

Hindawi Publishing Corporation  
Mathematical Problems in Engineering  
Volume 2012, Article ID 658052, 7 pages  
doi:10.1155/2012/658052

## Research Article

# Existence of Global Attractors for the Nonlinear Plate Equation with Memory Term

**Lifang Niu and Jianwen Zhang**

*School of Mathematics, Taiyuan University of Technology, Yingze 79 West Street, Shanxi, Taiyuan 030024, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Jianwen Zhang, [niulifang@tyut.edu.cn](mailto:niulifang@tyut.edu.cn)

Received 21 July 2012; Accepted 6 September 2012

Academic Editor: Sebastian Anita

Copyright © 2012 L. Niu and J. Zhang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A two-dimensional nonlinear plate equation is revisited, which arises from the model of the viscoelastic thin rectangular plate with four edges supported. We establish that the system is exponentially decayed if the memory kernel satisfies the condition of the exponential decay. Furthermore, we show the existence of the global attractor by verifying the condition (C).

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the nonlinear plate equation with memory type:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho u_{tt} + r_1 r_2 u_t + \phi(0) \Delta^2 u - (N_1 + \beta |u_x|^2) u_{xx} - (N_2 + \beta |u_y|^2) u_{yy} \\ + \int_0^\infty \phi'(s) \Delta^2 u(t-s) ds = r_1 f(x, y), \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

verifying the initial conditions:

$$u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y, t) \quad (1.2)$$

and the boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, y, t) = u(1, y, t) = u(x, 0, t) = u(x, 1, t) = 0, \\ u_{xx}(0, y, t) = u_{xx}(1, y, t) = u_{yy}(x, 0, t) = u_{yy}(x, 1, t), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ ,  $t \in R$ ,  $\eta, r_1, r_2, N_1, N_2, \beta$  are nonnegative constants,  $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  is a bounded domain with boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\phi(0), \phi(\infty) > 0$  and  $\phi'(s) < 0$  for every  $s \in R^+$ .

The asymptotical behavior of solutions for the nonlinear plate equations had been studied by many authors [1–10]; of those, Santos and Junior [5] studied a kind of plate equation with memory type. Yang and Zhong [11] studies the plate equation:

$$u_{tt} + \alpha(x)g(u_t) + \Delta^2 u + \lambda u + f(u) = h(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\Omega \subset R^n$  is a bounded domain and proves the existence of a global attractor in the space  $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ . After Yang and Zhong [11], Yue and Zhong [12] obtained the existence of a global attractor about some equations similar to (1.4). Xiao [13] discusses the long-time behavior of the plate equation:

$$\varepsilon u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u + \lambda u_t + \beta(x)u = f(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega = R^n, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (1.5)$$

on the unbounded domain  $R^n$  and show that there exists a compact global attractor for the above equation satisfying certain initial-boundary data. Wang and Zhang [14] prove that the two-dimensional nonlinear equation

$$\rho u_{tt} + D\Delta^2 u + \varepsilon \mu u_t - \left( N_1 + \frac{T}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx dy \right) u_{xx} - \left( N_2 + \frac{T}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_y^2 dx dy \right) u_{yy} = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

has a global attractor in space  $(H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega))$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

We denote by  $H = L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $V = H_0^2(\Omega)$  endowed with the scalar product and the norm on  $H$  and  $V(\cdot, \cdot), |\cdot|, ((\cdot, \cdot)), \|\cdot\|$ , respectively, where  $(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x, y)v(x, y)dx dy$ ,  $((u, v)) = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, y)\Delta v(x, y)dx dy$ . Define  $D(A) = \{v \in V, Av \in H\}$ , where  $A = \Delta^2$ . For the operator  $A$ , we assume that  $A : D(A) \rightarrow H$  are isomorphism, and there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $(Au, u) \geq \alpha\|u\|^2$ , for all  $u \in V$ . We also define the power  $A^s$  of  $A$  for  $s \in R$  which operates on the spaces  $D(A^s)$ , and we write  $V_{2s} = D(A^s)$ ,  $s \in R$ . This is a Hilbert space with the inner product and norm defined

