Deepho and Kumam *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013, 2013:349 http://www.fixedpointtheoryandapplications.com/content/2013/1/349

 Fixed Point Theory and Applications a SpringerOpen Journal

# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# Mann's type extragradient for solving split feasibility and fixed point problems of Lipschitz asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings

Jitsupa Deepho and Poom Kumam<sup>\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: poom.kum@kmutt.ac.th Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT), 126 Pracha Uthit Rd., Bang Mod, Thrung Khru, Bangkok, 10140, Thailand

# Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and analyze Mann's type extragradient for finding a common solution set  $\Gamma$  of the split feasibility problem and the set Fix(*T*) of fixed points of Lipschitz asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings *T* in the setting of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. Consequently, we prove that the sequence generated by the proposed algorithm converges weakly to an element of Fix(*T*)  $\cap \Gamma$  under mild assumption. The result presented in the paper also improves and extends some result of Xu (Inverse Probl. 26:105018, 2010; Inverse Probl. 22:2021-2034, 2006) and some others.

MSC: 49J40; 47H05

**Keywords:** split feasibility problems; fixed point problems; extragradient methods; asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings; maximal monotone mappings

# **1** Introduction

The split feasibility problem (SFP) in finite dimensional spaces was first introduced by Censor and Elfving [1] for modeling inverse problems which arise from phase retrievals and in medical image reconstruction [2]. Recently, it has been found that the SFP can also be used in various disciplines such as image restoration, computer tomograph and radiation therapy treatment planning [3–5]. The split feasibility problem in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space can be found in [2, 4, 6–10] and references therein.

Throughout this paper, we always assume that  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$  are real Hilbert spaces, ' $\rightarrow$ ', ' $\rightharpoonup$ ' denote strong and weak convergence, respectively, and F(T) is the fixed point set of a mapping T.

Let *C* and *Q* be nonempty closed convex subsets of infinite-dimensional real Hilbert spaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively, and let  $A \in B(H_1, H_2)$ , where  $B(H_1, H_2)$  denotes the class of all bounded linear operators from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$ . The split feasibility problem (SFP) is finding a point  $\hat{x}$  with the property

$$\hat{x} \in C$$
,  $A\hat{x} \in Q$ .





©2013 Deepho and Kumam; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. In the sequel, we use  $\Gamma$  to denote the set of solutions of SFP (1.1), *i.e.*,

$$\Gamma = \{ \hat{x} \in C : A\hat{x} \in Q \}.$$

Assuming that the SFP is consistent (*i.e.*, (1.1) has a solution), it is not hard to see that  $x \in C$  solves (1.1) if and only if it solves the fixed-point equation

$$x = P_C \left( I - \gamma A^* (I - P_Q) A \right) x, \quad x \in C,$$
(1.2)

where  $P_C$  and  $P_Q$  are the (orthogonal) projections onto *C* and *Q*, respectively,  $\gamma > 0$  is any positive constant, and  $A^*$  denotes the adjoint of *A*.

To solve (1.2), Byrne [2] proposed his *CQ* algorithm, which generates a sequence  $(x_k)$  by

$$x_{k+1} = P_C \left( I - \gamma A^* (I - P_Q) A \right) x_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$
(1.3)

where  $\gamma \in (0, 2/\lambda)$ , and again  $\lambda$  is the spectral radius of the operator  $A^*A$ .

The *CQ* algorithm (1.3) is a special case of the Krasnonsel'skii-Mann (K-M) algorithm. The K-M algorithm generates a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  according to the recursive formula

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T x_n,$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence in the interval (0, 1) and the initial guess  $x_0 \in C$  is chosen arbitrarily. Due to the fixed point for formulation (1.2) of the SFP, we can apply the K-M algorithm to the operator  $P_C(I - \gamma A^*(I - P_Q)A)$  to obtain a sequence given by

$$x_{k+1} = (1 - \alpha_k)x_k + \alpha_k P_C (I - \gamma A^* (I - P_O)A)x_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$
(1.4)

where  $\gamma \in (0, 2/\lambda)$ , and again  $\lambda$  is the spectral radius of the operator  $A^*A$ .

Then, as long as  $(x_k)$  satisfies the condition  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k (1 - \alpha_k) = +\infty$ , we have weak convergence of the sequence generated by (1.4).

Very recently, Xu [8] gave a continuation of the study on the CQ algorithm and its convergence. He applied Mann's algorithm to the SFP and proposed an averaged CQ algorithm which was proved to be weakly convergent to a solution of the SFP. He derived a weak convergence result, which shows that for suitable choices of iterative parameters (including the regularization), the sequence of iterative solutions can converge weakly to an exact solution of the SFP. He also established the strong convergence result, which shows that the minimum-norm solution can be obtained.

On the other hand, Korpelevich [11] introduced an iterative method, the so-called extragradient method, for finding the solution of a saddle point problem. He proved that the sequences generated by the proposed iterative algorithm converge to a solution of a saddle point problem.

Motivated by the idea of an extragradient method in [12], Ceng [13] introduced and analyzed an extragradient method with regularization for finding a common element of the solution set  $\Gamma$  of the split feasibility problem and the set Fix(T) of a nonexpansive mapping T in the setting of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. Chang [14] introduced an algorithm for solving the split feasibility problems for total quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. The purpose of this paper is to study and analyze a Mann's type extragradient method for finding a common element of the solution set  $\Gamma$  of the SFP and the set Fix(T) of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings and Lipshitz continuous mappings in a real Hilbert space. We prove that the sequence generated by the proposed method converges weakly to an element  $\hat{x}$  in  $Fix(T) \cap \Gamma$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

We first recall some definitions, notations and conclusions which will be needed in proving our main results.

Let *H* be a real Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\|\cdot\|$ , and let *C* be a nonempty closed and convex subset of *H*.

Let *E* be a Banach space. A mapping  $T : E \to E$  is said to be *demi-closed at origin* if for any sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset E$  with  $x_n \rightharpoonup x^*$  and  $||(I - T)x_n|| \rightarrow 0$ , then  $x^* = Tx^*$ .

A Banach space *E* is said to have *the Opial property* if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ , then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - x^*\| < \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - y\|, \quad \forall y \in E \text{ with } y \neq x^*.$$

Remark 2.1 It is well known that each Hilbert space possesses the Opial property.

**Proposition 2.2** *For given*  $x \in H$  *and*  $z \in C$ :

- (i)  $z = P_C x$  if and only if  $\langle x z, y z \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $y \in C$ .
- (ii)  $z = P_C x$  if and only if  $||x z||^2 \le ||x y||^2 ||y z||^2$  for all  $y \in C$ .
- (iii) For all  $x, y \in H$ ,  $\langle P_C x P_C y, x y \rangle \ge ||P_C x P_C y||^2$ .

