

Research Article

Quantum Difference Langevin System with Nonlocal q -Derivative Conditions

Surang Sitho,¹ Sorasak Laoprasittichok,² Sotiris K. Ntouyas,^{3,4} and Jessada Tariboon²

¹Department of Social and Applied Science, College of Industrial Technology, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Bangkok 10800, Thailand

²Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis Research Center, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Applied Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Bangkok 10800, Thailand

³Department of Mathematics, University of Ioannina, 451 10 Ioannina, Greece

⁴Nonlinear Analysis and Applied Mathematics (NAAM) Research Group, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Jessada Tariboon; jessada.t@sci.kmutnb.ac.th

Received 28 January 2016; Accepted 4 May 2016

Academic Editor: Attila Gilányi

Copyright © 2016 Surang Sitho et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce a new class of boundary value problems for Langevin quantum difference systems. Some new existence and uniqueness results for coupled systems are obtained by using fixed point theorems. The existence and uniqueness of solutions are established by Banach's contraction mapping principle, while the existence of solutions is derived by using Leray-Schauder's alternative. The obtained results are well illustrated with the aid of examples.

1. Introduction

Quantum calculus (q -calculus) has a rich history and the details of its basic notions, results, and methods can be found in the text [1]. Apart from the traditional treatment of quantum calculus, many interesting questions and problems, especially from theoretical point of view, either remained open or were partially answered. In recent years, the topic has attracted the attention of several researchers and a variety of new results can be found in the papers [2–12]. However, there are many aspects of boundary value problems of quantum difference equations that need attention. For instance, quantum difference Langevin systems with nonlocal q -derivative conditions are yet to be addressed.

In this paper, we investigate the sufficient conditions for existence of solutions for quantum difference Langevin system of the form

$$D_q(D_q + \lambda_1)x(t) = f(t, x(t), y(t)), \quad t \in J,$$

$$D_p(D_p + \lambda_2)y(t) = g(t, x(t), y(t)), \quad t \in J,$$

$$x(0) = \alpha_1 D_{z_1} x(0),$$

$$y(T) = D_{u_1} x(\xi),$$

$$y(0) = \alpha_2 D_{z_2} y(0),$$

$$x(T) = D_{u_2} y(\eta),$$

(1)

where $J = [0, T]$, $0 < p, q, u_1, u_2, z_1, z_2 < 1$, are quantum numbers, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants, $f, g \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ are continuous functions, and $\xi, \eta \in J$ are fixed points.

The Langevin equation (first formulated by Langevin in 1908) is found to be an effective tool to describe the evolution of physical phenomena in fluctuating environments [13]. For some new developments on the fractional Langevin equation in physics, see, for example, [14–22].

In this paper, we prove existence and uniqueness of solutions by using Banach's contraction principle and existence of solutions via Leray-Schauder's alternative.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some preliminary results from quantum calculus needed in

the sequel. Also two basic lemmas are proved. The main existence and uniqueness results are contained in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4, examples illustrating the obtained results are presented.

2. Preliminaries

Let us recall some basic concepts of q -calculus [1, 23].

Definition 1. For $0 < q < 1$, we define the q -derivative of a real valued function f as

$$D_q f(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(qt)}{(1-q)t}, \quad t \in J \setminus \{0\},$$

$$D_q f(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} D_q f(t).$$
(2)

The higher order q -derivatives are given by

$$D_q^0 f(t) = f(t),$$

$$D_q^n f(t) = D_q D_q^{n-1} f(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3)

For $x \geq 0$, we set $J_x = \{xq^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\} \cup \{0\}$ and define the definite q -integral of a function $f : J_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I_q f(x) = \int_0^x f(s) d_q s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x(1-q)q^n f(xq^n)$$
(4)

provided that the series converges.

For $a, b \in J_x$, we set

$$\int_a^b f(s) d_q s = I_q f(b) - I_q f(a)$$

$$= (1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n [bf(bq^n) - af(aq^n)].$$
(5)

Note that, for $a, b \in J_x$, we have $a = xq^{n_1}$, $b = xq^{n_2}$, for some $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, thus the definite integral $\int_a^b f(s) d_q s$ is just a finite sum, so no question about convergence is raised.

We note that

$$D_q I_q f(x) = f(x);$$
(6)

while, if f is continuous at $x = 0$, then

$$I_q D_q f(x) = f(x) - f(0).$$
(7)

In q -calculus, the product rule and integration by parts formula are

$$D_q(gh)(t) = (D_q g(t))h(t) + g(qt)D_q h(t),$$

$$\int_0^x f(t)D_q g(t) d_q t = [f(t)g(t)]_0^x$$

$$- \int_0^x D_q f(t)g(qt) d_q t.$$
(8)

Further, the reversing order of integration is given by

$$\int_0^t \int_0^s f(r) d_q r d_q s = \int_0^t \int_{qr}^t f(r) d_q s d_q r.$$
(9)

In the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ the above results correspond to their counterparts in standard calculus.

Lemma 2. Let $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and $0 < p, q < 1$. Then we have the following:

(i)

$$D_p \left[\int_0^t f(s) d_q s \right] = \frac{1}{(1-p)t} \int_{pt}^t f(s) d_q s,$$
 $t \neq 0$, (10)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} D_p \left[\int_0^t f(s) d_q s \right] = f(0);$$

(ii)

$$D_p \left[\int_0^t \int_0^r f(s) d_q s d_q r \right]$$

$$= \int_0^{pt} f(s) d_q s + \int_{pt}^t \frac{(t-qs)}{(1-p)t} f(s) d_q s, \quad t \neq 0,$$
(11)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} D_p \left[\int_0^t \int_0^r f(s) d_q s d_q r \right] = 0.$$

Proof. To prove (i), using the definition of p -derivative, we have

$$D_p \left[\int_0^t f(s) d_q s \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1-p)t} \left[\int_0^t f(s) d_q s - \int_0^{pt} f(s) d_q s \right]$$
(12)

$$= \frac{1}{(1-p)t} \int_{pt}^t f(s) d_q s, \quad t \neq 0.$$

For $t \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} D_p \left[\int_0^t f(s) d_q s \right]$$

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} D_p \left[t(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n f(tq^n) \right]$$
(13)

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(1-q)}{(1-p)} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n f(tq^n) - p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n f(ptq^n) \right]$$

$$= f(0).$$

Next, we will show that (ii) holds. From the reversing order of integration, the double q -integral can be reduced to a single integral as

$$\int_0^t \int_0^r f(s) d_q s d_q r = \int_0^t (t-qs) f(s) d_q s.$$
(14)

