

## Research Article

# Global Existence and Large Time Behavior of Solutions to the Bipolar Nonisentropic Euler-Poisson Equations

Min Chen,<sup>1</sup> Yiyou Wang,<sup>2</sup> and Yeping Li<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Hubei University of Science and Technology, Xianning 437100, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yeping Li; ypleemei@gmail.com

Received 6 November 2013; Accepted 22 December 2013; Published 27 January 2014

Academic Editor: Rita Tracina

Copyright © 2014 Min Chen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the one-dimensional bipolar nonisentropic Euler-Poisson equations which can model various physical phenomena, such as the propagation of electron and hole in submicron semiconductor devices, the propagation of positive ion and negative ion in plasmas, and the biological transport of ions for channel proteins. We show the existence and large time behavior of global smooth solutions for the initial value problem, when the difference of two particles' initial mass is nonzero, and the far field of two particles' initial temperatures is not the ambient device temperature. This result improves that of Y.-P. Li, for the case that the difference of two particles' initial mass is zero, and the far field of the initial temperature is the ambient device temperature.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the following 1D bipolar nonisentropic Euler-Poisson equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 n_{1t} + j_{1x} &= 0, \\
 j_{1t} + \left(\frac{j_1^2}{n_1}\right)_x + (n_1 T_1)_x &= n_1 E - j_1, \\
 T_{1t} + \frac{j_1}{n_1} T_{1x} + \frac{2}{3} T_1 \left(\frac{j_1}{n_1}\right)_x - \frac{2}{3n_1} T_{1xx} &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{j_1}{n_1}\right)^2 - (T_1 - T^*), \\
 n_{2t} + j_{2x} &= 0, \\
 j_{2t} + \left(\frac{j_2^2}{n_2}\right)_x + (n_2 T_2)_x &= -n_2 E - j_2, \\
 T_{2t} + \frac{j_2}{n_2} T_{2x} + \frac{2}{3} T_2 \left(\frac{j_2}{n_2}\right)_x - \frac{2}{3n_2} T_{2xx} &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{j_2}{n_2}\right)^2 - (T_2 - T^*), \\
 E_x &= n_1 - n_2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $n_i > 0$ ,  $j_i$ ,  $T_i$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ), and  $E$  denote the particle densities, current densities, temperatures, and the electric field, respectively, and  $T^* > 0$  stands for the ambient device temperature. The system (1) models various physical phenomena, such as the propagation of electron and hole in submicron semiconductor devices, the propagation of positive ion and negative ion in plasmas, and the biological transport of ions for channel proteins. When the temperature  $T_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is the function of the density  $n_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), the system (1) reduces to the isentropic bipolar Euler-Poisson equations. For more details on the bipolar isentropic and nonisentropic Euler-Poisson equations (hydrodynamic models), we can see [1–3] and so forth.

Due to their physical importance, mathematical complexity, and wide range of applications, many results concerning the existence and uniqueness of (weak, strong, or smooth) solutions for the bipolar Euler-Poisson equations can be found in [4–14] and the references cited therein. However, the study of the corresponding nonisentropic bipolar Euler-Poisson equation is very limited in the literature. In [15] Li investigated the global existence and nonlinear diffusive waves of smooth solutions for the initial value problem of the one-dimensional nonisentropic bipolar hydrodynamic model when the difference of two particles' initial mass is

zero, and the far field of two particles' initial temperatures is the ambient device temperature. We also mention that there are some results about the relaxation limit and quasineutral limit of the bipolar Euler-Poisson system see [16–19]. In this paper, we will show the existence and large time behavior of global smooth solutions for the initial value problem of (1), when the difference of two particles' initial mass is nonzero and the far field of the initial temperatures is not the ambient device temperature. We now prescribe the following initial data:

$$\begin{aligned} (n_i, j_i, T_i)(x, t = 0) &= (n_{i0}, j_{i0}, T_{i0})(x), \\ n_{i0} &> 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} (n_{i0}, j_{i0}, T_{i0})(x) &= (n_{\pm}, j_{\pm}, T_{\pm}), \\ n_{\pm} &> 0, T_{\pm} > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and  $(n_{\pm}, j_{\pm}, T_{\pm})$  are the state constants. We also give the electric field as  $x = -\infty$ ; that is,

$$E(-\infty, t) = 0. \tag{3}$$

The nonlinear diffusive phenomena both in smooth and weak senses were also observed for the bipolar isentropic and nonisentropic by Gasser et al. [4], Huang and Li [5], and Li [15], respectively. Namely, according to the Darcy's law, it is expected that the solutions  $(n_1, j_1, T_1, n_2, j_2, T_2, E)(x, t)$  converge in  $L^\infty$ -sense to  $(\bar{n}, \bar{j}, T^*, \bar{n}, \bar{j}, T^*, 0)(x, t)$ ; here  $(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) = (\bar{n}, \bar{j})((x+x_0)/\sqrt{1+t})$  ( $x_0$  is a shift constants) is the nonlinear diffusion waves, which is self-similar solutions to the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{n}_t - (\bar{n}T^*)_{xx} &= 0, \\ \bar{j} &:= -(\bar{n}T^*)_x, \\ (\bar{n}, \bar{j}) &\longrightarrow (n_{\pm}, 0), \quad \text{as } x \longrightarrow \pm\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Note that in [15], the author assumed that

$$j_{i+} = j_{i-}, \quad T_{i\pm} = T^*, \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{5}$$

which lead to the difference of two particles' initial mass to be zero; that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} [n_{10}(x) - n_{20}(x)] dx = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{6}$$

This implies, from the last equation of (1), that

$$E(+\infty, t) - E(-\infty, t) = 0. \tag{7}$$

In this paper, we try to drop off these too stiff conditions. That is,  $j_{i+} \neq j_{i-}, T_{i\pm} \neq T^*$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Moreover, for stating our results, set for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi_{i0}, \psi_{i0}, \theta_{i0})(x) \\ &= \left( \int_{-\infty}^x [n_{i0}(\xi) - \hat{n}_i(\xi, t) - \bar{n}(\xi + x_0, t = 0)] d\xi, \right. \\ &\quad \left. j_{i0}(x) - \hat{j}_{i0}(x) - \bar{j}(x + x_0, t = 0), T_{i0}(x) - \hat{T}_{i0}(x) - T^* \right), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where  $(\hat{n}_1, \hat{j}_1, \hat{T}_1, \hat{n}_2, \hat{j}_2, \hat{T}_2, \hat{E})$  are the gap functions (or say correction functions) which will be given in Section 2, and  $(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) = (\bar{n}, \bar{j})(x + x_0, t)$  are the shifted diffusion waves with  $x_0 := (1/(n_+ - n_-)) \int_{\mathbb{R}} [n_{i0}(x) - \hat{n}_{i0}(x) - \bar{n}(x)] dx$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Throughout this paper, the diffusion waves are always denoted by  $(\bar{n}, \bar{j})(x/\sqrt{1+t})$ .  $C$  denotes the generic positive constant.  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) denotes the space of measurable functions whose  $p$ -powers are integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$ , with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\cdot|^p dx)^{1/p}$ , and  $L^\infty$  is the space of bounded measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty} = \text{esssup}_x |\cdot|$ . Without confusion, we also denote the norm of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  by  $\|\cdot\|$  for brevity.  $H^k(\mathbb{R})$  ( $H^k$  without any ambiguity) denotes the usual Sobolev space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_k$ , especially  $\|\cdot\|_0 = \|\cdot\|$ .

