



The Behavior of the Renewal Sequence in Case the Tail of the Waiting-Time Distribution Is Regularly Varying with Index -1

Author(s): J. B. G. Frenk

Source: *Advances in Applied Probability*, Vol. 14, No. 4 (Dec., 1982), pp. 870-884

Published by: [Applied Probability Trust](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1427028>

Accessed: 08/09/2014 08:30

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Applied Probability Trust is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Advances in Applied Probability*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

THE BEHAVIOR OF THE RENEWAL SEQUENCE IN CASE THE TAIL OF THE WAITING-TIME DISTRIBUTION IS REGULARLY VARYING WITH INDEX -1

J. B. G. FRENK,* *Erasmus University, Rotterdam*

Abstract

A second-order asymptotic result for the probability of occurrence of a persistent and aperiodic recurrent event is given if the tail of the distribution of the waiting time for this event is regularly varying with index -1 .

RENEWAL THEORY; REGULAR VARIATION

1. Introduction and results

Suppose ε is a persistent and aperiodic recurrent event (for definition see [6], p. 308) and define:

$$f_n \triangleq \Pr \{ \varepsilon \text{ occurs for the first time at the } n\text{th trial} \}.$$

$$u_n \triangleq \Pr \{ \varepsilon \text{ occurs at the } n\text{th trial} \}.$$

$$u_0 \triangleq 1, \quad f_0 \triangleq 0.$$

($\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the so-called renewal sequence.)

Using the probabilistic interpretation this yields $u_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k u_{n-k}$ for $n \geq 1$. Kolmogorov [10] and independently Erdős, Pollard and Feller [4] proved

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu} & \text{for } \mu < \infty \\ 0 & \text{for } \mu = \infty \end{cases}$$

with $\mu \triangleq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n f_n$. Garsia and Lamperti [8] obtained a stronger result when $\mu = \infty$ for a certain class of lattice probability distributions F . They proved with

Received 3 July 1981.

* Postal address: Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam, Faculteit der Economische Wetenschappen, Postbus 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

$$F(n) \triangleq \sum_{m=0}^n f_m \text{ and } m(n) \triangleq \sum_{m=0}^n 1 - F(m) \text{ (} n \in \mathbb{N} \text{)}$$

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(a) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(n)u_n &= \frac{\sin \pi\alpha}{\pi(1-\alpha)} \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1 \\ &\text{if } 1 - F(n) \in \text{RVS}_{-\alpha}^\infty; \\ \text{(b) } \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(n)u_n &= \frac{\sin \pi\alpha}{\pi(1-\alpha)} \text{ for } 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ &\text{if } 1 - F(n) \in \text{RVS}_{-\alpha}^\infty. \end{aligned}$$

(For the definition of $\text{RVS}_{-\alpha}^\infty$ the reader is referred to the next section.)

Erickson [5] considered the case $\alpha = 1$ and proved

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(n)u_n = 1 \text{ if } 1 - F(n) \in \text{RVS}_{-1}^\infty.$$

In this paper we are going to prove among some other results the following statement which is stronger than Erickson's.

Theorem.

$$(1.4) \quad 1 - F(n) \in \text{RVS}_{-1}^\infty \Leftrightarrow -u_{[t]} \in \Pi^\infty.$$

($[t] \triangleq$ integral part of t : for the definition of Π^∞ the reader is referred to the next section.)

Both relations imply

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_n - \frac{1}{m(n)}}{n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = 0.$$

2. Proofs

Using the theory of Banach algebras we provide a proof of the theorem in the case $\mu < \infty$. This method of proving the theorem for this special case will be given because of its brevity. It is not possible to use the same method when μ is infinite and we therefore give a proof of this case using the Fourier representation of μ_n . (This proof also applies to the case $\mu < \infty$.) However, before starting we need some definitions and lemmas.

