Animal (2009), **3:10**, pp 1401–1407 © The Animal Consortium 2009 doi:10.1017/S1751731109990383



brought to you

CORF

Reproductive and productive performance of rabbit does submitted to an oral glucose supplementation

Y. A. Attia¹⁺, A. E. Abd El-Hamid¹, F. Bovera² and M. I. El-Sayed¹

¹Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture-Damanhour, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt; ²Department of Scienze Zootecniche e Ispezione degli alimenti, University of Napoli Federico II, Napoli, Italy

(Received 11 January 2009; Accepted 26 May 2009; First published online 29 June 2009)

In order to investigate the effect of different levels of oral glucose supplementation and/or reproductive method on productive and reproductive performance of New Zealand White (NZW) doe rabbits in the tropic, 36 bucks and 120 doe NZW rabbits were equally divided among four treatment groups (n = 9 bucks + 30 does). The treatments consisted of supplementing drinking water with 0 (control), 2.5, 5 and 10 g glucose/l, respectively. To study the effect of reproduction method (natural v. artificial), each group was divided into two sub-groups (naturally mated and artificially inseminated) with the same bucks of the same treatment group. Glucose supplementation at 5 or 10 g/l of water increased (P < 0.01) litter weight at birth and at weaning, and litter weight gain during the 4 weeks. However, glucose supplementation at 2.5 or 5.0 g/l water decreased (P < 0.01) feed consumption from 7 to 14 days after delivery. Glucose supplementation at 2.5 g/l water did not affect productive and reproductive performance of rabbits. Artificially inseminated does had higher daily litter weight gain between 21 and 28 days post partum. Artificially inseminated group had better milk conversion during the 1st and 4th week as compared to naturally mated groups. Compared with the control group, the economic efficiency and performance index of NZW rabbits was significantly improved by 5 g glucose supplementation under tropic condition.

Keywords: rabbit, glucose, reproductive performance, growth, milk yield

Implications

High environmental temperature is a main problem in worldwide rabbit production. Productive and reproductive performances of rabbits exposed to high ambient temperature are low and result in substantial economic loss. Although several studies addressed the effect of different agents on improving rabbit tolerance to high environmental temperature, to the best of our knowledge, few studies explored that of glucose supplementation. The aim of the present work is to evaluate the effect of different concentrations of oral glucose via drinking water on productive and reproductive performance of New Zealand White rabbits raised under tropic condition such as summer condition, either mated or artificially inseminated. The outcomes of this work indicated that 5 g of glucose/l during summer months increased availability of energy for rabbits and thus improved productive and reproductive performance and resulted in improving economic profits for rabbit's producers in the tropics. This work emphasizes the role of energy

availability in improving animal performance exposed to heat stress.

Introduction

The use of biotechnological agents such as growth promoters (e.g. prebiotics such as fructo-oligosaccharides and galacto-oligosaccharides) improves gut ecosystem due to lactic acid production, which, as an end product, decreases pH level in the intestines (Maertens, 1992). Low intestinal pH inhibits *Escherichia coli* and *Clostridium perfringens* growth and increases *Lactobacillus* populations (Morisse *et al.*, 1990). Moreover, polysaccharides protect rabbits against enteritis, increase caecal volatile fatty acids concentration, while decreasing caecal ammonia level.

Glucose is the main metabolic fuel used by the growing embryo, foetus and neonate (Koski and Hill, 1990), and is also the main precursor for milk lactose and milk fat *de novo* syntheses (Williamson, 1980; Koski *et al.*, 1990). Thus, it is conceivable that a competition for glucose among thermoregulation, productive (growth) and reproductive (pregnancy, lactation) functions comes into play when daily

⁺ E-mail: yfat_alexu40@hotmail.com

energy requirements of animals increase due to high ambient temperature (Treadway and Young, 1989). Also, restricted maternal dietary energy compromises glucose delivery to foetus development as evidenced by reduced concentrations of glycogen in the liver, heart and muscle, and in plasma glucose too (Lanoue *et al.*, 1999; Matsuno *et al.*, 1999).