**Definition 2.3** Let *C* be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space *H*. We denote by F(T) the set of fixed points of *T*, that is,  $F(T) = \{x \in C : x = Tx\}$ . Then *T* is said to be

- (1) *nonexpansive* if  $||Tx Ty|| \le ||x y||$  for all  $x, y \in C$ ;
- (2) *asymptotically nonexpansive* if there exists a sequence  $k_n \ge 1$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} k_n = 1$  and

$$\|T^{n}x - T^{n}y\| \le k_{n}\|x - y\|$$
(2.1)

for all  $x, y \in C$  and  $n \ge 1$ ;

(3) asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive if there exists a sequence  $k_n \ge 1$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} k_n = 1$ and

$$||T^n x - p|| \le k_n ||x - p||$$
 (2.2)

for all  $x \in C$ ,  $p \in F(T)$  and  $n \ge 1$ ;

(4) *uniformly L-Lipschitzian* if there exists a constant L > 0 such that

$$\|T^{n}x - T^{n}y\| \le L\|x - y\|$$
(2.3)

for all  $x, y \in C$  and  $n \ge 1$ .

**Remark 2.4** By the above definitions, it is clear that:

- (i) a nonexpansive mapping is an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping;
- (ii) a quasi-nonexpansive mapping is an asymptotically-quasi nonexpansive mapping;
- (iii) an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping is an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping.

**Proposition 2.5** (see [15]) We have the following assertions.

- (1) *T* is nonexpansive if and only if the complement I T is  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ism.
- (2) If T is v-ism and  $\gamma > 0$ , then  $\gamma T$  is  $\frac{\nu}{\nu}$ -ism.
- (3) *T* is averaged if and only if the complement I T is v-ism for some  $v > \frac{1}{2}$ .

Indeed, for  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , T is  $\alpha$ -averaged if and only if I - T is  $\frac{1}{2\alpha}$ -ism.

**Proposition 2.6** (see [15, 16]) Let  $S, T, V : H \to H$  be given operators. We have the following assertions.

- (1) If  $T = (1 \alpha)S + \alpha V$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , S is averaged and V is nonexpansive, then T is averaged.
- (2) *T* is firmly nonexpansive if and only if the complement I T is firmly nonexpansive.
- (3) If  $T = (1 \alpha)S + \alpha V$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , S is firmly nonexpansive and V is nonexpansive, then T is averaged.
- (4) The composite of finite many averaged mappings is averaged. That is, if each of the mappings {T<sub>i</sub>}<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> is averaged, then so is the composite T<sub>1</sub> ∘ T<sub>2</sub> ∘ · · · ∘ T<sub>N</sub>. In particular, if T<sub>1</sub> is α<sub>1</sub>-averaged and T<sub>2</sub> is α<sub>2</sub>-averaged, where α<sub>1</sub>, α<sub>2</sub> ∈ (0,1), then the composite T<sub>1</sub> ∘ T<sub>2</sub> is α-averaged, where α = α<sub>1</sub> + α<sub>2</sub> − α<sub>1</sub>α<sub>2</sub>.
- (5) If the mappings  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are averaged and have a common fixed point, then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Fix}(T_i) = \operatorname{Fix}(T_1 \cdots T_N).$$

The notation Fix(T) denotes the set of all fixed points of the mapping T, that is,  $Fix(T) = \{x \in H : Tx = x\}$ .

**Lemma 2.7** (see [17], demiclosedness principle) Let *C* be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space *H*, and let  $T : C \to C$  be a nonexpansive mapping with  $Fix(S) \neq \emptyset$ . If the sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq C$  converges weakly to *x* and the sequence  $\{(I - S)x_n\}$  converges strongly to *y*, then (I - S)x = y; in particular, if y = 0, then  $x \in Fix(S)$ .

**Lemma 2.8** (see [18]) Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be two sequences of nonnegative numbers satisfying the inequality

$$a_{n+1} \leq a_n + b_n$$
,  $\forall n \geq 0$ ,

if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$  converges, then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$  exists.

The following lemma gives some characterizations and useful properties of the metric projection  $P_C$  in a Hilbert space.

For every point  $x \in H$ , there exists a unique nearest point in *C*, denoted by  $P_C x$ , such that

$$\|x - P_C x\| \le \|x - y\|, \quad \forall y \in C,$$
(2.4)

where  $P_C$  is called the *metric projection of* H onto C. We know that  $P_C$  is a nonexpansive mapping of H onto C.

**Lemma 2.9** (see [19]) Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H, and let  $P_C$  be the metric projection from H onto C. Given  $x \in H$  and  $z \in C$ , then  $z = P_C x$  if and only if the following holds:

$$\langle x-z, y-z \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$
 (2.5)

**Lemma 2.10** (see [20]) Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H, and let  $P_C : H \to C$  be the metric projection from H onto C. Then the following inequality holds:

$$\|y - P_C x\|^2 + \|x - P_C x\|^2 \le \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x \in H, \forall y \in C.$$
(2.6)

Lemma 2.11 (see [19]) Let H be a real Hilbert space. Then the following equations hold:

- (i)  $||x y||^2 = ||x||^2 ||y||^2 2\langle x y, y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in H$ ;
- (ii)  $||tx + (1-t)y||^2 = t||x||^2 + (1-t)||y||^2 t(1-t)||x-y||^2$  for all  $t \in [0,1]$  and  $x, y \in H$ .

Throughout this paper, we assume that the SFP is consistent, that is, the solution set  $\Gamma$  of the SFP is nonempty. Let  $f : H_1 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous differentiable function. The minimization problem

$$\min_{x \in C} f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - P_Q Ax\|^2$$
(2.7)

is ill-posed. Therefore (see [8]) consider the following Tikhonov regularized problem:

$$\min_{x \in C} f_{\alpha}(x) := \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - P_Q Ax\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha \|x\|^2,$$
(2.8)

where  $\alpha > 0$  is the regularization parameter.

We observe that the gradient

$$\nabla f_{\alpha} = \nabla f + \alpha I = A^* (I - P_Q) A + \alpha I \tag{2.9}$$

is  $(\alpha + ||A||^2)$ -Lipschitz continuous and  $\alpha$ -strongly monotone.

Let *C* be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space *H*, and let  $F : C \to H$  be a monotone mapping. The variational inequality problem (VIP) is to find  $x \in C$  such that

$$\langle Fx, y-x \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

The solution set of the VIP is denoted by VIP(C, F). It is well known that

$$x \in VI(C, F) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x = P_C(x - \lambda F x), \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

A set-valued mapping  $T : H \to 2^H$  is called *monotone* if for all  $x, y \in H, f \in Tx$  and  $g \in Ty$  imply

$$\langle x-y, f-g \rangle \geq 0.$$

A monotone mapping  $T : H \to 2^H$  is called *maximal* if its graph G(T) is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone mapping. It is known that a monotone mapping T is maximal if and only if, for  $(x, f) \in H \times H$ ,  $\langle x - y, f - g \rangle \ge 0$  for every  $(y, g) \in G(T)$  implies  $f \in Tx$ . Let  $F : C \to H$  be a monotone and k-Lipschitz continuous mapping, and let  $N_C v$  be the normal cone to C at  $v \in C$ , that is,

$$N_C v = \{ w \in H : \langle v - u, w \rangle \ge 0, \forall u \in C \}.$$

Define

$$T\nu = \begin{cases} F\nu + N_C\nu & \text{if } \nu \in C, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \nu \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Then *T* is maximal monotone and  $0 \in T\nu$  if and only if  $\nu \in VI(C, F)$ ; see [18] for more details.

