

DEVELOPMENT OF INTRON TARGETING (IT) MARKERS FOR POTATO AND CROSS-SPECIES AMPLIFICATION IN *SOLANUM NIGRUM* (SOLANACEAE)¹

PÉTER PO CZAI^{2,3,7,8}, ISTVÁN CERNÁK^{4,5,8}, AHMAD MOSAPOUR GORJI⁴, SÁNDOR NAGY⁶,
JÁNOS TALLER³, AND ZSOLT POLGÁR⁴

²Plant Biology Division, Department of Biosciences, University of Helsinki, FIN-00014 PO Box 65, Helsinki, Finland;

³Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Georgikon Faculty, University of Pannonia, H-8360 Keszthely, Festetics u. 7, Hungary; ⁴Potato Research Centre, Centre of Agricultural Sciences, University of Pannonia, H-8360 Keszthely, Festetics u. 7, Hungary; ⁵Department of Plant Pathology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1630 Linden Dr. Madison, Wisconsin 53706 USA; ⁶Department of Economic Methodology, Georgikon Faculty, University of Pannonia, H-8360 Keszthely, Deák F. u. 16, Hungary

- *Premise of the study:* Intron Targeting (IT) primers were developed for potato using expressed sequence tags (EST) and NCBI database records to study genetic diversity.
- *Methods and Results:* Twenty-nine polymorphic intron targeting (IT) markers were generated and characterized from 30 samples of potato and 22 samples of *Solanum nigrum* to detect polymorphism. The number of alleles (*A*) per locus ranged from 2 to 7 in the analyzed populations, and the observed heterozygosity (*H_o*) and expected heterozygosity (*H_e*) from 0 to 0.833 and 0.750, respectively. All of the primers also amplified in the related species *S. nigrum*.
- *Conclusions:* The developed markers will provide valuable tools for genetic diversity analysis, genetic mapping, and marker-assisted breeding of potato and related *Solanum* species.

Key words: genetic diversity; intron targeting; potato; *Solanum nigrum*; transferability.

The Solanaceae family includes a wide range of important crops, such as potato (*S. tuberosum* L.), eggplant (*S. melongena* L.), and tomato (*S. lycopersicum* L.), and is therefore a valuable source of vegetables as well as ornamental and medicinal plants. Due to their economic value, much effort is currently being invested in developing molecular tools to facilitate the improvement of these crops (Datema et al., 2008). Therefore, the development of more reliable and efficient molecular markers would be valuable in numerous areas of plant genetic analysis and breeding research (Gong et al., 2010). In the case of solanaceous plants, the relatively conserved nature of the gene structures makes it possible to use intron sequences as molecular markers. This high degree of conservation may be due to Solanaceae genomes having undergone relatively few genomic rearrangements and duplications and therefore having similar gene content and order (Mueller et al., 2005).

The close proximity of introns to exons makes them especially well suited for linkage disequilibrium studies that promise to add a powerful new dimension to the understanding and

improvement of crop gene pools. One effective strategy for exploiting this information and to generating gene-specific codominant markers is a method called Intron Targeting (IT). This method was first applied by Choi et al. (2004) to construct a linkage map of the legume *Medicago truncatula* Gaertn. The basic principle of IT relies on the fact that intron sequences are generally less conserved than exons, and they display polymorphism due to length and/or nucleotide variation in their alleles. Primers designed to anneal in conserved exons to amplify across introns can reveal length polymorphism in the targeted intron. Such primers can be designed for potato using the available sequences of known genes or by exploiting expressed sequence tag (EST) records from the NCBI database.

In this paper, we report the isolation and characterization of IT primers in potato and demonstrate their potential for breeding studies in different individuals and their transferability to a related species (*Solanum nigrum* L.).

METHODS AND RESULTS

The intron targeting primer design procedure used the blastn search mode of the blastall program from NCBI (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>) to find marker candidates with the E-value set to 10⁻²⁰ (up to August 2010). In the first step, sequences of 340 potato genes known to play a role in resistance mechanisms (e.g., catalase) and metabolic pathways (e.g., sucrose-synthesis) were screened to find primer candidates. We selected single- and low-copy genes as primary targets. In these cases, where the exon-intron structures of the genes were known, flanking primers were designed for the exons to amplify across the intercalated introns. In the second step, ~270 *S. tuberosum* EST sequences with unidentified exon-intron structures were analyzed as follows: potato EST sequences were

¹ Manuscript received 15 September 2010; revision accepted 29 September 2010.