Taking the p -derivative to the both sides of the above equation, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_p \left[\int_0^t \int_0^r f(s) d_q s d_q r \right] &= D_p \left[\int_0^t (t - qs) f(s) d_q s \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1-p)t} \left[\int_0^t (t - qs) f(s) d_q s \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_0^{pt} (qs - pt) f(s) d_q s \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1-p)t} \left[\int_0^t (t - qs) f(s) d_q s \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_0^{pt} (t - qs) f(s) d_q s + \int_0^{pt} (t - pt) f(s) d_q s \right] \\
 &= \int_0^{pt} f(s) d_q s + \int_{pt}^t \frac{(t - qs)}{(1-p)t} f(s) d_q s.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{15}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{pt}^t \frac{(t - qs)}{(1-p)t} f(s) d_q s &= \frac{1}{(1-p)} \int_{pt}^t f(s) d_q s \\
 - \frac{q}{(1-p)t} \int_{pt}^t s f(s) d_q s &= \frac{(1-q)}{(1-p)} \\
 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n [t f(tq^n) - pt f(ptq^n)] - \frac{q(1-q)}{(1-p)t} \\
 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n [t^2 q^n f(tq^n) - (pt)^2 q^n f(ptq^n)],
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{16}$$

it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} D_p \left[\int_0^t \int_0^r f(s) d_q s d_q r \right] = 0.
 \tag{17}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let

$$A = (1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1)(1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2)[1 - \Omega_1 \Omega_2],
 \tag{18}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega_1 &= T + \frac{\alpha_1}{1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1}, \\
 \Omega_2 &= T + \frac{\alpha_2}{1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{19}$$

Lemma 3. Let $A \neq 0$ and the functions $\phi, \psi \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$. Then $x, y \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ are solutions of the problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_q(D_q + \lambda_1)x(t) &= \phi(t), \quad t \in J, \\
 D_p(D_p + \lambda_2)y(t) &= \psi(t), \quad t \in J, \\
 x(0) &= \alpha_1 D_{z_1} x(0),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$y(T) = D_{u_1} x(\xi),$$

$$y(0) = \alpha_2 D_{z_2} y(0),$$

$$x(T) = D_{u_2} y(\eta),$$

(20)

if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &= -\lambda_1 \int_0^t x(s) d_q s + \int_0^t (t - qs) \phi(s) d_q s \\
 &\quad + \frac{[t(1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1) + \alpha_1](1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2)}{A} \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{(1 - u_1)\xi} \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \int_{u_1 \xi}^{\xi} x(s) d_q s - \int_0^{u_1 \xi} \phi(s) d_q s \\
 &\quad - \int_{u_1 \xi}^{\xi} \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1 - u_1)\xi} \phi(s) d_q s - \lambda_2 \int_0^T y(s) d_p s \\
 &\quad + \int_0^T (T - ps) \psi(s) d_p s + \Omega_2 \left(-\lambda_1 \int_0^T x(s) d_q s \right. \\
 &\quad + \int_0^T (T - qs) \phi(s) d_q s + \frac{\lambda_2}{(1 - u_2)\eta} \int_{u_2 \eta}^{\eta} y(s) d_p s \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^{u_2 \eta} \psi(s) d_p s - \int_{u_2 \eta}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1 - u_2)\eta} \psi(s) d_p s \right) \right],
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(t) &= -\lambda_2 \int_0^t y(s) d_p s + \int_0^t (t - ps) \psi(s) d_p s \\
 &\quad + \frac{[t(1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2) + \alpha_2](1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1)}{A} \left[\Omega_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{(1 - u_1)\xi} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \int_{u_1 \xi}^{\xi} x(s) d_q s - \int_0^{u_1 \xi} \phi(s) d_q s \\
 &\quad - \int_{u_1 \xi}^{\xi} \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1 - u_1)\xi} \phi(s) d_q s - \lambda_2 \int_0^T y(s) d_p s \\
 &\quad + \int_0^T (T - ps) \psi(s) d_p s \left. \right) - \lambda_1 \int_0^T x(s) d_q s \\
 &\quad + \int_0^T (T - qs) \phi(s) d_q s + \frac{\lambda_2}{(1 - u_2)\eta} \int_{u_2 \eta}^{\eta} y(s) d_p s \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_0^{u_2 \eta} \psi(s) d_p s - \int_{u_2 \eta}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1 - u_2)\eta} \psi(s) d_p s \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Simplifying the first two equations of problem (20) and applying the double quantum integral, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &= -\lambda_1 \int_0^t x(s) d_q s + \int_0^t (t - qs) \phi(s) d_q s + C_1 t \\
 &\quad + C_2, \\
 y(t) &= -\lambda_2 \int_0^t y(s) d_p s + \int_0^t (t - ps) \psi(s) d_p s + C_3 t \\
 &\quad + C_4,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{22}$$

where $C_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \in \mathbb{R}$. From the conditions $x(0) = \alpha_1 D_{z_1} x(0), y(0) = \alpha_2 D_{z_2} y(0)$, Lemma 2, and $\alpha_1 \lambda_1, \alpha_2 \lambda_2 \neq -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= \frac{\alpha_1 C_1}{1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1}, \\ C_4 &= \frac{\alpha_2 C_3}{1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Using the coupled nonlocal boundary conditions and Lemma 2, we get the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\lambda_2 \int_0^T y(s) d_p s + \int_0^T (T - ps) \psi(s) d_p s \\ & + \left(\frac{T(1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2) + \alpha_2}{1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2} \right) C_3 \\ & = -\frac{\lambda_1}{(1 - u_1) \xi} \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi x(s) d_q s + \int_0^{u_1 \xi} \phi(s) d_q s \\ & + \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1 - u_1) \xi} \phi(s) d_q s + C_1, \\ & -\lambda_1 \int_0^T x(s) d_q s + \int_0^T (T - qs) \phi(s) d_q s \\ & + \left(\frac{T(1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1) + \alpha_1}{1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1} \right) C_1 \\ & = -\frac{\lambda_2}{(1 - u_2) \eta} \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta y(s) d_p s + \int_0^{u_2 \eta} \psi(s) d_p s \\ & + \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1 - u_2) \eta} \psi(s) d_p s + C_3. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Solving system (24) for constants C_1 and C_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \frac{1}{A} \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{(1 - u_1) \xi} \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi x(s) d_q s - \int_0^{u_1 \xi} \phi(s) d_q s \right. \\ & - \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1 - u_1) \xi} \phi(s) d_q s - \lambda_2 \int_0^T y(s) d_p s \\ & + \int_0^T (T - ps) \psi(s) d_p s + \Omega_2 \left(-\lambda_1 \int_0^T x(s) d_q s \right. \\ & + \int_0^T (T - qs) \phi(s) d_q s + \frac{\lambda_2}{(1 - u_2) \eta} \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta y(s) d_p s \\ & \left. - \int_0^{u_2 \eta} \psi(s) d_p s - \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1 - u_2) \eta} \psi(s) d_p s \right), \\ C_3 &= \frac{1}{A} \left[\Omega_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{(1 - u_1) \xi} \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi x(s) d_q s \right. \right. \\ & - \int_0^{u_1 \xi} \phi(s) d_q s - \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1 - u_1) \xi} \phi(s) d_q s \\ & \left. \left. - \lambda_2 \int_0^T y(s) d_p s + \int_0^T (T - ps) \psi(s) d_p s \right) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\lambda_1 \int_0^T x(s) d_q s + \int_0^T (T - qs) \phi(s) d_q s \\ & + \frac{\lambda_2}{(1 - u_2) \eta} \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta y(s) d_p s - \int_0^{u_2 \eta} \psi(s) d_p s \\ & \left. - \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1 - u_2) \eta} \psi(s) d_p s \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Substituting all values of constants $C_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, in (22), we obtain the solutions of system (20) as in (21). The converse follows by direct computation. This completes the proof. \square