We can use fixed point algorithms to solve the SFP on the basis of the following observation.

Let  $\lambda > 0$  and assume that  $x^* \in \Gamma$ . Then  $Ax^* \in Q$ , which implies that  $(I - P_Q)Ax^* = 0$ , and thus  $\lambda A^*(I - P_Q)Ax^* = 0$ . Hence, we have the fixed point equation  $(I - \lambda A^*(I - P_Q)A)x^* = x^*$ . Requiring that  $x^* \in C$ , we consider the fixed point equation

$$P_C(I - \lambda \nabla f) x^* = P_C (I - \lambda A^* (I - P_Q) A) x^* = x^*.$$
(2.10)

It is proved in [8, Proposition 3.2] that the solutions of fixed point equation (2.10) are exactly the solutions of the SFP; namely, for given  $x^* \in H_1$ ,  $x^*$  solves the SFP if and only if  $x^*$  solves fixed point equation (2.10).

**Proposition 2.12** (see [13]) *Given*  $x^* \in H_1$ *, the following statements are equivalent.* 

- (i)  $x^*$  solves the SFP;
- (ii)  $x^*$  solves fixed point equation (2.10);
- (iii)  $x^*$  solves the variational inequality problem (VIP) of finding  $x^* \in C$  such that

$$\langle \nabla f(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in C,$$
 (2.11)

where  $\nabla f = A^*(I - P_Q)A$  and  $A^*$  is the adjoint of A.

*Proof* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii). See the proof in ([8], Proposition 3.2).

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii). Observe that

$$P_C (I - \lambda A^* (I - P_Q) A) x^* = x^*$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \langle (I - \lambda A^* (I - P_Q) A) x^* - x^*, x - x^* \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall x \in C$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\lambda \langle A^*(I - P_Q)Ax^*, x - x^* \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall x \in C$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \langle \nabla f(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in C,$$

where  $\nabla f = A^*(I - P_O)A$ .

Remark 2.13 It is clear from Proposition 2.12 that

$$\Gamma = \operatorname{Fix} \left( P_C(I - \lambda \nabla f) \right) = VI(C, \nabla f),$$

for any  $\lambda > 0$ , where Fix( $P_C(I - \lambda \nabla f)$ ) and  $VI(C, \nabla f)$  denote the set of fixed points of  $P_C(I - \lambda \nabla f)$  and the solution set of VIP.

## **Proposition 2.14** (see [13]) *There hold the following statements:*

(i) the gradient

$$\nabla f_{\alpha} = \nabla f + \alpha I = A^* (I - P_Q) A + \alpha I$$

is  $(\alpha + ||A||^2)$ -Lipschitz continuous and  $\alpha$ -strongly monotone; (ii) the mapping  $P_C(I - \lambda \nabla f_\alpha)$  is a contraction with coefficient

$$\sqrt{1-\lambda \left(2lpha-\lambda \left(\|A\|^2+lpha
ight)^2
ight)}\left(\leq \sqrt{1-lpha\lambda}\leq 1-rac{1}{2}lpha\lambda
ight),$$

where  $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{\alpha}{(\|A\|^2 + \alpha)^2}$ ;

(iii) *if the SFP is consistent, then the strong*  $\lim_{\alpha \to 0} x_{\alpha}$  *exists and is the minimum norm solution of the SFP.* 

### 3 Main result

**Theorem 3.1** Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H, and let  $T : C \to C$  be an uniformly L-Lipschitzian and asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping with  $Fix(T) \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$  and  $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} k_n = 1$ . Let  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  and  $\{u_n\}$  be the sequences in C generated by the following algorithm:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = x \in C \quad chosen \ arbitrarily, \\ y_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} x_n), \\ u_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} y_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \beta_n u_n + (1 - \beta_n) T^n u_n, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.1)$$

where  $\nabla f_{\alpha_n} = \nabla f + \alpha_n I = A^*(I - P_Q)A + \alpha_n I$ , and the sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\{\lambda_n\} \in (0, \frac{1}{\|A\|^2}) \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < \infty,$
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n < \infty$ .

*Then the sequence*  $\{x_n\}$  *converges weakly to an element*  $\hat{x} \in Fix(T) \cap \Gamma$ *.* 

*Proof* We first show that  $P_C(I - \lambda \nabla f_\alpha)$  is  $\zeta$ -averaged for each  $\lambda_n \in (0, \frac{2}{\alpha + \|A\|^2})$ , where

$$\zeta = \frac{2 + \lambda(\alpha + ||A||^2)}{4}.$$

Indeed, it is easy to see that  $\nabla f = A^*(I - P_Q)A$  is  $\frac{1}{\|A\|^2}$ -ism, that is,

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \ge \frac{1}{\|A\|^2} \| \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y) \|^2.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\alpha + \|A\|^2\right) \left\langle \nabla f_\alpha(x) - \nabla f_\alpha(y), x - y \right\rangle \\ &= \left(\alpha + \|A\|^2\right) \left[\alpha \|x - y\|^2 + \left\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \right\rangle \right] \\ &= \alpha^2 \|x - y\|^2 + \alpha \left\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \right\rangle \\ &+ \alpha \|A\|^2 \|x - y\|^2 + \|A\|^2 \left\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \right\rangle \\ &\geq \alpha^2 \|x - y\|^2 + 2\alpha \left\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \right\rangle + \left\| \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y) \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \alpha(x - y) + \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y) \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y) \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that  $\nabla f_{\alpha} = \alpha I + A^*(I - P_Q)A$  is  $\frac{1}{\alpha + \|A\|^2}$ -ism. Thus,  $\lambda \nabla f_{\alpha}$  is  $\frac{1}{\lambda(\alpha + \|A\|^2)}$ -ism. By Proposition 2.5(iii) the composite  $(I - \lambda \nabla f_{\alpha})$  is  $\frac{\lambda(\alpha + \|A\|^2)}{2}$ -averaged. Therefore, noting that  $P_C$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$ -averaged and utilizing Proposition 2.6(iv), we know that for each  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{2}{\alpha + \|A\|^2})$ ,  $P_C(I - \lambda \nabla f_{\alpha})$  is  $\zeta$ -averaged with

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda(\alpha + ||A||^2)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\lambda(\alpha + ||A||^2)}{2} = \frac{2 + \lambda(\alpha + ||A||^2)}{4} \in (0, 1).$$

This shows that  $P_C(I - \lambda \nabla f_\alpha)$  is nonexpansive. Furthermore, for  $\{\lambda_n\} \in [a, b]$  with  $a, b \in (0, \frac{1}{\|A\|^2})$ , utilizing the fact that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_n + \|A\|^2} = \frac{1}{\|A\|^2}$ , we may assume that

$$0 < a \le \lambda_n \le b < \frac{1}{\|A\|^2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_n + \|A\|^2}, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$0 < a \le \lambda_n \le b < \frac{1}{\alpha_n + \|A\|^2}, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$

Consequently, it follows that for each integer  $n \ge 0$ ,  $P_C(I - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n})$  is  $\zeta_n$ -averaged with

$$\zeta_n = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_n(\alpha_n + ||A||^2)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\lambda_n(\alpha_n + ||A||^2)}{2} = \frac{2 + \lambda_n(\alpha_n + ||A||^2)}{4} \in (0, 1).$$

This immediately implies that  $P_C(I - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n})$  is nonexpansive for all  $n \ge 0$ .

