



REVIEW

Open Access

A literature review on surgery for cervical vagal schwannomas

Giuseppe Cavallaro*, Giada Pattaro, Olga Iorio, Marcello Avallone and Gianfranco Silecchia

Abstract

Cervical vagal schwannoma is a benign, slow-growing mass, often asymptomatic, with a very low lifetime risk of malignant transformation in general population, but diagnosis is still a challenge. Surgical resection is the treatment of choice even if its close relationship with nerve fibres, from which it arises, threatens vagal nerve preservation. We present a case report and a systematic review of literature. All studies on surgical resection of cervical vagal schwannoma have been reviewed. Papers matching the inclusion criteria (topic on surgical removal of cervical vagal schwannoma, English language, full text available) were selected. Fifty-three patients with vagal neck schwannoma submitted to surgery were identified among 22 studies selected. Female/male ratio was 1.5 and median age 44 years. Median diameter was 5 cm (range 2 to 10). Most schwannoma were asymptomatic (68.2%) and received an intracapsular excision (64.9%). Postoperative symptoms were reported in 22.6% of patients. Cervical vagal schwannoma is a benign pathology requiring surgical excision, but frequently postoperative complications can affect patients lifelong, so, surgical indications should be based carefully on the balance between risks and benefits.

Keywords: Schwannoma, Vagal nerve tumor, Cervical schwannoma, Vagus

Review

The term 'schwannoma,' first introduced in 1935 by Stout, identifies a benign tumour with sporadic malignant degeneration arising from cranial, peripheral and autonomic nerve sheath cells [1]. It represents 5% of all benign soft tissue tumours [1], equally affects both genders and typically shows higher incidence between the third and fifth decade [2,3]. Head and neck localization is infrequent, and vagal origin in that region is unusual [1,3,4].

Diagnosis is still challenging cause of the numerous pathologies the schwannoma can be confused with (see the displayed list below) [3,5].

Differential diagnosis from schwannoma:

- Paraganglioma
- Branchial cleft cyst
- Inflammatory adenopathies
- Malignant lymphoma
- Metastatic cervical lymphadenopathies
- Submandibular salivary gland tumours
- Carotid artery aneurysm

Cervical schwannoma typically presents as a slow-growing asymptomatic mass, well circumscribed and encapsulated [3], having an enlargement of 2.5 to 3 mm per year [6], always intimately adherent to its nerve of origin [7] and displacing the internal jugular vein laterally and the carotid artery medially.

Hoarseness is the most common specific symptom due to vocal cord palsy, whereas the pathognomonic sign for vagal schwannoma is a paroxysmal cough during palpation of the mass [3] due to vagal stimulation.

Malignancy is rarely reported and mainly associated to neurofibromatosis type 1 [7-9].

Surgical resection remains the treatment of choice since the very first experiences [10-13], even vagal nerve injury is still an unsolved problem, given the fact that the tumour originates directly from the nerve fibres.

The authors systematically reviewed the literature from the first reports in 1948 in order to identify all the studies in which surgical removal of neck vagal schwannomas was described. Keywords for the research were cervical/neck schwannoma/neurinoma/neurilemmoma, vagus/vagal nerve. All articles were reviewed and those which matched the inclusion criteria were selected. Inclusion criteria were surgical treatment of cervical vagus

* Correspondence: giuseppe.cavallaro@uniroma1.it
Department of Medico-Surgical Sciences and Biotechnologies, Sapienza University of Rome, Corso della Repubblica 79, 04100 Latina, LT, Italy

Table 1 Patients' demographic characteristics

Demographic characteristics	Values	
Female/male ratio	21/14	1.5
Median age (years)	44	Range (10 to 80)
Side <i>n</i> (%)	Right	15 (57.7)
	Left	11 (42.3)

nerve schwannomas, English language and full text available; papers on nonsurgical treatment of cervical vagus nerve schwannomas, with a language different from English, or with nonavailable full text were excluded.