Productive and reproductive performances of rabbits exposed to high ambient temperature are low, and result in substantial economic loss. Although several studies addressed the effect of different agents on improving rabbit tolerance to high environmental temperature, to the best of our knowledge, few studies explored that of glucose supplementation. Thus, the present work aimed to evaluate the effect of different concentrations of oral glucose via drinking water on productive and reproductive performance of NZW rabbits raised under tropic condition such as summer condition in Egypt, and either mated or artificially inseminated.

Material and methods

The present study was carried out on a private sector rabbit farm. All the laboratory work was done at the Faculty of Agriculture-Damanhour, Alexandria University, Egypt, during the period from April to September 2004.

At the beginning of the trial, a total of 120 nulliparous NZW does, 5- to 6-month-old, with an average (\pm s.e.) live body weight (BW) of 3207 ± 28 g, and 36 bucks, 6- to 7-month-old, with an average (\pm s.e.) live BW of 3432 \pm 10 g, were assigned to four experimental groups (30 does and 9 bucks per group). The actual number of females per group is reported in the tables that summarize the results. The animals were raised in flat deck batteries (Italian type) with universal specifications, accommodated with feeders for pelleted rations and automatic fresh-water drinkers, and kept under hygienic control. Batteries for does were provided with external nests. All batteries were located in a naturally ventilated windowed house. Does and bucks were fed on pelleted rations containing 18% and 17% CP, 2700 and 2600 kcal digestible energy/kg feed dry matter, and 12.5% and 14% crude fibre, respectively. Feed and fresh water were offered ad libitum.

The treatments consisted in supplementing the drinking water with glucose at a concentration of 0 (control), 2.5, 5, and 10 g/l, respectively. To study the effect of the reproduction method (natural ν artificial), each experimental group was divided into two sub-groups (15 does/sub-group), e.g. naturally mated and artificial inseminated, by using the bucks of the same treatment group. The reproductive rhythm was semi-intensive and does were re-submitted to natural mating or artificial insemination (AI) 10 days after delivery (42-days inter-pupping interval).

Mating was carried out in the morning; both does and bucks were selected randomly within the same sub-group. Each doe was transferred to the buck's cage for mating and returned to its cage after copulation. Each doe was subjected to two services within 30 min by the same buck. For AI, the rhythm of semen collection from bucks was extensive (two successive ejaculates once a week). The rabbit does that failed to become pregnant after three successive AIs or natural mating were discarded from the experiment. Pregnancy was diagnosed by abdominal palpation at day 10 after service.

Semen, collected from bucks from each experimental group, was pooled at each collection and diluted with saline (0.9% NaCl) at a rate of 1 ml semen diluted with 9 ml Nacl to contain approximately 30×10^6 sperms. Each doe was artificially inseminated in the morning by insemination pipette with 0.5 ml of diluted semen. No GnRH (gonado-tropin-releasing hormone) treatment was performed, and doe's ovulation was induced by a mating with a castrated buck just before AI. All kindled rabbits remained in the nests with their dams for suckling from birth up to weaning at day 28 of age, when they were moved to the growing batteries.

Number of insemination or mating per conception, conception rate, gestation length, litter size, litter weight, kit weight, litter weight gain, pre-weaning mortality percentage, feed intake and milk yield were measured from birth to weaning age. Milk yield was calculated by weighing the does before and after milking. Feed and water consumptions were calculated by weighing twice a day, feed and water. Milk conversion ratio per week was calculated by dividing week-milk yield by litter weight gain in the same week. The experiment was performed according to the Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals. Performance index (%) = (BWW/MC) \times 100, where BWW = kit weight at weaning (kg) and MC = milk conversion from birth to weaning.

- Economic efficiency was calculated by dividing the net return by total cost multiplying by 100.
- Total cost is equal to the total cost of feeding + cost of glucose supplementation.
- Net return = total return total cost.
- Relative economic efficiency = Economic efficiency of any treatment group divided by the economic efficiency of the control group and multiplying by 100.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed by Least Squares Maximum Likelihood method of analysis (Snedecor and Cochran, 1982) by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (1998) computer programs. Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955) was used for the multiple comparisons.