Definition 1. A sequence of eventually positive numbers $\{c(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a regularly varying sequence of index ρ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c([\lambda n])}{c(n)} = \lambda^\rho \quad \forall \lambda > 0 \quad (\triangleq: c(n) \in \text{RVS}_\rho^\infty).$$

An ultimately positive function R on $(0, \infty)$ is called regularly varying with index ρ if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (R(\lambda t)/R(t)) = \lambda^\rho \forall \lambda > 0$. (\triangleq : $R(t) \in \text{RVF}_\rho^\infty$).

The following lemma shows that the theory of regularly varying functions also applies to regularly varying sequences.

Lemma 1. If $\{c(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a regularly varying sequence of index ρ , the function R defined on $[0, \infty)$ by $R(t) \triangleq c([t])$ is a regularly varying function of index ρ .

Proof. See [14].

Definition 2. A sequence $\{c(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ belongs to the class IIS^∞ if there exists a sequence $L(n) \in \text{RVS}_0^\infty$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (c([nx]) - c(n))/L(n) = \log x \forall x > 0$ (\triangleq : $c(n) \in \text{IIS}^\infty$). A function R on $(0, \infty)$ belongs to the class II^∞ if there exists a function $L(t)$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (R(tx) - R(t))/L(t) = \log x \forall x > 0$ (\triangleq : $R(t) \in \text{II}^\infty$). ($L(t)$ is then automatically in RVF_0^∞ .) The following lemma shows that the theory of the class II^∞ also applies to the class IIS^∞ .

Lemma 2. If $\{c(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \text{IIS}^\infty$ the function R defined on $(0, \infty)$ by $R(t) \triangleq c([t])$ is in II^∞ .

Proof. Using the definition of IIS^∞ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c([[nx] z]) - c(n)}{L(n)} \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c([[nx] z]) - c([nx])}{L([nx])} \cdot \frac{L([nx])}{L(n)} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c([nx]) - c(n)}{L(n)} = \log z + \log x \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x, z > 0$.

This implies (take $x = \frac{1}{2}$; $z = 2$; $n = 2k + 1$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$)) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(c(n + 1) - c(n))/L(n)] = 0$. Hence for all $x > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c([tx]) - c([t])}{L([t])} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c([tx]) - c([[t] x]) }{L([tx])} \cdot \frac{L([tx])}{L([t])} + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c([[t] x]) - c([t])}{L([t])} \\ &= \log x \text{ since } [tx] - [[t] x] \text{ is bounded.} \end{aligned}$$

Case $\mu < \infty$. Define $\hat{U}(s) \triangleq \sum_{n=0}^\infty u_n s^n$ and $\hat{F}(s) \triangleq \sum_{n=0}^\infty f_n s^n$ for $|s| < 1$. Before proving the theorem we recall the following result.

Lemma 3. If $\hat{F}(e^{it_0}) = 1$ for some $t_0 \neq 0$ and $\hat{F}(e^{it})$ is the characteristic function of F , F should be a lattice distribution with the point spectrum contained in the set $\{2k\pi/t_0; k = 0, 1, \dots\}$.

Proof. [9], p. 94.

Proof of the theorem (in the case $\mu < \infty$). We have $\hat{U}(s) = 1/(1 - \hat{F}(s))$ for

$|s| < 1$ ([6], p. 311). Define

$$\hat{M}(s) \triangleq \frac{1 - \hat{F}(s)}{1 - s} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - F(n))s^n \quad \text{for } |s| \leq 1.$$

Since $\mu \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kf_k < \infty$ we obtain using the monotone convergence theorem $\lim_{s \uparrow 1} \hat{M}(s) = \mu > 0$. Since ε is aperiodic we have, by Lemma 3, $1 - \hat{F}(e^{it_0}) \neq 0$ for $t_0 \neq 2k\pi$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$). Obviously $1 - \hat{F}(s) > 0$ for $|s| < 1$. It follows $|\hat{M}(s)| > 0$ for $|s| \leq 1$ and hence using a theorem of Wiener ([13], p. 665) we obtain $1/\hat{M}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n s^n$ with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| < \infty$ and $|s| \leq 1$. This implies together with $1 - F(n) \in \text{RVS}_{-1}^{\infty}$ ([1], p. 258)

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda_n \sim -\frac{1}{\mu^2}(1 - F(n)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

(take $d_n = 1 - F(n)$ and $\Lambda(x) = 1/x$).

