

Research Article

Stability and Hopf Bifurcation Analysis of a Vector-Borne Disease with Time Delay

Yuanyuan Chen¹ and Ya-Qing Bi²

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics, Zhongyuan University of Technology, Zhengzhou, Henan 450007, China

² Department of Library, Chongqing Normal University, Chongqing 401331, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yuanyuan Chen; chenyuanyuancy@gmail.com

Received 3 March 2014; Accepted 27 April 2014; Published 20 May 2014

Academic Editor: Qiu-Ming Luo

Copyright © 2014 Y. Chen and Y.-Q. Bi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A delay-differential modelling of vector-borne is investigated. Its dynamics are studied in terms of local analysis and Hopf bifurcation theory, and its linear stability and Hopf bifurcation are demonstrated by studying the characteristic equation. The stability and direction of Hopf bifurcation are determined by applying the normal form theory and the center manifold argument.

1. Introduction

Vector-borne diseases are an important public health problem. Vector-borne diseases are infectious diseases caused by virus, bacteria, and so on which are primarily transmitted by disease biological agents, called vector carrying the disease.

Malaria is the most prevalent vector-borne disease, which is transmitted to the human host through a bite by an infected mosquito. It can lead to serious affecting the brain, lungs, kidneys, and other organs, and it caused the greatest number of deaths. Approximately, 40 percent of the world's population is at risk, and 2 million deaths per year can be attributed to malaria, half of those in children under 5 years old. Especially in Africa, more than one million children mostly under 5 years die each year. No effective vaccines are available for the disease. In many years, the effective way to prevent the malaria and other mosquito-borne disease is to control mosquito.

Several theoretical studies have proposed vector-borne models. Reference [1] used a mathematical model to show that bringing a mosquito population below a certain threshold was sufficient to eliminate malaria. Reference [2] studied both a baseline ODE version of the model and a model with a discrete time delay and gave the conditions under which equilibrium is globally stable and the disease dies out. Reference [3] showed that reducing the number of

mosquitoes is an inefficient control strategy that would have little effect on the epidemiology of malaria in areas of intense transmission. Reference [4] used a mathematical model to evaluate the impact from the programs of selective mass drug administration and vector control through mosquito nets. References [5, 6] models took into account the acquired immunity to malaria depends on exposure (i.e., that immunity is boosted by additional infections).

For a long time, it has been recognized that delay may have very complicated impact on the dynamics of a system. Delay can cause the loss of stability and can bifurcate various periodic solutions. Recently, there has been extensive work dealing with time delay systems (see, e.g., [7–11]). As far as we know, there are few works on the delayed vector-borne system, let alone the existence of Hopf bifurcation, and the stability and direction of bifurcating periodic solutions. In this paper, we focus on investigating these problems.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide a vector-borne model and analyze the property of the nonnegative equilibria. In Section 3, we get the existence of the Hopf bifurcation. In Section 4, the stability and direction of periodic solutions bifurcating from the Hopf bifurcation are determined by using the normal form theory and center manifold argument introduced by Hassard et al. [12].

2. Property of the Nonnegative Equilibria

We can describe the dynamics of the disease in the host population as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}(t) &= b_1 - \lambda_1 S(t) I(t) - \lambda_2 S(t) V(t) - \mu_1 S(t), \\ \dot{I}(t) &= \lambda_1 S(t) I(t) + \lambda_2 S(t) V(t) - \gamma I(t) - \mu_1 I(t), \\ \dot{R}(t) &= \gamma I(t) - \mu_1 R(t). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here $S(t)$, $I(t)$, and $R(t)$ represent the population density of susceptible, infectious, and recovered at time t , respectively. The total host population size at time t is given by $N_1(t)$. The host population dies at a natural rate μ_1 , and the host grows with intrinsic growth rate b_1 . λ_1 is the rate of direct transmission, while λ_2 is the biting rate of a pathogen-carrier vector. The host recovers at the rate γ . The recovered individuals are assumed to acquire permanent immunity.

The system that describes the dynamics of the vector is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{M}(t) &= b_2 - \lambda_3 M(t) I(t) - \mu_2 M(t), \\ \dot{V}(t) &= \lambda_3 M(t) I(t) - \mu_2 V(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here, $V(t)$ is the number of vectors at time t carrying the pathogen at time t , and $M(t)$ represents the population density of pathogen-free vector at time t . The total vectors population size at time t is given by $N_2(t)$. b_2 and μ_2 are the birth rate and death rate of vector population, respectively. Susceptible vectors start carrying the pathogen after getting into contact with an infective host at a rate λ_3 . We assume that the vectors carry the microparasite for life once they became carrier of it.

The time delay $\tau > 0$ is introduced in the system (2) to describe the dynamics of the vector. At time t , the susceptible vectors bite the host τ time ago, and the vector became infectious. The delay model of the system takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{M}(t) &= b_2 - \lambda_3 M(t) I(t - \tau) - \mu_2 M(t), \\ \dot{V}(t) &= \lambda_3 M(t) I(t - \tau) - \mu_2 V(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The systems (1) and (3) satisfy the initial conditions: $S(\theta) = S_0$, $I(\theta) = I_0$, $R(\theta) = R_0$, $M(\theta) = M_0$, $V(\theta) = V_0$, and $\theta \in [-\tau, 0)$. The total host population size $N_1(t)$ can be determined by $N_1(t) = S(t) + I(t) + R(t)$ or

$$\dot{N}_1(t) = b_1 - \mu_1 N_1(t). \quad (4)$$

The total number of vectors $N_2(t)$ can be determined by $N_2(t) = M(t) + V(t)$ or

$$\dot{N}_2(t) = b_2 - \mu_2 N_2(t). \quad (5)$$

The total population size of both host and vector populations are asymptotically constant; that is, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N_1(t) = b_1/\mu_1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N_2(t) = b_2/\mu_2$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $N_1(t) = b_1/\mu_1$ and $N_2(t) = b_2/\mu_2$ for all $t \geq 0$ provided that $S_0 + I_0 + R_0 = b_1/\mu_1$ and $M_0 + V_0 = b_2/\mu_2$.