Results

Environmental conditions

During the trial, the mean air temperature inside the raising house was 18.0° C, 31.8° C, 26.2° C, 28.0° C, 27.5° C and 26° C from April to September, respectively. The highest temperature was reached in May (41.3° C) and the lowest in April (24.2° C). During the other months of the trial, the

maximum temperature averaged 32.4°C \pm 0.58. The mean relative humidity was 53.78% \pm 10.75.

Doe traits

After the third AI/mating treatment, the does removed from the experiment were 5/4 for control group, 3/3 for 2.5, 2/1 for 5.0, and 2/2 for 10.0 glucose groups, respectively. As a consequence, the number of does for each group was 21. 24, 27 and 26 for control, 2.5, 5.0 and 10.0 glucose groups, respectively.

Table 1 shows the effects of different levels of oral glucose supplementations on number of services per conception, gestation length, litter size and litter weight. There was no significant effect of glucose level on number of services per conception and gestation length.

The reproduction method, natural mating v. AI, had no effect on number of services per conception, gestation length, litter size and litter weight at birth, 21 and 28 days of age. Also, the interaction between the level of glucose supplementation and reproduction method was not significant on number of services per conception and gestation length, litter size at birth (total and live), 21 and 28 days of age.

The differences in litter size at birth (total and live), 21 days and at weaning (28 days) among experimental groups supplemented with different levels of glucose (Table 1) were significant (P < 0.01). Does supplemented with 5 g of glucose had greater litter size (P < 0.01) from birth until 28 days of age than those of control or those receiving 2.5 g glucose. However, increasing glucose level to 10 g/l did not yield further increase in litter size. Glucose supplementation of 2.5 g/l had no beneficial effect on litter size.

Offspring performance

Table 2 shows the effect of different levels of oral glucose supplementations on offspring performance. There were no significant differences in kit weight at birth, 21 and 28 (weaning) days of age. The increase in kit weight found in both control and 2.5 g glucose groups might be attributed to the lowest litter size recorded in these groups at birth. Whatever the period, does supplemented with 2.5, 5 or 10 g of glucose produced kits having significantly heavier daily weight gain than those of the control group. On the other hand, differences in daily weight gain between does supplemented with either 5 or 10 g of glucose were not significant. The difference in litter weight at birth, 21 days and at weaning (28 days), of rabbits receiving different levels of glucose were also significant (P < 0.01). Does supplemented with 5 or 10 g of glucose produced significantly heavier litter weight from birth until 28 days of age than those produced by groups given 0 and 2.5 g glucose. On the other hand, there was no significant difference between groups receiving 5 or 10 g glucose, and 2.5 g glucose had no beneficial effect on litter weight.

Milk yield and milk conversion

Milk yield, during all experimental intervals, was significantly higher for does supplemented with 5 and 10 g