We divide the remainder of the proof into several steps.

Step 1. We will prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Indeed, we take fixed  $p \in Fix(T) \cap \Gamma$  arbitrarily. Then we get  $P_C(I - \lambda_n \nabla f)p = p$  for  $\lambda_n \in (0, \frac{1}{\|A\|^2})$ . Since  $P_C$  and  $(I - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n})$  are

nonexpansive mappings, then we have

$$\|y_{n} - p\| = \|P_{C}(I - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}})x_{n} - P_{C}(I - \lambda_{n} \nabla f)p\|$$

$$\leq \|P_{C}(I - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}})x_{n} - P_{C}(I - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}})p\|$$

$$+ \|P_{C}(I - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}})p - P_{C}(I - \lambda_{n} \nabla f)p\|$$

$$\leq \|x_{n} - p\| + \|(I - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}})p - (I - \lambda_{n} \nabla f)p\|$$

$$= \|x_{n} - p\| + \|p - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}p - (p - \lambda_{n} \nabla fp)\|$$

$$= \|x_{n} - p\| + \|\lambda_{n} \nabla fp - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}p\|$$

$$= \|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n} \|\nabla fp - \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}p\|$$

$$= \|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n} \|\nabla fp - \nabla fp - \alpha_{n}p\|$$

$$= \|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n} \|\nabla fp - \nabla fp - \alpha_{n}p\|$$

$$= \|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n} \|\nabla fp - \nabla fp - \alpha_{n}p\|$$

$$(3.2)$$

and

$$\|u_{n} - p\| = \|P_{C}(x_{n} - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}} y_{n}) - p\|$$

$$= \|P_{C}(x_{n} - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}} y_{n}) - P_{C}(I - \lambda_{n} \nabla f)p\|$$

$$\leq \|(x_{n} - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}} y_{n}) - (p - \lambda_{n} \nabla f)p\|$$

$$= \|(x_{n} - p) + (\lambda_{n} \nabla fp - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}} y_{n})\|$$

$$= \|(x_{n} - p) + \lambda_{n} (\nabla fp - \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}} y_{n})\|$$

$$= \|(x_{n} - p) + \lambda_{n} (\nabla fp - \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}} p + \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}} p - \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}} y_{n})\|$$

$$= \|(x_{n} - p) + \lambda_{n} (\nabla fp - (\nabla fp + \alpha_{n} p)) + \lambda_{n} (\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}} p - \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}} y_{n})\|$$

$$\leq \|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n} \alpha_{n} \|p\| + \lambda_{n} \|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}(p) - \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}(y_{n})\|$$

$$\leq \|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n} \alpha_{n} \|p\| + \lambda_{n} (\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2}) \|p - y_{n}\|.$$
(3.3)

Substituting (3.2) into (3.3) and simplifying, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n} - p\| &\leq \|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\| + \lambda_{n}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})\|p - y_{n}\| \\ &= \|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\| + \lambda_{n}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})[\|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\|] \\ &= \|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\| + \lambda_{n}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})\|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n}^{2}\alpha_{n}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})\|p\| \\ &= \|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\| + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n}\|A\|^{2}\|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n}^{2}\alpha_{n}^{2}\|p\| \\ &+ \lambda_{n}^{2}\alpha_{n}\|A\|^{2}\|p\| \\ &= (1 + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n} + \lambda_{n}\|A\|^{2})\|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\|(1 + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n} + \lambda_{n}\|A\|^{2}). \end{aligned}$$
(3.4)

Since  $u_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} y_n)$  for each  $n \ge 0$ , then by Proposition 2.2(ii) we have

$$\|u_{n} - p\|^{2} \leq \|x_{n} - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}(y_{n}) - p\|^{2} - \|x_{n} - \lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}(y_{n}) - u_{n}\|^{2}$$
  
=  $\|x_{n} - p\|^{2} - \|x_{n} - u_{n}\|^{2} + 2\lambda_{n} \langle \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}(y_{n}), p - u_{n} \rangle$ 

$$= \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2\lambda_n (\langle \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n) - \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(p), p - y_n \rangle \\ + \langle \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(p), p - y_n \rangle + \langle \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n), y_n - u_n \rangle)$$

$$\le \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2\lambda_n (\langle \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(p), p - y_n \rangle + \langle \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n), y_n - u_n \rangle)$$

$$= \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2\lambda_n [\langle (\alpha_n I + \nabla f)p, p - y_n \rangle + \langle \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n), y_n - u_n \rangle]$$

$$\le \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2\lambda_n [\alpha_n \langle p, p - u_n \rangle + \langle \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n), y_n - u_n \rangle]$$

$$= \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - y_n + y_n - u_n\|^2 + 2\lambda_n [\alpha_n \langle p, p - u_n \rangle + \langle \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n), y_n - u_n \rangle]$$

$$= \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - y_n\|^2 - 2\langle x_n - y_n, y_n - u_n \rangle - \|y_n - u_n\|^2$$

$$+ 2\lambda_n [\alpha_n \langle p, p - u_n \rangle + \langle \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n), y_n - u_n \rangle]$$

$$= \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - y_n\|^2 - \|y_n - u_n\|^2 + 2\langle x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n) - y_n, u_n - y_n \rangle$$

$$+ 2\lambda_n \alpha_n \langle p, p - u_n \rangle.$$

Furthermore, by Proposition 2.2(i) we have

$$\begin{split} & \left\langle x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n) - y_n, u_n - y_n \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(x_n) - y_n, u_n - y_n \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(x_n) - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n), u_n - y_n \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(x_n) - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n), u_n - y_n \right\rangle \\ &\leq \lambda_n \left\| \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(x_n) - \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n) \right\| \|u_n - y_n\| \\ &\leq \lambda_n (\alpha_n + \|A\|^2) \|x_n - y_n\| \|u_n - y_n\|. \end{split}$$

