# CHALLENGING OR REINFORCING SOCIAL PREJUDICE?

Helen Longino, Ph.D.
Professor of Philosophy
Stanford University

### **AGGRESSION IN ANIMALS**

- i. stereotyped motor behavior (flank marking)
- ii. offensive reaction to 'intruders' in cage
- iii. maternal (protective) aggression
- iv. sexual competition
- v. others

### **AGGRESSION IN HUMANS**

- i. conviction of violent crime
- ii. fighting in prison
- iii. delinquency (including truancy and drug use)
- iv. violent rage (verbal or physical)
- v. anger, irritability, verbal aggression
- vi. hitting a doll
- vii. diagnosis of Antisocial Personality or Oppositional Defiant Disorder or Childhood Conduct Disorder

- viii. score on psychological testing instrument
  - \_a. B-D Hostility Inventory
  - -b. Aggression subscale of Child Behavior Checklist
  - -c. Gray and Cloninger personality dimensions (impulsivity, anxiety, reward dependence)
  - \_d. Others

## **Sexual Orientation—Kinsey**

- Exclusively heterosexual
- Predominantly heterosexual, only incidentally homosexual
- Predominantly heterosexual, more than incidentally homosexual
- Equally heterosexual and homosexual
- Predominantly homosexual, but more than incidentally heterosexual
- Predominantly homosexual, only incidentally heterosexual
- Exclusively homosexual

# Sexual Orientation -- Whalen, Geary, and Johnson

### Orthogonal dimensions

- degree of arousability,
- frequency of sexual interaction,
- number of partners,
- sexual identity of partner
- aspects of partner other than sexual identity.

### **Gender**

- Variation in physical aggression
- Variation in risk aversion
- Variation in empathy and sociability
- Variation in childhood gender-stereotyped behaviors
- Variation in cognitive abilities
- Verbal
- Mathematical
- \*
- \*
- \*

### Construction of object of inquiry

- Semantic process
  - selective elimination,
  - re-aggregation.

# In behavioral research on aggression, sexual orientation, gender difference, others

3 aspects interact in the semantic process

- the shared context of origin, our folk psychology,
- the requirements for creating a studiable object of inquiry, and
- ontological presuppositions, especially individualism.

### Shared context of origin

- Our interest in behavior lies primarily in the domain of our moral lives and discourse
  - -- why did so and so do thus and such?
  - -- what makes so and so act like that?
- Folk psychological system of classification and explanation of action that coordinates with our practices of moral judgment.

# Creation of studiable object

- A) Isolation of phenomena that can be studied, i.e.
- reliably identified,
- re-identified as of a particular type,
- whose frequency can be measured.

- B) behaviors decontextualized,
- event types
- represented as participating in natural regularities.
- C) recontextualized,
- classified with other phenomena.

## Shared ontological presupposition

### Methodological individualism:

- 1. The behavior of groups or populations
  - aggregate of behaviors of individuals and
  - thus best studied at the individual level.
- 2. Causation internal to the individual

### **CONCLUDING POINTS**

1. The actual object of research, the phenomenon investigated, is not identical to the common abstract object.

Research produces at best partial knowledge of a limited subset of the behaviors of interest.

2. Conflation of the common abstract object with a studiable object obscures the particularity and possible limited generalizability of the empirical studies.

3. The focus on the common abstract object marginalizes alternative (non-individualistic) approaches.

### 4. Reinforces belief in inherent group differences