lable 1 Effects of differe	nt levels	lable 1 Effects of different levels of oral glucose supplementation (6)	tion (6) and reproduction method (K) on rabbit doe reproductive performance (least squares means \pm s.e.)	method (K) on	rabbit doe repi	roductive pertoi	rmance (least so	quares means :	± s.e.)	
					Litter	Litter size at			Litter weight at	at
				Bi	Birth					
Criteria	Doe (n)	Doe (n) Service per conception (n) Gestation length (days)	Gestation length (days)	Total	Live	21 days	28 days	Birth	21 days	28 days
G (g/l water)										
0.0	21	1.77 ± 0.17	30.86 ± 0.25	$5.90\pm0.40^{ m b}$	$5.43 \pm 0.35^{\mathrm{b}}$	$4.86\pm0.24^{\rm b}$	$4.76\pm0.23^{\mathrm{b}}$	$244 \pm 7.34^{\mathrm{b}}$	$1129 \pm 40.7^{\rm b}$	$1432 \pm 56.02^{\circ}$
2.5	24	1.67 ± 0.17	30.79 ± 0.26	$5.88 \pm 0.32^{\mathrm{b}}$		$5.17 \pm 0.22^{\mathrm{b}}$	$5.13 \pm 0.22^{\mathrm{b}}$	$254\pm5.86^{\mathrm{b}}$		$1571 \pm 49.48^{\circ}$
5.0	27	1.37 ± 0.13	30.52 ± 0.22	$7.26\pm0.38^{\rm a}$	$6.81\pm0.32^{\rm a}$	$6.29 \pm 0.22^{\mathrm{a}}$	$6.26\pm0.22^{\rm a}$	280 ± 7.41^{a}	$1414\pm33.0^{\rm a}$	1831 ± 43.73^{a}
10.0	26	1.50 ± 0.15	30.50 ± 0.19	6.96 ± 0.36^{a}	6.73 ± 0.31^{a}	$6.19\pm0.19^{\mathrm{a}}$	$6.15\pm0.19^{\rm a}$	277 ± 4.73^{a}	1394 ± 31.3^{a}	1795 ± 40.15^{a}
R										
Natural mating	49	1.61 ± 0.11	30.65 ± 0.16	6.55 ± 0.28	6.04 ± 0.25	5.53 ± 0.18	5.47 ± 0.17	$\textbf{265} \pm \textbf{5.68}$	1271 ± 30.3	1625 ± 36.95
Artificial insemination	49	1.52 ± 0.11	30.65 ± 0.17	6.55 ± 0.28	6.24 ± 0.24	$\textbf{5.82} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	5.80 ± 0.18	265 ± 4.16	1325 ± 29.4	1720 ± 39.48
Interaction (G $ imes$ R)		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
ns = not significant. ^{a,b,c} Means within the same c	olumn unc	ns = not significant. ^{a.b.} Means within the same column under similar treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different (<i>P</i> <0.05).	similar superscripts are signif	ficantly different	(<i>P</i> <0.05).					

			Kit weight at		Daily	Daily litter weight gain from	from		Mortality (%)	(
ltems	Doe (n)	Birth	21 days	28 days	Birth – 21 days	21 – 28 days	Birth – 28 days	Birth	Birth – 21 days	Birth – 28 days
G (g/l water)										
0.0	21	48 ± 2.62	237 ± 6.01	305 ± 6.13	$39 \pm 2.68^{\circ}$	$43 \pm 2.84^{\circ}$	$41 \pm 2.54^{\circ}$	2.07	2.72	3.41
2.5	24	48 ± 2.02	239 ± 5.32	311 ± 5.97	$46 \pm 1.58^{ m b}$	$51 \pm 2.20^{ m b}$	$47 \pm 1.58^{ m b}$	1.07	1.43	1.84
5.0	27	43 ± 2.33	229 ± 4.44	296 ± 5.00	54 ± 1.52^{a}	60 ± 1.72^{a}	55 ± 1.52^{a}	1.49	2.21	2.38
10.0	26	43 ± 2.01	$\textbf{228} \pm \textbf{4.26}$	295 ± 4.87	53 ± 1.42^{a}	57 ± 1.92^{ab}	54 ± 1.37^{a}	0.52	1.93	2.10
X M	49	46 + 1.69	234 + 3.34	301 + 3.56	47 + 1.59	$49 + 2.02^{b}$	$48 + 1.59^{b}$	1.61	2,47	2.89
AI	49	45 ± 1.52	232 ± 3.72	302 ± 4.29	50 ± 1.39	58 ± 1.78^{a}	52 ± 1.30^{a}	0.84	1.67	1.90
Interaction (G $ imes$ R)		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

glucose than for rabbits of groups supplemented with 0 (control) and 2.5 g glucose (Table 3). Conversely, the differences among the former groups or the latter groups were not significant. The increase in milk yield observed in does provided with 5 g glucose may be due to greater energy availability for milk formation and secretion and/or higher litter size (Maertens and Groote, 1990). There was no further response to glucose level above 5 g/l water.

Feed consumption of does

There were no significant differences due to different levels of glucose administration on feed consumption per doe during the gestation period, lactation period and from birth up to weaning (Table 4). However, feed consumption from 7 to 14 days decreased (P < 0.01) in does receiving 2.5 and 5.0 g glucose compared with controls and those drinking 10 g/l of glucose. In general, glucose supplementations did not affect feed consumption of rabbits. The reproduction method does not significantly influence feed consumption and the values were similar between artificially inseminated and naturally mated does during all tested periods. No interaction was evidenced between glucose level and reproduction method on milk yield and milk conversion ratio.