3. Main Results

In this section, we are going to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the Langevin quantum difference system (1) with coupled boundary q -derivative conditions by using fixed point theorems. Let $\mathcal{X} = C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space of all continuous functions from $[0, T]$ to \mathbb{R} with the norm defined by $\|x\| = \sup_{t \in J} |x(t)|$. Obviously $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. In addition the product space $(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, \|(x, y)\|)$ is a Banach space with norm $\|(x, y)\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$.

In view of Lemma 3, we define an operator $G : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ by

$$G(x, y)(t) = \begin{pmatrix} G_1(x, y)(t) \\ G_2(x, y)(t) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(x, y)(t) &= -\lambda_1 \int_0^t x(s) d_q s + \int_0^t (t - qs) \\ & \cdot f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s \\ & + \frac{[t(1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1) + \alpha_1](1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2)}{A} \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{(1 - u_1) \xi} \right. \\ & \cdot \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi x(s) d_q s - \int_0^{u_1 \xi} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s \\ & - \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1 - u_1) \xi} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s \\ & - \lambda_2 \int_0^T y(s) d_p s + \int_0^T (T - ps) \\ & \cdot g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s + \Omega_2 \left(-\lambda_1 \int_0^T x(s) d_q s \right. \\ & + \int_0^T (T - qs) f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s + \frac{\lambda_2}{(1 - u_2) \eta} \\ & \cdot \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta y(s) d_p s - \int_0^{u_2 \eta} g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s \\ & \left. \left. - \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1 - u_2) \eta} g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_2(x, y)(t) = & -\lambda_2 \int_0^t y(s) d_p s + \int_0^t (t - ps) \\
 & \cdot g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s \\
 & + \frac{[t(1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2) + \alpha_2](1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1)}{A} \left[\Omega_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{(1 - u_1) \xi} \right. \right. \\
 & \cdot \int_{u_1 \xi}^{\xi} x(s) d_q s - \int_0^{u_1 \xi} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s \\
 & - \int_{u_1 \xi}^{\xi} \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1 - u_1) \xi} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s \\
 & - \lambda_2 \int_0^T y(s) d_p s \\
 & + \int_0^T (T - ps) g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s \\
 & - \lambda_1 \int_0^T x(s) d_q s + \int_0^T (T - qs) \\
 & \cdot f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s + \frac{\lambda_2}{(1 - u_2) \eta} \\
 & \cdot \int_{u_2 \eta}^{\eta} y(s) d_p s - \int_0^{u_2 \eta} g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s \\
 & \left. - \int_{u_2 \eta}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1 - u_2) \eta} g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s \right]. \tag{27}
 \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we set constants

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_1 &= \frac{T^2 |A| + [u_1 \xi (1 + q) + (1 - u_1 q) \xi + T^2 |\Omega_2|] \delta}{|A| (1 + q)}, \\
 M_2 &= \frac{[T^2 + |\Omega_2| u_2 \eta (1 + p) + (1 - u_2 p) |\Omega_2| \eta] \delta}{|A| (1 + p)}, \\
 M_3 &= \frac{|\lambda_1| T |A| + |\Omega_2| |\lambda_1| T \delta + |\lambda_1| \delta}{|A|}, \\
 M_4 &= \frac{|\lambda_2| T \delta + |\Omega_2| |\lambda_2| \delta}{|A|}, \\
 M_5 &= \frac{[T^2 + |\Omega_1| u_1 \xi (1 + q) + (1 - u_1 q) |\Omega_1| \xi] \rho}{|A| (1 + q)}, \\
 M_6 &= \frac{T^2 |A| + [u_2 \eta (1 + p) + (1 - u_2 p) \eta + T^2 |\Omega_1|] \rho}{|A| (1 + p)},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_7 &= \frac{|\lambda_1| T \rho + |\Omega_1| |\lambda_1| \rho}{|A|}, \\
 M_8 &= \frac{|\lambda_2| T |A| + |\Omega_1| |\lambda_2| T \rho + |\lambda_2| \rho}{|A|}, \tag{28}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta &= T ((1 + |\alpha_1 \lambda_1|) + |\alpha_1|) (1 + |\alpha_2 \lambda_2|), \\
 \rho &= T ((1 + |\alpha_2 \lambda_2|) + |\alpha_2|) (1 + |\alpha_1 \lambda_1|). \tag{29}
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. Assume that $f, g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions and there exist positive constants $m_i, n_i = 1, 2$ such that, for all $t \in J$ and $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(t, x_1, y_1) - f(t, x_2, y_2)| & \leq m_1 |x_1 - x_2| + m_2 |y_1 - y_2|, \\
 |g(t, x_1, y_1) - g(t, x_2, y_2)| & \leq n_1 |x_1 - x_2| + n_2 |y_1 - y_2|. \tag{30}
 \end{aligned}$$

In addition, assume that

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_1 &< \frac{1}{2}, \\
 U_1 &< \frac{1}{2}, \tag{31}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_1 &= (m_1 + m_2) M_1 + (n_1 + n_2) M_2 + M_3 + M_4, \\
 U_1 &= (m_1 + m_2) M_5 + (n_1 + n_2) M_6 + M_7 + M_8. \tag{32}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then problem (1) has a unique solution on J .