Since $\hat{U}(s) = 1/((1 - s)\hat{M}(s))$ we get $u_n = \sum_{p=0}^n \lambda_p$ for all $n \geq 0$. Consequently $\sum_{n=0}^p u_n - (p + 1)u_p = -\sum_{n=1}^p n\lambda_n$. Using (2.1) and Lemma 1 we get

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^p u_n - (p + 1)u_p}{p^2(1 - F(p))} = -\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^p n\lambda_n}{p^2(1 - F(p))} = \frac{1}{\mu^2}.$$

Combining this with

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{n=0}^p u_n - \frac{1}{m(p)}}{p(1 - F(p))} = \frac{1}{\mu^2}$$

([7], Theorem 3) we get

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_p - \frac{1}{m(p)}}{p(1 - F(p))} = 0.$$

Clearly this implies $-u_{[t]} \in \Pi^{\infty}$ since $-(1/m([t])) \in \Pi^{\infty}$. The converse statement ($-u_{[t]} \in \Pi^{\infty} \Rightarrow 1 - F(n) \in \text{RVS}_{-1}^{\infty}$) will be proved at the end of this section.

We remark that the renewal theorem of Kolmogorov (for the case $\mu < \infty$) can be proved easily using Wiener's theorem. Using the same method we can also prove a second-order asymptotic result for the case $\alpha > 1$.

Lemma 4.

$$1 - F(n) \in \text{RVS}_{-\alpha}^{\infty} (\alpha > 1) \Leftrightarrow u_n - \frac{1}{\mu} \in \text{RVS}_{1-\alpha}^{\infty}.$$

Both imply

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_n - (1/\mu)}{n(1 - F(n))} = \frac{1}{\mu^2(\alpha - 1)}.$$

Proof. Since

$$u_n - \frac{1}{\mu} = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k = - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda_k;$$

$\lambda_n \sim (-1/\mu^2)(1 - F(n))$ if $1 - F(n) \in \text{RV}_{-\alpha}^{\infty}$ ([1], p. 258) and Lemma 1 we obtain the desired result.

To prove the converse statement we consider the following cases.

(a) $1 < \alpha < 2 \Rightarrow \sum_{p=0}^n u_p - (n/\mu) \in \text{RV}_{2-\alpha}^{\infty}$ and applying [11], Theorem A, yields $1 - F(n) \in \text{RV}_{-\alpha}^{\infty}$.

(b) $\alpha = 2 \Rightarrow \sum_{p=0}^n u_p - (n/\mu) \in \text{IIS}^{\infty}$ and applying [7], Theorem 2, yields $1 - F(n) \in \text{RV}_{-2}^{\infty}$.

(c) $\alpha > 2 \Rightarrow \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} (u_p - (1/\mu)) \in \text{RV}_{2-\alpha}^{\infty}$ and applying [7], Theorem 1, yields $1 - F(n) \in \text{RV}_{-\alpha}^{\infty}$.

The case $\mu = \infty$. Define $\phi(\theta) \triangleq \int_0^{\infty} e^{i\theta x} dF(x)$ with F some probability distribution on $(0, \infty)$. Hence in our case $\phi(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{i\theta n} f_n$.

Before we start with the proof of the theorem we state the following lemma.