Economic efficiency, performance index and relative economic efficiency

Table 5 summarizes the effect of different levels of oral glucose supplementation on the performance index, economic efficiency and relative economic efficiency of NZW does.

The performance index is significantly higher when glucose is added in drinking water, but a significant economic efficiency is obtained only with the supplementation of 5 g glucose. Does supplemented with 2.5 or 10.0 g glucose/l did not improve the economic efficiency; performance index and relative economic efficiency were similar in 2.5, 10 g glucose/l and control groups.

Discussion

In the tropic, heat stress impairs performance of animals and causes a substantial loss to animal production elsewhere; thus, agents that improve the outstanding of animals to heat stress are of great interest (Marai et al., 2003). The mechanism by which glucose increased litter size is not clear. However, it may be due to decreased mortality and/or increased survival of embryos as a result of improving carbohydrate status and energy availability during pregnancy. Niewoehner and Neil (1992) reported that p-glucose improved energy availability and liver glycogen for adult rats. On the other hand, Matsuno et al. (1999) reported that different levels of glucose (20%, 40% and 60%) in maternal diets did not significantly affect number of born pups. However, Lanoue et al. (1999) observed that dietary glucose during pregnancy is required for neonatal survival and its restriction not only reduces glycogen reserves, but can also disrupt the normal gene expression of liver phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, showing that development of

Attia, Abd El-Hamid, Bovera and El-Sayed

			Milk yie	Milk yield (g) at			Milk conversion ratio at	ion ratio at	
ltems	Doe (n)	1st week	2nd week	3rd week	4th week	1st week	2nd week	3rd week	4th week
G (g/l water)									
0.0	21	$689\pm16.95^{ m b}$	$1020 \pm 34.31^{ m b}$	$1189 \pm 38.50^{\rm b}$	$1020 \pm 33.52^{ m b}$	2.69 ± 0.14^{a}	3.53 ± 0.25^{a}	3.81 ± 0.33^{a}	3.64 ± 0.38^{a}
2.5	24	$702 \pm 13.96^{\mathrm{b}}$	$1055 \pm 24.51^{\mathrm{b}}$	$12.4\pm34.69^{ m b}$	$1060 \pm 27.62^{ m b}$	$2.57\pm0.13^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$3.11\pm0.08^{ m b}$	3.79 ± 0.11^{a}	3.11 ± 0.8^{a}
5.0	27	764 ± 10.52^{a}	1136 ± 17.03^{a}	1371 ± 25.19^{a}	$1124\pm16.87^{\mathrm{a}}$	2.41 ± 0.08^{ab}	$2.95\pm0.08^{ m b}$	$3.36 \pm 0.08^{\rm b}$	$2.74\pm0.05^{\mathrm{b}}$
10.0	26	755 ± 11.84^{a}	1136 ± 14.93^{a}	1365 ± 25.12^{a}	1121 ± 14.86^{a}	$2.37\pm0.08^{ m b}$	$3.04\pm0.12^{ m b}$	$3.43 \pm 0.08^{\rm b}$	$2.90 \pm 0.5^{\mathrm{b}}$
R									
Natural mating	49	724 ± 11.68	1080 ± 18.32	1288 ± 25.33	1074 ± 17.88	$2.64 \pm \mathbf{0.08^a}$	3.16 ± 0.13	3.65 ± 0.08	3.27 ± 0.17
Artificial insemination	49	737 ± 8.60	1102 ± 16.18	1306 ± 22.93	1096 ± 16.16	$2.36\pm0.06^{ m b}$	3.12 ± 0.07	3.31 ± 0.26	2.85 ± 0.12
Interaction (G $ imes$ R)		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
ns = not significant. ^{a.b} Means within the same column under similar treatment not having similar	imn under simila	ar treatment not havin		sumarscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$)	t (<i>P</i> < 0.05)				

Glucose effect on doe rabbits' performance

neonatal glucoregulatory mechanisms is modified by the availability of maternal glucose. However, Castellini et al. (2000) did not found any effect on the reproductive performance of does drinking water supplemented with sucrose.