Proof. Define $\sup_{t \in J} |f(t, 0, 0)| = N_1 < \infty$ and $\sup_{t \in J} |g(t, 0, 0)| = N_2 < \infty$ and choose a real number R such that

$$R \geq \max \left\{ \frac{M_1 N_1 + M_2 N_2}{1/2 - B_1}, \frac{M_5 N_1 + M_6 N_2}{1/2 - U_1} \right\}. \tag{33}$$

Note that from (31) the constant $R > 0$.

Firstly, we will show that $GB_R \subset B_R$, where $B_R = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \|(x, y)\| \leq R\}$. For $(x, y) \in B_R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|G_1(x, y)\| &\leq \sup_{t \in J} \left\{ \left| -\lambda_1 \int_0^t x(s) d_q s + \int_0^t (t - qs) f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s + \frac{[t(1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1) + \alpha_1](1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2)}{A} \right. \right. \\
 &\cdot \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{(1 - u_1) \xi} \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi x(s) d_q s - \int_0^{u_1 \xi} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s - \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1 - u_1) \xi} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s \right. \\
 &- \lambda_2 \int_0^T y(s) d_p s + \int_0^T (T - ps) g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s + \Omega_2 \left(-\lambda_1 \int_0^T x(s) d_q s + \int_0^T (T - qs) f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s \right. \\
 &\left. \left. + \frac{\lambda_2}{(1 - u_2) \eta} \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta y(s) d_p s - \int_0^{u_2 \eta} g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s - \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1 - u_2) \eta} g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s \right) \right] \Bigg\} \tag{34} \\
 &\leq (m_1 \|x\| + m_2 \|y\| + N_1) \left(\frac{T^2 |A| + [u_1 \xi (1 + q) + (1 - u_1 q) \xi + T^2 |\Omega_2|] \delta}{|A| (1 + q)} \right) + (n_1 \|x\| + n_2 \|y\| + N_2) \\
 &\cdot \left(\frac{[T^2 + |\Omega_2| u_2 \eta (1 + p) + (1 - u_2 p) |\Omega_2| \eta] \delta}{|A| (1 + p)} \right) + \frac{|\lambda_1| T |A| + |\Omega_2| |\lambda_1| T \delta + |\lambda_1| \delta}{|A|} \|x\| + \frac{|\lambda_2| T \delta + |\Omega_2| |\lambda_2| \delta}{|A|} \|y\| \\
 &\leq ((m_1 + m_2) M_1 + (n_1 + n_2) M_2 + M_3 + M_4) R + M_1 N_1 + M_2 N_2 = B_1 R + M_1 N_1 + M_2 N_2 \leq \frac{R}{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

and in a similar way

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|G_2(x, y)\| &\leq (m_1 \|x\| + m_2 \|y\| + N_1) \\
 &\cdot \left(\frac{[T^2 + |\Omega_1| u_1 \xi (1 + q) + (1 - u_1 q) |\Omega_1| \xi] \rho}{|A| (1 + q)} \right) \\
 &+ (n_1 \|x\| + n_2 \|y\| + N_2) \\
 &\cdot \left(\frac{T^2 |A| + [u_2 \eta (1 + p) + (1 - u_2 p) \eta + T^2 |\Omega_1|] \rho}{|A| (1 + p)} \right) \tag{35} \\
 &+ \frac{|\lambda_1| T \rho + |\Omega_1| |\lambda_1| \rho}{|A|} \|x\| \\
 &+ \frac{|\lambda_2| T |A| + |\Omega_1| |\lambda_2| T \rho + |\lambda_2| \rho}{|A|} \|y\| \\
 &\leq ((m_1 + m_2) M_5 + (n_1 + n_2) M_6 + M_7 + M_7) R \\
 &+ M_5 N_1 + M_6 N_2 = U_1 R + M_1 N_1 + M_2 N_2 \leq \frac{R}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $GB_R \subset B_R$.
 Next, we will prove that the operator G is contractive. For any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$, and $t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|G_1(x_2, y_2) - G_1(x_1, y_1)\| &\leq |\lambda_1| \int_0^T |x_2(s) - x_1(s)| d_q s + \int_0^T (T - qs) |f(s, x_2(s), y_2(s)) - f(s, x_1(s), y_1(s))| d_q s \\
 &+ \frac{\delta}{|A|} \left[\frac{|\lambda_1|}{(1 - u_1) \xi} \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi |x_2(s) - x_1(s)| d_q s + \int_0^{u_1 \xi} |f(s, x_2(s), y_2(s)) - f(s, x_1(s), y_1(s))| d_q s \right. \\
 &+ \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1 - u_1) \xi} |f(s, x_2(s), y_2(s)) - f(s, x_1(s), y_1(s))| + |\lambda_2| \int_0^T |y_2(s) - y_1(s)| d_p s + \int_0^T (T - ps) \\
 &\cdot |g(s, x_2(s), y_2(s)) - g(s, x_1(s), y_1(s))| d_p s + |\Omega_2| \left(|\lambda_1| \int_0^T |x_2(s) - x_1(s)| d_q s \right. \\
 &+ \int_0^T (T - qs) |f(s, x_2(s), y_2(s)) - f(s, x_1(s), y_1(s))| d_q s + \frac{|\lambda_2|}{(1 - u_2) \eta} \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta |y_2(s) - y_1(s)| d_p s \\
 &+ \int_0^{u_2 \eta} |g(s, x_2(s), y_2(s)) - g(s, x_1(s), y_1(s))| d_p s \\
 &\left. \left. + \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1 - u_2) \eta} |g(s, x_2(s), y_2(s)) - g(s, x_1(s), y_1(s))| d_p s \right) \right] \leq (m_1 \|x_2 - x_1\| + m_2 \|y_2 - y_1\|) \\
 &\cdot \left(\frac{T^2 |A| + [u_1 \xi (1 + q) + (1 - u_1 q) \xi + T^2 |\Omega_2|] \delta}{|A| (1 + q)} \right) + (n_1 \|x_2 - x_1\| + n_2 \|y_2 - y_1\|)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \left(\frac{[T^2 + |\Omega_2| u_2 \eta (1 + p) + (1 - u_2 p) |\Omega_2| \eta] \delta}{|A| (1 + p)} \right) + \frac{|\lambda_1| T |A| + |\Omega_2| |\lambda_1| T \delta + |\lambda_1| \delta}{|A|} \|x_2 - x_1\| \\ & + \frac{|\lambda_2| T \delta + |\Omega_2| |\lambda_2| \delta}{|A|} \|y_2 - y_1\| \leq (m_1 M_1 + n_1 M_2 + M_3) \|x_2 - x_1\| + (m_2 M_1 + n_2 M_2 + M_4) \|y_2 - y_1\|. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G_1(x_2, y_2) - G_1(x_1, y_1)\| \\ & \leq B_1 (\|x_2 - x_1\| + \|y_2 - y_1\|). \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G_2(x_2, y_2) - G_2(x_1, y_1)\| \\ & \leq U_1 (\|x_2 - x_1\| + \|y_2 - y_1\|). \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

