Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Volume 2007, Article ID 60907, 16 pages doi:10.1155/2007/60907

Research Article Necessary Conditions for the Solutions of Second Order Non-linear Neutral Delay Difference Equations to Be Oscillatory or Tend to Zero

R. N. Rath, J. G. Dix, B. L. S. Barik, and B. Dihudi

Received 20 January 2007; Revised 30 May 2007; Accepted 4 August 2007

Recommended by Attila Gilanyi

We find necessary conditions for every solution of the neutral delay difference equation $\Delta(r_n\Delta(y_n - p_ny_{n-m})) + q_nG(y_{n-k}) = f_n$ to oscillate or to tend to zero as $n \to \infty$, where Δ is the forward difference operator $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$, and p_n , q_n , r_n are sequences of real numbers with $q_n \ge 0$, $r_n > 0$. Different ranges of $\{p_n\}$, including $p_n = \pm 1$, are considered in this paper. We do not assume that *G* is Lipschitzian nor nondecreasing with xG(x) > 0 for $x \ne 0$. In this way, the results of this paper improve, generalize, and extend recent results. Also, we provide illustrative examples for our results.

Copyright © 2007 R. N. Rath et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we present necessary conditions so that every solution of

$$\Delta(r_n\Delta(y_n - p_n y_{n-m})) + q_n G(y_{n-k}) = 0$$
(1.1)

and of

$$\Delta(r_n\Delta(y_n - p_n y_{n-m})) + q_n G(y_{n-k}) = f_n \tag{1.2}$$

oscillates or tends to zero as $n \to \infty$, where Δ is the forward difference operator $\Delta y_n = y_{n+1} - y_n$, the sequences $\{p_n\}, \{f_n\}, \{q_n\}$, and $\{r_n\}$ are sequences of real numbers with $q_n \ge 0$ and $r_n > 0$. We assume that m, k are nonnegative constant integers, and $G \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Various ranges of the sequence $\{p_n\}$ are considered.

Some of the following conditions will be assumed later this article.

(H0) *G* is Lipschitzian in every interval of form [a, b], with 0 < a < b.

(H1) xG(x) > 0 for $x \neq 0$ and *G* is nondecreasing.

- (H2) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n = \infty$. (H3) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1/r_n = \infty$. (H4) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1/r_n < \infty$.
- (H5) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/r_n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q_i = \infty.$
- (H6) There exists a bounded sequence $\{F_n\}$ such that $\Delta F_n = f_n$.

Difference equations occur as mathematical models of some real-world problems. To have a glimpse of the importance, utility, and development of the subject, one may refer [1–3]. In recent years, many authors have shown interest in the oscillation of neutral delay difference equations (NDDEs in short). For recent results and references, see the monograph by Agarwal [4], the papers [5–22], and the references cited there in. In this paper, neither (H0) nor (H1) is assumed for obtaining positive solution of (1.2). However, several authors use these conditions while they attempted the same problem for neutral equations of any order; see [6, 12–22]. To the best of our knowledge, no result regarding positive solutions of neutral equations (both differential and difference equations) of any order with $p_n \equiv -1$ is available in the literature. Even the papers written specially for $p_n = \pm 1$ do not have such a result [13, 14, 18, 20]. For difference equations, most ranges are covered in [12, 13, 15], but there is no result for $p_n = \pm 1$. In this paper, we have covered all ranges of p_n including those missing in [12, 13, 15]. Furthermore, the authors studying (1.1) assume either (H3) or (H4); see [6]. In this work, we are able to do away with these conditions. In particular, we show that either (H3) or (H5) is necessary for every solution of (1.1) or (1.2) to oscillate or to tend to zero as $n \to \infty$.

We remark that Thandapani et al. [23] have studied the *m*-order neutral delay difference equation

$$\Delta^{m}[y_{n} + p_{n}y_{n-s}] + \delta F(n, y_{n-k}) = 0.$$
(1.3)

They found conditions that are sufficient for every solution to oscillate or to tend to zero as $n \to \infty$, under various ranges for p_n . The results about our NDDE (even for $r_n = 1$) do not follow from the results presented in (1.3) with m = 2, because of the presence of the nonlinear term F(n, y). Furthermore, our conditions are in certain sense opposite to those in [23].

We illustrate our results with suitable examples and show their significance over other results in the literature. Since $r_n \equiv 1$ is permissible, our results generalize and improve the results to second-order NDDEs in [12, 15].

Let $\tau = \max\{m, k\}$ and let N_0 be a fixed nonnegative integer. By a solution of (1.2), we mean a real sequence $\{y_n\}$ which is defined for all positive integer $n \ge N_0 - \tau$ and satisfies (1.2) for $n \ge N_0$. When an initial condition

$$y_n = a_n \quad \text{for } N_0 - \tau \le n \le N_0 \tag{1.4}$$

is given, (1.2) has a unique solution satisfying the given initial condition.

A solution $\{y_n\}$ of (1.2) is said to be oscillatory if for every positive integer $N_0 > 0$, there exists $n \ge N_0$ such that $y_n y_{n+1} < 0$; otherwise $\{y_n\}$ is said to be nonoscillatory.