$$(u, v)_{2s} = (A^s u, A^s v), \quad \|u\|_{2s} = ((u, v)_{2s})^{1/2}, \quad \forall u, v \in D(A^s), \quad (2.1)$$

and  $A^r$  is an isomorphism from  $D(A^s)$  onto  $D(A^{s-r})$ , for all  $s, r \in R$ . In particular,  $D(A^0) = H$ ,  $D(A^{1/2}) = V$ ,  $D(A^{-1/2}) = V^*$ ,  $D(A) \subset V \subset H = H^* \subset V^*$ , where  $H^*, V^*$  are the dual space, respectively, and each space is dense in the following one and the injections are continuous. Using the Poincaré inequality we have

$$\|v\| \geq \lambda_1 |v|, \quad \forall v \in V, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\lambda_1$  denotes the first eigenvalue of  $A^{1/2}$ .

Let  $\mu(s) = -\phi'(s)$ ,  $\phi(\infty) = 1$  and assume that the memory kernel  $\mu$  is required to satisfy the following assumptions:

$$(h_1) \mu \in C^1(R^+) \cap L^1(R^+), \mu'(s) \leq 0, \text{ for all } s \in R^+;$$

$$(h_2) \int_0^\infty \mu(s) ds = M > 0;$$

$$(h_3) \mu'(s) + \alpha\mu(s) \leq 0, \text{ for all } s \in R^+, \alpha > 0.$$

In view of  $h_1$ , let  $L_\mu^2(R^+, H_0^2)$  be the Hilbert space of  $H_0^2$ -valued functions on  $R^+$ , endowed with the following inner product and the norm:

$$(\phi, \psi)_{\mu, V} = \int_0^\infty \mu(s) (\Delta\phi(s), \Delta\psi(s)) ds \quad (2.3)$$

and  $\|\phi\|_{\mu, V}^2 = (\phi, \phi)_{\mu, V} = \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \|\phi\|^2 ds$ . Finally, we introduce the following Hilbert spaces:

$$H_0 = V \times H \times L_\mu^2(R^+, V), \quad H_1 = D(A) \times V \times L_\mu^2(R^+, D(A)). \quad (2.4)$$

We define

$$\eta^t(x, y, s) = u(x, y, t) - u(x, y, t - s) \hat{=} \eta(x, y, s), \quad (2.5)$$

and (1.1) is transformed into the system

$$\begin{aligned} \rho u_{tt} + r_1 r_2 u_t + \Delta^2 u - (N_1 + \beta|u_x|^2) u_{xx} - (N_2 + \beta|u_y|^2) u_{yy} \\ + \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \Delta^2 \eta ds = r_1 f(x, y), \quad (2.6) \\ \eta_t^t + \eta_s^t = u_t, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equation is obtained by differentiating (2.5). The corresponding initial-boundary value conditions are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, y, t) = u(1, y, t) = u(x, 0, t) = u(x, 1, t) = 0, \\ u_{xx}(0, y, t) = u_{xx}(1, y, t) = u_{yy}(x, 0, t) = u_{yy}(x, 1, t), \\ \eta^t(0, y, s) = \eta^t(1, y, s) = \eta^t(x, 0, s) = \eta^t(x, 1, s) = 0, \\ \eta_{xx}^t(0, y, s) = \eta_{xx}^t(1, y, s) = \eta_{yy}^t(x, 0, s) = \eta_{yy}^t(x, 1, s) = 0, \\ u(x, y, 0) = u_1(x, y), \quad u_t(x, y, 0) = u_2(x, y), \\ \eta^0(x, y, s) = u_0(x, y, 0) - u_0(x, y, -s), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where  $u_1(x, y) = u_0(x, y, t)$ ,  $u_2(x, y) = \partial_t u_0(x, y, t)|_{t=0}$ ,  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $s \in R^+$ .