Lemma 5. If the tail of the distribution F is regularly varying with index -1 and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ is some chosen number we can find $A_1, A_2, A_3 > 0$ such that

$$\forall n \geq A_1 \forall \theta \in [A_2, \varepsilon n] \frac{\left| \text{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) - \text{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) \right|}{1 - F(n)} \leq A_3 \cdot \theta^{\gamma} \quad \text{with } \gamma < 1.$$

Proof. The definition of ϕ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) - \text{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) &= - \int_0^{\infty} \int_{(\theta - \pi)x/n}^{\theta x/n} \sin z \, dz \, dF(x) \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \left(F\left(\frac{nz}{\theta}\right) - F\left(\frac{nz}{\theta - \pi}\right) \right) \sin z \, dz. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\text{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) - \text{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) \right) = \int_0^{\infty} \left(F(nw) - F\left(nw\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta - \pi}\right)\right) \right) \sin \theta w \, dw.$$

Divide $\int_0^{\infty} (F(nw) - F(nw\theta/(\theta - \pi))) \sin \theta w \, dw$ into two parts, the first part

$$I_1(\theta, n, \eta) \triangleq \int_0^{\theta^{-\eta}} \left(F(nw) - F\left(nw\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta - \pi}\right)\right) \right) \sin \theta w \, dw$$

and the second

$$I_2(\theta, n, \eta) \triangleq \int_{\theta^{-n}}^{\infty} \left(F(nw) - F\left(nw\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta - \pi}\right)\right) \right) \sin \theta w \, dw$$

with $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} - 1))$.

Consider $I_1(\theta, n, \eta)$. Using Fubini's theorem we get

$$I_1(\theta, n, \eta) = \theta \int_0^{\theta^{-n}} \int_p^{\theta^{-n}} \left(F\left(nw\left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\theta - \pi}\right)\right) - F(nw) \right) dw \cos \theta p \, dp.$$

Since

$$\int_p^{\theta^{-n}} 1 - F\left(nw\left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\theta - \pi}\right)\right) dw = \frac{\theta - \pi}{\theta} \int_{p(1 + \pi/(\theta - \pi))}^{\theta^{-n}(1 + \pi/(\theta - \pi))} 1 - F(nw) \, dw$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_p^{\theta^{-n}} F\left(nw\left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\theta - \pi}\right)\right) - F(nw) \, dw \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\theta} \int_{p(1 + \pi/(\theta - \pi))}^{\theta^{-n}(1 + \pi/(\theta - \pi))} (1 - F(nw)) \, dw + \int_p^{p(1 + \pi/(\theta - \pi))} (1 - F(nw)) \, dw \\ & \quad - \int_{\theta^{-n}}^{\theta^{-n}(1 + \pi/(\theta - \pi))} (1 - F(nw)) \, dw. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these relations we have

$$I_1(\theta, n, \eta) = \theta I_{11}(\theta, n, \eta) + \theta I_{12}(\theta, n, \eta) - \theta I_{13}(\theta, n, \eta)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11}(\theta, n, \eta) &\triangleq \frac{\pi}{\theta} \int_0^{\theta^{-n}} \int_{p(1 + \pi/(\theta - \pi))}^{\theta^{-n}(1 + \pi/(\theta - \pi))} (1 - F(nw)) \, dw \cos \theta p \, dp \\ I_{12}(\theta, n, \eta) &\triangleq \int_0^{\theta^{-n}} \int_p^{p(1 + \pi/(\theta - \pi))} (1 - F(nw)) \, dw \cos \theta p \, dp \\ I_{13}(\theta, n, \eta) &\triangleq \int_0^{\theta^{-n}} \int_{\theta^{-n}}^{\theta^{-n}(1 + \pi/(\theta - \pi))} (1 - F(nw)) \, dw \cos \theta p \, dp. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1 we can apply the result stated by Pitman [12], Lemma 2;

$$\forall h, c > 0 \exists A_1(c, h) \ 0 \leq \frac{1 - F(nw)}{1 - F(n)} \leq \frac{A}{w^{1-h}}$$

for all $n \geq A_1(c, h)$, $0 < w < c$ and A some constant if $1 - F \in \text{RVF}_{-1}^{\infty}$ and $t^{1+h}(1 - F(t))$ is bounded in the neighbourhood of 0. (The condition $t^{1+h}(1 - F(t))$ is bounded in the neighbourhood of 0 is omitted in Pitman's lemma. One can easily construct a counterexample if the condition is not fulfilled.) Using