The systems (1) and (3) are equivalent to the dynamics of the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}(t) &= b_1 - \lambda_1 S(t) I(t) - \lambda_2 S(t) V(t) - \mu_1 S(t), \\ \dot{I}(t) &= \lambda_1 S(t) I(t) + \lambda_2 S(t) V(t) - \gamma I(t) - \mu_1 I(t), \\ \dot{V}(t) &= \lambda_3 \left(\frac{b_2}{\mu_2} - V(t) \right) I(t - \tau) - \mu_2 V(t). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The initial condition of system (6) is

$$(S(\theta), I(\theta), V(\theta)) \in C_+ = C((-\tau, 0], R_+^3), \quad S_0, I_0, V_0 > 0. \quad (7)$$

System (6) has two equilibria $E_{10} = (b_1/\mu_1, 0, 0)$ and $E^* = (S^*, I^*, V^*)$, where

$$S^* = \frac{b_1 - (\gamma + \mu_1) I^*}{\mu_1}, \quad V^* = \frac{\lambda_3 b_2 I^*}{\mu_2 (\mu_2 + \lambda_3 I^*)}. \quad (8)$$

I^* is determined by the following equation:

$$\frac{b_1 - (\gamma + \mu_1) I}{\mu_1} \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\lambda_2 \lambda_3 b_2}{\mu_2 (\lambda_3 I + \mu_2)} \right) = \gamma + \mu_1. \quad (9)$$

Equation (9) has a unique positive root, when $(\gamma + \mu_1)\mu_1\mu_2^2 < b_1(\lambda_1\mu_2^2 + \lambda_2\lambda_3b_2)$.

For the equilibrium E_{10} , the characteristic equation is

$$(\mu_1 + \lambda) \left(\gamma + \mu_1 - \frac{\lambda_1 b_1}{\mu_1} + \lambda \right) (\mu_2 + \lambda) = 0. \quad (10)$$

We can easily get the following theorem by some calculation.

Theorem 1. E_{10} is asymptotically stable if $\lambda_1 b_1/\mu_1 - \gamma - \mu_1 < 0$; it is unstable if $\lambda_1 b_1/\mu_1 - \gamma - \mu_1 > 0$.

3. Existence of Hopf Bifurcation

We study E^* under the condition $(\gamma + \mu_1)\mu_1\mu_2^2 < b_1(\lambda_1\mu_2^2 + \lambda_2\lambda_3b_2)$. The characteristic equation of E^* is

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda^3 + (2\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \gamma + \lambda_1 I^* + \lambda_3 I^* + \lambda_2 V^* - \lambda_1 S^*) \lambda^2 \\ &+ [(\mu_2 + \lambda_3 I^*) (2\mu_1 + \gamma + \lambda_1 I^* + \lambda_2 V^* - \lambda_1 S^*) \\ &+ \mu_1 (\mu_1 + \gamma - \lambda_1 S^*) + (\lambda_1 I^* + \lambda_2 V^*) (\mu_1 + \gamma)] \lambda \\ &+ (\mu_2 + \lambda_3 I^*) [\mu_1 (\mu_1 + \gamma - \lambda_1 S^*) \\ &+ (\lambda_1 I^* + \lambda_2 V^*) (\mu_1 + \gamma)] \\ &+ \lambda_2 \lambda_3 S^* V^* (\lambda + \mu_1) e^{-\lambda \tau} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Let

$$A = 2\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \gamma + \lambda_1 I^* + \lambda_3 I^* + \lambda_2 V^* - \lambda_1 S^*, \quad (12)$$

$$B = (\mu_2 + \lambda_3 I^*)(2\mu_1 + \gamma + \lambda_1 I^* + \lambda_2 V^* - \lambda_1 S^*) + \mu_1(\mu_1 + \gamma - \lambda_1 S^*) + (\lambda_1 I^* + \lambda_2 V^*)(\mu_1 + \gamma), \quad (13)$$

$$C = \mu_1(\mu_2 - \lambda_3 I^*)(\mu_1 + \gamma - \lambda_1 S^*) + (\lambda_1 I^* + \lambda_2 V^*)(\mu_2 - \lambda_3 I^*), \quad (14)$$

$$D = \lambda_2 \lambda_3 S^* V^*, \quad E = \mu_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 S^* V^*. \quad (15)$$

Then (11) can be rewritten as

$$\lambda^3 + A\lambda^2 + B\lambda + C + [D\lambda + E]e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0. \quad (16)$$

Lemma 2. Equation (11) has a unique pair of purely imaginary roots if $A^2 - 2B > 0$ and $C^2 - E^2 < 0$.