It follows from (37) and (38) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G(x_2, y_2) - G(x_1, y_1)\| \\ & \leq (B_1 + U_1) (\|x_2 - x_1\| + \|y_2 - y_1\|). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

From (31), therefore, G is a contraction mapping. So, by Banach's fixed point theorem, the operator G has a unique fixed point, which is the unique solution of problem (1). This completes the proof. \square

In the next result, we prove the existence of solutions for problem (1) by Leray-Schauder alternative.

Lemma 5 ((Leray-Schauder alternative) (see [24])). *Let G be a nonmed linear space and let $F : G \rightarrow G$ be a completely continuous operator (i.e., a map that is restricted to any bounded set in G is compact). Let*

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{x \in G : x = \kappa F(x), 0 < \kappa < 1\}. \tag{40}$$

Then either the set $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is unbounded or F has at least one fixed point.

For convenience, we set constants

$$E_1 = (M_1 + M_5) L_1 + (M_2 + M_6) R_1 + M_3 + M_7, \tag{41}$$

$$E_2 = (M_1 + M_5) L_2 + (M_2 + M_6) R_2 + M_4 + M_8,$$

$$E^* = \min \{1 - E_1, 1 - E_2\}. \tag{42}$$

Theorem 6. *Assume that $f, g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions and there exist real constants $L_i, R_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $L_0 > 0, R_0 > 0$, such that $\forall x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2$); we have*

$$|f(t, x_1, x_2)| \leq L_0 + L_1 |x_1| + L_2 |x_2|, \tag{43}$$

$$|g(t, x_1, x_2)| \leq R_0 + R_1 |x_1| + R_2 |x_2|.$$

If $E_1 < 1, E_2 < 1, M_3 + M_4 \neq 1$, and $M_7 + M_8 \neq 1$, then there exists at least one solution for problem (1) on J .

Proof. Now we show that the operator $G : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is completely continuous. Let $B_r \subset \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ where $B_r = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \|(x, y)\| \leq r\}$. Then there exist positive constants P_1 and P_2 such that

$$|f(t, x(t), y(t))| \leq P_1,$$

$$|g(t, x(t), y(t))| \leq P_2, \tag{44}$$

$$\forall (x, y) \in B_r,$$

and a positive real number r such that

$$r \geq \max \left\{ \frac{P_1 M_1 + P_2 M_2}{|1 - (M_3 + M_4)|}, \frac{P_1 M_5 + P_2 M_6}{|1 - (M_7 + M_8)|} \right\}. \tag{45}$$

For any $(x, y) \in B_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G_1(x, y)\| \leq \sup_{t \in J} \left| -\lambda_1 \int_0^t x(s) d_q s + \int_0^t (t - qs) f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s + \frac{[t(1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1) + \alpha_1](1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2)}{A} \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{(1 - u_1) \xi} \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi x(s) d_q s - \int_0^{u_1 \xi} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1 - u_1) \xi} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s - \lambda_2 \int_0^T y(s) d_p s + \int_0^T (T - ps) g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \Omega_2 \left(-\lambda_1 \int_0^T x(s) d_q s + \int_0^T (T - qs) f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s + \frac{\lambda_2}{(1 - u_2) \eta} \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta y(s) d_p s - \int_0^{u_2 \eta} g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s - \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1 - u_2) \eta} g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s \right) \right] \right| \tag{46} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{T^2 |A| + [u_1 \xi (1 + q) + (1 - u_1 q) \xi + T^2 |\Omega_2|] \delta}{|A| (1 + q)} \right) P_1 + \left(\frac{[T^2 + |\Omega_2| u_2 \eta (1 + p) + (1 - u_2 p) |\Omega_2| \eta] \delta}{|A| (1 + p)} \right) P_2 + \left(\frac{|\lambda_1| T |A| + |\Omega_2| |\lambda_1| T \delta + |\lambda_1| \delta}{|A|} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{|\lambda_2| T \delta + |\Omega_2| |\lambda_2| \delta}{|A|} \right) r = M_1 P_1 + M_2 P_2 + (M_3 + M_4) r. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_2(x, y)\| &\leq \sup_{t \in J} \left| -\lambda_2 \int_0^t y(s) d_p s + \int_0^t (t - ps) g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s \right. \\ &+ \frac{[t(1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2) + \alpha_2](1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1)}{A} \left[\Omega_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{(1 - u_1)\xi} \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi x(s) d_q s - \int_0^{u_1 \xi} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s - \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1 - u_1)\xi} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s - \lambda_2 \int_0^T y(s) d_p s + \int_0^T (T - ps) g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s \right) \right. \\ &- \lambda_1 \int_0^T x(s) d_q s + \int_0^T (T - qs) f(s, x(s), y(s)) d_q s + \frac{\lambda_2}{(1 - u_2)\eta} \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta y(s) d_p s - \int_0^{u_2 \eta} g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s - \int_{u_2 \eta}^\eta \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1 - u_2)\eta} g(s, x(s), y(s)) d_p s \left. \right] \\ &\leq \left(\frac{[T^2 + |\Omega_1| u_1 \xi (1 + q) + (1 - u_1 q) |\Omega_1| \xi] \rho}{|A|(1 + q)} \right) P_1 + \left(\frac{T^2 |A| + [u_2 \eta (1 + p) + (1 - u_2 p) \eta + T^2 |\Omega_1|] \rho}{|A|(1 + p)} \right) P_2 + \left(\frac{|\lambda_1| T \rho + |\Omega_1| |\lambda_1| \rho}{|A|} + \frac{|\lambda_2| T |A| + |\Omega_1| |\lambda_2| T \rho + |\lambda_2| \rho}{|A|} \right) r = M_5 P_1 \\ &+ M_6 P_2 + (M_7 + M_8) r. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Therefore, G is uniformly bounded.