So, we obtain

$$\|u_{n} - p\|^{2} \leq \|x_{n} - p\|^{2} - \|x_{n} - y_{n}\|^{2} - \|y_{n} - u_{n}\|^{2} + 2\lambda_{n}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})\|x_{n} - y_{n}\|\|u_{n} - y_{n}\| + 2\lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\|\|p - u_{n}\|.$$
(3.5)

Consider

$$\begin{split} & \left[\lambda_n (\alpha_n + \|A\|^2) \|x_n - y_n\| - \|u_n - y_n\|\right]^2 \\ & = \lambda_n^2 (\alpha_n + \|A\|^2)^2 \|x_n - y_n\|^2 \\ & - 2\lambda_n (\alpha_n + \|A\|^2) \|x_n - y_n\| \|u_n - y_n\| + \|u_n - y_n\|^2, \end{split}$$

it follows that

$$2\lambda_{n}(\alpha_{n} + ||A||^{2})||x_{n} - y_{n}|||u_{n} - y_{n}||$$

$$= \lambda_{n}^{2}(\alpha_{n} + ||A||^{2})^{2}||x_{n} - y_{n}||^{2} + ||u_{n} - y_{n}||^{2}$$

$$- [\lambda_{n}(\alpha_{n} + ||A||^{2})||x_{n} - y_{n}|| - ||u_{n} - y_{n}||]^{2}$$

$$\leq \lambda_{n}^{2}(\alpha_{n} + ||A||^{2})^{2}||x_{n} - y_{n}||^{2} + ||u_{n} - y_{n}||^{2}.$$
(3.6)

Substituting (3.6) into (3.5) and simplifying, we have

$$\|u_{n} - p\|^{2} \leq \|x_{n} - p\|^{2} - \|x_{n} - y_{n}\|^{2} - \|y_{n} - u_{n}\|^{2} + \lambda_{n}^{2}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})^{2}\|x_{n} - y_{n}\|^{2} + \|u_{n} - y_{n}\|^{2} + 2\lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\|\|p - u_{n}\| = \|x_{n} - p\|^{2} - \|x_{n} - y_{n}\|^{2} + \lambda_{n}^{2}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})^{2}\|x_{n} - y_{n}\|^{2} + 2\lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\|\|p - u_{n}\| = \|x_{n} - p\|^{2} + (\lambda_{n}^{2}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})^{2} - 1)\|x_{n} - y_{n}\|^{2} + 2\lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\|\|p - u_{n}\|.$$
(3.7)

Substituting (3.4) into (3.7) and simplifying, we have

$$\|u_{n} - p\|^{2} \leq \|x_{n} - p\|^{2} + (\lambda_{n}^{2}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})^{2} - 1)\|x_{n} - y_{n}\|^{2} + 2\lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\|[(1 + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n} + \lambda_{n}\|A\|^{2})\|x_{n} - p\| + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\|(1 + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n} + \lambda_{n}\|A\|^{2})] = \|x_{n} - p\|^{2} + (\lambda_{n}^{2}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})^{2} - 1)\|x_{n} - y_{n}\|^{2} + 2\lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}\|p\|(1 + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n} + \lambda_{n}\|A\|^{2})\|x_{n} - p\| + 2\lambda_{n}^{2}\alpha_{n}^{2}\|p\|^{2}(1 + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n} + \lambda_{n}\|A\|^{2}).$$
(3.8)

Consequently, utilizing Lemma 2.11(ii) and the last relations, we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|\beta_n u_n + (1 - \beta_n) T^n u_n - (\beta_n + (1 - \beta_n))p\|^2 \\ &= \|\beta_n u_n - \beta_n p + (1 - \beta_n) T^n u_n - (1 - \beta_n)p\|^2 \\ &= \|\beta_n (u_n - p) + (1 - \beta_n) (T^n u_n - p)\|^2 \\ &= \beta_n \|u_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|T^n u_n - p\|^2 - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - T^n u_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \beta_n \|u_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) k_n^2 \|u_n - p\|^2 - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - T^n u_n\|^2 \\ &= (\beta_n + (1 - \beta_n) k_n^2) \|u_n - p\|^2 - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - T^n u_n\|^2 \\ &= (\beta_n + (1 - \beta_n) k_n^2) \{\|x_n - p\|^2 + (\lambda_n^2 (\alpha_n + \|A\|^2)^2 - 1) \|x_n - y_n\|^2 \\ &+ 2\lambda_n \alpha_n \|p\| (1 + \lambda_n \alpha_n + \lambda_n \|A\|^2) \|x_n - p\| \\ &+ 2\lambda_n^2 \alpha_n^2 \|p\|^2 (1 + \lambda_n \alpha_n + \lambda_n \|A\|^2) \} - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - T^n u_n\|^2 \\ &= (\beta_n + (1 - \beta_n) k_n^2) (\lambda_n^2 (\alpha_n + \|A\|^2)^2 - 1) \|x_n - y_n\|^2 \\ &+ (\beta_n + (1 - \beta_n) k_n^2) \lambda_n^2 \alpha_n^2 \|p\|^2 (1 + \lambda_n \alpha_n + \alpha_n \|A\|^2) \|p\| \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &+ 2(\beta_n + (1 - \beta_n) k_n^2) \lambda_n^2 \alpha_n^2 \|p\|^2 (1 + \lambda_n \alpha_n + \lambda_n \|A\|^2) \\ &- \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - T^n u_n\|^2 \\ &= (k_n^2 - \beta_n (k_n^2 - 1)) (\lambda_n^2 (\alpha_n + \|A\|^2)^2 - 1) \|x_n - y_n\|^2 \\ &+ (k_n^2 - \beta_n (k_n^2 - 1)) (\lambda_n^2 (\alpha_n + \|A\|^2)^2 - 1) \|x_n - y_n\|^2 \\ &+ (k_n^2 - \beta_n (k_n^2 - 1)) (1 + \lambda_n \alpha_n + \alpha_n \|A\|^2) \|p\| \|x_n - p\|^2 \end{split}$$

$$+ 2(k_n^2 - \beta_n(k_n^2 - 1))\lambda_n^2 \alpha_n^2 \|p\|^2 (1 + \lambda_n \alpha_n + \lambda_n \|A\|^2) - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - T^n u_n\|^2.$$
(3.9)

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} k_n = 1$ , (i)-(iii) and by Corollary 2.8, we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - p\| \text{ exists for each } p \in \operatorname{Fix}(T) \cap \Gamma,$$
(3.10)

and the sequences  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are bounded. It follows that

$$\left\|T^n x_n - p\right\| \le k_n \|x_n - p\|.$$

Hence  $\{T^n x_n - p\}$  is bounded. Step 2. We will prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|u_n-Tu_n\|=0.$$