In each study, demographic and clinical characteristics of patients, schwannomas and related symptoms description, surgical procedures and postoperative outcomes were evaluated when reported and compared.

Among a total of 175 articles listed by PubMed, 153 were excluded because they did not fit the inclusion criteria. Finally, 22 studies were recruited for this review. Fifty-three patients with vagal neck schwannoma submitted to surgery were therefore identified. Women were more frequently affected with a female/male ratio

of 1.5 on 35 patients with available data on gender. The onset was estimated on the third and fourth decade in 50% with a median age at diagnosis of 44 years (range 10 to 80). All demographic characteristics are reported in Table 1. Among reported location, left-sided lesions showed a higher frequency than right-sided ones (57.7% vs. 42.3%). Side was unavailable in 27 patients. Maximum diameter ranged between 2 and 10 cm with a median value of 5 cm. Most schwannomas were asymptomatic (68.2%); hoarseness was present in four patients (18.2%). Syncope was described just in one patient (4.6%). All patients included in these studies were surgically treated.

Intracapsular enucleation was the technique of choice by the majority of surgeons (64.9%); about one third were extracapsular resections (32.4%) whereas debulking of tumour was described in just one patient (2.7%). No description of surgical technique was present in 24 cases. Almost the entire cohort of patients was approached through a transcervical incision (93.2%) apart from two who received a postauricular or a transaxillary approach for aesthetic reasons (3.4% each). Six studies (with a total of 24 patients) did not specify the site of incision.

Table 2 Surgical features

Author	Number of patients	Surgical procedure	Approach	Preoperative symptoms	Vagus resection	Postoperative symptoms	Complete recovery
Hwang [15]	1	Extracapsular resection	Transaxillary	–	–	+	+
Ogawa [16]	1	Extracapsular resection	Transcervical	–	+	+	–
Yasumatsu [17]	10	5 extracapsular resections 5 intracapsular excisions	Transcervical	n.r.	–	n.r.	n.r.
Gibber [6]	1	Intracapsular excision	Transcervical	–	–	–	–
Bilancia [18]	1	Intracapsular excision	Transcervical	+	–	+	–
Chai [19]	1	Intracapsular excision	Transcervical	+	n.r.	+	–
Lahoti [3]	4	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	–	2 + 2–	n.r.
Lee [9]	1	Extracapsular resection	Transcervical	–	–	+	–
Liu [7]	6	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
Sreevatsa [20]	3	Intracapsular excision	n.r.	–	–	–	–
Kim [21]	6	Intracapsular excision	n.r.	–	–	1 + 5–	n.r.
Chiofalo [22]	1	Extracapsular resection	Transcervical	+	+	+	–
Bahayani [24]	1	Debulking	Transcervical	–	+	+	–
Peyvandi [4]	1	Extracapsular resection	Transcervical	–	–	n.r.	n.r.
Biswas [1]	2	n.r.	n.r.	1 + 1 n.r.	–	+	+
Kang [25]	6	Intracapsular excision	Transcervical	n.r.	–	3 + 3–	+
Lagner [2]	3	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
Moroni [26]	1	Extracapsular resection	Transcervical	+	–	–	n.r.
Roh [27]	1	Intracapsular excision	Postauricular	–	–	–	–
Matsuo [23]	1	n.r.	Transcervical	+	–	+	–
Ruckert [5]	1	Extracapsular resection	Transcervical	n.r.	+	n.r.	–
Personal Experience	2	Intracapsular excision	Transcervical	+	–	1 + 1–	–

n.r., not reported.

Vagus nerve has been resected in four patients, but just in one, it has been reported a reconstruction with an end-to-end anastomosis. In three cases, a malignant transformation was observed: two angiosarcomas arising within the schwannoma (one of which in a patient with neurofibromatosis type 1) and one case of neurogenic sarcoma. About 25% of patients did not report postoperative complications (26.4%); the predominant postoperative symptom was hoarseness (22.6%), associated or not to other less frequent ones (coughing, choking when eating, secretions, facial palsy, Horner's Syndrome).