We would like to present the following useful remarks.

Remark 1.1. (i) Since $r_n > 0$, only one of (H3) and (H4) holds but not both.

(ii) If (H3) holds, then (H2) implies (H5) but not conversely. This is justified from the example when $r_n = 3^{-n}$ and $q_n = 2^{-n}$.

(iii) If (H4) holds, then (H5) implies (H2) but not conversely. Indeed, this can be verified from the example when $r_n = n^3$ and $q_n \equiv 1$.

(iv) If (H2) and (H5) hold, then nothing can be said about (H3) and (H4). This can be seen from the example $r_n = n^2$ and $q_n \equiv 1$. In this case, (H2), (H5), (H4) hold but not (H3). Next, consider the example $r_n \equiv 1$ and $q_n \equiv 1$. Here (H2), (H3), (H5) hold but not (H4).

2. Positive solutions I

In this section, we assume that there exists a constant b, such that the sequence $\{p_n\}$ satisfies

(A1) $0 \le p_n \le b < 1$.

For our purpose, we need the following result.

LEMMA 2.1 (Krasnoselskii's Fixed Point theorem [9]). Let X be a Banach space and let S be a bounded closed convex subset of X. Let A, B be operators from S to X such that $Ax + By \in S$ for every pair of $x, y \in S$. If A is a contraction and B is completely continuous, then the equation

$$Ax + Bx = x \tag{2.1}$$

has a solution in S.

Our first results read as follows.

THEOREM 2.2. Let (A1), (H4), and (H6) hold. If every solution of (1.2) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then (H5) holds.

Proof. We use the contraposition method. Assuming that (H5) does not hold, try to find a solution to (1.2) that does not oscillate and does not tend to zero. From the negation of (H5),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q_j < \infty.$$
(2.2)

Using the continuity of *G*, we set

$$\mu = \max\left\{ \left| G(x) \right| : \frac{2(1-b)}{3} \le x \le \frac{4}{3} \right\}.$$
(2.3)

Then using (H4) and (H6), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{F_i}{r_i} < \infty.$$
(2.4)

From (2.2) and (2.4), we can find that $N_1 > 0$ such that for $n \ge N_1$,

$$\mu \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q_j < \frac{1-b}{6},$$
(2.5)

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{|F_i|}{r_i} < \frac{1-b}{6}.$$
(2.6)

Let *X* be the Banach space consisting of bounded real sequences $x = \{x_n\}$, with the supremum norm

$$\|x\| = \sup\{ |x_n| : n \ge N_1 - \tau \}.$$
(2.7)

In this space, we define the closed and convex set

$$S = \left\{ y \in X : \frac{2(1-b)}{3} \le y_n \le \frac{4}{3}, n \ge N_1 - \tau \right\}.$$
 (2.8)

Now we define two operators *A* and *B*, from *S* to *X*, such that fixed points of A + B are solutions of (1.2). For $y \in S$, define

$$(Ay)_{n} = \begin{cases} (Ay)_{N_{1}}, & N_{1} - \tau \le n \le N_{1}, \\ p_{n}y_{n-m} + (1-b), & n \ge N_{1}, \end{cases}$$
(2.9)
$$(By)_{n} = \begin{cases} (By)_{N_{1}}, & N_{1} - \tau \le n \le N_{1}, \\ \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{i-1} q_{j}G(y_{j-k}) - \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{F_{i}}{r_{i}}, & n \ge N_{1}. \end{cases}$$
(2.10)

Here we use the convention that $\sum_{j=n_1}^{n_2} \cdots = 0$ when $n_2 < n_1$.

First we show that if $x, y \in S$, then $Ax + By \in S$. With $x = \{x_n\}$ and $y = \{y_n\}$ in S, and $n \ge N_1$, we obtain

$$(Ax)_n + (By)_n = p_n x_{n-m} + (1-b) + \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=N_1}^{i-1} q_j G(y_{j-k}) - \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{F_i}{r_i}.$$
 (2.11)

Note that for $j \ge N_1$, the sequence $\{y_{j-k}\}$ is in *S*, so that $|G(y_{j-k})| \le \mu$. Using (2.5), (2.6), and $0 \le p_n \le b < 1$, we have

$$(Ax)_{n} + (By)_{n} < \frac{4b}{3} + (1-b) + \frac{1-b}{6} + \frac{1-b}{6} = \frac{4}{3},$$

$$(Ax)_{n} + (By)_{n} > 0 + (1-b) + 0 - \frac{1-b}{6} - \frac{1-b}{6} = \frac{2}{3}(1-b).$$
(2.12)

Therefore, $2(1 - b)/3 < (Ax)_n + (By)_n \le 4/3$ so that Ax + By belongs to S for all x, y in S.

Next we show that *A* is a contraction in *S*. In fact for *x*, *y* in *S* and $n \ge N_1$,

$$\left| \left| (Ax)_{n} - (Ay)_{n} \right| \right| \leq \left| p_{n} \right| \left| x_{n-m} - y_{n-m} \right| \leq b \|x - y\|.$$
(2.13)

This implies that *A* is a contraction, because 0 < b < 1.