this inequality we find for $h = \eta$ and θ sufficiently large that for all $n \geq A_1(3, \eta)$

$$(a) \quad \frac{|I_{11}(\theta, n, \eta)|}{1 - F(n)} \leq A \int_0^{\theta^{-n}} \int_{p(1+\pi/(\theta-\pi))}^{\theta^{-n}(1+\pi/(\theta-\pi))} w^{-1-n} dw dp \leq \frac{C_1}{\theta} \cdot \theta^{n^2-n}$$

and C_1 some constant.

$$(b) \quad \frac{|I_{12}(\theta, n, \eta)|}{1 - F(n)} \leq A \int_0^{\theta^{-n}} \int_p^{p(1+\pi/(\theta-\pi))} w^{-1-n} dw dp$$

$$= \frac{A \cdot \pi}{(\theta - \pi)\eta} \left(\frac{1 - \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\theta - \pi}\right)^{-n}}{\frac{\pi}{\theta - \pi}} \right) \int_0^{\theta^{-n}} p^{-n} dp \leq \frac{C_2}{\theta} \cdot \theta^{n^2-n}$$

and C_2 some constant.

$$(c) \quad \frac{|I_{13}(\theta, n, \eta)|}{1 - F(n)} \leq A \int_{\theta^{-n}}^{\theta^{-n}(1+\pi/(\theta-\pi))} w^{-1-n} dw \left| \int_0^{\theta^{-n}} \cos \theta p dp \right|$$

$$= \frac{A \cdot \pi}{\eta(\theta - \pi)} \left(\frac{1 - \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\theta - \pi}\right)^{-n}}{\frac{\pi}{\theta - \pi}} \right) \theta^{n^2} \frac{1}{\theta} \left| \int_0^{\theta^{1-n}} \cos z dz \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{C_3}{\theta^2} \cdot \theta^{n^2}$$

and C_3 some constant.

Hence using these inequalities we get $\forall n \geq A_1(3, \eta)$.

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{|I_1(\theta, n, \eta)|}{1 - F(n)} \leq C_1 \cdot \theta^{n^2-n} + C_2 \cdot \theta^{n^2-n} + C_3 \cdot \theta^{n^2-1} \leq C_4 \cdot \theta^{n^2-n}$$

with C_4 some constant and θ sufficiently large.

Consider $I_2(\theta, n, \eta)$. Since

$$I_2(\theta, n, \eta) \triangleq \int_{\theta^{-n}}^{\infty} \left(1 - F\left(nw\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta - \pi}\right)\right) \right) - (1 - F(nw)) \sin \theta w dw$$

and $1 - F$ a positive non-increasing function we can apply Bonnet's form of the second mean-value theorem ([15], p. 17) to get

$$|I_2(\theta, n, \eta)| \leq \frac{2}{\theta} \left(1 - F\left(n\theta^{-n}\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta - \pi}\right)\right) \right) + \frac{2}{\theta} (1 - F(n\theta^{-n})).$$

Hence using Pitman's lemma we have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{|I_2(\theta, n, \eta)|}{1 - F(n)} \leq \frac{C_5}{\theta} (\theta^{-n})^{-1-n} = \frac{C_5}{\theta} \cdot \theta^{n^2+n}.$$

Combining (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) we obtain

$$\frac{\left| \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) \right|}{1 - F(n)} \leq C_4 \cdot \theta^{n^2 - n + 1} + C_5 \cdot \theta^{n^2 + n} \leq C_6 \cdot \theta^\gamma$$

with $\gamma \triangleq \max(\eta^2 - \eta + 1, \eta^2 + \eta)$ for all $n \geq A_1(3, \eta)$ and $\theta \in [A_2, \varepsilon n]$. Since $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} - 1)$ we have $\gamma < 1$.