Proof. If $\lambda = iw$, $w > 0$ is a root of (16), separating real and imaginary parts, we have the following:

$$Aw^2 - C = E \cos w\tau + Dw \sin w\tau, \quad (17)$$

$$w^3 - Bw = Dw \cos w\tau - E \sin w\tau.$$

Squaring and adding both equations, we have

$$w^6 + Q_1 w^4 + Q_2 w^2 + Q_3 = 0, \quad (18)$$

where

$$Q_1 = A^2 - 2B, \quad Q_2 = B^2 - 2AC - D^2, \quad Q_3 = C^2 - E^2. \quad (19)$$

We know that

$$Q_1 = A^2 - 2B > 0, \quad (20)$$

$$Q_3 = C^2 - E^2 < 0. \quad (21)$$

Then this lemma implies that there is a unique positive root w_0 satisfying (16). That is, (11) has a unique pair of purely imaginary roots $\pm iw_0$.

From (17), τ_n can be obtained:

$$\tau_n = \frac{1}{w_0} \cos^{-1} \frac{Dw_0^4 + (AE - BD)w_0^2 - EC}{E^2 + D^2w_0^2} + \frac{2n\pi}{w_0}, \quad (22)$$

$$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

□

Theorem 3. If the following conditions

$$A^2 - 2B > 0, \quad C^2 - E^2 < 0, \quad A(B + D) > C + E, \quad (23)$$

$$(B^2 - 2AC)E^2 > C^2D^2 \quad (24)$$

are satisfied, system (3) undergoes Hopf bifurcation at E^* when $\tau = \tau_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; furthermore, E^* is locally asymptotically stable if $\tau \in [0, \tau_0)$ and unstable if $\tau > \tau_0$.

Proof. Differentiating (16) with respect to τ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [3\lambda^2 + 2A\lambda + B + De^{-\lambda\tau} - \tau(D\lambda + E)e^{-\lambda\tau}] \frac{d\lambda}{d\tau} \\ & = \lambda(D\lambda + E)e^{-\lambda\tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

That is

$$\left(\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau}\right)^{-1} = -\frac{3\lambda^2 + 2A\lambda + B}{\lambda(\lambda^3 + A\lambda^2 + B\lambda + C)} + \frac{D}{\lambda(D\lambda + E)} - \frac{\tau}{\lambda}. \quad (26)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau} \right)^{-1} \Big|_{\lambda=iw_0} \\ & = \operatorname{Re} \left(-\frac{B - 3w_0^2 + i2Aw_0}{iw_0[(C - Aw_0^2) + iw_0(B - w_0^2)]} + \frac{D}{iw_0(iDw_0 + E)} \right) \\ & = (2D^2w_0^6 + (3E^2 + A^2D^2 - 2BD^2)w_0^4 + (2A^2 - 4B)E^2w_0^2 \\ & \quad + (B^2E^2 - C^2D^2 - 2ACE^2)) \\ & \quad \times \left([w_0^2(B - w_0^2)^2 + (C - Aw_0^2)^2] [(Dw_0)^2 + E^2] \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

We can rewrite the numerator as follows. Let

$$V = w^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) &= 2D^2w^6 + (3E^2 + A^2D^2 - 2BD^2)w^4 \\ & \quad + (2A^2 - 4B)E^2w^2 + (B^2E^2 - C^2D^2 - 2ACE^2), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} G(V) &= f(w) \\ &= 2D^2V^3 + (3E^2 + A^2D^2 - 2BD^2)V^2 \\ & \quad + (2A^2 - 4B)E^2V + (B^2E^2 - C^2D^2 - 2ACE^2), \\ G' &= 2[3D^2V^2 + (3E^2 + (A^2 - 2B)D^2)V + (A^2 - 2B)E^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

For G' ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= (3E^2 + A^2D^2 - 2BD^2)^2 - 12(A^2 - 2B)D^2E^2 \\ &= [3E^2 - (A^2 - 2B)D^2]^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

G' has two real roots, which take the form

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= \frac{-(3E^2 + (A^2 - 2B)D^2) + \sqrt{\Delta}}{6D^2} < 0, \\ V_2 &= \frac{-(3E^2 + (A^2 - 2B)D^2) - \sqrt{\Delta}}{6D^2} < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Then we know that $G(V)$ monotonously increases in $(V_1, +\infty)$, that is to say, that $f(w)$ monotonously increases in $(0, +\infty)$. And as we know $f(0) = B^2E^2 - C^2D^2 - 2ACE^2 > 0$, we have $f(w) > 0$ for $w > 0$. Then we obtain

$$\text{sign} \left. \frac{d(\text{Re} \lambda(\tau))}{d\tau} \right|_{\tau=\tau_n} = \text{signRe} \left(\left. \frac{d\lambda}{d\tau} \right)^{-1} \right|_{\lambda=iw_0} > 0. \quad (32)$$

Therefore, the transversality condition holds and hence Hopf bifurcation occurs. For (16), when $\tau = 0$, the characteristic equation is

$$\lambda^3 + A\lambda^2 + (B + D)\lambda + C + E = 0. \quad (33)$$

Under the conditions of the theorem, $A(B + D) > C + E$ and Routh-Hurwitz criterion, we know that all roots of (16) have negative real part; that is to say, the equilibrium E^* is locally stable for $\tau = 0$, while τ_0 is the minimum τ_n at which the real parts of these roots are zero. So, E^* is locally asymptotically stable if $\tau \in [0, \tau_0)$ and unstable if $\tau > \tau_0$. \square