In about half of patients, postoperative symptoms were not described. Vocal cord palsy was directly confirmed with a laryngoscopy in 19 patients (35.8%), 9 of whom (47.4%) had a complete or partial recovery and 7 (36.8%) a permanent injury. In three cases, no information was available about follow-up. Dividing patients by surgical procedure into two groups (intracapsular excision *vs.* extracapsular resection), it emerged that postoperative complications, such as nerve injury and consequent vocal cord palsy, were present at the same percentage of patients (41.7%), as well as their recovery (60%) suggesting that the choice of surgical technique does not affect outcomes. The small number of patients, however, does not support statistical evidence. Median follow-up of all the series was 9 months (range 3 to 60). No recurrence was observed. Surgical features, procedures, and outcomes are summarized in Table 2.

Schwannoma of the cervical vagus is a rare entity generally causing only few, unspecific symptoms. Due to its benign nature and slow-growing rate, efforts to assure nerve preservation during surgical excision are mandatory, even if often very demanding. As recommended by most authors, careful intracapsular enucleation with nerve-sparing technique remains the treatment of choice in order to avoid nerve injury, even if complete nerve functionality cannot always be achieved [2,7].

In a review reported by Valentino *et al.*, the authors state that complete excision can be performed, in cervical schwannomas, only in 56% of cases; of these, 64% showed permanent and 29% transitory neuronal deficit compared to 29% and 43%, respectively, in intracapsular enucleation or debulking technique [14]. Nevertheless, the very low recurrence rates reported in literature do not permit to formulate definitive conclusions on the preferable surgical technique [14-23].

The present review aimed to clarify if there is a difference in safety and efficacy among intracapsular approach and other surgical techniques in terms of complications and long-term outcomes on the treatment of cervical vagal schwannomas. Unfortunately, the rarity of this pathology does not allow a collection of data sufficient to reach our target. The consequence is a high heterogeneity of results, which, besides being too weak to

elaborate a recommendation, could be even confusing in their interpretation.

Conclusions

Schwannoma arising from the cervical vagus is a rare, benign pathology usually giving unspecific symptoms and requiring surgical excision, but frequently postoperative complications can affect patients lifelong, so, surgical indications should be based carefully on the balance between risks and benefits. Among surgical techniques, intracapsular enucleation seems to give better results in terms of preservation of nerve function, even if, in case of suspicion of malignancy, more extended resections should be needed, and vagal nerve resection and reconstruction should be considered.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

GC conceived the study design. GP was involved in the writing of the manuscript. OI and MA participated in literature search. GFS was involved in the manuscript revision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Received: 29 December 2014 Accepted: 7 March 2015