Litter weight at weaning, as a composite trait, reflects the contribution of fertility, maternal behaviour, milk production, growth rate and survivability (Lukefahr et al., 1990), indicating that litter weight at weaning is a function of all pre-weaning effects. The mechanism by which glucose increased litter weight is not evident in literature, but it may be due to the increase in energy availability, glycogen reserves of liver and muscle. However, Matsuno et al. (1999) observed that different dietary level of glucose (20%, 40% and 60%) in maternal diets for rats did not significantly affect average weight of pups at birth. Similarly, Rubio et al. (1997) found that average birth weight, actual and adjusted weaning weight was not significantly affected by glucose administration. Also, Lanoue et al. (1999) found that glucose restriction did not affect rat pups' birth weight, but feeding a diet without glucose significantly decreased it.

The differences in pre-weaning mortality rate of kits due to different levels of glucose supplementations were not significant during all the experimental periods. Mortality rate was higher in control group (P < 0.05) and lower in 2.5 glucose group, and intermediate in the others. Similarly, Moran (1989) found that mortality of chicks was lower in p-glucose-treated than in control groups at post-hatch period. Furthermore, Iwasaki et al. (1998) observed that survival time of broiler chicks was enhanced by supplementation of p-alucose in drinking water, which would be beneficial under high ambient temperature during April to September.

Doe rabbits artificially inseminated significantly produced (P < 0.01) litter with higher daily weight gain from birth to 28 days of age than naturally mated does. However, daily litter weight gains from birth to 21 days of age were not significantly affected by reproduction method. The reproduction method did not significantly affect kit weight and pre-weaning mortality percentage during the experimental period. On the other hand, Tawfeek and El-Gaafary (1991) found that kits' weight at birth was not affected by reproduction method; however, kits delivered by does inseminated artificially had significantly higher weight at 21 and 35 days of age than those produced by does naturally mated.

Results showed that the effect of the interaction between glucose supplementation and reproduction method was not significant on kits' weight, daily litter weight gain and preweaning mortality percentage at different intervals.

Glucose is the most important precursor for milk lactose and de novo synthesis of milk fat (Koski et al., 1990; Matsuno et al., 1999), and a decrease in plasma glucose level during summer months was reported (Marai et al., 2003 and 2005). In agreement with the present results, Matsuno et al. (1999) found an increase in milk fat with increasing dietary glucose levels from 20% to 60% in maternal diets of rats, while milk protein, lactose and

				Feed consum	ption (g/doe)		
Criteria	Doe (<i>n</i>)	Gestation period	Birth – 7 days	7 – 14 days	14 – 21 days	21 – 28 days	Birth – 28 days
G (g/l water)							
0.0	21	157 ± 1.91	189 ± 12.11	$227 \pm 1.72^{\text{a}}$	243 ± 1.64	$\textbf{228} \pm \textbf{1.48}$	222 ± 3.37
2.5	24	159 ± 1.64	203 ± 1.13	222 ± 1.29^{c}	240 ± 1.33	224 ± 1.47	222 ± 1.24
5.0	27	158 ± 1.44	195 ± 6.88	221 ± 1.64^{c}	239 ± 1.44	223 ± 1.35	220 ± 1.72
10.0	26	159 ± 1.35	206 ± 1.00	224 ± 0.95^{b}	243 ± 1.14	227 ± 1.02	225 ± 0.96
R							
Natural mating	49	158 ± 1.05	200 ± 3.88	$\textbf{223} \pm \textbf{1.03}$	241 ± 1.03	226 ± 1.01	222 ± 1.17
Artificial insemination	49	158 ± 1.11	197 ± 5.25	$\textbf{223} \pm \textbf{0.96}$	241 ± 0.98	225 ± 0.92	222 ± 1.52
Interaction (G $ imes$ R)		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

Table 4 Effects of different levels of oral glucose supplementation (G) and reproduction method (R) on feed consumption of does during the pregnancy period and from birth up to weaning (least squares means \pm s.e.)

ns = not significant.