4. Direction and Stability of the Hopf Bifurcation

In the previous section, we obtain the conditions that a family periodic solutions bifurcate from the steady state E^* at the critical value τ_n . Throughout this section, we assume that these conditions hold. As pointed by Hassard et al. [12], it is interesting to determine the direction, stability, and period of these periodic solutions bifurcating from the steady state. In this section, we will follow the idea of Ross [1] to derive the explicit formulas determining these factors. Let $u_1 = S - S^*$, $u_2 = I - I^*$, and $u_3 = V - V^*$. Equation (3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}(t) &= b_1 - \lambda_1(u_1 + S^*)(u_2 + I^*) \\ &\quad - \lambda_2(u_1 + S^*)(u_3 + V^*) - \mu_1(u_1 + S^*), \\ \dot{I}(t) &= \lambda_1(u_1 + S^*)(u_2 + I^*) + \lambda_2(u_1 + S^*)(u_3 + V^*) \\ &\quad - \gamma(u_2 + I^*) - \mu_1(u_2 + I^*), \\ \dot{V}(t) &= \lambda_3 \left(\frac{b_2}{\mu_2} - u_3 - V^* \right) (u_2(t - \tau) + I^*) \\ &\quad - \mu_2(u_3 + V^*). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The linearization of (34) at $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) = (0, 0, 0)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_1 &= -l_1u_1 - l_2u_2 - l_3u_3, \\ \dot{u}_2 &= l_1u_1 + m_2u_2 + m_3u_3, \\ \dot{u}_3 &= -n_2u_2(t - \tau) - n_3u_3, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $l_1 = \lambda_1 I^* + \lambda_2 V^* + \mu_1$, $l_2 = \lambda_1 S^*$, $m_2 = \lambda_1 S^* - \gamma - \mu_1$, $m_3 = \lambda_2 S^*$, $n_2 = \lambda_3(b_2/\mu_2 - V^*)$, and $n_3 = \lambda_3 I^* - \mu_2$. Let $x_t(t) = u_i(t\tau)$ and $\tau = \tau_n + \mu$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and τ_n is defined in (22) and $\mu \in R$, and the system (34) can be written as FDE in $C = C([-1, 0], R^3)$ as

$$\dot{x}(t) = L_\mu(x_t) + F(\mu, x_t), \quad (36)$$

where $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta) \in C$, $L_\mu : C \rightarrow R$, $F : R \times C \rightarrow R$ are given, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} L_\mu(\phi) &= (\tau_n + \mu) \begin{pmatrix} -l_1 & -l_2 & -l_3 \\ l_1 & m_2 & m_3 \\ 0 & 0 & -n_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(0) \\ \phi_2(0) \\ \phi_3(0) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + (\tau_n + \mu) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -n_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(-1) \\ \phi_2(-1) \\ \phi_3(-1) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$F(\mu, x_t) = (\tau_n + \mu) \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_1\phi_1(0)\phi_2(0) - \lambda_2\phi_1(0)\phi_3(0) \\ \lambda_1\phi_1(0)\phi_2(0) + \lambda_2\phi_1(0)\phi_3(0) \\ -\lambda_3\phi_2(-1)\phi_3(0) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (37)$$

where $\phi(\theta) = (\phi_1(\theta), \phi_2(\theta), \phi_3(\theta))^T \in C$. By the Riezs representation theorem, there exists a function $\eta(\theta, \mu)$ of bounded variation for $\theta \in [-1, 0]$, such that

$$L_\mu\phi = \int_{-1}^0 d\eta(\theta, 0)\phi(\theta), \quad \phi \in C. \quad (38)$$

In fact, we can choose

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\theta, \mu) &= (\tau_n + \mu) \begin{pmatrix} -l_1 & -l_2 & -l_3 \\ l_1 & m_2 & m_3 \\ 0 & 0 & -n_3 \end{pmatrix} \delta(\theta) \\ &\quad - (\tau_n + \mu) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -n_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta(\theta + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where δ is Dirac delta function.

For $\phi \in C^1([-1, 0], R^3)$, define

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mu)\phi &= \begin{cases} \frac{d\phi(\theta)}{d\theta} & \theta \in [-1, 0), \\ \int_{-1}^0 d\eta(s, \mu)\phi(s) & \theta = 0, \end{cases} \\ R(\mu)\phi &= \begin{cases} 0, & \theta \in [-1, 0), \\ F(\mu, \phi), & \theta = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Then system (36) is equivalent to

$$\dot{x}_t = A(\mu)x_t + R(\mu)x_t, \quad (41)$$

where

$$x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta) \quad \theta \in [-1, 0]. \quad (42)$$

For $\varphi \in C^1([0, 1], (R^3)^*)$, define

$$A^*\psi(s) = \begin{cases} -\frac{d\psi(s)}{ds}, & s \in [0, 1), \\ \int_{-1}^0 d\eta^T(t, 0)\psi(-t), & s = 0, \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

and bilinear inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi(s), \phi(s) \rangle &= \overline{\psi^T(0)}\phi(0) \\ &\quad - \int_{-1}^0 \int_{\xi=0}^\theta \overline{\psi^T(\xi - \theta)} d\eta(\theta)\phi(\xi) d\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $\eta(\theta) = \eta(\theta, 0)$. Then $A(0)$ and A^* are adjoint operators. From Section 3, we know that $\pm i\omega_0$ are eigenvalues of $A(0)$. Thus they are eigenvalues of A^* . We need to compute the eigenvector of $A(0)$ and A^* corresponding to $i\omega_0$ and $-i\omega_0$, respectively.

