 x^{2}\right) \mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}+\left(x+2 x^{2}+x^{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-t_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x+2 x^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x^{2}+x^{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}-t_{2 n-3}\right) & \text { if } y=b_{2}^{n}, \\
\left(1+4 x+3 x^{2}\right) \mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}+\left(x+2 x^{2}+x^{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x+2 x^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-t_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x^{2}+x^{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}-t_{2 n-3}\right) & \text { if } y=b_{3}^{n}, \\
\left(1+4 x+3 x^{2}\right) \mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}+\left(x+2 x^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x+3 x^{2}+x^{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-t_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x^{2}+2 x^{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}-t_{2 n-3}\right) & \text { if } y=b_{4}^{n},
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\mathrm{PHB}_{n}-y-z$
$= \begin{cases}\left(1+3 x+x^{2}\right) \mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}+\left(x+x^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-t_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x+2 x^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x^{2}+x^{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}-t_{2 n-3}\right) & \text { if } y=b_{1}^{n}, z=b_{2}^{n} ; \\ \left(1+3 x+x^{2}\right) \mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}+\left(x+x^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-t_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x+x^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}\right)+x\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}-t_{2 n-3}\right) & \text { if } y=b_{2}^{n}, z=b_{3}^{n} ; \\ \left(1+3 x+x^{2}\right) \mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}+\left(x+x^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x+2 x^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-t_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(x^{2}+x^{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}-t_{2 n-3}\right) & \text { if } y=b_{3}^{n}, z=b_{4}^{n} .\end{cases}$




Figure 1: A linear phenylene chain $\mathrm{PHL}_{n}$ and a zigzag phenylene chain $\mathrm{PHZ}_{n}$.


Figure 2: A phenylene chain $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}$.

By (10) and (11), we have
(i) $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}-b_{3}^{n}<\mathrm{PHB}_{n}-b_{1}^{n}$ and $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}-b_{2}^{n}<\mathrm{PHB}_{n}-b_{4}^{n}$;
(ii) $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}-b_{2}^{n}-b_{3}^{n}<\mathrm{PHB}_{n}-b_{1}^{n}-b_{2}^{n}$ and $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}-b_{2}^{n}-b_{3}^{n} \prec$ $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}-b_{3}^{n}-b_{4}^{n}$.

Particularly, if $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}=\mathrm{PHL}_{n}$, then
(i') $\mathrm{PHL}_{n}-a_{2}^{n}=\mathrm{PHL}_{n}-a_{3}^{n} \prec \mathrm{PHL}_{n}-a_{1}^{n}=\mathrm{PHL}_{n}-a_{4}^{n}$,
(ii') $\mathrm{PHL}_{n}-a_{2}^{n}-a_{3}^{n} \prec \mathrm{PHL}_{n}-a_{1}^{n}-a_{2}^{n}=\mathrm{PHL}_{n}-a_{3}^{n}-a_{4}^{n}$,
(iii') $\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{n}-a_{2}^{n}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{n}-a_{3}^{n}\right) \prec\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{n}-a_{2}^{n}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{n}-\right.$ $\left.a_{1}^{n}\right)=\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{n}-a_{3}^{n}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{n}-a_{4}^{n}\right)$.
We prove Theorem 4(I) by mathematical induction.
First we consider $n=3$. In this case, $\mathscr{B}_{n}=\left\{\mathrm{PHL}_{3}, \mathrm{PHZ}_{3}\right\}$. By (9), we have
$\mathrm{PHL}_{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left(1+6 x+9 x^{2}+2 x^{3}\right) \mathrm{PHL}_{2} \\
& +\left(x+4 x^{2}+3 x^{3}\right)\left[\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{2}-a_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{2}-a_{3}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\left(x^{2}+3 x^{3}+x^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{2}-a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{PHZ}_{3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \left(1+6 x+9 x^{2}+2 x^{3}\right) \mathrm{PHZ}_{2} \\
& +\left(x+4 x^{2}+3 x^{3}\right)\left[\left(\mathrm{PHZ}_{2}-d_{1}^{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHZ}_{2}-d_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\left(x^{2}+3 x^{3}+x^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHZ}_{2}-d_{1}^{2}-d_{2}^{2}\right) \\
= & \left(1+6 x+9 x^{2}+2 x^{3}\right) \mathrm{PHL}_{2} \\
& +\left(x+4 x^{2}+3 x^{3}\right)\left[\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{2}-a_{4}^{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{2}-a_{3}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\left(x^{2}+3 x^{3}+x^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{2}-a_{3}^{2}-a_{4}^{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