Next we show that *B* is completely continuous. As a first step, we show that *B* is continuous. Suppose that $x^l \equiv \{x_n^l\}$ is a sequence of points in *S* (with *l* taken from the index set) which converges to $x \equiv \{x_n\}$ in *S* as $l \to \infty$. Since *S* is closed, $x \in S$. For $n \ge N_1$, we have

$$\left| (Bx^{l})_{n} - (Bx)_{n} \right| \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{i-1} q_{j} \left| G(x_{j-k}^{l}) - G(x_{j-k}) \right|.$$
(2.14)

Since *G* is continuous, $|G(x_{j-k}^l) - G(x_{j-k})|$ approaches zero and is as $l \to \infty$. Hence, *B* is continuous. It remains to show that *BS* is relatively compact. Using [7, Theorem 3.3], we need only show that *BS* is uniformly cauchy. Let $x \equiv \{x_n\}$ be a sequence in *S*. Using (2.2) and (2.4), for $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N^* \ge N_1$ such that, for $n \ge N^*$,

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \left| \frac{F_i}{r_i} \right| + \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=N_1}^{i-1} q_j \mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
(2.15)

Then for $n_2 > n_1 \ge N^*$,

$$\left| (Bx)_{n_{2}} - (Bx)_{n_{1}} \right| < \sum_{i=n_{2}}^{\infty} \left| \frac{F_{i}}{r_{i}} \right| + \sum_{i=n_{1}}^{\infty} \left| \frac{F_{i}}{r_{i}} \right| + \sum_{i=n_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{i-1} q_{j}\mu + \sum_{i=n_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{i-1} q_{j}\mu < 2\frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

$$(2.16)$$

Thus, *BS* is uniformly cauchy. Hence, it is relatively compact. Then, by Lemma 2.1 there is an x^0 in *S* such that $Ax^0 + Bx^0 = x^0$; that is, for $y = x^0$ and $n \ge N_1$,

$$y_n = (A+B)y_n = p_n y_{n-m} + 1 - b + \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=N_1}^{i-1} q_j G(y_{j-k}) - \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{F_i}{r_i}.$$
 (2.17)

Applying the forward difference operator Δ , we obtain

$$\Delta(y_n - p_n y_{n-m}) + \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{j=N_1}^{n-1} q_j G(y_{j-k}) = \frac{F_n}{r_n}.$$
(2.18)

Multiplying by r_n , and applying Δ again, with $\Delta F_n = f_n$, we obtain (1.2). Therefore, $(x^0)_n$ is a solution of (1.2) and is bounded below by 2(1 - b)/3; thus $(x^0)_n$ is nonoscillatory and does not approach zero as $n \to \infty$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let (A1), (H4), (H6) hold. If every solution of (1.2) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then (H2) holds.

The proof of this corollary follows from Remark 1.1(iii) and Theorem 2.2.

THEOREM 2.4. Let (A1) and (H3) hold. Assume that there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for i large,

$$r_i > \frac{1}{\alpha},\tag{2.19}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i < \infty \quad \text{with } \Delta F_n = f_n.$$
(2.20)

If every solution of (1.2) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ *, then (H5) holds.*

Proof. Using (2.19) and (2.20), we obtain (2.4) and consequently get (2.6). The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.2. \Box

Remark 2.5. Condition (2.20) implies (H6).

COROLLARY 2.6. Let (A1), (2.19), (2.20) hold. If every solution of (1.2) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then (H5) holds.

Proof. By Remark 1.1(i), either (H3) or (H4) holds exclusively. If (H4) holds, using condition (2.20) and Theorem 2.2, we get the required solution to (1.2). If (H3) holds, using Theorem 2.4, we obtain the required solution. \Box

Remark 2.7. If in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we replace (2.2) by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} q_i < \infty,$$
(2.21)

then the theorem still holds. We just have to adjust the definition of the mapping *B* in (2.10). That is, for $n \ge N_1$,

$$(By)_n = -\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} q_j G(y_{j-k}) - \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{F_i}{r_i}.$$
 (2.22)

Then, if we take $r_n \equiv 1$, then condition (2.21) reduces to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} q_i < \infty.$$
(2.23)

The above condition is required for the next result.

COROLLARY 2.8. Inequality (2.23) is a sufficient condition for the second-order NDDE

$$\Delta^2(y_n - p_n y_{n-m}) + q_n G(y_{n-k}) = f_n$$
(2.24)

to have a solution bounded below by a positive constant, under assumptions (A1), (2.19), and (2.20).

The proof of the above corollary follows from Corollary 2.6.

Remark 2.9. We claim that the condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} iq_i < \infty \tag{2.25}$$

R. N. Rath et al. 7

implies (2.23). It is clear that (2.25) implies

$$M_n := \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} (i - n + 1)q_i < \infty.$$
(2.26)

Note that $M_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Further

$$\Delta M_n = M_{n+1} - M_n = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} (i-n)q_i - \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} (i-n+1)q_i$$

= $\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} (i-n)q_i - \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} (i-n)q_i - \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} q_i = -\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} q_i.$ (2.27)

Then, summing from n = 1 to n = k - 1, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} q_i = M_1 - M_k.$$
(2.28)

As $k \to \infty$, we obtain $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} q_i \to M_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} iq_i < \infty$. Hence, our claim holds.