^{a,b,c}Means within the same column under similar treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

Table 5 Economic efficiency of New Zealand White rabbits receiving different levels of oral glucose supplementation in drinking water (least squares means \pm s.e.)

		Glucose leve	ls (g/l water)	
Parameter	0.00	2.50	5.00	10.00
Total litter weight at weaning (g)	1432 ± 56.0	1571 ± 49.5	1831 ± 43.7	1795 ± 40.2
Total feed consumption (g/doe) from gestation period to weaning age	11414 ± 77.2	11289 ± 66.7	11130 ± 72.7	11270 ± 60.4
Cost of feed (PT ⁺ /kg)	110.0	110.0	110.0	110.0
Total cost of feed (PT)	1278 ± 8.6	1264 ± 7.47	1247 ± 8.4	1262 ± 6.7
Total water consumption (g/doe) from gestation period to	22885 ± 154.9	22606 ± 129.5	30000 ± 143.2	22578 ± 123.5
weaning age				
Total glucose consumption (g/doe) from gestation period to	_	$\textbf{56.4} \pm \textbf{0.33}$	111.3 ± 0.72	225.4 ± 1.20
weaning age				
Cost of glucose (PT)	-	90.3 ± 0.53	178.1 ± 1.16	$\textbf{360.6} \pm \textbf{0.92}$
Total cost (PT)	1278 ± 8.64	1355 ± 8.01	1425 ± 9.31	1623 ± 8.66
Price of live body weight				
As meat (PT/kg)	1300	1300	1300	1300
As breeding (PT/kg)	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total return (PT) [‡]	2161.82 ± 84.6	2372.59 ± 74.7	$\textbf{2764.14} \pm \textbf{66.0}$	2709.87 ± 60.6
Net return (PT)	883.41 ± 81.96	1017.92 ± 71.8	1339.50 ± 62.4	1086.98 ± 57.6
Economic efficiency (%)	$68.94 \pm 6.41^{b}_{.1}$	74.98 ± 5.26 ^b	93.87 ± 4.27 ^a	66.89 ± 3.48^{b}
Performance index (%)	9.13 ± 0.39 ^b	10.02 ± 0.30^{a}	10.40 ± 0.21 ^a	10.15 ± 0.26^{a}
Relative economic efficiency(%)	100.00 ^b	109.75 ^a	113.91ª	111.17 ^a

[†]PT = Egyptian piaster.

*30% of the flock as breeding and 70% as meat of marketing.

 a,b Means within the same row not having similar superscripts are significantly different (P< 0.05).

energy were not affected by dietary glucose level. Thus, higher availability of energy substrate such as glucose might have improved energy reserves and tolerance to heat stress in rabbit during the summer months and thus increased milk yield. However, Matsuno *et al.* (1999) reported that different maternal dietary levels of glucose (20%, 40% and 60%) did not affect cumulative feed intake of rats during pregnancy and lactation, but might have increased glucose uptake.

Obviously, there was a significant effect of glucose supplementation on milk conversion ratio in all tested periods. The best milk conversion ratio throughout the 4 weeks examined was found in the group supplemented with 5 g glucose, followed by those receiving 10 and 2.5 g, respectively. These improvements in the milk conversion ratio could be attributed to the improvement in litter weight gain and milk yield.

Generally, milk yield was maximal at the 3rd week after delivery, and declined afterwards. For AI group, there was no significant increase in milk yield. However, artificially inseminated does had unexplained better (P < 0.01) milk conversion ratio than those of naturally mated does at 1st and 4th week of lactation. There was no significant interaction among different levels of glucose and reproduction

method on milk yield and milk conversion ratio at different stages of lactation (Table 3).

Conclusion

Glucose administration to rabbits kept under tropical condition of Egypt during summer months significantly improve litter size at birth and weaning, daily weight gain of pups and milk production of does, while no differences were observed in terms of fertility and feed intake. However, better results were obtained when glucose was used at 5 g/l, while further increase in glucose concentration did not induce further improvements. Thus, rabbit breeders may benefit from supplementing drinking water with 5 g glucose/l during summer months when feed intake is naturally reduced, and glucose can represent an important source of energy.