Suppose $q(\theta) = (1, \alpha, \beta)^T e^{i\theta\omega_0\tau_n}$ is the eigenvector of $A(0)$ corresponding to $i\omega_0$. Then $A(0)q(\theta) = i\omega_0\tau_n q(\theta)$. It follows from the definition of $A(0)$ and $\eta(\theta, \mu)$ that

$$\tau_n \begin{pmatrix} -l_1 - i\omega_0 & -l_2 & -l_3 \\ l_1 & m_2 - i\omega_0 & m_3 \\ 0 & -n_2 e^{-i\omega_0\tau_n} & -n_3 - i\omega_0 \end{pmatrix} q(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (45)$$

Then we can get

$$\begin{aligned} q(0) &= (1, \alpha, \beta) \\ &= \left(1, \frac{l_1 n_3}{l_3 n_2 e^{-i\omega_0\tau_n} - l_2 n_3}, \frac{-l_1 n_2}{l_3 n_2 e^{-i\omega_0\tau_n} - l_2 n_3} e^{-i\omega_0\tau_n} \right)^T. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

We can suppose that $q^*(s) = D(1, \alpha^*, \beta^*) e^{is\omega_0\tau_n}$ is the eigenvector of A^* corresponding to $-i\omega_0\tau_n$, and similarly we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n \begin{pmatrix} -l_1 + i\omega_0 & l_1 & 0 \\ -l_2 & m_2 + i\omega_0 & -n_2 e^{i\omega_0\tau_n} \\ -l_3 & m_3 & -n_3 + i\omega_0 \end{pmatrix} q^*(0) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \alpha^* = \frac{l_1 - i\omega_0}{l_1}, \quad \beta^* &= \frac{l_1 m_2 + \omega_0^2 - l_1 l_2 - i\omega_0 (m_2 - l_1)}{l_1 n_2 e^{i\omega_0\tau_n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

By (44), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q^*(s), q(\theta) \rangle &= \overline{D} (1, \overline{\alpha^*}, \overline{\beta^*}) (1, \alpha, \beta)^T \\ &\quad - \int_{-1}^0 \int_{\xi=0}^{\theta} \overline{D} (1, \overline{\alpha^*}, \overline{\beta^*}) e^{-i\omega_0(\xi-\theta)} d\eta(\theta) (1, \alpha, \beta)^T e^{i\omega_0\xi} d\xi \\ &= \overline{D} \left\{ 1 + \overline{\alpha^*} \alpha + \overline{\beta^*} \beta - \int_{-1}^0 (1, \overline{\alpha^*}, \overline{\beta^*}) \theta e^{i\omega_0\theta} d\eta(\theta) (1, \alpha, \beta)^T \right\} \\ &= \overline{D} \left\{ 1 + \overline{\alpha^*} \alpha + \overline{\beta^*} \beta - \tau_n n_2 \alpha \overline{\beta^*} e^{-i\omega_0\tau_n} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Then we choose

$$\overline{D} = \frac{1}{1 + \overline{\alpha^*} \alpha + \overline{\beta^*} \beta - \tau_n n_2 \alpha \overline{\beta^*} e^{-i\omega_0\tau_n}}, \quad (49)$$

such that $\langle q^*(s), q(\theta) \rangle = 1$, $\langle q^*(s), \overline{q}(\theta) \rangle = 0$.

In the following, we use the ideas by Ross [1] to compute the coordinates describing center manifold C_0 at $\mu = 0$. Define

$$z(t) = \langle q^*, x_t \rangle, \quad W(t, \theta) = x_t - 2 \operatorname{Re} z(t) q(\theta). \quad (50)$$

On the center manifold C_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(t, \theta) &= W(z(t), \overline{z}(t), \theta) \\ &= W_{20}(\theta) \frac{z^2}{2} + W_{11}(\theta) z \overline{z} + W_{02}(\theta) \frac{\overline{z}^2}{2} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where z and \overline{z} are local coordinates for center manifold C_0 in the direction of q^* and $\overline{q^*}$. Note that W is real if x_t is real. We can only consider real solutions. For the solution $x_t \in C_0$, since $\mu = 0$ and (41), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{z} &= i\omega_0\tau_n z + \langle q^*(\theta), F(0, W(\overline{z}, z, \theta) + 2 \operatorname{Re} z q(\theta)) \rangle \\ &= i\omega_0\tau_n z + \overline{q^*}(0) F(0, W(\overline{z}, z, 0) + 2 \operatorname{Re} z q(0)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i\omega_0\tau_n z + \overline{q^*}(0) F_0(z, \overline{z}) \\ &= i\omega_0\tau_n z + g(z, \overline{z}), \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(z, \overline{z}) &= \overline{q^*}(0) F_0(z, \overline{z}) \\ &= g_{20} \frac{z^2}{2} + g_{11} z \overline{z} + g_{02} \frac{\overline{z}^2}{2} + g_{21} \frac{z^2 \overline{z}}{2} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

From (50), we have $x_t = (x_{1t}(\theta), x_{2t}(\theta), x_{3t}(\theta)) = W(t, \theta) + zq(\theta) + \overline{zq(\theta)}$ and $q(\theta) = (1, \alpha, \beta)^T e^{i\omega_0\tau_n}$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1t}(0) &= z + \overline{z} + W_{20}^{(1)}(0) \frac{z^2}{2} + W_{11}^{(1)}(0) z \overline{z} \\ &\quad + W_{02}^{(1)}(0) \frac{\overline{z}^2}{2} + O(|(z, \overline{z})|^3), \\ x_{2t}(0) &= z\alpha + \overline{z}\alpha + W_{20}^{(2)}(0) \frac{z^2}{2} + W_{11}^{(2)}(0) z \overline{z} \\ &\quad + W_{02}^{(2)}(0) \frac{\overline{z}^2}{2} + O(|(z, \overline{z})|^3), \\ x_{3t}(0) &= z\beta + \overline{z}\beta + W_{20}^{(3)}(0) \frac{z^2}{2} + W_{11}^{(3)}(0) z \overline{z} \\ &\quad + W_{02}^{(3)}(0) \frac{\overline{z}^2}{2} + O(|(z, \overline{z})|^3), \\ x_{2t}(-1) &= z\alpha e^{-i\omega_0\tau_n} + \overline{z}\alpha e^{i\omega_0\tau_n} + W_{20}^{(2)}(-1) \frac{z^2}{2} \\ &\quad + W_{11}^{(2)}(-1) z \overline{z} + W_{02}^{(2)}(-1) \frac{\overline{z}^2}{2} + O(|(z, \overline{z})|^3). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