Now we state our main results as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(\phi_{i0}, \psi_{i0}, \theta_{i0})(i = 1, 2) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}) \times H^2(\mathbb{R}) \times H^3(\mathbb{R})$ , and set  $\delta := |j_{1+}| + |j_{1-}| + |j_{2+}| + |j_{2-}| + |T_{1+} - T^*| + |T_{1-} - T^*| + |T_{2+} - T^*| + |T_{2-} - T^*| + |n_+ - n_-|$  and  $\Phi_0 := \|(\phi_{10}, \phi_{20})\|_3 + \|(\psi_{10}, \psi_{20})\|_2 + \|(\theta_{10}, \theta_{20})\|_3$ . Then, there is a  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that if  $\Phi_0 + \delta \leq \delta_0$  the solutions  $(n_1, n_2, j_1, j_2, \theta_1, \theta_2, E)$  of IVP (1)–(3) uniquely and globally exist and satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 - \hat{n}_1 - \bar{n}, n_2 - \hat{n}_2 - \bar{n} &\in C([0, +\infty), H^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0, +\infty), H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ j_1 - \hat{j}_1 - \bar{j}, j_2 - \hat{j}_2 - \bar{j} &\in C([0, +\infty), H^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0, +\infty), H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ T_1 - \hat{T}_1 - T^*, T_2 - \hat{T}_2 - T^* &\in C([0, +\infty), H^3(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0, +\infty), H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ E - \hat{E} &\in C([0, +\infty), H^3(\mathbb{R})) \\ &\cap C^1([0, +\infty), H^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^2([0, +\infty), H^1(\mathbb{R})). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^2 (1+t)^{k+1} \left\| \partial_x^k \left( n_1 - \hat{n}_1 - \bar{n}, T_1 - \hat{T}_1 - T^*, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. n_2 - \hat{n}_2 - \bar{n}, T_2 - \hat{T}_2 - T^* \right) (t) \right\|^2 \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^2 (1+t)^{k+2} \left\| \partial_x^k (j_1 - \hat{j}_1 - \bar{j}, j_2 - \hat{j}_2 - \bar{j}) (t) \right\|^2 \\ &+ (1+t)^3 \left\| \partial_x^3 (T_1 - \hat{T}_1 - T^*, T_2 - \hat{T}_2 - T^*) (t) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq C(\delta + \Phi_0), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| (n_1 - \widehat{n}_1 - n_2 + \widehat{n}_2)(t) \|_1^2 \\
 & + \| (j_1 - \widehat{j}_1 - j_2 + \widehat{j}_2)(t) \|_1^2 \\
 & + \| (T_1 - \widehat{T}_1 - T_2 + \widehat{T}_2)(t) \|_2^2 \\
 & + \| (E - \widehat{E})(t) \|_2^2 \\
 & \leq C (\Phi_0 + \delta) e^{-\alpha t},
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for some constant  $\alpha > 0$ .

*Remark 2.* It is more important and interesting that we should discuss the existence and large time behavior of global smooth solution for the bipolar nonisentropic Euler-Poisson system with the general ambient device temperature functions, instead of the constant ambient device temperature, as in [20]. Moreover, we also should consider the similar problem for the corresponding multi-dimensional bipolar non-isentropic Euler-Poisson systems. These are left for the forthcoming future.

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we make some necessary preliminaries. That is, we first give some well-known results on the diffusion waves and one key inequality will be used later; then we trickly construct the correction functions to delete the gaps between the solutions and the diffusion waves at the far field. We reformulate the original problem in terms of a perturbed variable and state local-in-time existence of classical solutions in Section 3. Section 4 is used to establish the uniformly a priori estimate and to show the global existence of smooth solutions, while we prove the algebraic convergence rate of smooth solutions in Section 5.

## 2. Some Preliminaries

In this section, we state the nonlinear diffusive wave and then construct the correction functions. First of all, we list some known results concerning the self-similar solution of the nonlinear parabolic equation (4). Let us recall that the nonlinear parabolic equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \bar{n}_t - (\bar{n}T^*)_{xx} = 0, \\
 & \bar{n} \longrightarrow n_{\pm}, \quad \text{as } x \longrightarrow \pm\infty,
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

possesses a unique self-similar solution  $\bar{n}(x, t) \triangleq \bar{n}(\xi)$ ,  $\xi = x/\sqrt{1+t}$ , which is increasing if  $n_- < n_+$  and decreasing if  $n_- > n_+$ . The corresponding Darcy law is  $\bar{j} := -(\bar{n}T^*)_x$  satisfying  $\bar{j} \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ .

**Lemma 3** (see [4, 15, 21]). *For the self-similar solution of (11), it holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\bar{n}(\xi) - n_+|_{\xi>0} + |\bar{n}(\xi) - n_-|_{\xi<0}, \\
 & \leq C |n_+ - n_-| e^{-\nu_0 \xi^2},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \partial_x^k \partial_t^l \bar{n}(x, t) \right| \\
 & \leq C |n_+ - n_-| (1+t)^{-(k+2l)/2} e^{-\nu_0 \xi^2} \quad k+l \geq 1, \quad k, l \geq 0, \\
 & \int_{-\infty}^0 |\bar{n}(x, t) - n_-|^2 dx + \int_0^{+\infty} |\bar{n}(x, t) - n_+|^2 dx \\
 & \leq C |n_+ - n_-|^2 (1+t)^{1/2}, \\
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \partial_x^k \partial_t^l \bar{n} \right|^2 dx \\
 & \leq C |n_+ - n_-|^2 (1+t)^{(1/2)-2l-k}, \quad k+l \geq 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where  $\nu_0 > 0$  is a constant.

Next, we construct the gap function, which will be used in Sections 3 and 4. First of all, motivated by [6, 22], let us look into the behaviors of the solutions to (1)–(3) at the far fields  $x = \pm\infty$ . Then we may understand how big the gaps are between the solution and the diffusion waves at the far fields. Let  $(n_i^\pm(t), j_i^\pm(t), T_i^\pm(t)) := (n_i(\pm\infty, t), j_i(\pm\infty, t), T_i(\pm\infty, t))$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $E^\pm(t) := E(\pm\infty, t)$ . From (1)<sub>1</sub> and (1)<sub>4</sub>, since  $\partial_x j_i|_{x=\pm\infty} = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , it can be easily seen that

$$n_i^\pm(t) = n_i(\pm\infty, t) \equiv n_{\pm}. \tag{13}$$

Differentiating (1)<sub>7</sub> with respect to  $t$  and applying (1)<sub>1</sub> and (1)<sub>4</sub>, we have  $E_{tx} = (n_1 - n_2)_t = -(j_1 - j_2)_x$ , which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} E^+(t) - \frac{d}{dt} E^-(t) \\
 & = -[j_1^+(t) - j_2^+(t)] + [j_1^-(t) - j_2^-(t)].
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Taking  $x = \pm\infty$  to (1)<sub>2,3</sub> and (1)<sub>5,6</sub>, we also formally have

$$\frac{d}{dt} j_1^\pm(t) = n_{\pm} E^\pm(t) - j_1^\pm(t), \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} j_2^\pm(t) = -n_{\pm} E^\pm(t) - j_2^\pm(t), \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} T_i^\pm(t) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{j_i^\pm}{n_i^\pm} \right)^2 - (T_i^\pm - T^*), \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{17}$$

It can be easily seen that (14)–(17) can uniquely determine the unknown state functions  $j_i^\pm(t), T_i^\pm(t) (i = 1, 2)$ , and  $E^\pm(t)$  since we have known  $E^-(t) = E(-\infty, t) = 0$ . Solving these