Next, we show that G is equicontinuous. Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$ with $t_2 < t_1$. Setting $\sup_{t \in J} |f(t, x(t), y(t))| = \bar{f}$ and $\sup_{t \in J} |g(t, x(t), y(t))| = \bar{g}$ and for any $x, y \in B_r$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |G_1(x, y)(t_1) - G_1(x, y)(t_2)| &\leq r \left[|\lambda_1| |t_1 - t_2| \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{|A|} (|[t_1 - t_2](1 + |\alpha_1 \lambda_1|) + \alpha_1| (1 + |\alpha_2 \lambda_2|)) \\ &\cdot (|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2| T + |\lambda_1| |\Omega_2| T + |\lambda_2| |\Omega_2|) \left. \right] \\ &+ \bar{f} \left[|t_1 - t_2| t_2 + \frac{|t_1 - t_2|(t_1 + t_2)}{1 + q} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{|A|} (|[t_1 - t_2](1 + |\alpha_1 \lambda_1|) + \alpha_1| (1 + |\alpha_2 \lambda_2|)) \\ &\cdot \left(u_1 \xi + (1 - u_1 q) \xi + \frac{T^2 |\Omega_2|}{1 + q} \right) \left. \right] \\ &+ \bar{g} \left[\frac{1}{|A|} (|[t_1 - t_2](1 + |\alpha_1 \lambda_1|) + \alpha_1| (1 + |\alpha_2 \lambda_2|)) \right. \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{T^2}{1 + p} + u_2 \eta |\Omega_2| + (1 - u_2 p) |\Omega_2| \eta \right) \left. \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |G_2(x, y)(t_1) - G_2(x, y)(t_2)| &\leq r \left[|\lambda_2| |t_1 - t_2| \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{|A|} (|[t_1 - t_2](1 + |\alpha_2 \lambda_2|) + \alpha_2| (1 + |\alpha_1 \lambda_1|)) \\ &\cdot (|\lambda_2| + |\lambda_1| T + |\lambda_2| |\Omega_1| T + |\lambda_1| |\Omega_1|) \left. \right] \\ &+ \bar{f} \left[\frac{1}{|A|} (|[t_1 - t_2](1 + |\alpha_2 \lambda_2|) + \alpha_2| \right. \\ &\cdot (1 + |\alpha_1 \lambda_1|)) \left(\frac{T^2}{1 + q} + u_1 \xi |\Omega_1| + (1 - u_1 q) |\Omega_1| \right) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\cdot \xi \left. \right] + \bar{g} \left[|t_1 - t_2| t_2 + \frac{|t_1 - t_2|(t_1 + t_2)}{1 + p} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{|A|} (|[t_1 - t_2](1 + |\alpha_2 \lambda_2|) + \alpha_2| (1 + |\alpha_1 \lambda_1|)) \\ &\cdot \left(u_2 \eta + (1 - u_2 p) \eta + \frac{T^2 |\Omega_1|}{1 + p} \right) \left. \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Then G is equicontinuous. So G is relatively compact on B_r , and by the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem G is completely continuous on B_r .

Finally, it will be verified that the set $\mathcal{E} = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \mid (x, y) = \kappa G(x, y), 0 < \kappa < 1\}$ is bounded. Let $(x, y) \in \mathcal{E}$; then $(x, y) = \kappa G(x, y)$. For any $t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \kappa G_1(x, y)(t), \\ y(t) &= \kappa G_2(x, y)(t). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t)| &= |\kappa G_1(x, y)(t)| \leq |\lambda_1| \|x\| \int_0^T 1 d_q s + (L_0 \\ &+ L_1 \|x\| + L_2 \|y\|) \int_0^T (T - qs) d_q s \\ &+ \frac{\delta}{|A|} \left[\frac{|\lambda_1| \|x\|}{(1 - u_1)\xi} \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi 1 d_q s + (L_0 + L_1 \|x\| \right. \\ &+ L_2 \|y\|) \int_0^{u_1 \xi} 1 d_q s + (L_0 + L_1 \|x\| + L_2 \|y\|) \\ &\cdot \int_{u_1 \xi}^\xi \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1 - u_1)\xi} + |\lambda_2| \|y\| \int_0^T 1 d_p s + (R_0 + R_1 \|x\| \\ &+ R_2 \|y\|) \int_0^T (T - ps) d_p s + |\Omega_2| \\ &\cdot \left(\lambda_1 T \|x\| \int_0^T 1 d_q s \right. \\ &\left. + (L_0 + L_1 \|x\| + L_2 \|y\|) \int_0^T (T - qs) d_q s \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{|\lambda_2| \|y\|}{(1-u_2)\eta} \int_{u_2\eta}^{\eta} 1d_p s \\
 & + (R_0 + R_1 \|x\| + R_2 \|y\|) \int_0^{u_2\eta} 1d_p s \\
 & + (R_0 + R_1 \|x\| + R_2 \|y\|) \int_{u_2\eta}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1-u_2)\eta} d_p s \Big] \\
 & = (L_0 + L_1 \|x\| + L_2 \|y\|) M_1 + (R_0 + R_1 \|x\| \\
 & + R_2 \|y\|) M_2 + \|x\| M_3 + \|y\| M_4, \\
 |y(t)| = |\kappa G_2(x, y)(t)| & \leq |\lambda_2| \|y\| \int_0^T 1d_p s + (R_0 \\
 & + R_1 \|x\| + R_2 \|y\|) \int_0^T (T - qs) d_p s + \frac{\rho}{|A|} \left[|\Omega_1| \right. \\
 & \cdot \left(\frac{|\lambda_1| \|x\|}{(1-u_1)\xi} \int_{u_1\xi}^{\xi} 1d_q s + |\lambda_2| \|y\| \int_0^T 1d_p s \right. \\
 & + (L_0 + L_1 \|x\| + L_2 \|y\|) \int_0^{u_1\xi} 1d_q s \\
 & + (R_0 + R_1 \|x\| + R_2 \|y\|) \int_0^T (T - ps) d_p s \\
 & + (L_0 + L_1 \|x\| + L_2 \|y\|) \int_{u_1\xi}^{\xi} \frac{(\xi - qs)}{(1-u_1)\xi} d_q s \Big) \\
 & + |\lambda_1| \|x\| \int_0^T 1d_q s + (L_0 + L_1 \|x\| + L_2 \|y\|) \\
 & \cdot \int_0^T (T - qs) d_q s + \frac{|\lambda_2| \|y\|}{(1-u_2)\eta} \int_{u_2\eta}^{\eta} 1d_p s + (R_0 \\
 & + R_1 \|x\| + R_2 \|y\|) \int_0^{u_2\eta} 1d_p s + (R_0 + R_1 \|x\| \\
 & + R_2 \|y\|) \int_{u_2\eta}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - ps)}{(1-u_2)\eta} d_p s \Big] = (L_0 + L_1 \|x\| \\
 & + L_2 \|y\|) M_5 + (R_0 + R_1 \|x\| + R_2 \|y\|) M_6 + \|x\| \\
 & \cdot M_7 + \|y\| M_8.
 \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x\| + \|y\| & \leq (M_1 + M_5) L_0 + (M_2 + M_6) R_0 \\
 & + ((M_1 + M_5) L_1 + (M_2 + M_6) R_1 + M_3 + M_7) \\
 & \cdot \|x\| \\
 & + ((M_1 + M_5) L_2 + (M_2 + M_6) R_2 + M_4 + M_8) \\
 & \cdot \|y\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Consequently,