From (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^{2} &\leq (k_{n}^{2} - \beta_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - 1))\|x_{n} - p\|^{2} \\ &+ (k_{n}^{2} - \beta_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - 1))(\lambda_{n}^{2}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})^{2} - 1)\|x_{n} - y_{n}\|^{2} \\ &+ 2\lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - \beta_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - 1))(1 + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n} + \alpha_{n}\|A\|^{2})\|p\|\|x_{n} - p\|^{2} \\ &+ 2(k_{n}^{2} - \beta_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - 1))\lambda_{n}^{2}\alpha_{n}^{2}\|p\|^{2}(1 + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n} + \lambda_{n}\|A\|^{2}) \\ &- \beta_{n}(1 - \beta_{n})\|u_{n} - T^{n}u_{n}\|^{2} \\ &= (k_{n}^{2} - \beta_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - 1))\|x_{n} - p\|^{2} \\ &+ (k_{n}^{2} - \beta_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - 1))(\lambda_{n}^{2}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})^{2} - 1)\|x_{n} - y_{n}\|^{2} \\ &+ \alpha_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - \beta_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - 1))M_{1} + \alpha_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - \beta_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - 1))M_{2} \\ &- \beta_{n}(1 - \beta_{n})\|u_{n} - T^{n}u_{n}\|^{2} \\ &= (k_{n}^{2} - \beta_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - 1))\|x_{n} - p\|^{2} \\ &- (k_{n}^{2} - \beta_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - 1))(1 - \lambda_{n}^{2}(\alpha_{n} + \|A\|^{2})^{2})\|x_{n} - y_{n}\|^{2} \\ &+ \alpha_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - \beta_{n}(k_{n}^{2} - 1))(M_{1} + M_{2}) - \beta_{n}(1 - \beta_{n})\|u_{n} - T^{n}u_{n}\|^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_1 = \sup_{n \ge 0} \{ 2\lambda_n (1 + \lambda_n \alpha_n + \alpha_n ||A||^2) ||p|| ||x_n - p||^2 \} < \infty$  and

$$M_2 = \sup_{n\geq 0} \left\{ 2\lambda_n^2 \alpha_n \|p\|^2 \left( 1 + \lambda_n \alpha_n + \lambda_n \|A\|^2 \right) \right\} < \infty.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(k_n^2 - \beta_n (k_n^2 - 1)\right) \left(1 - \lambda_n^2 (\alpha_n + \|A\|^2)^2\right) \|x_n - y_n\|^2 + \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - T^n u_n\|^2 \\ & \leq \left(k_n^2 - \beta_n (k_n^2 - 1)\right) \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \alpha_n (k_n^2 - \beta_n (k_n^2 - 1)) (M_1 + M_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} k_n = 1$ ,  $\alpha_n \to 0$ , (i) and from (3.10), we have

$$\lim_{n \to 0} \|x_n - y_n\| = \lim_{n \to 0} \|u_n - T^n u_n\| = 0.$$
(3.11)

Furthermore, we obtain

$$\|y_n - u_n\| = \|P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(x_n)) - P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n))\|$$
  

$$\leq \|(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(x_n)) - (x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n))\|$$
  

$$= \lambda_n \|\nabla f_{\alpha_n}(x_n) - \nabla f_{\alpha_n}(y_n)\|$$
  

$$\leq \lambda_n (\alpha_n + \|A\|^2) \|x_n - y_n\|.$$

This together with (3.11) implies that

$$\lim_{n \to 0} \|y_n - u_n\| = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Also,

$$||x_n - u_n|| \le ||x_n - y_n|| + ||y_n - u_n||$$

together with (3.11) and (3.12) implies that

$$\lim_{n \to 0} \|x_n - u_n\| = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

We can rewrite (3.11) from (3.13) by

$$\lim_{n \to 0} \|x_n - T^n u_n\| = 0.$$
(3.14)

Consider

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \|\beta_n u_n + (1 - \beta_n) T^n u_n - x_n\|$$
  
$$\leq \beta_n \|u_n - x_n\| + (1 - \beta_n) \|T^n u_n - x_n\|.$$

From (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$||x_{n+1} - x_n|| \to 0 \quad (\text{as } n \to \infty). \tag{3.15}$$

Next, we will show that (3.11) implies that

$$\lim_{n \to 0} \|u_n - Tu_n\| = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

We compute that

$$\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| = \|P_C(x_{n+1} - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}} x_{n+1}) - P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} x_n)\|$$
$$= \|P_C(I - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}}) x_{n+1} - P_C(I - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n}) x_n\|$$

$$\leq \|P_{C}(I - \lambda_{n+1}\nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}})x_{n+1} - P_{C}(I - \lambda_{n+1}\nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}})x_{n}\| \\ + \|P_{C}(I - \lambda_{n+1}\nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}})x_{n} - P_{C}(I - \lambda_{n}\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}})x_{n}\| \\ \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_{n}\| + \|(I - \lambda_{n+1}\nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}})x_{n} - (I - \lambda_{n}\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}})x_{n}\| \\ = \|x_{n+1} - x_{n}\| + \|x_{n} - \lambda_{n+1}\nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}}x_{n} - (x_{n} - \lambda_{n}\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}x_{n})\| \\ = \|x_{n+1} - x_{n}\| + \|\lambda_{n}\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}x_{n} - \lambda_{n+1}\nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}}x_{n}\| \\ = \|x_{n+1} - x_{n}\| + \|\lambda_{n}(\nabla f + \alpha_{n})x_{n} - \lambda_{n+1}(\nabla f + \alpha_{n+1})x_{n}\| \\ = \|x_{n+1} - x_{n}\| + \|\lambda_{n}\nabla fx_{n} + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}x_{n} - (\lambda_{n+1}\nabla fx_{n} + \lambda_{n+1}\alpha_{n+1}x_{n})\| \\ = \|x_{n+1} - x_{n}\| + \|(\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n+1})\nabla fx_{n} + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}x_{n} - \lambda_{n+1}\alpha_{n+1}x_{n}\| \\ = \|x_{n+1} - x_{n}\| + \|(\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n+1})\nabla fx_{n} + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n}x_{n} - \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n+1}x_{n} \\ + \lambda_{n}\alpha_{n+1}x_{n} - \lambda_{n+1}\alpha_{n+1}x_{n}\| \\ = \|x_{n+1} - x_{n}\| + \|(\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n+1})\nabla fx_{n} + \lambda_{n}(\alpha_{n} - \alpha_{n+1})x_{n} + (\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n+1})\alpha_{n+1}x_{n}\| \\ \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_{n}\| + \|\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n+1}\|\|\nabla fx_{n}\| + \lambda_{n}|\alpha_{n} - \alpha_{n+1}|\|x_{n}\|$$