According to the classical Faedo-Galerkin method it is easy to obtain the existence and uniqueness of solutions and the continuous dependence to the initial value, so we omit it and only give the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** (see [15, 16]). *Let  $(h_1)$  hold and  $f(x, y) \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Then given any time interval  $I = [0, 1]$ , problems (2.6)-(2.7) have a unique solution  $(u, u_t, \eta)$  in  $I$  with initial data  $(u_1, u_2, \eta_0) \in H_0$ , and the mapping  $(u_1, u_2, \eta_0) \rightarrow (u(t), u_t(t), \eta_s^t)$  is continuous in  $H_0$ .*

Thus, it admits to define a  $C^0$  semigroup

$$S(t) : \{u_1, u_2, \eta_0\} \longrightarrow \{u(t), u_t(t), \eta_s^t\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (2.8)$$

and they map  $H_0$  into themselves.

In addition, the following abstract results will be used in our consideration.

**Theorem 2.2** (see [17]). *A  $C^0$  semigroup  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  in a Banach space  $X$  is said to satisfy condition (C) which arised by [17] if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for any bounded set  $B$  of  $X$ , there exists  $t(B) > 0$  and a finite dimensional subspace  $X_1$  of  $X$ , such that  $\{\|PS(t)x\|_X, x \in B, t \geq t(B)\}$  is bounded and*

$$\|(I - P)S(t)x\|_X <_X \varepsilon, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $P : X \rightarrow X_1$  is a bounded projector.

**Lemma 2.3** (see [17]). *Let  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C^0$  semigroup in a Hilbert space  $M$ . Then  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  has a global attractor if and only if*

- (1)  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies the condition C;
- (2) there exists a bounded absorbing subset  $B$  of  $M$ .

### 3. Global Attractor $\Lambda$ in $H_0$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume  $(h_1)$ – $(h_3)$  hold. Then the ball of  $H_0$ ,  $B_0 = B_{H_0}(0, R_1)$ , centered at 0 with  $R_1 = \sqrt{c/c_0\rho_0}$ , is a bounded absorbing set in  $H_0$  for the semigroup  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ .*

*Proof.* We fixed  $\delta$  and take  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ , where  $\delta_0 = \min(r_1 r_2 / 4\rho, \lambda_1^2 / 2r_1 r_2)$ .

First, taking the inner product of the first equation of (2.6) with  $v = u_t + \delta u$ , after computation we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \rho |v|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + N_1 |u_x|^2 + N_2 |u_y|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} |u_x|^4 + \frac{\beta}{2} |u_y|^4 \right\} \\ & + I_1 + N_1 \delta |u_x|^2 + N_2 \delta |u_y|^2 + \beta \delta |u_x|^4 + \beta \delta |u_y|^4 + (\eta, v)_{\mu, V} = r_1 (f, v), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $I_1 = -\delta \rho |v|^2 + r_1 r_2 |v|^2 + \delta |\Delta u|^2 - (r_1 r_2 \delta - \rho \delta^2)(u, v)$ , and we can easily obtain

$$I_1 \geq \frac{\delta}{2} |\Delta u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} r_1 r_2 |v|^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Combining with the second equation of (2.6) we have

$$(\eta, v)_{\mu, V} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\eta|_{\mu, V}^2 + (\eta, \eta_s)_{\mu, V} + \delta (\eta, v)_{\mu, V}. \quad (3.3)$$

According to  $(h_2)$ – $(h_3)$ , we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta, \eta_s)_{\mu, V} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \frac{d}{ds} |\Delta \eta^t(s)|^2 ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mu'(s) \frac{d}{ds} |\Delta \eta^t(s)|^2 ds \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} |\eta|_{\mu, V}^2, \\ \delta (\eta, v)_{\mu, V} &= \delta \int_0^\infty \mu(s) (\Delta \eta^t(s), \Delta u) ds \\ &\geq -\delta \left( \int_0^\infty \mu(s) |\Delta \eta^t(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left( \int_0^\infty \mu(s) |\Delta u|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq -\frac{\alpha}{4} \int_0^\infty \mu(s) ds - \frac{\delta^2}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty \mu(s) |\Delta u|^2 ds \\ &\geq -\frac{\alpha}{4} |\eta|_{\mu, v}^2 - \frac{M\delta^2}{\alpha} |\Delta u|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Integrating with (3.4), from (3.3), entails