István Cernák gratefully acknowledges support from the Magyary Zoltan post-doctoral fellowship grant. The authors thank D. Halterman and N. E. Bell for critical reading of the manuscript and valuable comments on an earlier version.

⁷ Author for correspondence: guanine@ex1.georgikon.hu

⁸ Authors with equal contribution

TABLE 1. Characterization of 29 intron targeting primers in potato and *Solanum nigrum*. Shown for each primer are the forward and reverse sequences, size of the original fragment (bp), annealing temperature (T_a), reported putative function acquired by searching the NCBI database with blastx with expected value $< 10^{-20}$, allele number and size range in potato and cross-species amplification products in *S. nigrum* L., and GenBank accession numbers. All values are based on 30 samples of the F₁ potato population and 22 samples representing natural populations from Hungary (Keszthely and Szolnok), and Croatia (Pula).

| Primer | Sequences (5′–3′) | Size | T_a | Putative function | No. of alleles and size ranges | GenBank Accession No. |
|------------|--|------|-------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Adk-242 | F: TGCTTTTAAAGTCGCACCA R: TTATATCCGGAGCATGTCCAC | 242 | 55 | Adenylate kinase gene | 4 (112–242) | AJ276864.1 |
| Adk-795 | F: GCATGGTTCCTTCCCTCCTG R: TGGGCCAGGAATTTTGCTATC | 795 | 54 | Adenylate kinase gene | 4 (805–385) | AJ276864.1 |
| Cat-232 | F: AGGAGGCCGATCTAGCCTTA R: TGTCAGAAAGGGGGTGTCTCGT | 232 | 55 | Potato catalase gene | 2 (210–232) | Z37106.1 |
| Cat-260 | F: TGACACAAATGCTGGTGGT R: AAGGTGGCAAGCTTCTCAAT | 260 | 53 | Potato catalase gene | 3 (260–310) | Z37106.2 |
| GPSS-275 | F: CTTTTGATGGGGCAGATTA R: CAGCTTCTGTCCAGCATCAG | 275 | 53 | ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase small-subunit gene | 3 (275–125) | L36648.1 |
| GPSS-943 | F: TCATGGTGAAGTTGTGTGA R: ACCACGGAAATGGTGAATCTT | 943 | 53 | ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase small-subunit gene | 7 (1043–150) | L36648.2 |
| INHWI-509 | F: TGAAACTCTCTTGGCAGCAA R: TTCTGGCCACCTTTGTTTTTC | 509 | 54 | Wound-inducible proteinase inhibitor I gene | 2 (509–205) | M17108.1 |
| INHWI-545 | F: TCAAGTTTGTCTCACATCTTGT R: TCGTGCCAAGAGAGTTTCAA | 545 | 54 | Wound-inducible proteinase inhibitor I gene | 4 (685–425) | M17108.1 |
| InvG-220 | F: ACAGGAATCACACCTGCACA R: TCTGCACCCTTAAGTCCACA | 220 | 54 | Invertase gene | 3 (280–150) | AJ133765.1 |
| InvG-262 | F: TTCTCATGTGCTCAGATGCT R: GAGGGCTTGTACATTGACTTCA | 262 | 53 | Invertase gene | 3 (182–262) | AJ133765.1 |
| InvG-393 | F: TGGTTACCATTTTCAGCCAGA R: CCATTGAAATACATTGGTGTCTG | 393 | 55 | Invertase gene | 3 (320–393) | AJ133765.2 |
| LBr-G9 | F: TGGACTTGAAGATGGCACTG R: TTGCTCTCAAATCCCACACA | 652 | 55 | Transducin family protein | 3 (1100–652) | CO267873.1 |
| LBr-4D6 | F: GAGTATTCATTCGGGCTTGG R: CTCTACCGACCCGTAGCAAG | 196 | 54 | Plastidic ATP/ADP-transporter protein | 4 (597–196) | CO267884.1 |