From conditions (ii), (iii) and (3.15), we obtain that

$$\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| \to 0 \quad (\text{as } n \to \infty) \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|u_{n+1} - u_n\| &= \|P_C(x_{n+1} - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}} y_{n+1}) - P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} y_n)\| \\ &\leq \|(x_{n+1} - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}} y_{n+1}) - (x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} y_n)\| \\ &= \|(x_{n+1} - x_n) + (\lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} y_n - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}} y_{n+1})\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|\lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} y_n - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}} y_{n+1}\| \\ &= \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|\lambda_n (\nabla f + \alpha_n) y_n - \lambda_{n+1} (\nabla f + \alpha_{n+1}) y_{n+1}\| \\ &= \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|\lambda_n \nabla f y_n + \lambda_n \alpha_n y_n - (\lambda_{n+1} \nabla f y_{n+1} + \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1} y_{n+1})\| \\ &= \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|(\lambda_n \nabla f y_n - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f y_{n+1}) + \lambda_n \alpha_n y_n - \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1} y_{n+1}\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|\lambda_n \nabla f y_n - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f y_{n+1}\| + \|\lambda_n \alpha_n y_n - \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1} y_{n+1}\| \\ &= \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|(\lambda_n \nabla f y_n - \lambda_n \nabla f y_{n+1}) + (\lambda_n \nabla f y_{n+1} - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f y_{n+1})\| \\ &+ \|(\lambda_n \alpha_n y_n - \lambda_n \alpha_n y_{n+1}) + (\lambda_n \alpha_n y_{n+1} - \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1} y_{n+1})\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \lambda_n \|\nabla f y_n - \nabla f y_{n+1}\| + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}\| \|\nabla f y_{n+1}\| \\ &+ \lambda_n \alpha_n \|y_n - y_{n+1}\| + |\lambda_n \alpha_n - \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1}\| \|y_{n+1}\|. \end{split}$$

From conditions (ii), (iii), (3.15) and (3.17), we obtain that

$$\|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \to 0 \quad (\text{as } n \to \infty). \tag{3.18}$$

Since *T* is uniformly *L*-Lipschitzian continuous, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - Tu_n\| &\leq \|u_n - u_{n+1}\| + \|u_{n+1} - T^{n+1}u_{n+1}\| + \|T^{n+1}u_{n+1} - T^{n+1}u_n\| \\ &+ \|T^{n+1}u_n - Tu_n\| \\ &\leq \|u_n - u_{n+1}\| + \|u_{n+1} - T^{n+1}u_{n+1}\| + L\|u_n - u_{n+1}\| + L\|T^nu_n - u_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||u_{n+1} - u_n|| = 0$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||u_n - T^n u_n|| = 0$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - Tu_n\| = 0.$$
(3.19)

Step 3. We will show that  $\hat{x} \in Fix(T) \cap \Gamma$ . We have from (3.11)

$$||x_n - y_n|| \to 0 \quad (\text{as } n \to \infty). \tag{3.20}$$

Since  $\nabla f = A^*(I - P_O)A$  is Lipschitz continuous and from (3.11), we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| \nabla f(x_n) - \nabla f(y_n) \right\| = 0.$$

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  that converges weakly to some  $\hat{x}$ .

First, we show that  $\hat{x} \in \Gamma$ . Since  $||x_n - y_n|| \to 0$ , it is known that  $y_{n_i} \rightharpoonup \hat{x}$ . Put

$$Aw = \begin{cases} \nabla fw + N_C w & \text{if } w \in C, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } w \notin C, \end{cases}$$

where  $N_C w = \{z \in H_1 : \langle w - v, z \rangle \ge 0, \forall v \in C\}$ . Then *A* is maximal monotone and  $0 \in Aw$  if and only if  $w \in VI(C, \nabla f)$ ; see [21] for more details. Let  $(w, z) \in G(A)$ , we have

$$z \in Aw = \nabla fw + N_C w$$
,

and hence

$$z - \nabla f w \in N_C w.$$

So, we have

$$\langle w - v, z - \nabla f w \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall v \in C.$$

On the other hand, from

$$u_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} y_n)$$
 and  $w \in C$ ,

we have

$$\langle x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} y_n - u_n, u_n - w \rangle \geq 0,$$

and hence

$$\left\langle w-u_n, \frac{u_n-x_n}{\lambda_n}+\nabla f_{\alpha_n}y_n\right\rangle \geq 0.$$

Therefore from  $z - \nabla f w \in N_C w$  and  $\{u_{n_i}\} \in C$  it follows that

$$\begin{split} \langle w - u_{n_{i}}, z \rangle &\geq \langle w - u_{n_{i}}, \nabla f w \rangle \\ &\geq \langle w - u_{n_{i}}, \nabla f w \rangle - \left\langle w - u_{n_{i}}, \frac{u_{n_{i}} - x_{n_{i}}}{\lambda_{n_{i}}} + \nabla f_{\alpha_{n_{i}}} y_{n_{i}} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle w - u_{n_{i}}, \nabla f w \rangle - \left\langle w - u_{n_{i}}, \frac{u_{n_{i}} - x_{n_{i}}}{\lambda_{n_{i}}} + \nabla f y_{n_{i}} \right\rangle - \alpha_{n_{i}} \langle w - u_{n_{i}}, y_{n_{i}} \rangle \\ &= \langle w - u_{n_{i}}, \nabla f w - \nabla f u_{n_{i}} \rangle + \langle w - u_{n_{i}}, \nabla f u_{n_{i}} - \nabla f y_{n_{i}} \rangle \\ &- \left\langle w - u_{n_{i}}, \frac{u_{n_{i}} - x_{n_{i}}}{\lambda_{n_{i}}} \right\rangle - \alpha_{n_{i}} \langle w - u_{n_{i}}, y_{n_{i}} \rangle \\ &\leq \langle w - u_{n_{i}}, \nabla f u_{n_{i}} - \nabla f y_{n_{i}} \rangle - \left\langle w - u_{n_{i}}, \frac{u_{n_{i}} - x_{n_{i}}}{\lambda_{n_{i}}} \right\rangle \\ &- \alpha_{n_{i}} \langle w - u_{n_{i}}, y_{n_{i}} \rangle. \end{split}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\langle w-\hat{x},z\rangle\geq 0$$
 as  $i\to\infty$ .

Since *A* is maximal monotone, we have  $\hat{x} \in A_0^{-1}$ , and hence  $\hat{x} \in VI(C, \nabla f)$ . Thus it is clear that  $\hat{x} \in \Gamma$ .

Next, we show that  $\hat{x} \in Fix(T)$ . Indeed, since  $y_{n_i} \rightarrow \hat{x}$  and  $||u_{n_i} - Tu_{n_i}|| \rightarrow 0$ , by (3.16) and Lemma 2.7, we get  $\hat{x} \in Fix(T)$ . Therefore, we have  $\hat{x} \in Fix(T) \cap \Gamma$ .

Now we prove that  $x_n \rightharpoonup \hat{x}$  and  $y_n \rightharpoonup \hat{x}$ .