Remark 2.10. Corollary 2.8 improves [16, Theorem 4.2] (for m = 2 in their paper), because Parhi and Tripathy assumed *G* to be Lipschitzian and satisfy (H1). It may be noted in view of the above Remark 2.9, the condition we used, (2.23), is weaker than the condition (2.26) assumed in [16].

Remark 2.11. Corollary 2.6 of this paper improves and generalizes [6, Theorem 1] because we have removed the restrictions (H3) and (H1). Furthermore, in their theorem, $p_n \equiv p$, a constant, and *m* is an even positive integer.

Example 2.12. Consider the equation

$$\Delta^2 \left(y_n - \frac{1}{n} y_{n-1} \right) + \frac{6(n-2)}{n(n-1)^2(n+1)(n+2)} y_{n-2} = 0, \quad n > 1$$
(2.29)

which satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.4 and Corollaries 2.6 and 2.8. Hence, it has a solution, $y_n = 1 + 1/n$, which is nonoscillatory and does not tend to zero.

3. Positive solutions II

In the previous section, we obtained five results assuming condition (A1). In this section, obtain similar results for the following conditions:

 $(A2) -1 < -b \le p_n \le 0,$

$$(A3) -d \le p_n \le -c < -1,$$

(A4)
$$1 < c \le p_n \le d$$
,

where *b*, *c*, and *d* are positive real numbers. Since the proofs are similar to the proofs in the previous section, we present only the sketch of the proofs, and leave some proofs as an exercise for the reader.

THEOREM 3.1. Let (A2), (H4), (H6) hold. If every solution of (1.2) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then (H5) holds.

Proof. We proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, with the following changes:

$$\mu = \max\{ |G(x)| : 2(1-b) \le x \le 4 \}.$$
(3.1)

Assuming (H4), (H6) and that (H5) does not hold, there exists N_1 such that for $n \ge N_1$,

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q_j \mu < \frac{1-b}{2}, \qquad \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{|F_i|}{r_i} < \frac{1-b}{2}.$$
(3.2)

Let $S = \{y \in X : 2(1 - b) \le y_n \le 4, n \ge N_1 - \tau\}$. Then, we define the operators *A* and *B* as follows:

$$(Ay)_{n} = \begin{cases} (Ay)_{N_{1}}, & N_{1} - \tau \leq n \leq N_{1}, \\ p_{n}y_{n-m} + (3+b), & n \geq N_{1}; \end{cases}$$
$$(By)_{n} = \begin{cases} (By)_{N_{1}}, & N_{1} - \tau \leq n \leq N_{1}, \\ \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{i-1} q_{j}G(y_{j-k}) - \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{F_{i}}{r_{i}}, & n \geq N_{1}. \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

Then as in Theorem 2.2, we prove the following: (i) $Ax + By \in S$, (ii) A is a contraction, and finally (iii) B is completely continuous. Then, by Lemma 2.1, there is a fixed point x_0 in S such that $Ax_0 + Bx_0 = x_0$ which is the required solution bounded below by 2(1-b) > 0.

THEOREM 3.2. Let (A2), (H3), (2.19), (2.20) hold. If every solution of (1.2) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \to \infty$, then (H5) holds.

The proof of the above theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3.1.

THEOREM 3.3. Let (A3), (H4), (H6) hold. If every solution of (1.2) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \to \infty$, then (H5) holds.

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, with the following changes. If necessary, increment *d* so that $d \ge (c+2)/c$. Note that by (A3), we have 1 < c < d and $1/d < -1/p_{n+m} < 1/c$. Let ϵ be a positive constant with $\epsilon < (c-1)/2$. Let $h = (c-1) - \epsilon$, and $H = (d-1) + 2\epsilon/c$, so that H > h > 0. Let

$$\mu = \max\{ |G(x)| : h \le x \le H \}.$$
(3.4)

Suppose that (H5) does not hold. Then from (H4) and (H6), it follows that (2.2) and (2.4) hold. Hence there exists $N_1 > 0$ such that for $n \ge N_1$,

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q_j \mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \qquad \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{|F_i|}{r_i} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
(3.5)

Let $S = \{y \in X : h \le y_n \le H \text{ for } n \ge N_1 - \tau\}$. Then define the operators *A* and *B* as follows:

$$(Ay)_{n} = \begin{cases} (Ay)_{N_{1}}, & N_{1} - \tau \leq n \leq N_{1} \\ \frac{y_{n+m}}{p_{n+m}} - \frac{cd-1}{p_{n+m}}, & n \geq N_{1}; \end{cases}$$

$$(By)_{n} = \begin{cases} (By)_{N_{1}}, & N_{1} - \tau \leq n \leq N_{1} \\ -\frac{1}{p_{n+m}} \sum_{i=n+m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{i-1} q_{j}G(y_{j-k}) \\ +\frac{1}{p_{n+m}} \sum_{i=n+m}^{\infty} \frac{F_{i}}{r_{i}}, & n \geq N_{1}. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.6)$$