| PatI-433 | F: TCAAGCTTCGTCATTCACAAA R: TCAGACGCATCATCCATTTC | 433 | 54 | Potato patatin class I. gene | 3 (510–285) | M18880 |
| PatI-838 | F: CGAACATGGCCCTCATATTT R: TGCACACGAGTTTCTCCAAG | 838 | 53 | Potato patatin class I. gene | 5 (938–145) | M18880 |
| Pat-In3 | F: CAGAAAGTTGCCATCTCAAGC R: GCTGCTGCTGTGGAATAACA | 581 | 53 | Potato patatin gene Intron 3 | 4 (595–220) | X03932.1 |
| Poni1a-718 | F: GGTGTTGGTGGTAGCTCAGT R: CCCARRGGCATTAACACTCC | 718 | 55 | Potato membrane protein | 3 (850–718) | AJ309301.1 |
| Poni1a-442 | F: TTTGCCTCGGAACCTCTCAG R: GCCTCAGAAGCAAAGCAAAT | 442 | 54 | Potato membrane protein | 3 (510–295) | AJ309301.2 |
| Ry1-In3 | F: AATGCAGAAGGTGCAACGAT R: TGGGCGAAATTTTCATTAACA | 199 | 54 | Ry1 resistance gene-like function protein intron 3 | 2 (450–199) | AJ300266 |
| Ry1-In4 | F: TCGAAAAATTCATAATGCAAA R: GATTGCTTCGATAGCCTTGG | 477 | 55 | Ry1 resistance gene-like function protein intron 4 | 6 (125–590) | AJ300267 |
| Ry1-In5 | F: CCAGCAGAGTTCACGTTTTCA R: GTTGCACAGCTGCTGAGAT | 481 | 54 | Ry1 resistance gene-like function protein intron 5 | 2 (481–501) | AJ300268 |
| Ry1-In6 | F: GCTCTCGTCTCCACTTCTGC R: AACTCCTCAGCAACTGCACA | 741 | 56 | Ry1 resistance gene-like function protein intron 5 | 7 (751–110) | AJ300269 |
| S2-317 | F: CGGCCAGTTACAATCTGTC R: AATCCAGTGGTGGTCCAGAG | 202 | 55 | Self-incompatibility locus linked stylar Rnase gene | 6 (455–202) | X62727 |
| STAC1-226 | F: GTCTTCCCGCTTTCAAAGAT R: TCAGCAAGGCAAAACATGAG | 226 | 53 | 1-Aminocyclo- propane-1-carboxylate synthase gene | 4 (135–295) | Z27235.1 |
| Suc16-321 | F: TCACCGCAATGAGATACTGC R: TATCCCTTTTCCGTGGCTTT | 321 | 54 | Sucrose synthase gene | 5 (270–385) | U24087.1 |
| Suc16-349 | F: TGACGTTGAGAATGACGAACA R: CCAACCTTGCATTGTGAAT | 349 | 55 | Sucrose synthase gene | 4 (605–275) | U24087.1 |
| UBQ-627 | F: TCTCAATTGCCTTCAATTTCTC R: TCCGGTGAGAGTTTTCACAA | 627 | 53 | Polyubiquitin gene | 6 (715–520) | U26831.1 |
| Ure-242 | F: TGCTTTTAAAGTTCGCACCA R: TTATATCCGGAGCATGTCCAC | 242 | 56 | Ure gene for Urease | 2 (242–257) | AJ276865.1 |
| Ure-271 | F: GAGCAGCCACGAGATTTGA R: CACAAATCAATGCCCAAGC | 271 | 55 | Ure gene for Urease | 2 (271–451) | AJ276865.2 |

compared with known genes of tomato or *Arabidopsis thaliana* in TAIR, The Arabidopsis Information Resource, using a set of algorithms implemented in the SPLIGN software tool (Kapustin et al., 2008), was enlisted to find the putative exon and intron sequences. This was achieved by aligning the spliced tran-

script sequence with its parent genomic sequence to identify correct exon-intron junctions. We preferred a product size within the range of 200–1200 bp and used filtering parameters accordingly to select suitable exons. After locating the precise positions of the introns, the joined sequences of the flanking

exons were passed to the primer designer program PRIMER 3 (<http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/input.htm>). Finally, a total of 56 oligonucleotide primer pairs were designed.