$$(\eta, v)_{\mu, V} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\eta|_{\mu, v}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{4} |\eta|_{\mu, v}^2 - \frac{M\delta^2}{\alpha} |\Delta u|^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Write  $E(t) = \{\rho|v|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + N_1|u_x|^2 + N_2|u_y|^2 + (\beta/2)|u_x|^4 + (\beta/2)|u_y|^4 + |\eta|_{\mu, V}^2\}$ , from (2.7), (3.2), and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E(t) + \delta \left( 1 - \frac{2M\delta}{\alpha} \right) |\Delta u|^2 + \frac{r_1 r_2}{2} |v|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} |\eta|_{\mu, V}^2 \\ + 2\delta N_1 |u_x|^2 + 2\delta N_2 |u_y|^2 + \delta \beta |u_x|^4 + \delta \beta |u_y|^4 \leq \frac{2r_1}{r_2} |f|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Take  $\delta$  small enough, such that  $1 - (2M\delta/\alpha) > 1/2$ . Write  $c = (2r_1/r_2)|f|^2$ ,  $c_0 = \min\{\delta/2, r_1 r_2/2\rho, \alpha/2\}$ , thus in line with (3.6), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) + c_0 E(t) \leq C. \quad (3.7)$$

By the Gronwall Lemma, we conclude

$$E(t) \leq E(0) \exp(-c_0 t) + \frac{C}{c_0} (1 - \exp(-c_0 t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Due to (3.8), write  $\rho_0 = \min(\rho, 1)$ , we have

$$|\Delta u|^2 + |v|^2 + |\eta|_{\mu, V}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\rho_0} E(0) \exp(-c_0 t) + \frac{c}{c_0 \rho_0} (1 - \exp(-c_0 t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Write  $R_1 = \sqrt{c/c_0 \rho_0}$ , we end up with

$$\limsup \left\{ \|u\|^2 + |v|^2 + |\eta|_{\mu, V}^2 \right\} \leq R_1^2, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.10)$$

□

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  and conditions  $(h_1)$ – $(h_3)$  are hold. Then the solution semigroup  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  associated with system (2.6) and (2.7) has a global attractor  $\Lambda$  in  $H_0$ , and it attracts all bounded subsets of  $H_0$ , in the norm of  $H_0$ .*

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 2.3, we only to prove that the condition (C) holds in  $H_0$ .

Let  $\widetilde{w}_i$  be an orthonormal basis of  $D(A)$  which consists of eigenvectors of  $A$ . It is also an orthonormal basis of  $H, V$ , respectively. The corresponding eigenvalues are denoted by

$$0 < \widetilde{\lambda}_1 < \widetilde{\lambda}_2 < \widetilde{\lambda}_3 < \cdots, \quad \widetilde{\lambda}_i \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.11)$$

with  $A\widetilde{w}_i = \widetilde{\lambda}_i \widetilde{w}_i$ , for all  $i \in N$ . We write  $H_m = \text{span}\{\widetilde{w}_1, \widetilde{w}_2, \dots, \widetilde{w}_m\}$ . For any  $(u, u_t, \eta) \in H_0$ , we decompose that  $(u, u_t, \eta) = (u_1, u_{1t}, \eta_1) + (u_2, u_{2t}, \eta_2)$ , where  $(u_1, u_{1t}, \eta_1) = (P_m u, P_m u_t, P_m \eta)$ , and  $P_m : H \rightarrow H_m$  is the orthogonal projector. Since  $f \in H$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $m$ , such that

$$|(I - P_m)f| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \quad (3.12)$$