Then first we prove $Ax + By \in S$ when $x, y \in S$. With $x = \{x_n\}$ and $y = \{y_n\}$ in *S*, and $n \ge N_1$, we obtain

$$(Ax)_{n} + (By)_{n} = \frac{-1}{p_{m+n}} \left(-x_{n-m} + cd - 1 + \sum_{i=n+m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{i-1} q_{j}G(y_{j-k}) - \sum_{i=n+m}^{\infty} \frac{F_{i}}{r_{i}} \right).$$
(3.7)

Then

$$(Ax)_{n} + (By)_{n} < \frac{1}{-p_{n+m}} (-x_{n+m} + cd - 1 + \epsilon)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{c} (-h + cd - 1 + \epsilon)$$

$$= \frac{1}{c} (-(c-1) + cd - 1) + \frac{2\epsilon}{c} = (d-1) + \frac{2\epsilon}{c} = H.$$
(3.8)

Also

$$(Ax)_{n} + (By)_{n} > \frac{-1}{p_{n+m}} (-x_{n+m} + cd - 1 - \epsilon) \ge \frac{1}{d} (-H + cd - 1 - \epsilon)$$

= $\frac{1}{d} (-(d-1) + cd - 1) - \frac{(c+2)\epsilon}{cd}$ (3.9)
= $(c-1) - \frac{(c+2)\epsilon}{cd} \ge (c-1) - \epsilon = h$, since $\frac{(c+2)}{c} \le d$.

Hence $Ax + By \in S$. Next, we show that A is a contraction in S. In fact for x, y in S and $n \ge N_1$,

$$\left| \left| (Ax)_{n} - (Ay)_{n} \right| \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{p_{n}} \right| \left| x_{n+m} - y_{n+m} \right| \leq \frac{1}{c} ||x - y||.$$
(3.10)

This implies that *A* is a contraction, because 0 < 1/c < 1. Finally, we show that *B* is completely continuous. For this as a first step, we observe *B* is obviously continuous. It is

sufficient to show that *BS* is relatively compact. Using [7, Theorem 3.3], we need only show that *BS* is uniformly cauchy. Let $x \equiv \{x_n\}$ be a sequence in *S*. For $\eta > 0$, there exists $N^* \ge N_1$ such that, for $n \ge N^*$,

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \left| \frac{F_i}{r_i} \right| < \eta c/4, \qquad \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=N_1}^{i-1} q_j \mu < \frac{\eta c}{4}.$$
(3.11)

Then for $n_2 > n_1 \ge N^*$, and using (A3) and (3.11), we get

$$|(Bx)_{n_{2}} - (Bx)_{n_{1}}| < \left[\left| \frac{1}{p_{n_{2}+m}} \right| \sum_{i=n_{2}+m}^{\infty} \left| \frac{F_{i}}{r_{i}} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{p_{n_{1}+m}} \right| \sum_{i=n_{1}+m}^{\infty} \left| \frac{F_{i}}{r_{i}} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{p_{n_{2}+m}} \right| \sum_{i=n_{2}+m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{i-1} q_{j}\mu + \left| \frac{1}{p_{n_{1}+m}} \right| \sum_{i=n_{1}+m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{i-1} q_{j}\mu \right] < \frac{1}{c} (4) \left(\frac{\eta c}{4} \right) = \eta.$$

$$(3.12)$$

Thus *BS* is uniformly cauchy. Hence, it is relatively compact. Then, by Lemma 2.1, there is a fixed point x_0 in *S* such that $Ax_0 + Bx_0 = x_0$ which is a solution of (1.2). This solution is bounded below by a positive constant; therefore it neither oscillates nor tends to zero.

THEOREM 3.4. Let (A3), (H3), (2.19), (2.20) hold. If every solution of (1.2) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \to \infty$, then (H5) holds.

The proof of the above theorem follows similar lines as in Theorem 3.3.

COROLLARY 3.5. Let (A3), (2.19), (2.20) hold. If every solution of (1.1) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \to \infty$, then (H5) holds.

Proof. In view of Remark 1.1(i), the proof follows lines similar to those in Theorems 3.3 and 3.4. \Box

The proofs under Condition (A4) are similar to those under Condition (A3). Hence we skip all the proofs, except the following one.

THEOREM 3.6. Let (A4), (H4), (H6) hold. If every bounded solution of (1.2) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \to \infty$, then (H5) holds.