Proof of the theorem. For u_n the following representation is well known ([5], p. 266 or [8], p. 226):

$$u_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi W(\theta) \cos n\theta \, d\theta \quad \text{and} \quad W(\theta) \triangleq \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \phi(\theta)} \right).$$

Hence for all $p > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi}{2} (u_n - u_{[np]}) &= \left(\int_0^{B/n} \cos n\theta W(\theta) \, d\theta - \int_0^{B/[np]} \cos ([np]\theta) W(\theta) \, d\theta \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{B/n}^\varepsilon \cos n\theta W(\theta) \, d\theta - \int_{B/[np]}^\varepsilon \cos ([np]\theta) W(\theta) \, d\theta \right) \\ &\quad + \int_\varepsilon^\pi (\cos n\theta - \cos ([np]\theta)) W(\theta) \, d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

We shall consider these three parts separately and prove

- (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\left(\int_0^{B/n} \cos n\theta W(\theta) \, d\theta - \int_0^{B/[np]} \cos ([np]\theta) W(\theta) \, d\theta \right)}{n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = \log p;$
- (b) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \frac{2}{\pi} \int_\varepsilon^\pi (\cos n\theta - \cos ([np]\theta)) W(\theta) \, d\theta \right|}{n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = 0;$
- (c) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{B/n}^\varepsilon \cos n\theta W(\theta) \, d\theta - \int_{B/[np]}^\varepsilon \cos ([np]\theta) W(\theta) \, d\theta \right|}{n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = O(B^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma - 1)}).$

In order to prove (c) and (b) it is sufficient to prove

- (c') $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{B/n}^\varepsilon \cos n\theta W(\theta) \, d\theta \right|}{n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = O(B^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma - 1)});$
- (b') $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \frac{2}{\pi} \int_\varepsilon^\pi \cos n\theta W(\theta) \, d\theta \right|}{n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = 0.$

We shall first provide the proof of (a) and (b') since the proof of (c') is lengthy and rather technical.

Proof of (a). Using partial integration we obtain for every $p \geq 1$ and $B > 0$

$$\int_0^{B/[np]} \cos ([np]\theta) W(\theta) d\theta = \cos B \int_0^{B/[np]} W(\theta) d\theta + [np] \int_0^{B/[np]} \sin ([np]\theta) \int_0^\theta W(z) dz d\theta.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^{B/n} \cos n\theta W(\theta) d\theta - \int_0^{B/[np]} \cos ([np]\theta) W(\theta) d\theta = \frac{\cos B}{n} \int_{nB/[np]}^B W\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) d\theta + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^B \sin \theta \int_{n\theta/[np]}^\theta W\left(\frac{s}{n}\right) ds d\theta.$$

Since $1 - F(n) \in RVS_{-1}^\infty$ we also have using Lemma 1 ([15], p. 271)

$$W\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \in RVF_{-1}^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad W\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{n^2(1 - F(n))}{m^2(n)}.$$

Combining the last two results it is easy to deduce

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \left(\int_0^{B/n} \cos n\theta W(\theta) d\theta - \int_0^{B/[np]} \cos ([np]\theta) W(\theta) d\theta \right)}{\pi n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = \log p.$$

Proof of (b'). Since $\cos n\theta = -\cos n(\theta + \pi/n)$ we obtain

$$2 \int_\epsilon^\pi \cos (n\theta) W(\theta) d\theta = \int_\epsilon^\pi \cos n\theta \left(W(\theta) - W\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \right) d\theta + \int_\epsilon^{\epsilon+(\pi/n)} \cos n\theta W\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{n}\right) d\theta - \int_\pi^{\pi+(\pi/n)} \cos n\theta W\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{n}\right) d\theta.$$

Because $W(\theta)$ is bounded on $[A, B]$ with $0 < A < B \leq \pi$ we get

$$(d) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \int_\epsilon^{\epsilon+(\pi/n)} \cos n\theta W\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{n}\right) d\theta \right|}{\frac{1}{n} W\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_\epsilon \cdot \frac{\pi}{W\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} = 0.$$

$$(e) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \int_\pi^{\pi+(\pi/n)} \cos n\theta W\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{n}\right) d\theta \right|}{\frac{1}{n} W\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_\pi \cdot \frac{\pi}{W\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} = 0.$$