Taking the scalar product of the first equation of (2.6) in  $H$  with  $v_2 = u_{2t} + Au_2$ , combining with the second equation and using the same way with Theorem 3.1, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \widetilde{E}(t) + C_0 \widetilde{E}(t) \leq \frac{2r_1}{r_2} |f|^2 \leq \frac{r_1 \varepsilon^2}{8r_2}, \quad (3.13)$$

where  $\widetilde{E}(t) = \{\rho |v_2|^2 + |\Delta u_2|^2 + N_1 |u_{2x}|^2 + N_2 |u_{2y}|^2 + (\beta/2) |u_{2x}|^4 + (\beta/2) |u_{2y}|^4 + |\eta_2|_{\mu, V}^2\}$ . According to Theorem 3.1, some  $M_0$  and  $t_0$  exist, for any  $t \geq t_0$ , such that  $E(t_0) \leq M_0$ . By the Gronwall Lemma, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{E}(t) &\leq E(t_0) \exp(-c_0(t - t_0)) + \frac{r_1 \varepsilon^2}{8c_0 r_2} \\ &\leq M_0 \exp(-c_0(t - t_0)) + \frac{r_1 \varepsilon^2}{8c_0 r_2}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Take  $t_1$  large enough, such that  $t_1 - t_0 \geq (1/c_0) \ln M_0/\varepsilon^2$ , so we conclude

$$|v_2|^2 + |\Delta u_2|^2 + |\eta_2|_{\mu,V}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\rho_0} \left(1 + \frac{r_1}{8c_0 r_2}\right) \varepsilon^2, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0. \quad (3.15)$$




Thus  $S\{t\}_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies the condition (C).  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

Project supported by the Nature Science Foundation of Shanxi province (Grant no. 200611005) and Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 10772131).

## References

- [1] J. E. M. Rivera and L. H. Fatori, "Smoothing effect and propagations of singularities for viscoelastic plates," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 206, no. 2, pp. 397–427, 1997.
- [2] A. Boutet de Monvel and I. Chueshov, "Uniqueness theorem for weak solutions of von Karman evolution equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 221, no. 2, pp. 419–429, 1998.
- [3] T. J. Healey and H. Kielhöfer, "Free nonlinear vibrations for a class of two-dimensional plate equations: standing and discrete-rotating waves," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 501–531, 1997.
- [4] T. J. Healey, H. Kielhöfer, and E. L. Montes-Pizarro, "Free nonlinear vibrations for plate equations on the equilateral triangle," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 575–599, 2001.
- [5] M. L. Santos and F. Junior, "A boundary condition with memory for Kirchhoff plates equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 148, no. 2, pp. 475–496, 2004.
- [6] J. E. M. Rivera, H. P. Oquendo, and M. L. Santos, "Asymptotic behavior to a von Kármán plate with boundary memory conditions," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 1183–1205, 2005.
- [7] J. Y. Park, Y. H. Kang, and S. H. Park, "Adaptive stabilization of a von Karman plate equation with a boundary output feedback control," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 1742–1754, 2009.
- [8] L. Tebou, "Well-posedness and stability of a hinged plate equation with a localized nonlinear structural damping," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 12, pp. e2288–e2297, 2009.
- [9] J.-Y. Park and J.-R. Kang, "A boundary condition with memory for the Kirchhoff plate equations with non-linear dissipation," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 267–280, 2006.
- [10] Ö. Civalek, "Nonlinear analysis of thin rectangular plates on Winkler-Pasternak elastic foundations by DSC-HDQ methods," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 606–624, 2007.
- [11] L. Yang and C.-K. Zhong, "Global attractor for plate equation with nonlinear damping," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 69, no. 11, pp. 3802–3810, 2008.
- [12] G. Yue and C. Zhong, "Global attractors for plate equations with critical exponent in locally uniform spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 9, pp. 4105–4114, 2009.
- [13] H. Xiao, "Asymptotic dynamics of plate equations with a critical exponent on unbounded domain," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 1288–1301, 2009.
- [14] D. Wang and J. Zhang, "Global attractor for a nonlinear plate equation with supported boundary conditions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 363, no. 2, pp. 468–480, 2010.
- [15] V. Pata and A. Zucchi, "Attractors for a damped hyperbolic equation with linear memory [J]," *Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, vol. 11, pp. 505–529, 2001.
- [16] R. Temam, *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, vol. 68 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1997.
- [17] Q. Ma, S. Wang, and C. Zhong, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of global attractors for semigroups and applications," *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 1541–1559, 2002.



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