By ( $\mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ )-(iii'), we have $\mathrm{PHL}_{3} \prec \mathrm{PHZ}_{3}$.
Suppose that Theorem 4(I) is right for all phenylene chains with few $n$ hexagons. Let $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}$ be a phenylene chain with $n \geq 4$ hexagons, which is obtained from $\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1} \in \mathscr{B}_{n-1}$ by attaching to it a new $\theta$ (see Figure 2). We show that if $\mathrm{PHL}_{n} \neq \mathrm{PHB}_{n}$, then $\mathrm{PHL}_{n}<\mathrm{PHB}_{n}$. By (9) we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{PHL}_{n}=\left(1+6 x+9 x^{2}+2 x^{3}\right) \mathrm{PHL}_{n-1} \\
& \quad+\left(x+4 x^{2}+3 x^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cdot\left[\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{n-1}-u_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{n-1}-v_{2 n-3}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\left(x^{2}+3 x^{3}+x^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{n-1}-u_{2 n-3}-v_{2 n-3}\right) \\
& \mathrm{PHB}_{n}=\left(1+6 x+9 x^{2}+2 x^{3}\right) \mathrm{PHB}_{n-1} \\
& \quad+\left(x+4 x^{2}+3 x^{3}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot\left[\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-t_{2 n-3}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\left(x^{2}+3 x^{3}+x^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}-t_{2 n-3}\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

By the inductive hypotheses we have $\mathrm{PHL}_{n-1} \preceq \mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}$, $\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{n-1}-u_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{n-1}-v_{2 n-3}\right) \preceq\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}\right)+$ $\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-t_{2 n-3}\right)$, and $\left(\mathrm{PHL}_{n-1}-u_{2 n-3}-v_{2 n-3}\right) \leq\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-\right.$ $s_{2 n-3}-t_{2 n-3}$ ). Since $\mathrm{PHL}_{n} \neq \mathrm{PHB}_{n}$, either $\mathrm{PHL}_{n-1} \neq \mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}$ or $\left\{s_{n-1}, t_{n-1}\right\} \neq\left\{u_{2 n-3}, v_{2 n-3}\right\}$, and hence at least one of the three inequalities is strict. Therefore, we get that $\mathrm{PHL}_{n} \prec$ $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}$.

In the following we prove Theorem 4(II) by induction.
By the proof of Theorem 4(I), we know that $\mathrm{PHB}_{3} \prec$ $\mathrm{PHZ}_{3}$.

Similarly, suppose that Theorem 4(II) is right for all phenylene chains with few $n$ hexagons. Let $\mathrm{PHB}_{n}$ be a phenylene chain with $n \geq 4$ hexagons, which is obtained from $\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1} \in \mathscr{B}_{n-1}$ by attaching to it a new $\theta$ (see Figure 2). We show that if $\mathrm{PHB}_{n} \neq \mathrm{PHZ}_{n}$, then $\mathrm{PHB}_{n} \prec \mathrm{PHZ}_{n}$. By (9) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{PHB}_{n}=\left(1+6 x+9 x^{2}+2 x^{3}\right) \mathrm{PHB}_{n-1} \\
& \quad+\left(x+4 x^{2}+3 x^{3}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot\left[\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-t_{2 n-3}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\left(x^{2}+3 x^{3}+x^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}-t_{2 n-3}\right) \\
& \mathrm{PHZ}_{n}=\left(1+6 x+9 x^{2}+2 x^{3}\right) \mathrm{PHZ}_{n-1}  \tag{14}\\
& \quad+\left(x+4 x^{2}+3 x^{3}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot\left[\left(\mathrm{PHZ}_{n-1}-u_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHZ}_{n-1}-v_{2 n-3}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\left(x^{2}+3 x^{3}+x^{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PHZ}_{n-1}-u_{2 n-3}-v_{2 n-3}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By the inductive hypotheses we have $\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1} \preceq \mathrm{PHZ}_{n-1}$, $\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}\right)+\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-t_{2 n-3}\right) \leq\left(\mathrm{PHZ}_{n-1}-u_{2 n-3}\right)+$ $\left(\mathrm{PHZ}_{n-1}-v_{2 n-3}\right)$, and $\left(\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1}-s_{2 n-3}-t_{2 n-3}\right) \leq\left(\mathrm{PHZ}_{n-1}-\right.$ $u_{2 n-3}-v_{2 n-3}$ ). Since $\mathrm{PHB}_{n} \neq \mathrm{PHZ}_{n}$, either $\mathrm{PHB}_{n-1} \neq \mathrm{PHZ}_{n-1}$ or $\left\{s_{n-1}, t_{n-1}\right\} \neq\left\{u_{2 n-3}, v_{2 n-3}\right\}$, and hence at least one of the three inequalities is strict. Therefore, we get that $\mathrm{PHB}_{n} \prec$ $\mathrm{PHZ}_{n}$.

The proof is complete.
By the definition of Hosoya index and Theorem 4, we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 5. Let $\mathscr{B}_{n}$ be the set of all phenylene chains with $n$ hexagons. For any $P H B_{n} \in \mathscr{B}_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(P H L_{n}, 1\right) \leq Z\left(P H B_{n}, 1\right) \leq Z\left(P H Z_{n}, 1\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equalities on the left side hold only if $P H B_{n} \cong P H L_{n}$ and the equalities on the right side hold only if $P H B_{n} \cong P H Z_{n}$.
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