$$\| (x, y) \| \leq \frac{(M_1 + M_5) L_0 + (M_2 + M_6) R_0}{E^*}, \tag{53}$$

for any $t \in J$, where E^* is defined by (42), so that \mathcal{E} is bounded. Thus, by Lemma 5, the operator G has at least one fixed point. Hence, problem (1) has at least one solution on J . The proof is completed. \square

4. Examples

In this section, we present examples to illustrate our result.

Example 1. Consider the following system of Langevin quantum difference equations subject to the coupled nonlocal q -derivatives boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{1/4} \left(D_{1/4} + \frac{1}{30} \right) x(t) & = \frac{|x| e^{-t}}{5(10+t)^2} + \frac{|y| \sin^2(t)}{(14-t)^3} \left(\frac{|y|}{|y|+1} \right), \\
 & t \in [0, 4] \\
 D_{2/9} \left(D_{2/9} + \frac{1}{35} \right) y(t) & = \frac{2|x|}{12(5t+10)^2} \left(\frac{|x|}{|x|+3} \right) + \frac{12|y| \cos^2(t)}{5(t+10)^3}, \\
 & t \in [0, 4]
 \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(0) & = \frac{2}{11} D_{1/4} x(0), \\
 y(4) & = D_{3/11} x(1), \\
 y(0) & = \frac{3}{16} D_{5/6} y(0), \\
 x(4) & = D_{1/13} y(2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $q = 1/4$, $p = 2/9$, $\lambda_1 = 1/30$, $\lambda_2 = 1/35$, $\alpha_1 = 2/11$, $\alpha_2 = 3/16$, $z_1 = 1/4$, $z_2 = 5/6$, $u_1 = 3/11$, $u_2 = 1/13$, $T = 4$, $\xi = 1$, $\eta = 2$, $f(t, x, y) = |x|e^{-t}/5(10+t)^2 + |y|\sin^2(t)/(14-t)^3(|y|/|y|+1)$, and $g(t, x, y) = 2|x|/12(5t+10)^2(|x|/|x|+3) + 12|y|\cos^2(t)/5(t+10)^3$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |f(t, x_1, y_1) - f(t, x_2, y_2)| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{500} |x_1 - x_2| + \frac{1}{1000} |y_1 - y_2|, \\
 & |g(t, x_1, y_1) - g(t, x_2, y_2)| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{600} |x_1 - x_2| + \frac{1}{2400} |y_1 - y_2|, \\
 & |\alpha_1 \lambda_1| \approx 0.00606 \neq 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\alpha_2 \lambda_2| &\approx 0.00536 \neq 1, \\
 |\Omega_1| &= T + \frac{\alpha_1}{1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1} \approx 4.18072, \\
 |\Omega_2| &= T + \frac{\alpha_2}{1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2} \approx 4.18650, \\
 |A| &= |(1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1)(1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2)[1 - \Omega_1 \Omega_2]| \\
 &\approx 16.69158, \\
 \delta &= T((1 + |\alpha_1 \lambda_1|) + |\alpha_1|)(1 + |\alpha_2 \lambda_2|) \approx 4.77698, \\
 \rho &= T((1 + |\alpha_2 \lambda_2|) + |\alpha_2|)(1 + |\alpha_1 \lambda_1|) \approx 4.8004.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{55}$$

Then, the assumption of Theorem 4 is satisfied with $m_1 = 1/500$, $m_2 = 1/1000$, $n_1 = 1/600$, $n_2 = 1/2400$, $M_1 \approx 28.42756$, $M_2 \approx 5.85791$, $M_3 \approx 0.30263$, $M_4 \approx 0.06694$, $M_5 \approx 4.90542$, $M_6 \approx 29.33758$, $M_7 \approx 0.07269$, $M_8 \approx 0.25991$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_1 &= (m_1 + m_2) M_1 + (n_1 + n_2) M_2 + M_3 + M_4 \\
 &\approx 0.46701 < \frac{1}{2}, \\
 U_1 &= (m_1 + m_2) M_5 + (n_1 + n_2) M_6 + M_7 + M_8 \\
 &\approx 0.40844 < \frac{1}{2}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{56}$$

Therefore, we get that

$$B_1 + U_1 \approx 0.87545 < 1.
 \tag{57}$$

Hence, by Theorem 4, problem (54) has a unique solution on $[0, 4]$.

Example 2. Consider the following system of Langevin quantum difference equations subject to the coupled nonlocal q -derivatives boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &D_{1/3} \left(D_{1/3} + \frac{1}{32} \right) x(t) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|x| e^{-t}}{8(15-t)^2} + \frac{4|y| \cos^2(t)}{6(10-t)^3} \left(\frac{|y|}{|y|+3} \right), \\
 &t \in [0, 5]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &D_{1/4} \left(D_{1/4} + \frac{1}{36} \right) y(t) \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} + \frac{2|x|}{241(3+t)} \left(\frac{|x|}{|x|+2} \right) + \frac{|y| \sin^2(t)}{47(19-t)}, \\
 &t \in [0, 5]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$x(0) = -\frac{2}{3} D_{1/5} x(0),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(5) &= D_{2/3} x(2), \\
 y(0) &= \frac{3}{5} D_{1/4} y(0), \\
 x(5) &= D_{2/5} y(3).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{58}$$

Here $q = 1/3$, $p = 1/4$, $\lambda_1 = 1/32$, $\lambda_2 = 1/36$, $\alpha_1 = -2/3$, $\alpha_2 = 3/5$, $z_1 = 1/5$, $z_2 = 1/4$, $u_1 = 2/3$, $u_2 = 2/5$, $T = 5$, $\xi = 2$, $\eta = 3$, $f(t, x, y) = 1/2 + |x|e^{-t}/8(15-t)^2 + (4|y|\cos^2(t)/6(10-t)^3)(|y|/|y|+3)$, and $g(t, x, y) = \sqrt{3}/4 + (2|x|/241(3+t)^3)(|x|/|x|+2) + |y|\sin^2(t)/47(19-t)$.