Thirty individuals of a potato F_1 segregating population originating from a cross between the cultivar White Lady and breeding line S440 were used to demonstrate the utility of the designed primers for breeding studies. In addition,

TABLE 2. Results of initial primer screening in potato (*S. tuberosum*) and black nightshade (*S. nigrum*). Number of alleles (A), observed heterozygosity (H_o), and expected heterozygosity (H_E) for each population are shown.

| Locus | F1 potato ($N = 30$) | | | Keszthely ($N = 10$) | | |
|------------|------------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|
| | A | H_o | H_E | A | H_o | H_E |
| Adk-242 | 4 | 0.364 | 0.723 | 4 | 0.500 | 0.708 |
| Adk-795 | 4 | 0.545 | 0.636 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.583 |
| Cat-232 | 2 | 0.500 | 0.375 | 2 | 0.833 | 0.486 |
| Cat-260 | 3 | 0.273 | 0.455 | 3 | 0.273 | 0.247 |
| GPSS-275 | 3 | 0.636 | 0.498 | 3 | 0.636 | 0.749 |
| GPSS-943 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.597 | 3 | 0.455 | 0.567 |
| INHWI-509 | 2 | 0.333 | 0.444 | 2 | 0.167 | 0.153 |
| INHWI-545 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.597 | 4 | 0.545 | 0.636 |
| InvG-220 | 3 | 0.455 | 0.567 | 3 | 0.428 | 0.562 |
| InvG-262 | 3 | 0.451 | 0.684 | 3 | 0.500 | 0.640 |
| InvG-393 | 3 | 0.429 | 0.571 | 3 | 0.400 | 0.480 |
| LBr-G9 | 3 | 0.636 | 0.749 | 3 | 0.273 | 0.455 |
| LBr-4D6 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.583 | 4 | 0.455 | 0.567 |
| PatI-433 | 3 | 0.818 | 0.775 | 3 | 0.714 | 0.670 |
| PatI-838 | 3 | 0.833 | 0.569 | 2 | 0.833 | 0.486 |
| Pat-In3 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.583 | 4 | 0.714 | 0.626 |
| Poni1a-718 | 3 | 0.727 | 0.589 | 3 | 0.364 | 0.450 |
| Poni1a-442 | 3 | 0.714 | 0.670 | 3 | 0.455 | 0.526 |
| Ry1-In3 | 2 | 0.091 | 0.091 | 2 | 0.182 | 0.314 |
| Ry1-In4 | 3 | 0.273 | 0.247 | 3 | 0.636 | 0.498 |
| Ry1-In5 | 2 | 0.455 | 0.567 | 2 | 0.285 | 0.498 |
| Ry1-In6 | 3 | 0.364 | 0.671 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.750 |
| S2-317 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.625 | 2 | 0.285 | 0.244 |
| STAC1-226 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.625 | 4 | 0.500 | 0.708 |
| Suc16-321 | 2 | 0.143 | 0.337 | 3 | 0.333 | 0.653 |
| Suc16-349 | 4 | 0.500 | 0.708 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.583 |
| UBQ-627 | 3 | 0.285 | 0.255 | 3 | 0.500 | 0.568 |
| Ure-242 | 2 | 0.200 | 0.500 | 2 | 0.200 | 0.180 |
| Ure-271 | 2 | 0.300 | 0.420 | 2 | 0.285 | 0.244 |