Erickson proved ([5], Lemma 5)

$$|\phi(\theta_1) - \phi(\theta_2)| \leq \frac{2}{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|} \cdot m\left(\frac{1}{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|}\right) \quad \forall \theta_1 \neq \theta_2$$

and thus using the definition of $W(\theta)$

$$\left|W(\theta) - W\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\frac{\pi}{n} \cdot m\left(\frac{n}{\pi}\right)}{|1 - \phi(\theta)| \left|1 - \phi\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right|} \leq \frac{\pi}{n} C_{\varepsilon, \pi} m\left(\frac{n}{\pi}\right)$$

for $\theta \in [\varepsilon, \pi]$ and

$$C_{\varepsilon, \pi} = \max_{\theta \in [\varepsilon, \pi]} \left(\frac{1}{|1 - \phi(\theta)| \left|1 - \phi\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right|} \right).$$

(This is possible since ε is not periodic.) Hence

$$(f) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} \cos n\theta (W(\theta) - W(\theta - \pi/n)) d\theta \right|}{\frac{1}{n} W\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} = 0.$$

Using (d), (e), (f) and

$$\frac{1}{n} W\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sim \frac{\pi n(1 - F(n))}{2 m^2(n)}$$

we thus get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} \cos n\theta W(\theta) d\theta \right|}{n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = 0.$$

Proof of (c'). We write

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{B/n}^{\varepsilon} \cos n\theta W(\theta) d\theta &= \frac{1}{n} \int_B^{B+\pi} \cos \theta W\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) d\theta - \frac{1}{n} \int_{\varepsilon n}^{\varepsilon n + \pi} \cos \theta W\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) d\theta \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \int_B^{\varepsilon n} \cos \theta \left(W\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) - W\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) \right) d\theta \triangleq \frac{1}{n} (I_1(n) - I_2(n) + I_3(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_B^{B+\pi} \cos \theta W\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) d\theta}{W\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} = \int_B^{B+\pi} \frac{\cos \theta}{\theta - \pi} d\theta$$

and hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{n} |I_1(n)|}{\frac{1}{n} W\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} \leq \delta \text{ for } B \text{ sufficiently large and } \delta \text{ sufficiently small.}$$

Since $W(1/n) \rightarrow \infty (n \rightarrow \infty)$ and $W((\theta - \pi)/n)$ bounded on $\theta \in [\varepsilon n, \varepsilon n + \pi]$ we find

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{n} |I_2(n)|}{\frac{1}{n} W\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} = 0.$$

Finally we have to consider $1/n \cdot I_3(n)$. Using the definition of $W(\theta)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} W\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) - W\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) &= \frac{\operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)}{\left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)\right|^2} \\ &\quad + \left(1 - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right) \left(\frac{1}{\left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)\right|^2} - \frac{1}{\left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right|^2} \right) \\ &\triangleq \operatorname{Integrand}_{31}(\theta, n) + \operatorname{Integrand}_{32}(\theta, n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} I_3(n) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_B^{\varepsilon n} \cos \theta \cdot \operatorname{Integrand}_{31}(\theta, n) d\theta \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \int_B^{\varepsilon n} \cos \theta \cdot \operatorname{Integrand}_{32}(\theta, n) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

We first consider $1/n \int_B^{\varepsilon n} \cos \theta \cdot \operatorname{Integrand}_{31}(\theta, n) d\theta$. Erickson proved ([5], Lemma 5)

$$\theta m\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \leq k |1 - \phi(\theta)| \text{ for all } \theta \in (0, 2\pi) \text{ and } k \text{ some constant.}$$