From the definition of $F(\mu, x_t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(z, \bar{z}) &= \bar{q}^*(0) F_0(z, \bar{z}) \\
 &= \bar{D}\tau_n \left(1, \bar{\alpha}^*, \bar{\beta}^* \right) \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_1 x_{1t}(0) x_{2t}(0) - \lambda_2 x_{1t}(0) x_{3t}(0) \\ \lambda_1 x_{1t}(0) x_{2t}(0) + \lambda_2 x_{1t}(0) x_{3t}(0) \\ -\lambda_3 x_{2t}(-1) x_{3t}(0) \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \bar{D}\tau_n \left\{ z^2 \left[\alpha (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) (\lambda_1 + \beta \lambda_2) - \lambda_3 \alpha \bar{\beta}^* e^{-i\omega_0 \tau_n} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad + 2z\bar{z} \left[\lambda_1 \operatorname{Re} \{ \alpha \} (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) + \lambda_2 \operatorname{Re} \{ \alpha \bar{\beta} \} (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. \left. - \lambda_3 \bar{\beta}^* \operatorname{Re} \{ \bar{\beta} \alpha e^{-i\omega_0 \tau_n} \} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad + \bar{z}^2 \left[\lambda_1 \bar{\alpha} (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) + \lambda_2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) - \lambda_3 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \bar{\beta}^* e^{i\omega_0 \tau_n} \right] \\
 &\quad + z^2 \bar{z} \left[\lambda_1 (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) \left(W_{11}^{(2)}(0) + \frac{1}{2} W_{20}^{(2)}(0) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2} W_{20}^{(1)}(0) + \alpha W_{11}^{(1)}(0) \right) \\
 &\quad + \lambda_2 (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) \left(\alpha W_{11}^{(3)}(0) + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2} W_{20}^{(3)}(0) \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{2} W_{20}^{(2)}(0) + \beta W_{11}^{(2)}(0) \right) \\
 &\quad \left. - \lambda_3 \bar{\beta}^* \left(\beta W_{11}^{(2)}(-1) + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{2} W_{20}^{(2)}(-1) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2} W_{20}^{(3)}(0) e^{i\omega_0 \tau_n} \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. + \alpha W_{11}^{(3)}(0) e^{-i\omega_0 \tau_n} \right) \left. + \dots \right\}. \tag{55}
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients with (53), we obtain

$$g_{20} = 2\bar{D}\tau_n \left[\alpha (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) (\lambda_1 + \beta \lambda_2) - \lambda_3 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\beta}^* e^{-i\omega_0 \tau_n} \right],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{11} &= 2\bar{D}\tau_n \left[\lambda_1 \operatorname{Re} \{ \alpha \} (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) + \lambda_2 \operatorname{Re} \{ \alpha \bar{\beta} \} (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \lambda_3 \bar{\beta}^* \operatorname{Re} \{ \bar{\beta} \alpha e^{-i\omega_0 \tau_n} \} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{02} &= 2\bar{D}\tau_n \left[\lambda_1 \bar{\alpha} (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) + \lambda_2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) - \lambda_3 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \bar{\beta}^* e^{i\omega_0 \tau_n} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{21} &= 2\bar{D}\tau_n \left[\lambda_1 (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) \left(W_{11}^{(2)}(0) + \frac{1}{2} W_{20}^{(2)}(0) + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2} W_{20}^{(1)}(0) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \alpha W_{11}^{(1)}(0) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \lambda_2 (\bar{\alpha}^* - 1) \left(\alpha W_{11}^{(3)}(0) + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2} W_{20}^{(3)}(0) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{2} W_{20}^{(2)}(0) + \beta W_{11}^{(2)}(0) \right) \\
 &- \lambda_3 \bar{\beta}^* \left(\beta W_{11}^{(2)}(-1) + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{2} W_{20}^{(2)}(-1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2} W_{20}^{(3)}(0) e^{i\omega_0 \tau_n} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \alpha W_{11}^{(3)}(0) e^{-i\omega_0 \tau_n} \right) \left. \right]. \tag{56}
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to determine g_{21} , we need to compute $W_{20}(\theta)$ and $W_{11}(\theta)$. From (41) and (50), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{W} &= \dot{x}_t - \dot{z}q - \dot{\bar{z}}\bar{q} \\
 &= \begin{cases} AW - 2 \operatorname{Re} \{ \bar{q}^*(0) F_0 q(\theta) \}, & \theta \in [-1, 0), \\ AW - 2 \operatorname{Re} \{ \bar{q}^*(0) F_0 q(\theta) \} + F_0, & \theta = 0, \end{cases} \tag{57} \\
 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} AW + H(z, \bar{z}, \theta),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H(z, \bar{z}, \theta) = H_{20}(\theta) \frac{z^2}{2} + H_{11}(\theta) z\bar{z} + H_{02} \frac{\bar{z}^2}{2} + \dots \tag{58}$$