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, with the following changes. If required decrement c < 3. Let h = (d - 2c + 3)/d and H = (d + c)/(c - 1). Then, H > h > 0. Let $\mu = \max\{|G(x)| : h \le x \le H\}$. Suppose that (H5) does not hold. Then from (H4) and (H6), one can find that $N_1 > 0$ such that for $n \ge N_1$,

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q_j \mu < c-1, \qquad \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{F_i}{r_i} < c-1.$$
(3.13)

Then we define the operator A as

$$(Ay)_{n} = \begin{cases} (Ay)_{N_{1}}, & N_{1} - \tau \le n \le N_{1} \\ \frac{y_{n+m}}{p_{n+m}} + \frac{d+1}{p_{n+m}}, & n \ge N_{1}. \end{cases}$$
(3.14)

We define the operator *B* as in Theorem 3.3. We show that if $x, y \in S$, then $Ax + By \in S$. With $x = \{x_n\}$ and $y = \{y_n\}$ in *S*, and $n \ge N_1$, we obtain

$$(Ax)_{n} + (By)_{n} = \frac{1}{p_{m+n}} \left(x_{n+m} + d + 1 - \sum_{i=n+m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{i-1} q_{j}G(y_{j-k}) + \sum_{i=n+m}^{\infty} \frac{F_{i}}{r_{i}} \right),$$

$$(Ax)_{n} + (By)_{n} < \frac{1}{p_{n+m}} \left(x_{n+m} + d + 1 + \sum_{i=n+m}^{\infty} \frac{|F_{i}|}{r_{i}} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{c} \left(H + (c-1) + (d+1) \right) = H \cdot \left(\text{since } H = \frac{d+c}{c-1} \right).$$
(3.15)

Also

$$(Ax)_{n} + (By)_{n} > \frac{1}{p_{n+m}} \left(d + 1 - \sum_{i=n+m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{i-1} q_{j} G(y_{j-k}) + \sum_{i=n+m}^{\infty} \frac{|F_{i}|}{r_{i}} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{d} \left(d + 1 - (c-1) - (c-1) \right) = \frac{d-2c+3}{d} = h.$$
(3.16)

Hence $Ax + By \in S$. Then we prove *A* is a contraction and BS is relatively compact as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 and apply Lemma 2.1 to complete the proof.

4. Positive solutions III

In this section, we find positive solutions for (1.2) when $p_n = \pm 1$. We consider the equations

$$\Delta(r_n\Delta(y_n+y_{n-m}))+q_nG(y_{n-k})=f_n, \qquad (4.1)$$

$$\Delta(r_n\Delta(y_n-y_{n-m}))+q_nG(y_{n-k})=f_n. \tag{4.2}$$

For this purpose, we need the following result.

LEMMA 4.1 (Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem [24]). Let *S* be a closed, convex, and nonempty subset of a Banach space *X*. Let $B: S \to S$ be a continuous mapping such that B(S) be a relatively compact subset of *X*. Then *B* has at least one fixed point in *S*. This means that there is an $x \in S$ such that Bx = x.

For the proof of the next theorem, we would like to point out the following remark.

Remark 4.2. (i) Suppose that $a_i > 0$ for all i and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i < \infty$. Then for any positive integer n and fixed positive integer m, it follows that $\sum_{i=n+m}^{\infty} a_i < \infty$. Then

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+(2l-1)m}^{n+2lm-1} a_i < \sum_{i=n+m}^{\infty} a_i < \infty.$$
(4.3)

(ii) Suppose that (H4) and (H6) hold but (H5) does not hold. Then (2.2) holds. Put $a_i = (1/r_i) \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q_j$. Then by part (i) of this remark, we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+(2l-1)m}^{n+2lm-1} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q_j < \infty.$$
(4.4)

Again using (H4) and (H6), we get (2.4), which implies (in view of the argument given above)

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+(2l-1)m}^{n+2lm-1} \frac{|F_i|}{r_i} < \infty.$$
(4.5)

THEOREM 4.3. Suppose (H4), (H6) hold. If every solution of (4.1) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \to \infty$, then (H5) holds.

Proof. Suppose that (H5) does not hold. Then, (2.2) holds. From (H4) and (H6), we get (2.4). From Remark 4.2, it follows that (4.4) and (4.5) hold. Then we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 with the following changes. Let

$$\mu = \max\{ |G(x)| : 2 \le x \le 4 \}.$$
(4.6)

Then from (4.4) and (4.5), there exists $N_1 > 0$ such that for $n \ge N_1$,

$$\mu \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+(2l-1)m}^{n+2lm-1} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q_j < \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+(2l-1)m}^{n+2lm-1} \frac{|F_i|}{r_i} < \frac{1}{2}.$$
(4.7)

Define $S = \{y \in X : 2 \le y_n \le 4, n \ge N_1 - \tau\}$, and a mapping *B* from *S* to *X*:

$$(By)_{n} = \begin{cases} (By)_{N_{1}}, & N_{1} - \tau \leq n \leq N_{1}, \\ 3 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+(2l-1)m}^{n+2lm-1} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{i-1} q_{j}G(y_{j-k}) \\ - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+(2l-1)m}^{n+2lm-1} \frac{F_{i}}{r_{i}}, & n \geq N_{1}. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.8)$$