O.D.E and noticing (13), there exists some constant  $0 < \beta_0 < 1/2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} n_i(\pm\infty, t) &= n_{\pm}, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ |j_i(+\infty, t)| &= O(1)e^{-\beta_0 t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ j_i(-\infty, t) &= O(1)e^{-t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ T_i(\pm\infty, t) &= T^* + (T_{i\pm} - T^*)e^{-t} + O(1)e^{-\beta_0 t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ |E(+\infty, t)| &= O(1)e^{-\beta_0 t}, \\ E(-\infty, t) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Obviously, there are some gaps between  $j_i(\pm\infty, t)$  and  $\bar{j}(\pm\infty, t)$ ,  $T_i(\pm\infty, t)$  and  $T^*$ , and  $E(+\infty, t)$  and  $\bar{E} \equiv 0$ , which lead to  $j_i(x, t) - \bar{j}(x, t), T_i(x, t) - T^*, E(x, t) \notin L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . To delete these gaps, we need to introduce the correction functions  $(\hat{n}_1, \hat{n}_2, \hat{j}_1, \hat{j}_2, \hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2, \hat{E})(x, t)$ . As those done in [6, 22], we can construct these gap functions. That is, we can choose  $(\hat{n}_1, \hat{n}_2, \hat{j}_1, \hat{j}_2, \hat{E})(x, t)$ , which solve the system

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{n}_{1t} + \hat{j}_{1x} &= 0, \\ \hat{j}_{1t} &= \hat{n}\hat{E} - \hat{j}_1, \\ \hat{n}_{2t} + \hat{j}_{2x} &= 0, \\ \hat{j}_{2t} &= -\hat{n}\hat{E} - \hat{j}_2, \\ \hat{E}_x &= \hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

with  $\hat{j}_i(x, t) \rightarrow j_i^{\pm}(t)$  as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ,  $\hat{E}(x, t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ , and  $\hat{E}(x, t) \rightarrow E^+(t)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Here,  $\hat{n}(x) = n_- + (n_+ - n_-) \int_{-\infty}^{x+2L_0} m_0(y)dy$  with  $m_0(x) \geq 0$ ,  $m_0 \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\text{supp } m_0 \subseteq [-L_0, L_0]$ , and  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} m_0(y)dy = 1$ . Moreover, we take  $\hat{T}_i(x, t) = \hat{T}_i^-(t)(1 - g(x)) + \hat{T}_i^+(t)g(x)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) with  $g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x m_0(y) dy$ , which together with (17) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{T}_i(x, t) &= -\hat{T}_i(x, t) + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{j_i^-(t)}{n_-} \right)^2 (1 - g(x)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{j_i^+(t)}{n_+} \right)^2 g(x) \\ &=: -\hat{T}_i(x, t) + S_i(x, t), \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

In conclusion, we have constructed the required correction functions  $(\hat{n}_1, \hat{n}_2, \hat{j}_1, \hat{j}_2, \hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2, \hat{E})$  which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{n}_{1t} + \hat{j}_{1x} &= 0, \\ \hat{j}_{1t} &= \hat{n}\hat{E} - \hat{j}_1, \\ \hat{n}_{2t} + \hat{j}_{2x} &= 0, \\ \hat{j}_{2t} &= -\hat{n}\hat{E} - \hat{j}_2, \\ \hat{T}_{it} &= -\hat{T}_i + S_i(x, t), \\ \hat{E}_x &= \hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\text{with } \begin{cases} \hat{j}_i(x, t) \rightarrow j_i^{\pm}(t), & \text{as } x \rightarrow \pm\infty, \\ \hat{T}_i(x, t) \rightarrow T_i^{\pm}(t) - T^*, & \text{as } x \rightarrow \pm\infty, \\ \hat{E}(x, t) \rightarrow 0, & \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty, \\ \hat{E}(x, t) \rightarrow E^+(t), & \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Since these details can be found in [6, 22], we only give the following decay time-exponentially of  $(\hat{n}_1, \hat{n}_2, \hat{j}_1, \hat{j}_2, \hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2, \hat{E})(x, t)$ .

**Lemma 4.** *There exist positive constants  $C$  and  $\nu < 1/2$  independent of  $t$ , such that*

$$\|(\hat{n}_i, \hat{j}_i, \hat{T}_i, \hat{E})(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\delta e^{-\nu t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{22}$$

and  $\text{supp } \hat{n}_i = \text{supp } m_0 \subseteq [-L_0, L_0]$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

### 3. Reformulation of Original Problem

In this section, we first reformulate the original problem in terms of the perturbed variables. Setting for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi_i, \psi_i, \theta_i, \mathcal{H})(x, t) \\ &:= \left( \int_{-\infty}^x [n_i(\xi, t) - \hat{n}_i(\xi, t) - \bar{n}(\xi + x_0, t)] d\xi, \right. \\ &\quad j_i(x, t) - \hat{j}_i(x, t) - \bar{j}(x + x_0, t), T_i(x, t) \\ &\quad \left. - \hat{T}_i(x, t) - T^*, E(x, t) - \hat{E}(x, t) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

then from (1), (11), and (21), we have for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\varphi_{it} + \psi_i = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_{it} + \left( \frac{(-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j})^2}{\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}} + (\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}) \right. \\
 \left. \times (\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*) - \bar{n}T^* \right)_x \\
 = (-1)^{i-1} (\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}) \mathcal{H} \\
 + (-1)^{i-1} (\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n} - \check{n}) \widehat{E} \\
 - \psi_i + (\bar{n}T^*)_{tx}, \\
 \theta_{it} + \frac{-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j}}{\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}} (\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)_x \\
 + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j}}{\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}} \right)_x (\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*) \\
 - \frac{2}{3(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})} (\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)_{xx} \\
 = \left[ \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j}}{\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}} \right)^2 - S_i(x, t) \right] - \theta_i, \\
 \mathcal{H} = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

with the initial data  $(\varphi_i, \psi_i, \theta_i)(x, 0) = (\varphi_{i0}, \psi_{i0}, \theta_{i0})(x)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_{1tt} + \varphi_{1t} - ((\theta_1 + \widehat{T}_1 + T^*)\varphi_{1x} + \bar{n}\theta_1)_x + (\varphi_{1x} + \widehat{n}_1 + \bar{n}) \mathcal{H} \\
 = -f_1 + g_{1x} - (\bar{n}T^*)_{tx}, \\
 \varphi_{2tt} + \varphi_{2t} - ((\theta_2 + \widehat{T}_2 + T^*)\varphi_{2x} + \bar{n}\theta_2)_x - (\varphi_{2x} + \widehat{n}_2 + \bar{n}) \mathcal{H} \\
 = f_2 + g_{2x} - (\bar{n}T^*)_{tx},
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_{1t} + \theta_1 - \frac{2}{3(\varphi_{1x} + \widehat{n}_1 + \bar{n})} \theta_{1xx} - \frac{2}{3} (\theta_1 + \widehat{T}_1 + T^*) \left( \frac{\varphi_{1t}}{\varphi_{1x} + \widehat{n}_1 + \bar{n}} \right)_x = G_1, \\
 \theta_{2t} + \theta_2 - \frac{2}{3(\varphi_{2x} + \widehat{n}_2 + \bar{n})} \theta_{2xx} - \frac{2}{3} (\theta_2 + \widehat{T}_2 + T^*) \left( \frac{\varphi_{2t}}{\varphi_{2x} + \widehat{n}_2 + \bar{n}} \right)_x = G_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

with the initial data

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_i(x, 0) &= \varphi_{i0}(x), \\
 \psi_{it}(x, 0) &= -\psi_{i0}(x), \\
 \theta_i(x, 0) &= \theta_{i0}(x), \\
 i &= 1, 2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_i &= (\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n} - \check{n}) \widehat{E} - ((\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)\widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}\widehat{T}_i)_x, \\
 g_i &= \frac{(-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j})^2}{\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}}, \\
 G_i &= -\frac{-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j}}{\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}} (\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)_x
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{\widehat{j}_i + \bar{j}}{\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}} \right)_x (\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*) \\
 -\frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{\widehat{j}_i + \bar{j}}{\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}} \right)_x (\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*) - S_i(x, t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

By the standard iteration methods (see [23]), we can prove the local existence of classical solutions of the IVP (25) and (26). Here for the sake of clarity, we only state result and omit the proof.