Note that, on the center manifold C_0 near to the origin,

$$\dot{W} = W_z \dot{z} + W_{\bar{z}} \dot{\bar{z}}. \tag{59}$$

Thus we obtain

$$(A - 2i\omega_0 \tau_n) W_{20}(\theta) = -H_{20}(\theta), \quad AW_{11}(\theta) = -H_{11}(\theta). \tag{60}$$

By (57), we know that, for $\theta \in [-1, 0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(z, \bar{z}, \theta) &= -\bar{q}^*(0) F_0 q(\theta) - q^*(0) \bar{F}_0 \bar{q}(\theta) \\
 &= -gq(\theta) - \bar{g}\bar{q}(\theta).
 \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Comparing the coefficients with (58), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{20}(\theta) &= -g_{20}q(\theta) - \bar{g}_{02}\bar{q}(\theta), \\
 H_{11}(\theta) &= -g_{11}q(\theta) - \bar{g}_{11}\bar{q}(\theta).
 \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

From (60), (62), and the definition of A , we have

$$\dot{W}_{20}(\theta) = 2i\omega_0 \tau_n W_{20}(\theta) + g_{20}q(\theta) + \bar{g}_{02}\bar{q}(\theta). \tag{63}$$

Noticing $q(\theta) = q(0)e^{i\theta\omega_0\tau_n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_{20}(\theta) &= \frac{ig_{20}}{\tau_n \omega_0} q(0) e^{i\tau_n \omega_0 \theta} + \frac{i\bar{g}_{02}}{3\tau_n \omega_0} \bar{q}(0) e^{-i\tau_n \omega_0 \theta} + E_1 e^{2i\tau_n \omega_0 \theta},
 \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where $E_1 = (E_1^{(1)}, E_1^{(2)}, E_1^{(3)}) \in R^3$ is a constant vector. Similarly, we can have

$$W_{11}(\theta) = -\frac{i\bar{g}_{11}}{\tau_n w_0} q(0) e^{i\tau_n w_0 \theta} + \frac{i\bar{g}_{11}}{\tau_n w_0} \bar{q}(0) e^{-i\tau_n w_0 \theta} + E_2, \tag{65}$$

where $E_2 = (E_2^{(1)}, E_2^{(2)}, E_2^{(3)}) \in R^3$ is a constant vector.

In the following, we will find out E_1 and E_2 . From the definition of A and (60), we can obtain

$$\int_{-1}^0 d\eta(\theta) W_{20}(\theta) = 2i w_0 \tau_n W_{20}(0) - H_{20}(0), \tag{66}$$

$$\int_{-1}^0 d\eta(\theta) W_{11}(\theta) = -H_{11}(0), \tag{67}$$

where $\eta(\theta) = \eta(0, \theta)$. From (57) and (58), we have

$$H_{20}(0) = -g_{20}q(0) - \bar{g}_{02}\bar{q}(0) + 2\tau_n \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_1\alpha - \lambda_2\alpha\beta \\ \lambda_1\alpha + \lambda_2\alpha\beta \\ -\lambda_3\alpha\beta e^{-i w_0 \tau_n} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{68}$$

$$H_{11}(0) = -g_{11}q(0) - \bar{g}_{11}\bar{q}(0) + 2\tau_n \begin{pmatrix} -2\lambda_1 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) - 2\lambda_2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha\bar{\beta}) \\ 2\lambda_1 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + 2\lambda_2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha\bar{\beta}) \\ -2\lambda_3 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha\bar{\beta}e^{-i w_0 \tau_n}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{69}$$

Substituting (68) into (66) and noticing that

$$\left(i w_0 \tau_n I - \int_{-1}^0 e^{i\theta w_0 \tau_n} d\eta(\theta) \right) q(0) = 0, \tag{70}$$

$$\left(-i w_0 \tau_n I - \int_{-1}^0 e^{-i\theta w_0 \tau_n} d\eta(\theta) \right) \bar{q}(0) = 0,$$

we then obtain

$$\left(2i\tau_n w_0 I - \int_{-1}^0 e^{2i\theta\tau_n w_0} d\eta(\theta) \right) E_1 = 2\tau_n \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_1\alpha - \lambda_2\alpha\beta \\ \lambda_1\alpha + \lambda_2\alpha\beta \\ -\lambda_3\alpha\beta e^{-i w_0 \tau_n} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{71}$$

which is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2i w_0 + l_1 & l_2 & l_3 \\ -l_1 & 2i w_0 - m_2 & -m_3 \\ 0 & n_2 e^{-2i w_0 \tau_n} & 2i w_0 + n_3 \end{pmatrix} E_1 = 2 \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_1\alpha - \lambda_2\alpha\beta \\ \lambda_1\alpha + \lambda_2\alpha\beta \\ -\lambda_3\alpha\beta e^{-i w_0 \tau_n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{72}$$

Solving the equation, we get

$$E_1^{(1)} = \frac{2}{R} \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda_1\alpha - \lambda_2\alpha\beta & l_2 & l_3 \\ \lambda_1\alpha + \lambda_2\alpha\beta & 2i w_0 - m_2 & -m_3 \\ -\lambda_3\alpha\beta e^{-i w_0 \tau_n} & n_2 e^{-2i w_0 \tau_n} & 2i w_0 + n_3 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$E_1^{(2)} = \frac{2}{R} \begin{vmatrix} 2i w_0 + l_1 & -\lambda_1\alpha - \lambda_2\alpha\beta & l_3 \\ -l_1 & \lambda_1\alpha + \lambda_2\alpha\beta & -m_3 \\ 0 & -\lambda_3\alpha\beta e^{-i w_0 \tau_n} & 2i w_0 + n_3 \end{vmatrix}, \tag{73}$$

