

functionality before ploughing ahead and dismantling an evidence-based superior service.

Declaration of interest

A.S.H. is a consultant in an early intervention team.

- 1 Tyrer P. A solution to the ossification of community psychiatry. *Psychiatrist* 2013; **37**: 336–9.
- 2 Gafoor R, Nitsch D, McCrone P, Craig TKJ, Garety PA, Power P, et al. Effect of early intervention on 5-year outcome in non-affective psychosis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2010; **196**: 372–6.
- 3 McCrone P, Craig TKJ, Power P, Garety PA. Cost-effectiveness of an early intervention service for people with psychosis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2010; **196**: 377–82.

Ahmed Samei Huda is a consultant psychiatrist, Tameside and Glossop Early Intervention Team/South Sector CMHT, Pennine Care NHS Foundation Trust, Dukinfield, UK, email: ahmed.huda@nhs.net

doi: 10.1192/pb.38.1.45b

Assertive community treatment: keeping what works

The excellent editorial by Rosen *et al*¹ highlights the dilution of assertive community treatment (ACT) research in European settings, leading to a failure to demonstrate reductions in bed use in efficacy studies. Effectiveness studies in the UK have shown that ACT leads to reductions in bed use.^{2,3} Furthermore, our experience of 93 patients followed up for a mean of 6.5 years after starting ACT showed a reduction from a mean of 72 days per year prior to ACT to 44 days per year during ACT ($P=0.002$). Repeated measures using the Dartmouth Assertive Outreach Fidelity Scale⁴ demonstrated the team had high fidelity to the assertive outreach model.

The evidence supports the importance of trying to incorporate the effective components of ACT into new services. Despite these benefits, ACT teams continue to be dismantled.

- 1 Rosen A, Killaspy H, Harvey C. Specialisation and marginalisation: how the assertive community treatment debate affects individuals with complex mental health needs. *Psychiatrist* 2013; **37**: 345–8.
- 2 Mortimer AM, Shepherd CJ, Fadahunsi A, Jones A, Kumar P, Gangaram P. Assertive outreach: mirror-image study with contemporaneous controls. *Psychiatrist* 2012; **36**: 245–8.
- 3 Rana T, Commander M. Long-term follow-up of individuals on assertive outreach teams. *Psychiatrist* 2010; **34**: 88–91.
- 4 Teague GB, Bond GR, Drake RE. Program fidelity in assertive community treatment: development and use of a measure. *Am J Orthopsychiatry* 1998; **68**: 216–32.

Loopinder Sood is associate specialist in community psychiatry and **Andy Owen** is consultant in community psychiatry, Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Partnership Trust, St Michael's Hospital, Warwick, UK, email: loopinder.sood@covwarkpt.nhs.uk

doi: 10.1192/pb.38.1.46

Looking at vanishing treatment effect in Europe

The article by Rosen *et al*¹ is a thought-provoking piece on the perception of the effectiveness of assertive community treatment in Europe. I write as a psychiatrist who has worked within assertive community teams (ACTs) on both

sides of the Atlantic (the UK and Canada). Although the economic downturn and cutbacks are equally shared in both UK and Canadian health systems, the investment in specialist mental health programmes including ACT continues to gather pace in Canada due to the robust and demonstrable effectiveness of the teams. In Canada, there is a stronger fidelity to the original ACT model and a shift from the paternalistic to a more collaborative relationship with patients. There is greater emphasis on relationship building through varieties of psychosocial strategies, easier access to specialist and subsidised housing facilities and vocational opportunities.

The ACT service delivery in Canada is a true representation of the standard originally described in 1973 after 20 years of field testing in the USA.² It includes peer support workers who have life experience and can provide expertise that professional training cannot replicate. The peer support workers are fully integrated team members functioning in the team's generalist role. There is a 24-hour on-call service and treatment intervention is intensive with two or three face-to-face visits daily.

Undoubtedly, ACT is a clinically effective approach to managing the care of severely mentally ill people in the community. If aimed at the right patient population and when the model of care is fully adhered to, ACT can substantially reduce the costs of hospital care while improving the outcome and patient satisfaction.³

- 1 Rosen A, Killaspy H, Harvey C. Specialisation and marginalisation: how the assertive community treatment debate affects individuals with complex mental health needs. *Psychiatrist* 2013; **37**: 345–8.
- 2 Marx AJ, Test MA, Stein LI. Extrahospital management of severe mental illness: feasibility and effects of social functioning. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1973; **29**: 505–11.
- 3 Test MA, Stein LI. Alternative to mental hospital treatment. I. Conceptual model, treatment program, and clinical evaluation. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1980; **37**: 392–7.

Stephen A. Ogunremi is consultant psychiatrist, Fraser Health Authority, Vancouver, Canada, email: Stephen.Ogunremi@fraserhealth.ca

doi: 10.1192/pb.38.1.46a

Quality of risk assessment prior to suicide and homicide

We are grateful to Large & Nielssen¹ for their interest in the report from our pilot study,² but think they may have misunderstood its main purpose. We wished to investigate the 'low risk paradox' – the fact that in the National Confidential Inquiry data, risk is nearly always reported as low prior to suicide and homicide.³

We agree that risk assessment in people who do not die is of interest but our study was not set up to investigate this. Equally, contradictory risk factors are of interest but our focus was on the risk assessment process itself, not on a tally of risk factors or whether they were the 'right' ones. Last, our study was not an investigation of the predictive utility of risk assessment. We are familiar with the low base rate problem and have written about this elsewhere.⁴

We would wholeheartedly agree with Large & Nielssen's suggestion that assessments should be compassionate, ethical and needs-focused. However, we would take issue with their

objection to risk assessment as a whole – we think it is never futile and can sometimes be life-saving.

- 1 Large M, Nielsens O. Look back in anger: flaws in the retrospective evaluation of risk assessment. *Psychiatrist* 2013; **37**: 373.
- 2 Rahman RS, Gupta S, While D, Rodway R, Ibrahim S, Bickley H, et al. *Quality of Risk Assessment Prior to Suicide and Homicide: A Pilot Study*. National Confidential Inquiry into Suicide and Homicide by People with Mental Illness, University of Manchester, 2013.
- 3 National Confidential Inquiry into Suicide and Homicide by People with Mental Illness. *Avoidable Deaths: Five Year Report of the National*

Confidential Inquiry into Suicide and Homicide by People with Mental Illness. University of Manchester, 2006.

- 4 Kapur N. Evaluating risks. *Adv Psychiatr Treat* 2000; **6**: 399–406.

Mohammad Shaiyan Rahman is NIHR clinical lecturer in forensic psychiatry, National Confidential Inquiry into Suicide and Homicide by People with Mental Illness, and Greater Manchester West Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, email: mohammad.rahman2@nhs.net;

Nav Kapur is Professor of Psychiatry and Population Health, National Confidential Inquiry into Suicide and Homicide by People with Mental Illness, Manchester.

doi: 10.1192/pb.38.1.46b

Correction

Obituary: Dr Sheila Mann. *The Psychiatrist* 2013; **37**: 405. The introductory paragraph should read: Formerly Senior Lecturer at St Bartholomew's Hospital and Consultant in the Psychiatry of Old Age to the North East Essex Mental Health Trust

(now the North Essex Partnership University NHS Foundation Trust).

doi: 10.1192/pb.38.1.47