**Lemma 5.** Suppose that  $(\varphi_{i0}, -\psi_{i0}, \theta_{i0}) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}) \times H^2(\mathbb{R}) \times H^3(\mathbb{R})$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then there is a  $C_1 > 0$  such that if

$$\|(\varphi_{10}, \theta_{10}, \varphi_{20}, \theta_{20})\|_3^2 + \|(\psi_{10}, \psi_{20})\|_2^2 \leq C_1, \tag{28}$$

then there is a positive number  $T_0$  such that the initial value problems (25) and (26) have a unique solution  $(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)$  satisfying  $\varphi_i \in C([0, T_0]; H^3(\mathbb{R})) \cap$

$C^1([0, T_0]; H^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^2([0, T_0]; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ ,  $\theta_i \in C([0, T_0]; H^3(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0, T_0]; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)(\cdot, t)\|_3^2 + \|(\varphi_{1t}, \varphi_{2t})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 \\ & + \|(\theta_{1t}, \theta_{2t})(\cdot, t)\|_1^2 \\ & \leq C, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

for some positive constant  $C$ .

To end this section, we also derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}_{tt} + \mathcal{H}_t + 2\bar{n}\mathcal{H} - (\bar{n}(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + (\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)\mathcal{H}_x)_x \\ & = h_{1x} - h_2 - h_3 + h_{4x}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_t + (\theta_1 - \theta_2) - \frac{2}{3(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})}(\theta_1 - \theta_2)_{xx} \\ & - \frac{2(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)}{3(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})}\mathcal{H}_{tx} \\ & = G_3, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 & := (\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)(\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2) + (\varphi_{2x} + \hat{n}_2)(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \\ & + (\varphi_{2x} + \hat{n}_2 + \bar{n})(\hat{T}_1 - \hat{T}_2), \\ h_2 & := (\varphi_{1x} + \varphi_{2x} + \hat{n}_1 + \hat{n}_2)\mathcal{H}, \end{aligned}$$

$$h_3 := [\varphi_{1x} + \varphi_{2x} + \hat{n}_1 + \hat{n}_2 + 2(\bar{n} - \check{n})]\hat{E},$$

$$h_4 := \frac{(-\varphi_{1t} + \hat{j}_1 + \bar{j})^2}{\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n}} - \frac{(-\varphi_{2t} + \hat{j}_2 + \bar{j})^2}{\varphi_{2x} + \hat{n}_2 + \bar{n}},$$

$G_3$

$$\begin{aligned} & := G_1 - G_2 + \left[ \frac{2}{3(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})} - \frac{2}{3(\varphi_{2x} + \hat{n}_2 + \bar{n})} \right] \theta_{2xx} \\ & + \left[ \frac{2(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)}{3(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})} - \frac{2(\theta_2 + \hat{T}_2 + T^*)}{3(\varphi_{2x} + \hat{n}_2 + \bar{n})} \right] \varphi_{2tx} \\ & - \frac{2(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)}{3(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})^2} \varphi_{1t} \mathcal{H}_{xx} - \frac{2(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)}{3(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})^2} \hat{n}_{1x} \mathcal{H}_t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \left[ \frac{2(\theta_2 + \hat{T}_2 + T^*)}{3(\varphi_{2x} + \hat{n}_2 + \bar{n})^2} \varphi_{2t} - \frac{2(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)}{3(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})^2} \varphi_{1t} \right] \\ & \times (\varphi_{2x} + \bar{n})_x \\ & - \left[ \frac{2(\theta_2 + \hat{T}_2 + T^*)}{3(\varphi_{2x} + \hat{n}_2 + \bar{n})^2} \hat{n}_{1x} - \frac{2(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)}{3(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})^2} \hat{n}_{2x} \right] \varphi_{2t}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

#### 4. Global Existence of Smooth Solutions

In this section we mainly prove global existence of smooth solutions for the initial value problems (25) and (26). To begin with, we focus on the a priori estimates of  $(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)$ . For this purpose, letting  $T \in (0, +\infty)$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} X(T) & = \{(\varphi_i, \varphi_{it}, \theta_i, \theta_{it}) : \partial_t^j \varphi_i \in C([0, T]; H^{3-j}(\mathbb{R})), \\ & \theta_i \in C([0, T]; H^3(\mathbb{R})), \theta_{it} \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ & i = 1, 2, j = 0, 1\}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} N(T)^2 & = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\{ \|(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \theta_1, \theta_2)(t)\|_3^2 + \|(\varphi_{1t}, \varphi_{2t})(t)\|_2^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \|(\theta_{1t}, \theta_{2t})(t)\|_1^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Let  $N(T)^2 \leq \varepsilon^2$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small and will be determined later. Then, by Sobolev inequality, we have for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\|(\varphi_i, \varphi_{ix}, \varphi_{ixx}, \theta_i, \theta_{ix}, \theta_{it}, \theta_{ixx}, \varphi_{it}, \varphi_{itx})(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (35)$$

Clearly, there exists a positive constant  $c_1, c_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & < \frac{1}{c_1} \leq n_i = \varphi_{ix} + \hat{n}_i + \bar{n} \leq c_1, \\ 0 & < \frac{1}{c_2} \leq T_i = \theta_i + \hat{T}_i + T^* \leq c_2, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$i = 1, 2.$

Further, from (24)<sub>7</sub>, we also have  $\partial_t^j \mathcal{H} \in C(0, T; H^{2-j}(\mathbb{R}))$  and

$$\|(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{H}_t)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (37)$$

Now we first establish the following basic energy estimate.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)(x, t) \in X(T)$  be the solution of the initial value problem (25) and (26). If  $\delta + \varepsilon \ll 1$ , then it holds that for  $0 < t < T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^2 \left\| (\varphi_i, \varphi_{ix}, \varphi_{it}, \theta_i)(\cdot, t) \right\|^2 + \left\| \mathcal{H}(\cdot, t) \right\|^2 + \int_0^t \left\| \mathcal{H}(\cdot, \tau) \right\|^2 d\tau \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^t \left\| (\varphi_{ix}, \varphi_{it}, \theta_i, \theta_{ix})(\cdot, \tau) \right\|^2 d\tau \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta). \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