| Locus | Szolnok ($N = 7$) | | | Pula ($N = 5$) | | |
|------------|---------------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|
| | A | H_o | H_E | A | H_o | H_E |
| Adk-242 | 4 | 0.545 | 0.636 | 4 | 0.545 | 0.636 |
| Adk-795 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.583 | 4 | 0.545 | 0.636 |
| Cat-232 | 2 | 0.500 | 0.375 | 2 | 0.833 | 0.486 |
| Cat-260 | 3 | 0.200 | 0.500 | 3 | 0.200 | 0.500 |
| GPSS-275 | 3 | 0.429 | 0.571 | 3 | 0.636 | 0.749 |
| GPSS-943 | 3 | 0.451 | 0.684 | 3 | 0.429 | 0.571 |
| INHWI-509 | 2 | 0.333 | 0.444 | 2 | 0.333 | 0.444 |
| INHWI-545 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.625 | 4 | 0.500 | 0.708 |
| InvG-220 | 3 | 0.400 | 0.340 | 3 | 0.100 | 0.255 |
| InvG-262 | 3 | 0.428 | 0.540 | 3 | 0.200 | 0.180 |
| InvG-393 | 3 | 0.285 | 0.255 | 3 | 0.425 | 0.532 |
| LBr-G9 | 3 | 0.455 | 0.526 | 3 | 0.167 | 0.292 |
| LBr-4D6 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.750 | 4 | 0.333 | 0.681 |
| PatI-433 | 3 | 0.833 | 0.569 | 3 | 0.167 | 0.486 |
| PatI-838 | 2 | 0.833 | 0.486 | 2 | 0.833 | 0.486 |
| Pat-In3 | 4 | 0.545 | 0.636 | 4 | 0.500 | 0.542 |
| Poni1a-718 | 3 | 0.636 | 0.749 | 3 | 0.116 | 0.278 |
| Poni1a-442 | 3 | 0.451 | 0.684 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Ry1-In3 | 2 | 0.100 | 0.255 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Ry1-In4 | 3 | 0.451 | 0.684 | 3 | 0.333 | 0.500 |
| Ry1-In5 | 2 | 0.273 | 0.247 | 2 | 0.500 | 0.611 |
| Ry1-In6 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.583 | 4 | 0.284 | 0.791 |
| S2-317 | 2 | 0.200 | 0.180 | 2 | 0.273 | 0.247 |
| STAC1-226 | 4 | 0.636 | 0.749 | 4 | 0.364 | 0.723 |
| Suc16-321 | 3 | 0.273 | 0.247 | 3 | 0.182 | 0.274 |
| Suc16-349 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.583 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.583 |
| UBQ-627 | 3 | 0.285 | 0.255 | 3 | 0.182 | 0.512 |
| Ure-242 | 2 | 0.273 | 0.247 | 2 | 0.091 | 0.091 |
| Ure-271 | 2 | 0.285 | 0.255 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

to evaluate the transferability of the IT primers 22 individuals of *Solanum nigrum* were employed to perform cross-species amplification. These individuals represented three natural populations located in Hungary (Keszthely, Szolnok) and Croatia (Pula). Voucher specimens were deposited in the University of Pannonia, Keszthely, Hungary (Appendix 1). Genomic DNA from each individual was extracted from 50 mg of young fresh leaves according to the protocol of Walbot and Warren (1988). PCR was carried out in 20- μ L volume containing 20 ng of genomic DNA, 0.2 mM of dNTPs, 2 μ L 1 \times PCR buffer, 20 pmol of each primer, and 0.4 Unit DynaZyme DNA polymerase (Finnzymes, Espoo, Finland). PCR was performed on a RoboCycler 96 (Stratagene, La Jolla, California, USA) with an initial 3 min of denaturation at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, appropriate annealing temperature (Table 1) for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The PCR products were separated on 1.5% agarose gel (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) in 0.5 \times TBE (Tris-HCl-Boric acid-EDTA) buffer (220 V; 1.5 h) and stained with ethidium bromide. In many cases where the polymorphisms manifested as small size difference, the PCR products were separated on 2.5% Metaphor agarose gels (Cambrex, East Rutherford, New Jersey, USA). The genetic statistics based on the potato F₁ population of 30 individuals and on the three *Solanum nigrum* populations of 22 individuals were calculated using the program POPGENE (version 1.31; Yeh et al., 1999) including the number of alleles (*A*), observed heterozygosity (*H_o*) and expected heterozygosity (*H_e*). The results indicated that 29 loci showed clear polymorphic patterns (Table 1) while others could not amplified. The number of alleles ranged from 2 to 7 in the analyzed populations. The observed heterozygosity ranged from 0 to 0.833, and the expected heterozygosity ranged from 0 to 0.750 (Table 2). The results from cross-species amplification in *Solanum nigrum* populations revealed that all 29 primers generated specific and polymorphic products. These results demonstrate the potential of these newly developed IT markers for further genetic analysis in potato and related *Solanum* species.