Then for $y = y_n \in S$, we have $(By)_n \le 3 + (1/2) + (1/2) = 4$, and $(By)_n \ge 3 - (1/2) > 2$. Hence, $By \in S$. Then, we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, and prove that *BS* is relatively compact. Then, by Lemma 4.1, there is a fixed point y^0 in *S* such that $By_n^0 = y_n^0$. Hence,

$$y_n^0 = 3 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+(2l-1)m}^{n+2lm-1} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=N_1}^{i-1} q_j G(y_{j-k}^0) - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+(2l-1)m}^{n+2lm-1} \frac{F_i}{r_i}.$$
 (4.9)

It follows, for $n \ge N_1$, that

$$y_n^0 + y_{n-m}^0 = 6 + \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=N_1}^{i-1} q_j G(y_{j-k}^0) - \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{F_i}{r_i}.$$
(4.10)

Applying Δ , multiplying by r_n , and applying Δ again, we arrive at (4.1), This solution is bounded below by a positive constant, so it does not oscillate and does not tend to zero as $n \to \infty$.

COROLLARY 4.4. Let (H4), (H6) hold. If every solution of (4.1) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then (H2) holds.

The proof of the above corollary follows from Remark 1.1(iii) and Theorem 4.3.

THEOREM 4.5. Let (H3), (2.19), (2.20) hold. If every solution of (4.1) oscillates or tends to zero as $n \to \infty$, then (H5) holds.

The proof of the above theorem is similar to that of Theorem 4.3.

Example 4.6. Consider the equation

$$\Delta^2(y_n + y_{n-1}) + \frac{4(n-2)}{n(n+1)(n-1)(n+2)}G(y_{n-2}) = 0, \quad n > 1.$$
(4.11)

which satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 4.5. Hence, it admits a solution, $y_n \equiv 1$, which is not oscillatory and does not tend to zero. Here G(u) = 1 - u is decreasing and does not satisfy (H1).

THEOREM 4.7. Suppose that (H6) holds. For each positive integer n, assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=n+mi}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_l} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} q_j < \infty,$$
(4.12)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=n+mi}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_l} < \infty.$$

$$(4.13)$$

Then (4.2) has a solution bounded below by a positive constant.

Proof. We proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 with the following changes. Let $\mu = \max\{|G(x)| : 2 \le x \le 4\}$. Then from (H6), (4.12), and (4.13), there exists $N_1 > 0$ such that for $n \ge N_1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=n+mi}^{\infty} \frac{|F_l|}{r_l} < \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=n+mi}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_l} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} q_j \mu < \frac{1}{2}.$$
(4.14)

Let $S = \{y \in X : 2 \le y_n \le 4, n \ge N_1 - \tau\}$. Then define the mapping

$$(By)_{n} = \begin{cases} (By)_{N_{1}}, & N_{1} - \tau \leq n \leq N_{1}, \\ 3 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=n+mi}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{l}} \sum_{j=N_{1}}^{l-1} q_{j}G(y_{j-k}) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=n+mi}^{\infty} \frac{F_{l}}{r_{l}}, & n \geq N_{1}. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.15)$$

Then for $y = \{y_n\} \in S$, we have $(By)_n \le 3 + (1/2) < 4$ and $(By)_n \ge 3 - (1/2) - (1/2) = 2$. Hence, $By \in S$. Then using (4.12) and (4.13), we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 and prove that *BS* is relatively compact. Then, by Lemma 4.1, there is a fixed point y^0 in *S* such that $By_n^0 = y_n^0$. Hence,

$$y_n^0 = 3 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=n+mi}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_l} \sum_{j=N_1}^{l-1} q_j G(y_{j-k}^0) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=n+mi}^{\infty} \frac{F_l}{r_l}.$$
 (4.16)

For $n \ge N_1$, it follows that

$$y_n^0 - y_{n-m}^0 = \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_l} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} q_j G(y_{j-k}^0) - \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} \frac{F_l}{r_l}.$$
(4.17)

Applying Δ , multiplying by r_n , and applying Δ again, we arrive at (4.2). This solution is bounded below by 2 which is a positive constant.

Remark 4.8. All the results in Sections 2 and 3 hold for NDDE (1.1) and the results of this section hold for the corresponding homogeneous NDDE associated with (4.1) and (4.2).

We close this article with an interesting example which illustrates our results, whereas most of the results available in the literature are not applicable to this example.

Example 4.9. Consider the equation

$$\Delta(r_n\Delta(y_n\pm py_{n-1}))+\frac{1}{n^4}G(y_{n-2})=\frac{G(1)}{n^4}, \quad n>0,$$
(4.18)

where *p* is a constant in any range of $\{p_n\}$ considered in this paper. The sequence $\{r_n\}$ is positive and may satisfy (H3) or (4.13). If $\{r_n\}$ satisfies (4.13), then it satisfies (H4). The function *G* is continuous. The sequence $q_n = 1/n^4$ satisfies (2.2), (2.25), and (4.12) with a proper selection of r_n . To verify this, we refer to Remark 2.9. Here, $f_n = G(1)/n^4$. Hence, $F_n = -\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} G(1)/i^4$ which satisfies (2.20). Hence (H6) is satisfied. This NDDE satisfied the conditions of all the results of this paper. Hence, it admits a solution, $y_n \equiv 1$, which is bounded below by a positive constant. Since we have no restriction on *G*, most of the results available in the literature [6, 12, 15, 16] are not applicable to this NDDE; because *G* may not satisfy (H1).