*Proof.* Multiplying (25)<sub>1</sub> and (25)<sub>2</sub> by  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ , respectively, and integrating them over  $\mathbb{R}$  by parts, we have for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \varphi_i \varphi_{it} + \frac{1}{2} \varphi_i^2 \right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*) \varphi_{ix}^2 dx \\ & + (-1)^{i-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}) \mathcal{H} \varphi_i dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{it}^2 dx \\ & = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n} \theta_i \varphi_{ix} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\bar{n} T^*)_t \varphi_{ix} dx \\ & + (-1)^i \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_i \varphi_i dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_i \varphi_{ix} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, and Lemmas 3 and 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n} \theta_i \varphi_{ix} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\bar{n} T^*)_t \varphi_{ix} dx \\ & \leq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{ix}^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta_i^2 + \bar{n}_t^2) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where and in the subsequent  $\kappa > 0$  is some proper small constant, and

$$(-1)^i \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_i \varphi_i dx \leq C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{ix}^2 dx + C\delta^2(1+t)^{1/4} e^{-\nu t}, \tag{41}$$

where we also used the facts

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\bar{n} - \check{n})^2 dx \leq C\delta^2(1+t)^{1/2} \tag{42}$$

which can be proved from the construction of  $\check{n}(x) \rightarrow n_{\pm}$ , as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , and the property of the diffusion wave  $\bar{n}((x + x_0)/\sqrt{(1+t)})$ . Similarly, we can show

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_i \varphi_{ix} dx \\ & = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j})^2}{\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}} \varphi_{ix} dx \\ & \leq C(\delta + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{ix}^2 + \varphi_{it}^2) dx + C\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n}_x^2 dx + C\delta^2 e^{-\nu t}, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

which together with (39)–(41) implies,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \varphi_i \varphi_{it} + \frac{1}{2} \varphi_i^2 \right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*) - \kappa] \varphi_{ix}^2 dx \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{it}^2 dx + (-1)^{i-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}) \mathcal{H} \varphi_i dx \\ & \leq C(\delta + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{ix}^2 + \varphi_{it}^2) dx \\ & + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta_i^2 + \bar{n}_t^2 + \bar{n}_x^2) dx + C\delta^2 e^{-\nu_1 t}, \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where  $0 < \nu_1 < \nu$ . Moreover, for the coupled term with the electric field, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\varphi_{1x} + \widehat{n}_1 + \bar{n}) \mathcal{H} \varphi_1 - (\varphi_{2x} + \widehat{n}_2 + \bar{n}) \mathcal{H} \varphi_2) dx \\ & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n} \mathcal{H}^2 dx - C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{H}^2 + \varphi_{1x}^2 + \varphi_{2x}^2) dx - C\delta^2 e^{-\nu t}. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Next, multiplying (25)<sub>1</sub> and (25)<sub>2</sub> by  $\varphi_{1t}$  and  $\varphi_{2t}$ , respectively, and integrating their sum over  $\mathbb{R}$  by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{it}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*) \varphi_{ix}^2 \right) dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{it}^2 + \bar{n} \theta_i \varphi_{itx} + (-1)^{i-1} (\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}) \mathcal{H} \varphi_{it}) dx \\ & = (-1)^i \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_i \varphi_{it} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{ix} \varphi_{it} dx \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ (\bar{n} T^*)_{tx} \varphi_{it} - \frac{1}{2} (\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*) \varphi_{ix}^2 \right] dx. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Using Schwartz's inequality, (42), and Lemmas 3 and 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ (\bar{n} T^*)_{tx} \varphi_{it} - \frac{1}{2} (\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*) \varphi_{ix}^2 \right] dx \\ & + (-1)^{i-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_i \varphi_{it} dx \\ & \leq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{it}^2 dx + C(\delta + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{it}^2 + \varphi_{ix}^2) dx \\ & + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n}_{tx}^2 dx + C\delta^2(1+t)^{1/4} e^{-\nu t}. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ix} & = - \frac{(-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j})^2}{(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})^2} \varphi_{ixx} - \frac{2(-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j})}{(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})} \varphi_{ixt} \\ & + O(1) \left[ (\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j}) (\widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})_t + (\widehat{j}_i + \bar{j}) (\widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})_x \right. \\ & \left. + (\widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})_x \varphi_{it}^2 \right], \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

we obtain, after integration by parts, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{ix} \varphi_{it} \, dx \\ & \leq \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j})^2}{2(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})^2} \varphi_{ix}^2 \, dx \\ & \quad + C(\delta + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{it}^2 + \varphi_{ix}^2) \, dx \\ & \quad + C\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\bar{n}_t^2 + \bar{n}_x^4) \, dx + C\delta^2 e^{-\nu t}, \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( \frac{(-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j})^2}{(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})^2} \right) \right\|_{x, L^\infty} \left\| \left( \frac{(-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j})^2}{(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})^2} \right) \right\|_{t, L^\infty} \\ & \leq C(\delta + \varepsilon), \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

with the aid of  $|\varphi_{itt}| < C|\varphi_{ixx} + \varphi_{ixt} + \varphi_{ix} + \varphi_{it} + \varphi_i + \theta_i + \theta_{ix} + \bar{n}_{xt}| + C\delta^2 e^{-\nu t}$ . Putting the above inequality into (46), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{it}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*) \varphi_{ix}^2 - \frac{(-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j})^2}{2(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})^2} \varphi_{ix}^2 \right] \, dx \\ & \quad + (1 - \kappa) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{it}^2 \, dx + (-1)^{i-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}) \mathcal{H} \varphi_{it} \, dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n} \theta_i \varphi_{itx} \, dx \\ & \leq C(\delta + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{it}^2 + \varphi_{ix}^2) \, dx \\ & \quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\bar{n}_{tx}^2 + \bar{n}_t^2 + \bar{n}_x^4) \, dx + C\delta^2 e^{-\nu_1 t}. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\varphi_{1x} + \widehat{n}_1 + \bar{n}) \mathcal{H} \varphi_{1t} - (\varphi_{2x} + \widehat{n}_2 + \bar{n}) \mathcal{H} \varphi_{2t}) \, dx \\ & \geq \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \bar{n} \mathcal{H}^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \bar{n}_t \mathcal{H}^2 \, dx \\ & \quad - C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{1x}^2 + \varphi_{1t}^2 + \varphi_{2x}^2 + \varphi_{2t}^2) \, dx - C\delta e^{-\nu t}. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Finally, multiplying (25)<sub>l</sub> ( $l = 3, 4$ ) by  $(3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})/2(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*))\theta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and integrating the resultant equation by parts over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{4(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)} \theta_i^2 \, dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)} \theta_i^2 + \frac{\bar{n}}{\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*} \theta_{ix}^2 \right] \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n} \theta_i \varphi_{itx} \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{4(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)} \right)_t \theta_i^2 \, dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{\bar{n}}{\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*} \right)_x \theta_i \theta_{ix} \, dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\bar{n} \theta_i}{\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n}} \varphi_{it} (\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})_x \, dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_i \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)} \theta_i \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Now we estimate the term of the right hand side of (53), using Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and Lemmas 3 and 4. First, with the help of the following equality  $\widehat{j}_i = (1 - g(x))j_i^-(t) + g(x)j_i^+(t)$  (see [6, 22]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)} \left( \frac{\widehat{j}_i^2}{3(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})^2} - S_i(x, t) \right) \theta_i \, dx \\ &= \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{-L_0} + \int_{L_0}^{+\infty} + \int_{-L_0}^{L_0} \right\} \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)} \\ & \quad \times \left( \frac{\widehat{j}_i^2}{3(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})^2} - S_i(x, t) \right) \theta_i \, dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{-L_0} \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)} \theta_i \widehat{j}_i^2 \left( \frac{1}{3n_i^2} - \frac{1}{3n_-^2} \right) \, dx \\ & \quad + \int_{L_0}^{+\infty} \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)} \times \theta_i \widehat{j}_i^2 \left( \frac{1}{3n_i^2} - \frac{1}{3n_+^2} \right) \, dx \\ & \quad + \int_{-L_0}^{L_0} \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)} \theta_i \\ & \quad \times \left[ \frac{\widehat{j}_i^2}{3n_i^2} - \frac{(1 - g(x))}{3n_-^2} (j_i^-(t))^2 - \frac{g(x)}{3n_+^2} (j_i^+(t))^2 \right] \, dx \\ & \leq C\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{ix}^2 + \theta_i^2) \, dx + C\delta^2 e^{-\nu t} \int_{-\infty}^{-L_0} (\bar{n} - n_-)^2 \, dx \\ & \quad + C\delta^2 e^{-\nu t} \int_{L_0}^{+\infty} (\bar{n} - n_+)^2 \, dx + C\delta^2 e^{-\nu t} \\ & \leq C\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{ix}^2 + \theta_i^2) \, dx + C\delta^2 (1 + t)^{1/2} e^{-\nu t}, \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)} \left( \frac{(-\varphi_{it} + \widehat{j}_i + \bar{j})^2}{3(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})^2} - S_i(x, t) \right) \theta_i \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \widehat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \widehat{T}_i + T^*)} \left( \frac{\varphi_{it}^2}{3n_i^2} - \frac{2\varphi_{it}(\widehat{j}_i + \bar{j})}{3n_i^2} \right) \theta_i \, dx \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \hat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \hat{T}_i + T^*)} \frac{\bar{j}^2 + 2\hat{j}_i\bar{j}}{3n_i^2} \theta_i \, dx \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \hat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \hat{T}_i + T^*)} \theta_i \left( \frac{\bar{j}_i^2}{3n_i^2} - S_i(x, t) \right) dx \\
 & \leq C(\delta + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{ix}^2 + \varphi_{it}^2 + \theta_i^2) \, dx \\
 & + C\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n}_x^2 \, dx + C\delta^2(1+t)^{1/2} e^{-\nu t}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