Published online: 29 March 2015

References

1. Biswas D, Marnane CN, Mal R, Baldwin D. Extracranial head and neck schwannomas - a 10-year review. *Auris Nasus Larynx*. 2007;34:353-9.
2. Langner E, Del Negro A, Akashi HK, Akashi HK, Costa Araújo PP, Tincani AJ, et al. Schwannomas in the head and neck: retrospective analysis of 21 patients and review of the literature. *Sao Paulo Med J*. 2007;125:220-2.
3. Lahoti BK, Kaushal M, Garge S, Aggarwal G. Extra vestibular schwannoma: a two year experience. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2011;63:305-9.
4. Peyvandi A, Samadian M, Ahmady-Roozbahany N. Photoclinic. What is your diagnosis? Photoclinic diagnosis: vagus nerve schwannoma. *Arch Iranian Med*. 2008;11:669-71.
5. Rückert RI, Fleige B, Rogalla P, Woodruff JM. Schwannoma with angiosarcoma. Report of a case and comparison with other types of nerve tumors with angiosarcoma. *Cancer*. 2000;89:1577-85.
6. Gibber MJ, Zevallos JP, Urken ML. Enucleation of vagal nerve schwannoma using intraoperative nerve monitoring. *Laryngoscope*. 2012;122:790-2.
7. Liu H, Yu S, Li GK, Wei WI. Extracranial head and neck schwannomas: a study of the nerve of origin. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2011;268:1343-7.
8. Ziadi A, Saliba I. Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumour of intracranial nerves: a case series review. *Auris Nasus Larynx*. 2010;37:539-45.
9. Lee RM, Ong CP, Jacobsen AS, Chan MY, Hwang WS. Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor mimicking carotid body tumor—case report and review. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2011;46:554-8.
10. Murley RS. A case of neurinoma of the vagus nerve in the neck. *Br J Surg*. 1948;36:101-3.
11. Callum EN. Neurinoma of cervical sympathetic chain. *Br J Surg*. 1949;37:117.
12. McGuire NG. Neurinoma of superior cervical sympathetic ganglion. *Br Med J*. 1952;2:1398.
13. Rogers L. Neurinomas of the cervical sympathetic system; with report of a case. *Br J Surg*. 1953;40:579-80.
14. Valentino J, Boggess MA, Ellis JL, Hester TO, Jones RO. Expected neurologic outcomes for surgical treatment of cervical neurilemmoma. *Laryngoscope*. 1998;108:1009-13.
15. Hwang KR, Kim JW, Kim HK, Lee SW. A cervical vagal schwannoma mimicking a parathyroid cyst. *Clin Exp Otorhinolaryngol*. 2014;7:153-6.
16. Ogawa T, Kato T, Ikeda A, Nishimura K, Tsuchiya Y, Okamoto H, et al. Case of malignant transformation of vagus nerve schwannoma to angiosarcoma. *Head Neck*. 2014;36:E17-20.

17. Yasumatsu R, Nakashima T, Miyazaki R, Segawa Y, Komune S. Diagnosis and management of extracranial head and neck schwannomas: a review of 27 cases. *Int J Otolaryngol*. 2013;2013:973045.
18. Bilancia R, Ampollini L, Cattelani L, Carbognani P, Rusca M. Schwannoma of the cervical vagus nerve. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2011;91:e13.
19. Chiun KC, Tang IP, Prepageran N, Jayalakshmi P. An extensive cervical vagal nerve schwannoma: a case report. *Med J Malaysia*. 2012;67:342–4.
20. Sreevatsa MR, Srinivasarao RV. Three cases of vagal nerve schwannoma and review of literature. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2011;63:310–2.
21. Kim SH, Kim NH, Kim KR, Lee JH, Choi HS. Schwannoma in head and neck: preoperative imaging study and intracapsular enucleation for functional nerve preservation. *Yonsei Med J*. 2010;51:938–42.
22. Chiofalo MG, Longo F, Marone U, Franco R, Petrillo A, Pezzullo L. Cervical vagal schwannoma. A case report. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital*. 2009;29:33–5.
23. Matsuo R, Kamouchi M, Inoue T, Okada Y, Ibayashi S. Cerebral infarction due to carotid occlusion caused by cervical vagal neurilemmoma: report of a case. *Stroke*. 2002;33:1428–31.
24. Bhayani MK, MacCracken E, Frim D, Baroody FM. Prolonged cricopharyngeal muscle spasm after resection of the cervical vagus nerve in a 15-year-old. *Pediatr Neurosurg*. 2008;44:71–4.
25. Kang GC, Soo KC, Lim DT. Extracranial non-vestibular head and neck schwannomas: a ten-year experience. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2007;36:233–28.
26. Moroni AL, Righini C, Faure C, Serra-Tosio G, Lefournier V. Features of an unusual calcified schwannoma of the superior laryngeal nerve. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2007;28:981–2.
27. Roh JL. Resection of cervical vagal schwannoma via a post-auricular approach. *Acta Otolaryngol*. 2006;126:318–20.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