So that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(t, x_1, x_2)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{800} |x_1| + \frac{1}{750} |x_2|, \\
 |g(t, x_1, x_2)| &\leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} + \frac{2}{723} |x_1| + \frac{1}{658} |x_2|, \\
 |\alpha_1 \lambda_1| &\approx 0.020833 \neq 1, \\
 |\alpha_2 \lambda_2| &\approx 0.035294 \neq 1, \\
 |\Omega_1| &= T + \frac{\alpha_1}{1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1} \approx 5.653061, \\
 |\Omega_2| &= T + \frac{\alpha_2}{1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2} \approx 5.579546, \\
 |A| &= |(1 + \alpha_1 \lambda_1)(1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2)[1 - \Omega_1 \Omega_2]| \\
 &\approx 32.278176, \\
 \delta &= T((1 + |\alpha_1 \lambda_1|) + |\alpha_1|)(1 + |\alpha_2 \lambda_2|) \\
 &\approx 8.735291, \\
 \rho &= T((1 + |\alpha_2 \lambda_2|) + |\alpha_2|)(1 + |\alpha_1 \lambda_1|) \\
 &\approx 8.346811.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{59}$$

Then, the assumptions of Theorem 6 are satisfied with $L_0 = 1/2$, $L_1 = 1/800$, $L_2 = 1/750$, $R_0 = \sqrt{3}/4$, $R_1 = 2/723$, $R_2 = 1/658$, $M_1 \approx 47.738433$, $M_2 \approx 10.485993$, $M_3 \approx 0.400639$, $M_4 \approx 0.079530$, $M_5 \approx 8.503122$, $M_6 \approx 50.105354$, $M_7 \approx 0.086087$, $M_8 \approx 0.349103$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_1 &= (M_1 + M_5) L_1 + (M_2 + M_6) R_1 + M_3 + M_7 \\
 &\approx 0.724639 < 1, \\
 E_2 &= (M_1 + M_5) L_2 + (M_2 + M_6) R_2 + M_4 + M_8 \\
 &\approx 0.595706 < 1.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{60}$$

Consequently all conditions in Theorem 6 are satisfied. Therefore, problem (1) has at least one solution on $[0, 5]$.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- [1] V. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2002.
- [2] B. Ahmad, “Boundary-value problems for nonlinear third-order q -difference equations,” *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2011, no. 94, pp. 1–7, 2011.
- [3] B. Ahmad and S. K. Ntouyas, “Boundary value problems for q -difference inclusions,” *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 292860, 15 pages, 2011.
- [4] B. Ahmad, A. Alsaedi, and S. K. Ntouyas, “A study of second-order q -difference equations with boundary conditions,” *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2012, article 35, 2012.
- [5] B. Ahmad and J. J. Nieto, “Basic theory of nonlinear third-order q -difference equations and inclusions,” *Mathematical Modelling and Analysis*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 122–135, 2013.
- [6] M. H. Annaby and Z. S. Mansour, *q-Fractional Calculus and Equations*, vol. 2056 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2012.
- [7] G. Bangerezako, “Variational q -calculus,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 289, no. 2, pp. 650–665, 2004.
- [8] A. Dobrogowska and A. Odziejewicz, “Second order q -difference equations solvable by factorization method,” *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 193, no. 1, pp. 319–346, 2006.
- [9] M. El-Shahed and H. A. Hassan, “Positive solutions of q -difference equation,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 138, no. 5, pp. 1733–1738, 2010.
- [10] R. A. Ferreira, “Nontrivial solutions for fractional q -difference boundary value problems,” *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, no. 70, pp. 1–10, 2010.
- [11] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [12] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, “Some systems of multivariable orthogonal q -Racah polynomials,” *The Ramanujan Journal*, vol. 13, no. 1–3, pp. 389–405, 2007.
- [13] W. T. Coffey, Y. P. Kalmykov, and J. T. Waldron, *The Langevin Equation*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2nd edition, 2004.
- [14] S. C. Lim, M. Li, and L. P. Teo, “Langevin equation with two fractional orders,” *Physics Letters A*, vol. 372, no. 42, pp. 6309–6320, 2008.
- [15] S. C. Lim and L. P. Teo, “The fractional oscillator process with two indices,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, vol. 42, no. 6, Article ID 065208, 34 pages, 2009.
- [16] M. Uranagase and T. Munakata, “Generalized Langevin equation revisited: mechanical random force and self-consistent structure,” *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 43, no. 45, Article ID 455003, 11 pages, 2010.
- [17] S. I. Denisov, H. Kantz, and P. Hänggi, “Langevin equation with super-heavy-tailed noise,” *Journal of Physics. A. Mathematical and Theoretical*, vol. 43, no. 28, Article ID 285004, 10 pages, 2010.
- [18] A. Lozinski, R. G. Owens, and T. N. Phillips, “The Langevin and Fokker-Planck equations in polymer rheology,” *Handbook of Numerical Analysis*, vol. 16, pp. 211–303, 2011.
- [19] L. Lizana, T. Ambjörnsson, A. Taloni, E. Barkai, and M. A. Lomholt, “Foundation of fractional Langevin equation: harmonization of a many-body problem,” *Physical Review E*, vol. 81, no. 8, Article ID 051118, 2010.
- [20] B. Ahmad and P. W. Eloe, “A nonlocal boundary value problem for a nonlinear fractional differential equation with two indices,” *Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 69–80, 2010.
- [21] B. Ahmad, J. J. Nieto, A. Alsaedi, and M. El-Shahed, “A study of nonlinear Langevin equation involving two fractional orders in different intervals,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 599–606, 2012.
- [22] J. Tariboon, S. K. Ntouyas, and C. Thaiprayoon, “Nonlinear Langevin equation of Hadamard-Caputo type fractional derivatives with nonlocal fractional integral conditions,” *Advances in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 2014, Article ID 372749, 15 pages, 2014.
- [23] M. H. Annaby and Z. S. Mansour, *q-Fractional Calculus and Equations*, vol. 2056, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Berlin, Germany, 2012.
- [24] A. Granas and J. Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2003.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