From the definition of  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), and using Schwartz's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_i \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \hat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \hat{T}_i + T^*)} \theta_i \, dx \\
 & \leq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta_i^2 \, dx + C(\delta + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta_i^2 + \theta_{ix}^2 + \varphi_{ix}^2 + \varphi_{it}^2) \, dx \\
 & + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\bar{n}_x^2 + \bar{n}_{xx}^2) \, dx + C\delta^2 e^{-\nu_2 t},
 \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

with  $\nu_1 < \nu_2 < \nu$ . And using Schwartz's inequality and Lemma 3 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \hat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{4(\theta_i + \hat{T}_i + T^*)} \right)_t \theta_i^2 \, dx \\
 & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{\bar{n}}{\theta_i + \hat{T}_i + T^*} \right)_x \theta_i \theta_{ix} \, dx \\
 & \leq C(\delta + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta_i^2 + \theta_{ix}^2) \, dx, \\
 & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\bar{n}\theta_i}{\varphi_{ix} + \hat{n}_i + \bar{n}} \varphi_{it}(\varphi_{ix} + \hat{n}_i + \bar{n})_x \, dx \\
 & \leq \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\bar{n}\theta_i}{2(\varphi_{ix} + \hat{n}_i + \bar{n})} \varphi_{ix}^2 \, dx \\
 & + C(\delta + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta_i^2 + \varphi_{ix}^2 + \varphi_{it}^2) \, dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Putting the above inequalities into (53) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \hat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{4(\theta_i + \hat{T}_i + T^*)} \theta_i^2 - \frac{\bar{n}\theta_i}{2(\varphi_{ix} + \hat{n}_i + \bar{n})} \varphi_{ix}^2 \right] dx \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \left( \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{ix} + \hat{n}_i + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_i + \hat{T}_i + T^*)} - \kappa \right) \theta_i^2 + \frac{\bar{n}}{\theta_i + \hat{T}_i + T^*} \theta_{ix}^2 \right] dx \\
 & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n}\theta_i \varphi_{itx} \, dx
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq C(\delta + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta_i^2 + \theta_{ix}^2 + \varphi_{it}^2 + \varphi_{ix}^2) \, dx \\
 & + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\bar{n}_x^2 + \bar{n}_{xx}^2) \, dx + C\delta^2 e^{-\nu_2 t}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Combining (44), (45), (51), (52), and (58), we can obtain (38); this completes the proof.  $\square$

Further, in the completely similar way, we can show the following.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)(x, t) \in X(T)$  be the solution of the initial value problems (25) and (26); then it holds that for  $0 < t < T$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\| (\varphi_{ix}, \varphi_{ixx}, \varphi_{itx}, \varphi_{itt}, \theta_{ix}, \theta_{it}, \theta_{ixx})(\cdot, t) \right\|^2 + \left\| \mathcal{H}_x(\cdot, t) \right\|^2 \\
 & + \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\| (\varphi_{ixx}, \varphi_{itx}, \varphi_{itt}, \theta_{ix}, \theta_{it}, \theta_{ixx}, \theta_{itx}, \theta_{ixxx})(\cdot, \tau) \right\|^2 \right. \\
 & \left. + \left\| \mathcal{H}_x(\cdot, \tau) \right\|^2 \right) d\tau \\
 & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\| (\varphi_{ixx}, \varphi_{ixxx}, \varphi_{itxx}, \varphi_{ittx}, \theta_{ixx}, \theta_{itx}, \theta_{ixxx})(\cdot, t) \right\|^2 \\
 & + \left\| \mathcal{H}_{xx}(\cdot, t) \right\|^2 \\
 & + \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\| (\varphi_{ixx}, \varphi_{ix}, \varphi_{itx}, \theta_{ixx}, \theta_{itx}, \theta_{ixxx})(\cdot, \tau) \right\|^2 \right. \\
 & \left. + \left\| \mathcal{H}_{xx}(\cdot, \tau) \right\|^2 \right) d\tau \\
 & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta),
 \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

provided that  $\varepsilon + \delta \ll 1$ .

Based on the local existence given in Lemma 5 and the a priori estimates given in Lemmas 6 and 7, by the standard continuity argument, we can prove the global existence of the unique solutions of the IVP (25) and (26).

**Theorem 8.** *Under the assumption of Theorem 1, the classical solution  $(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2, \mathcal{H})(x, t)$  of the solutions of the IVP (25) and (26) exist globally in time if  $\Phi_0 + \delta$  is small enough. Moreover, one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| (\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)(\cdot, t) \right\|_3^2 + \left\| (\varphi_{1t}, \varphi_{2t}, \mathcal{H}(\cdot, t)) \right\|_2^2 \\
 & + \left\| (\theta_{1t}, \theta_{2t})(\cdot, t) \right\|_1^2 \\
 & + \int_0^t \left( \left\| (\varphi_{1x}, \varphi_{1t}, \varphi_{2x}, \varphi_{2t}, \mathcal{H})(\cdot, \tau) \right\|_2^2 \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \|(\theta_1, \theta_2)(\cdot, \tau)\|_4^2 + \|(\theta_{1\tau}, \theta_{2\tau})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 \, d\tau \\
 & \leq C \left( \|(\varphi_{10}, \theta_{10}, \varphi_{20}, \theta_{20})\|_3^2 + \|(\psi_{10}, \psi_{20})\|_2^2 + \delta \right), \quad t > 0, \\
 & \|(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)(\cdot, t)\|_3^2 + \|(\varphi_{1t}, \varphi_{2t}, \mathcal{H}(\cdot, t))\|_2^2 \\
 & + \|(\theta_{1t}, \theta_{2t})(\cdot, t)\|_1^2 \longrightarrow 0, \quad t \longrightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