$$E_1^{(3)} = \frac{2}{R} \begin{vmatrix} 2i w_0 + l_1 & l_2 & -\lambda_1\alpha - \lambda_2\alpha\beta \\ -l_1 & 2i w_0 - m_2 & \lambda_1\alpha + \lambda_2\alpha\beta \\ 0 & n_2 e^{-2i w_0 \tau_n} & -\lambda_3\alpha\beta e^{-i w_0 \tau_n} \end{vmatrix},$$

where

$$R = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda_1\alpha - \lambda_2\alpha\beta & l_2 & l_3 \\ \lambda_1\alpha + \lambda_2\alpha\beta & 2i w_0 - m_2 & -m_3 \\ -\lambda_3\alpha\beta e^{-i w_0 \tau_n} & n_2 e^{-2i w_0 \tau_n} & 2i w_0 + n_3 \end{vmatrix}. \tag{74}$$

Similarly, we can get E_2 :

$$\begin{pmatrix} -l_1 & -l_2 & -l_3 \\ l_1 & m_2 & m_3 \\ 0 & -n_2 & -n_3 \end{pmatrix} E_2 = 4 \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + \lambda_2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha\bar{\beta}) \\ -\lambda_1 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) - \lambda_2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha\bar{\beta}) \\ \lambda_3 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\alpha}\beta e^{i w_0 \tau_n}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$E_2^{(1)} = \frac{4}{S} \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + \lambda_2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha\bar{\beta}) & -l_2 & -l_3 \\ -\lambda_1 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) - \lambda_2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha\bar{\beta}) & m_2 & m_3 \\ \lambda_3 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\alpha}\beta e^{i w_0 \tau_n}) & -n_2 & -n_3 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$E_2^{(2)} = \frac{4}{S} \begin{vmatrix} -l_1 & \lambda_1 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + \lambda_2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha\bar{\beta}) & -l_3 \\ l_1 & -\lambda_1 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) - \lambda_2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha\bar{\beta}) & m_3 \\ 0 & \lambda_3 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\alpha}\beta e^{i w_0 \tau_n}) & -n_3 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$E_2^{(3)} = \frac{4}{S} \begin{vmatrix} -l_1 & -l_2 & \lambda_1 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + \lambda_2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha\bar{\beta}) \\ l_1 & m_2 & -\lambda_1 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) - \lambda_2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha\bar{\beta}) \\ 0 & -n_2 & \lambda_3 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\alpha}\beta e^{i w_0 \tau_n}) \end{vmatrix}, \tag{75}$$

where

$$S = \begin{vmatrix} -l_1 & -l_2 & -l_3 \\ l_1 & m_2 & m_3 \\ 0 & -n_2 & -n_3 \end{vmatrix}. \tag{76}$$

Therefore, all g_{ij} have been expressed in terms of parameters. And we can compute the following values:

$$c_1(0) = \frac{i}{2\tau_n w_0} \left(g_{11}g_{20} - 2|g_{11}|^2 - \frac{|g_{02}|^2}{3} \right) + \frac{g_{21}}{2},$$

$$\mu_2 = -\frac{\operatorname{Re}\{c_1(0)\}}{\operatorname{Re}\{\lambda'(\tau_n)\}}, \tag{77}$$

$$\beta_2 = 2 \operatorname{Re}\{c_1(0)\},$$

$$T_2 = -\frac{\operatorname{Im}\{c_1(0)\} + \mu_2 \operatorname{Im}\lambda'(\tau_n)}{\tau_n w_0},$$

which determine the quantities of bifurcating periodic solutions in the center manifold at the critical value τ_n ; μ_2 determines the direction of the Hopf bifurcation. If $\mu_2 > 0$ ($\mu_2 < 0$), then the Hopf bifurcation is supercritical (subcritical); β_2 determines the stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions: the periodic solutions are stable (unstable) if $\beta_2 < 0$ ($\beta_2 > 0$); T_2 determines the period of the bifurcating solutions: the periodic increases (decreases) if $T_2 > 0$ (< 0).

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] R. Ross, *The Prevention of Malaria*, Murry, London, UK, 1911.
- [2] H.-M. Wei, X.-Z. Li, and M. Martcheva, "An epidemic model of a vector-borne disease with direct transmission and time delay," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 342, no. 2, pp. 895–908, 2008.
- [3] G. Macdonald, *The Epidemiology and Control of Malaria*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1957.
- [4] H. Ishikawa, A. Ishii, N. Nagai et al., "A mathematical model for the transmission of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria," *Parasitology International*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 81–93, 2003.
- [5] J. L. Aron, "Acquired immunity dependent upon exposure in an SIRS epidemic model," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 37–47, 1988.
- [6] J. L. Aron, "Mathematical modeling of immunity to malaria," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 90, no. 1-2, pp. 385–396, 1988.
- [7] Y. Chen and C. Song, "Stability and Hopf bifurcation analysis in a prey-predator system with stage-structure for prey and time delay," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 1104–1114, 2008.
- [8] J. Hale and S. Lunel, *Introduction to Functional Differential Equations*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- [9] T. D. Murray, *Mathematical Biology*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1989.
- [10] H.-Y. Yang and Y.-P. Tian, "Hopf bifurcation in REM algorithm with communication delay," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1093–1105, 2005.
- [11] Y. Song and J. Wei, "Bifurcation analysis for Chen's system with delayed feedback and its application to control of chaos," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 75–91, 2004.
- [12] B. Hassard, D. Kazarinoff, and Y. H. Wan, *Theory and Application of Hopf Bifurcation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1981.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