References

Castellini C, Brecchia G, Canali C and Boiti C 2000. Effect of different percentages of sucrose in drinking water on reproductive performance and energy balance of primiparous lactating rabbit does. In Proceedings of the 7th World Rabbit Congress, Valencia, Spain, pp. 161–166.

Duncan DB 1955. Multiple range and multiple F-test. Biometrics 11, 1-42.

Iwasaki K, Ikawa R, Washio Y, Oyama H, Horikaawa H, Zhou-Wei T, Yamamoto S and Zhou WT 1998. Effects of glucose in drinking water on feed intake, rectal temperature, plasma glucose, free fatty acid and mortality of broilers during high temperature exposure. Japanese Poultry Science 35, 249–255.

Koski KG and Hill FW 1990. Evidence for a critical period during late gestation when maternal dietary carbohydrate is essential for survival of newborn rats. The Journal of Nutrition 120, 1016–1027.

Koski KG, Hill FW and Lönnerdal B 1990. Altered lactational performance in rats fed low carbohydrate diets and its effect on growth of neonatal rat pups. The Journal of Nutrition 120, 1028–1036.

Lanoue L, Liu XJ and Koski KG 1999. Postnatal profiles of glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis are modified in rat pups by maternal dietary glucose restriction. The Journal of Nutrition 129, 820–827.

Lukefahr S, Cheeke PR and Patton NM 1990. Prediction and causation of litter market traits from pre-weaning and weaning characteristics in commercial meat rabbits. Journal of Animal Science 68, 2222–2234.

Maertens L 1992. Influence of live yeasts BIOSAF on rabbit performance. Cuniculture Paris 104, 92, 97–98.

Maertens L and de Groote G 1990. Comparison of feed intake and milk yield of does under normal and high ambient temperature. Journal of Applied Rabbit Research 13, 159–162.

Marai IFM, Habeeb AAM and Gad AE 2003. Reproductive traits of male rabbits as affected by climatic conditions, in the subtropical environment of Egypt. Animal Science 77, 451–458.

Marai IFM, Habeeb AA and Gad AE 2005. Tolerance of imported rabbits grown as meat animals to hot climate and saline drinking water in the subtropical environment of Egypt. Animal Science 8, 115–123.

Matsuno AY, Esrey KL, Perrault H and Koski KG 1999. Low intensity exercise and varying proportions of dietary glucose and fat modify milk and mammary gland composition and pup growth. The Journal of Nutrition 129, 1167–1175.

Moran ET Jr 1989. Effect of posthatch glucose on poults fed and fasted during yolk sac depletion. Poultry Science 68, 1141–1147.

Morisse JP, Gall LEG, Maurice G, Cotte R and Boilletot JP 1990. Action chez le lapereau d'un melange de fructo-oligosaccharides sur certains paramètres intestinaux et plasmatiques. In: ITAVI Editor, 5émes J. Rech. Cunicoles, 12–13 December, Vol. 1, pp. 531–538.

Niewoehner CB and Neil B 1992. Mechanism of delayed hepatic glycogen synthesis after an oral galactose load in adult rats. American Journal of Physiology 2633, E42–E49.

Rubio JM, Hallford DM and Haweekins DE 1997. Effect of glucose administration during the oestrous cycle on serum hormone profiles, MRNA for steriodogenic enzymes and breeding performance of Ewes. Journal of Animal Science 75, 775–780.

Snedecor GW and Cochran GW 1982. Statistical methods, 6th edition. The lowa State University Press, Ames, USA.

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 1998. SPSS user's guide statistics version 8. SPSS Inc., USA.

Tawfeek MI and El-Gaafary MN 1991. Evaluation of A.I. technique as compared to natural mating in association with some productive and reproductive traits in rabbits. Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Sciences 1, 13–20.

Treadway JL and Young JC 1989. Decreased glucose uptake in the fetus after maternal exercise. Medicine Science and Sports Exercise 21, 140–145.

Williamson DH 1980. Integration of metabolism in tissues of the lactating rat. FEBS Letters 117, k93–k110.