CONCLUSIONS

The sequence-based IT markers developed here may have wider application within different populations of potato and related species in the genus *Solanum*. These primers may potentially useful in applied research fields such as solanaceous crop breeding programs, as further primers could easily be designed based on the methods described here. Due to their polymorphism

and close association with functional genes, these markers will also be useful in studies of genetic diversity in natural populations and germplasm collections, as well as for genetic mapping of potato and related species. In conclusion, the IT method is a simple and efficient marker system that can be adapted for different purposes in plant molecular genetics. It is simple to use due to being agarose-based and produces codominant markers for potato research and breeding as well as for genetic diversity analysis in the genus *Solanum*.

LITERATURE CITED

- CHOI, H. K., D. KIM, T. UHM, E. LIMPENS, H. LIM, J.-H. MUN, P. KALO, ET AL. 2004. A sequence-based genetic map of *Medicago trunculata* and comparison of marker colinearity with *M. sativa*. *Genetics* 166: 1463–1502.
- DATEMA, E., L. A. MUELLER, R. BUELS, J. J. GIOVANNONI, R. G. F. VISSER, W. J. STIEKEMA, AND R. C. H. J. VAN HAM. 2008. Comparative BAC end sequence analysis of tomato and potato reveals overrepresentation of specific gene families in potato. *BMC Plant Biology* 8: 34.
- GONG, Y. M., S. C. XU, W. H. MAO, Q. Z. HU, G. W. ZHANG, J. DING, AND Z. Y. LI. 2010. Generation and characterization of 11 novel EST derived microsatellites from *Vicia faba* (Fabaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 97: e69–e71.
- KAPUSTIN, Y., A. SOUVOROV, T. TATUSOVA, AND D. LIPMAN. 2008. SPLIGN: Algorithms for computing spliced alignments with identification of paralogs. *Biology Direct* 3: 20.
- MUELLER, L. A., T. H. SOLO, N. TAYLOR, B. SKWARECKI, R. BUELS, J. BINNS, C. LIN, ET AL. 2005. The SOL genomics network: A comparative resource for Solanaceae biology and beyond. *Plant Physiology* 138: 1310–1317.
- WALBOT, V., AND C. WARREN. 1988. Regulation of Mu element copy number in maize lines with an active or inactive mutator transposable element system. *Molecular & General Genetics* 211: 27–34.
- YEH, F. C., R. C. YAND, AND T. BOYLE. 1999. POPGENE (Version 1.31): Microsoft Window-bases freeware for population genetic analysis, University of Alberta and the Centre for International Forestry Research. <http://www.ualberta.ca/~fyeh/index.htm>.

APPENDIX 1. Representative voucher specimens deposited at the Georgikon Faculty, University of Pannonia (GF-UP).

Taxon — Voucher specimens and locality.

Solanum nigrum — Hungary, Keszthely — UPG0013.1, UPG0013.2, UPG0013.3, UPG0013.4, UPG0013.5, UPG0013.6, UPG0013.6, UPG0013.7, UPG0013.8, UPG0013.9, UPG0013.10;

Hungary, Szolnok — UPG0015.1, UPG0015.2, UPG0015.3, UPG0015.4, UPG0015.5, UPG0015.6, UPG0015.7;

Croatia, Pula — UPG0016.1, UPG0016.2, UPG0016.3, UPG0016.4, UPG0016.5

Solanum tuberosum — PRC0WL, PRC0S440, PRC0B13, PRC00F6, PRC00H9, PRC0453, PRC0086, PRC0464, PRC0460, PRC0458, PRC0461, PRC0446, PRC0079, PRC0070, PRC0085, PRC0054, PRC00A4, PRC0465, PRC0454, PRC0G11, PRC0456, PRC0001, PRC0457, PRC0455, PRC0450, PRC0008, PRC0447, PRC0445, PRC0021, PRC0451