### 5. The Algebraic Decay Rates

In this section, we prove the time-decay rate of smooth solutions  $(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)$  of (25) with the initial data  $(\varphi_{10}, -\psi_{10}, \theta_{10}, \varphi_{20}, -\psi_{20}, \theta_{20})$ . For this aim, using the idea of [4, 15, 24], we first prove the exponential decay of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\theta_1 - \theta_2$  to zero then obtain the algebraic convergence of  $(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)$ . Due to Theorem 8, we know that the global smooth solutions  $(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)\|_3^2 + \|(\varphi_{1t}, \varphi_{2t}, \mathcal{H})\|_2^2 + \|(\theta_{1t}, \theta_{2t}, \mathcal{H}_t)\|_1^2 \\
 & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta),
 \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

which leads to, in terms of Sobolev embedding theorem, that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_{1x}, \varphi_{2x}, \varphi_{1xx}, \varphi_{2xx}, \varphi_{1t}, \varphi_{1tx}, \varphi_{2t}, \varphi_{2tx}, \\
 & \theta_1, \theta_{1x}, \theta_{1xx}, \theta_2, \theta_{2x}, \theta_{2xx}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{H}_t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \\
 & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta).
 \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Further, by (25), we also have

$$\|(\varphi_{1tt}, \varphi_{2tt}, \theta_{1t}, \theta_{2t})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta). \tag{63}$$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)$  be the global classical solutions of IVP (25) and (26) satisfying  $\Phi_0 + \delta \ll 1$ . Then it holds for  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\theta_1 - \theta_2$  that for  $t > 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{H}_t, \mathcal{H}_{xx}, \mathcal{H}_{tx}, \theta_1 - \theta_2, \\
 & (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_x, (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_{xx})(\cdot, t)\| \\
 & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) e^{-\gamma_0 t}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

*Proof.* Multiplying (30) by  $\mathcal{H}$  and integrating it by parts over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}_t + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}^2 \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_t^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\bar{n} \mathcal{H}^2 dx \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*) \mathcal{H}_x^2 dx \\
 & = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n} (\theta_1 - \theta_2) \mathcal{H}_x dx \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (h_{1x} - h_2 - h_3 + h_{4x}) \mathcal{H} dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, Lemmas 3 and 4, (62), and (63), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n} (\theta_1 - \theta_2) \mathcal{H}_x dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{1x} \mathcal{H} dx \\
 & \leq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_x^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 dx + C\delta e^{-\nu t}, \\
 & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (h_2 + h_3) \mathcal{H} dx \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{H}^2 dx + C\delta e^{-\nu_1 t}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Moreover, noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_{4x} & = -\frac{j_1^2}{n_1^2} \mathcal{H}_{xx} - \frac{2j_1}{n_1} \mathcal{H}_{tx} + O(1)(\hat{n}_{1x} + \hat{n}_{2x} + \hat{j}_{1x} + \hat{j}_{2x}) \\
 & + O(1)(\varphi_{2xx} + \varphi_{2tx} + \bar{n}_x + \bar{j}_x + \hat{n}_{2x} + \hat{j}_{2x}) \\
 & \times (\mathcal{H}_x + \mathcal{H}_t + \hat{n}_1 + \hat{n}_2 + \hat{j}_1 + \hat{j}_2),
 \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{4x} \mathcal{H} dx \\
 & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}_x^2 + \mathcal{H}_t^2) dx + C\delta e^{-\nu t}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}_t + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}^2 \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_t^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n} \mathcal{H}^2 dx \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*) - \kappa] \mathcal{H}_x^2 dx \\
 & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}_x^2 + \mathcal{H}_t^2) dx \\
 & + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 dx + C\delta e^{-\nu_1 t}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

While multiplying (30) by  $\mathcal{H}_t$  and integrating the resultant equation by parts over  $\mathbb{R}$ , similarly, we can show

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}_t^2 + \bar{n} \mathcal{H}^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} (\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*) - \frac{j_1^2}{n_1^2} \right) \mathcal{H}_x^2 \right) dx \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_t^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n} (\theta_1 - \theta_2) \mathcal{H}_{tx} dx \\
 & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) \\
 & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 + (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_x^2 + \mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}_x^2 + \mathcal{H}_t^2) dx \\
 & + C\delta e^{-\nu_1 t}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Next, multiplying (31) by  $(3\bar{n}(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})/2(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*))(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$  and integrating the resultant equation by parts over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})}{4(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)} (\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)} (\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\bar{n}}{\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*} (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_x^2 \right) dx \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{n}(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \mathcal{H}_{tx} dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})}{4(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)} \right)_t (\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 dx \quad (71) \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{\bar{n}}{\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*} \right)_x (\theta_1 - \theta_2) (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_x dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_3 \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)} (\theta_1 - \theta_2) dx \\ & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 + (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_x^2 + \mathcal{H}_x^2 + \mathcal{H}_t^2) dx \\ & + C(\Phi_0 + \delta) e^{-\gamma_2 t}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we have used

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})}{4(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)} \right)_t (\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 dx \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{\bar{n}}{\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*} \right)_x (\theta_1 - \theta_2) (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_x dx \quad (72) \\ & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 + (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_x^2) dx, \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_3 \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)} (\theta_1 - \theta_2) dx \\ & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 + (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_x^2 + \mathcal{H}_x^2 + \mathcal{H}_t^2) \\ & + C(\Phi_0 + \delta) e^{-\gamma_2 t}, \quad (73) \end{aligned}$$

with the aid of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^{i-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \left( \frac{-\varphi_{it} + \hat{j}_i + \bar{j}}{\varphi_{ix} + \hat{n}_i + \bar{n}} \right)^2 - S_i(x, t) \right) \\ & \times \frac{3\bar{n}(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})}{2(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*)} (\theta_1 - \theta_2) dx \quad (74) \\ & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 + \mathcal{H}_x^2 + \mathcal{H}_t^2) dx \\ & + C(\Phi_0 + \delta) e^{-\gamma_2 t}. \end{aligned}$$

Combine (69), (70), and (71), and choose proper positive constant  $\lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 \times (70) + \Lambda_1 \times ((71) + (72)) \\ & \sim \mathcal{H}_t^2 + \mathcal{H}_x^2 + \mathcal{H}_x^2 + (\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2. \quad (75) \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\mathcal{H}_t, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_x, (\theta_1 - \theta_2))(\cdot, \tau)\|^2 \\ & + C\|(\mathcal{H}_t, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_x, (\theta_1 - \theta_2), (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_x)(\cdot, t)\|^2 \quad (76) \\ & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) e^{-\gamma_2 t}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Gronwall's inequality, yields

$$\|(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{H}_t, (\theta_1 - \theta_2))(\cdot, t)\|^2 \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) e^{-\gamma_1 t}, \quad (77)$$

for some positive constants  $\gamma_1$  and  $C$ . In the completely same way, treating  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda_2(30)_x \mathcal{H}_x + \Lambda_2((30)_x \mathcal{H}_{tx} + (31)_x(3\bar{n}(\varphi_{1x} + \hat{n}_1 + \bar{n})/2(\theta_1 + \hat{T}_1 + T^*))(\theta_1 - \theta_2)_x) dx$  for proper positive constants  $\lambda_2$  and  $\Lambda_2$ , we can show

$$\|(\mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{H}_{xx}, \mathcal{H}_{tx}, (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_x)(\cdot, t)\|^2 \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) e^{-\gamma_2 t}, \quad (78)$$

for some constant  $\gamma_2$ .