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful and obliged to the anonymous referees for their various suggestions to improve the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] S. N. Elaydi, *An Introduction to Difference Equations*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1996.
- [2] W. G. Kelley and A. C. Peterson, *Difference Equations: An Introduction with Applications*, Academic Press, Boston, Mass, USA, 1991.
- [3] R. E. Mickens, Difference Equations, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, NY, USA, 1987.
- [4] R. P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities*, vol. 228 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 2000.
- [5] R. P. Agarwal, M. M. S. Manuel, and E. Thandapani, "Oscillatory and nonoscillatory behavior of second order neutral delay difference equations," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 5–11, 1996.
- [6] R. P. Agarwal, M. M. S. Manuel, and E. Thandapani, "Oscillatory and nonoscillatory behavior of second-order neutral delay difference equations II," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 103–109, 1997.
- [7] S. S. Cheng and W. T. Patula, "An existence theorem for a nonlinear difference equation," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 193–203, 1993.
- [8] P. Das and N. Misra, "A necessary and sufficient condition for the solutions of a functionaldifferential equation to be oscillatory or tend to zero," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 205, no. 1, pp. 78–87, 1997.
- [9] L. H. Erbe, Q. Kong, and B. G. Zhang, Oscillation Theory for Functional-Differential Equations, vol. 190 of Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1995.
- [10] M. R. S. Kulenović and S. Hadžiomerspahić, "Existence of nonoscillatory solution of second order linear neutral delay equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 228, no. 2, pp. 436–448, 1998.
- [11] B. S. Lalli, B. G. Zhang, and J. Z. Li, "On the oscillation of solutions and existence of positive solutions of neutral difference equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 158, no. 1, pp. 213–233, 1991.
- [12] N. Parhi and A. K. Tripathy, "Oscillation criteria for forced nonlinear neutral delay difference equations of first order," *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 81–97, 2000.
- [13] N. Parhi and A. K. Tripathy, "On asymptotic behaviour and oscillation of forced first order nonlinear neutral difference equations," *Fasciculi Mathematici*, no. 32, pp. 83–95, 2001.
- [14] N. Parhi and R. N. Rath, "On oscillation and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of forced first order neutral differential equations," *Proceedings of Indian Academy of Sciences*, vol. 111, no. 3, pp. 337–350, 2001.
- [15] N. Parhi and A. K. Tripathy, "Oscillation of forced nonlinear neutral delay difference equations of first order," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 53(128), no. 1, pp. 83–101, 2003.
- [16] N. Parhi and A. K. Tripathy, "Oscillation of a class of nonlinear neutral difference equations of higher order," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 284, no. 2, pp. 756–774, 2003.
- [17] N. Parhi and R. N. Rath, "On oscillation of solutions of forced nonlinear neutral differential equations of higher order," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 53(128), no. 4, pp. 805–825, 2003.

- [18] N. Parhi and R. N. Rath, "Oscillatory behaviour of solutions of nonlinear higher order neutral differential equations," *Mathematica Bohemica*, vol. 129, no. 1, pp. 11–27, 2004.
- [19] R. N. Rath and N. Misra, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillatory behaviour of solutions of a forced nonlinear neutral equation of first order with positive and negative coefficients," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 255–266, 2004.
- [20] R. N. Rath, L. N. Padhy, and N. Misra, "Oscillation of solutions of non-linear neutral delay differential equations of higher order for $p(t) = \pm 1$," *Archivum Mathematicum*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 359–366, 2004.
- [21] R. N. Rath and L. N. Padhy, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillation of solutions of a first order forced nonlinear difference equation with several delays," *Fasciculi Mathematici*, no. 35, pp. 99–113, 2005.
- [22] R. N. Rath, N. Misra, and L. N. Padhy, "Oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of a nonlinear second order neutral differential equation," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 157–170, 2007.
- [23] E. Thandapani, P. Sundaram, J. R. Graef, and P. W. Spikes, "Asymptotic behaviour and oscillation of solutions of neutral delay difference equations of arbitrary order," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 539–551, 1997.
- [24] I. Györi and G. Ladas, Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1991.

R. N. Rath: PG Department of Mathematics, Khallikote Autonomous College, Berhampur 760001, Orissa, India

Email address: radhanathmath@yahoo.co.in

J. G. Dix: Department of Mathematics, Texas State University, San Marcos, Texas 78666, USA *Email address*: julio@txstate.edu

B. L. S. Barik: Department of Basic Sciences and Humanities, Konark Institute of Science & Technology, Jatni 752050, Bhubaneswar, Orissa, India *Email address*: bl_barik@yahoo.co.in

B. Dihudi: National Institute of Science & Technology, Palur Hills, Berhampur 761008, Orissa, India *Email address*: binayakdihudi@rediffmail.com



Advances in **Operations Research**



The Scientific World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis

International Journal of

Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis

Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society





Function Spaces



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