Suppose the contrary and let  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  be another subsequences of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_{n_k}\} \rightarrow x^*$ . Then  $x^* \in Fix(T) \cap \Gamma$ . Let us show that  $\hat{x} = x^*$ . Assume that  $\hat{x} \neq x^*$ . From the Opial condition [22], we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - \hat{x}\| = \lim_{k \to \infty} \inf \|x_{n_k} - \hat{x}\|$$
$$< \lim_{k \to \infty} \inf \|x_{n_k} - x^*\|$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x^*\|$$
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \inf \|x_{n_k} - x^*\|$$
$$< \lim_{k \to \infty} \inf \|x_{n_k} - \hat{x}\|$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - \hat{x}\|.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, we have  $\hat{x} = x^*$ . This implies

$$x_n \rightarrow \hat{x} \in \operatorname{Fix}(T) \cap \Gamma.$$

Further, from  $||x_n - y_n|| \to 0$  it follows that  $y_n \to \hat{x}$ . This shows that both sequences  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{u_n\}$  converge weakly to  $\hat{x} \in Fix(T) \cap \Gamma$ . This completes the proof.

Utilising Theorem 3.1, we have the following new results in the setting of real Hilbert spaces.

Take  $T^n \equiv T$  in Theorem 3.1. Therefore the conclusion follows.

**Corollary 3.2** Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H, and let  $T: C \to C$  be an uniformly L-Lipschitzian and quasi-nonexpansive mapping with  $Fix(T) \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  and  $\{u_n\}$  be the sequences in C generated by the following algorithm:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = x \in C & chosen \ arbitrarily, \\ y_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} x_n), \\ u_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} y_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \beta_n u_n + (1 - \beta_n) T^n u_n, \end{cases}$$
(3.21)

where  $\nabla f_{\alpha_n} = \nabla f + \alpha_n I = A^*(I - P_Q)A + \alpha_n I$ , and the sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\{\lambda_n\} \in (0, \frac{2}{\|A\|^2}) \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < \infty,$

(iii) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n < \infty$$

Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to an element  $\hat{x} \in Fix(T) \cap \Gamma$ .

Take  $T^n \equiv I$  (identity mappings) in Theorem 3.1. Therefore the conclusion follows.

**Corollary 3.3** Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H, and let  $T: C \to C$  be an uniformly L-Lipschitzian with  $Fix(T) \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  and  $\{u_n\}$  be the sequences in C generated by the following algorithm:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = x \in C \quad chosen \ arbitrarily, \\ y_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} x_n), \\ u_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f_{\alpha_n} y_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \beta_n u_n + (1 - \beta_n) T^n u_n, \end{cases}$$
(3.22)

where  $\nabla f_{\alpha_n} = \nabla f + \alpha_n I = A^*(I - P_Q)A + \alpha_n I$ , and the sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\{\lambda_n\} \in (0, \frac{2}{\|A\|^2}),$

(iii) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n < \infty$$
.

*Then the sequence*  $\{x_n\}$  *converges weakly to an element*  $\hat{x} \in Fix(T) \cap \Gamma$ *.* 

**Remark 3.4** Theorem 3.1 improves and extends [8, Theorem 5.7] in the following respects:

(a) The iterative algorithm [8, Theorem 5.7] is extended for developing our Mann's type extragradient algorithm in Theorem 3.1.

- (b) The technique of proving weak convergence in Theorem 3.1 is different from that in [8, Theorem 5.7] because our technique uses asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings and the property of maximal monotone mappings.
- (c) The problem of finding a common element of Fix(*T*) ∩ Γ for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings is more general than that for nonexpansive mappings and the problem of finding a solution of the (SFP) in [8, Theorem 5.7].

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referees for comments and suggestions on this manuscript. The first author was supported by the Thailand Research Fund through the Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D. Program (Grant No. PHD/0033/2554) and the King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi.

#### Received: 16 September 2013 Accepted: 14 November 2013 Published: 20 Dec 2013

#### References

- 1. Censor, Y, Elving, T: A multiprojection algorithm using Bregman projections in product space. Numer. Algorithms 8, 221-239 (1994)
- 2. Byrne, C: Iterative oblique projection onto convex subsets and the split feasibility problem. Inverse Probl. 18, 441-453 (2002)
- 3. Censor, Y, Bortfeld, T, Martin, B, Trofimov, A: A unified approach for inversion problem in intensity-modulated radiation therapy. Phys. Med. Biol. **51**, 2353-2365 (2006)
- Censor, Y, Elfving, T, Kopf, N, Bortfeld, T: The multiple-sets split feasibility problem and its applications. Inverse Probl. 21, 2071-2084 (2005)
- Censor, Y, Motova, A, Segal, A: Perturbed projections and subgradient projections for the multiple-sets split feasibility problem. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 327, 1244-1256 (2007)
- Deepho, J, Kumam, P: A modified Halpern's iterative scheme for solving split feasibility problems. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2012, Article ID 876069 (2012)
- Sunthrayuth, P, Cho, YJ, Kumam, P: General iterative algorithms approach to variational inequalities and minimum-norm fixed point for minimization and split feasibility problems. Opsearch (2013, in press). doi:10.1007/s12597-013-0150-5
- 8. Xu, HK: A variable Krasnosel'skill-Mann algorithm and the multiple-set split feasibility problem. Inverse Probl. 22, 2021-2034 (2006)
- 9. Yang, Q: The relaxed CQ algorithm for solving the split feasibility problem. Inverse Probl. 20, 1261-1266 (2004)
- 10. Zhao, J, Yang, Q: Several solution methods for the split feasibility problem. Inverse Probl. 21, 1791-1799 (2005)
- 11. Korpelevich, GM: An extragradient method for finding saddle points and for other problems. Èkon. Mat. Metody 12, 747-756 (1976)
- 12. Phiangsungnoen, S, Kumam, P: A hybrid extragradient method for solving Ky Fan inequalities, variational inequalities and fixed point problems. In: Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2013, vol. II, pp. 1042-1047. IMECS 2013, March 13-15, Hong Kong (2013)
- 13. Ceng, LC, Ansari, QH, Yao, JC: An extragradient method for solving split feasibility and fixed point problems. Comput. Math. Appl. **64**(4), 633-642 (2012)
- Chang, S: Split feasibility problems total quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, Article ID 151 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-151
- Byrne, C: A unified treatment of some iterative algorithms in signal processing and image reconstruction. Inverse Probl. 26, 103-120 (2004)
- Combettes, PL: Solving monotone inclusions via compositions of nonexpansive averaged operator. Optimization 53(5-6), 475-504 (2004)
- 17. Geobel, K, Kirk, WA: Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 28. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990)
- 18. Tan, KK, Xu, HK: Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iteration process. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **178**, 301-308 (1993)
- 19. Marino, G, Xu, HK: Weak and strong convergence theorems for strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert space. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **329**, 336-346 (2007)
- 20. Nakajo, K, Takahashi, W: Strong convergence theorem for nonexpansive mappings and nonexpansive semigroups. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **279**, 372-379 (2003)
- 21. Rockafellar, RT: On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 149, 75-88 (1970)
- Opial, Z: Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 73, 591-597 (1967)

10.1186/1687-1812-2013-349 Cite this article as: Deepho and Kumam: Mann's type extragradient for solving split feasibility and fixed point problems of Lipschitz asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings. Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2013, 2013:349

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com