Moreover, from (30), (77), and (78), we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{tt}\|^2 \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) e^{-\gamma_3 t}, \quad (79)$$

for  $\gamma_3 = \min\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ . Finally, by  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (31)_t (\theta_1 - \theta_2)_t dx$  and using (77)–(79), there is a positive constant  $\gamma_4$  such that

$$\|(\theta_1 - \theta_2)_t\|^2 \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) e^{-\gamma_4 t}, \quad (80)$$

while from (31) and (77)–(80), we have

$$\|(\theta_1 - \theta_2)_{xx}\|^2 \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta) e^{-\gamma_5 t}, \quad (81)$$

with  $\gamma_5 = \min\{\gamma_3, \gamma_4\}$ . Combination of (77)–(80) and (81) yields (64). This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the following, using the idea of [4, 15], we turn to derive the time-decay rate of  $(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)$  by which we are able to obtain the algebraical decay rate of  $(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)$  in large time.

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $(\varphi_1, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \theta_2)$  be the global classical solution of the IVP (25) and (26) with initial data satisfying  $\Phi_0 + \delta \ll 1$ . If it holds for  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \theta_1, \theta_2)$  ( $t > 0$ ) that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^3 (1+t)^k \|\partial_x^k(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^2 (1+t)^{k+2} \|\partial_x^k(\varphi_{1t}, \varphi_{2t})\|^2 \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^1 (1+t)^{k+2} \|\partial_x^k(\theta_{1t}, \theta_{2t})\|^2 \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^2 (1+t)^{k+1} \|\partial_x^k(\theta_1, \theta_2)\|^2 \\ & + (1+t)^3 \|\partial_x^3(\theta_1, \theta_{2*})\|^2 \ll 1, \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

then one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^3 (1+t)^k \|\partial_x^k(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^t (1+\tau)^k \|\partial_x^k(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\|^2 d\tau \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^2 (1+t)^{k+1} \|\partial_x^k(\theta_1, \theta_2)\|^2 \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^2 \int_0^t \left( (1+\tau)^{k+1} \|\partial_x^k(\theta_1, \theta_2)\|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (1+\tau)^3 \|\partial_x^3(\theta_{1\tau}, \theta_{2\tau})\|^2 \right) d\tau \\ & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta), \\ & \sum_{k=0}^2 (1+t)^{k+2} \|\partial_x^k(\varphi_{1t}, \varphi_{2t})\|^2 \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^2 \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{k+2} \|\partial_x^k(\varphi_{1\tau}, \varphi_{2\tau})\|^2 d\tau \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^1 (1+t)^{k+2} \|\partial_x^k(\theta_{1t}, \theta_{2t})\|^2 + (1+t)^3 \|\partial_x^3(\theta_{1t}, \theta_{2t})\|^2 \\ & + \int_0^t \left( \sum_{k=0}^1 (1+\tau)^{k+2} \|\partial_x^k(\theta_1, \theta_2)\|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (1+\tau)^3 \|\partial_x^3(\theta_{1\tau}, \theta_{2\tau})\|^2 \right) d\tau \\ & \leq C(\Phi_0 + \delta). \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

Since the proof is similar as that in [15], we can omit the details.

**Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

**Acknowledgments**

The research is partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 11171223), the Ph.D. Program Foundation of Ministry of Education of China (Grant no. 20133127110007), and the Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (Grant no. 13ZZ109).

**References**

- [1] A. M. Anile and S. Pennisi, "Extended thermodynamics of the Blotekjaer hydrodynamical model for semiconductors," *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 187–197, 1992.
- [2] D. P. Chen, R. S. Eisenberg, J. W. Jerome, and C.-W. Shu, "Hydrodynamic model of temperature change in open ionic channels," *Biophysical Journal*, vol. 69, no. 6, pp. 2304–2322, 1995.
- [3] A. Jüngel, *Quasi-Hydrodynamic Simeconductor Equations*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential equations, Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [4] I. Gasser, L. Hsiao, and H. Li, "Large time behavior of solutions of the bipolar hydrodynamical model for semiconductors," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 192, no. 2, pp. 326–359, 2003.
- [5] F. Huang and Y. Li, "Large time behavior and quasineutral limit of solutions to a bipolar hydrodynamic model with large data and vacuum," *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems A*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 455–470, 2009.
- [6] F. Huang, M. Mei, and Y. Wang, "Large time behavior of solutions to  $n$ -dimensional bipolar hydrodynamic models for semiconductors," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 1595–1630, 2011.
- [7] L. Hsiao and K. Zhang, "The global weak solution and relaxation limits of the initial-boundary value problem to the bipolar hydrodynamic model for semiconductors," *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 1333–1361, 2000.
- [8] Q. Ju, "Global smooth solutions to the multidimensional hydrodynamic model for plasmas with insulating boundary conditions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 336, no. 2, pp. 888–904, 2007.
- [9] R. Natalini, "The bipolar hydrodynamic model for semiconductors and the drift-diffusion equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 198, no. 1, pp. 262–281, 1996.
- [10] Y. Li and X. Yang, "Global existence and asymptotic behavior of the solutions to the three-dimensional bipolar Euler-Poisson systems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 252, no. 1, pp. 768–791, 2012.
- [11] Y. Li and T. Zhang, "Relaxation-time limit of the multidimensional bipolar hydrodynamic model in Besov space," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 251, no. 11, pp. 3143–3162, 2011.
- [12] N. Tsuge, "Existence and uniqueness of stationary solutions to a one-dimensional bipolar hydrodynamic model of semiconductors," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 779–787, 2010.
- [13] F. Zhou and Y. Li, "Existence and some limits of stationary solutions to a one-dimensional bipolar Euler-Poisson system," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 351, no. 1, pp. 480–490, 2009.

- [14] Y. Zhu and Y. P. Li, "Global existence of the initial boundary problem with non-zero boundary conditions to the one-dimensional bipolar Euler-Poisson equations," *Journal of Shanghai Normal University*, vol. 41, pp. 1–11, 2012.
- [15] Y. Li, "Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the nonisentropic bipolar hydrodynamic models," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 250, no. 3, pp. 1285–1309, 2011.
- [16] Q. Ju, H. Li, Y. Li, and S. Jiang, "Quasi-neutral limit of the two-fluid Euler-Poisson system," *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 1577–1590, 2010.
- [17] C. Lattanzio, "On the 3-D bipolar isentropic Euler-Poisson model for semiconductors and the drift-diffusion limit," *Mathematical Models & Methods in Applied Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 351–360, 2000.
- [18] Y. Li, "Diffusion relaxation limit of a bipolar hydrodynamic model for semiconductors," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 336, no. 2, pp. 1341–1356, 2007.
- [19] R. Q. Wang, J. F. Mao, and Y. P. Li, "A note on the relaxation limit of the isothermal bipolar hydrodynamic model," *Journal of Shanghai Normal University*, vol. 41, pp. 20–28, 2009.
- [20] Y. Li, "Global existence and asymptotic behavior for a multidimensional nonisentropic hydrodynamic semiconductor model with the heat source," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 225, no. 1, pp. 134–167, 2006.
- [21] C. J. van Duyn and L. A. Peletier, "A class of similarity solutions of the nonlinear diffusion equation," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 223–233, 1977.
- [22] M. Mei and Y. Wang, "Stability of stationary waves for full Euler-Poisson system in multi-dimensional space," *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 1775–1807, 2012.
- [23] S. Kawashima, *Systems of a hyperbolic-parabolic composite type, with application to the equations of magnetohydrodynamics [Ph.D. thesis]*, Kyoto University, 1983.
- [24] K. Nishihara, "Convergence rates to nonlinear diffusion waves for solutions of system of hyperbolic conservation laws with damping," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 131, no. 2, pp. 171–188, 1996.



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