Using this inequality, Pitman's result and Lemma 5 we find for $h = (1 - \gamma)/4 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\frac{1}{n} \int_B^{\varepsilon n} \cos \theta \cdot \operatorname{Integrand}_{31}(\theta, n) d\theta}{n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} \right| &\leq A_3 \cdot k^2 \int_B^{\varepsilon n} \frac{\theta^\gamma m^2(n) d\theta}{\theta^2 m^2(n/\theta)} \\ &= O\left(\int_B^{\varepsilon n} \theta^{\gamma+2h-2} d\theta\right) \leq O(B^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the second part of $(1/n) \cdot I_3(n)$. Since

$$|1 - \phi(\theta)|^2 = (1 - \operatorname{Re} \phi(\theta))^2 + \operatorname{Im}^2 \phi(\theta)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)\right|^2} - \frac{1}{\left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right|^2} = \frac{\left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right|^2 - \left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)\right|^2}{\left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)\right|^2 \left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right|^2}$$

we get the following relation:

$\operatorname{Integrand}_{32}(\theta, n) =$

$$\frac{\left(1 - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right) \left(\operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right) \left(1 - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) + 1 - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)\right)}{\left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)\right|^2 \left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right|^2} + \frac{\left(1 - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right) \left(\operatorname{Im} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) - \operatorname{Im} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)\right) \left(\operatorname{Im} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) + \operatorname{Im} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)\right)}{\left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right)\right|^2 \left|1 - \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right|^2}.$$

In a similar way as Erickson provides the proof of [5], Lemma 5, we get

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) - \operatorname{Im} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{n} m\left(\frac{n}{\pi}\right)$$

and

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right) - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{n} m\left(\frac{n}{\pi}\right) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [B, \varepsilon n].$$

Using the above relations and the mentioned inequality for $|1 - \phi(\theta)|$ we find

$|\operatorname{Integrand}_{32}(\theta, n)|$

$$\leq \frac{k^4 n^3 m\left(\frac{n}{\pi}\right) \pi \left(1 - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right) \left(2 - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right)}{m^2\left(\frac{n}{\theta - \pi}\right) m^2\left(\frac{n}{\theta}\right) \theta^2 (\theta - \pi)^2} + \frac{k^4 n^3 \pi m\left(\frac{n}{\pi}\right) \left(1 - \operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right) \left(\left|\operatorname{Im} \phi\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) + \operatorname{Im} \phi\left(\frac{\theta - \pi}{n}\right)\right|\right)}{m^2\left(\frac{n}{\theta - \pi}\right) m^2\left(\frac{n}{\theta}\right) \theta^2 (\theta - \pi)^2}$$

$$\triangleq \operatorname{Integrand}_{321}(\theta, n) + \operatorname{Integrand}_{322}(\theta, n).$$

First we consider $\text{Integrand}_{321}(\theta, n)$. Since $1 - \text{Re } \phi(1/n) \sim \frac{1}{2}\pi(1 - F(n))$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and $w^{1+h}(1 - \text{Re } \phi(1/w))$ is bounded in the neighbourhood of 0 we can apply Pitman's lemma ([12], Lemma 2) to the following integral and find for $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{6}$ and n sufficiently large

$$\frac{\left| \frac{1}{n} \int_B^{en} \cos \theta \cdot \text{Integrand}_{321}(\theta, n) d\theta \right|}{n^2(1 - F(n))^2 m^{-3}(n)} \leq C_7 \int_B^{en} \frac{(\theta - \pi)^{1+\eta}(\theta^{1+\eta} + (\theta - \pi)^{1+\eta})\theta^{2\eta}(\theta - \pi)^{2\eta} d\theta}{\theta^2(\theta - \pi)^2} \leq O(B^{-(1-6\eta)}).$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \frac{1}{n} \int_B^{en} \cos \theta \cdot \text{Integrand}_{321}(\theta, n) d\theta \right|}{n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} \leq O(B^{-(1-6\eta)}) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(1 - F(n))}{m(n)} = 0.$$

Since $\text{Im } \phi(1/n) \sim m(n)/n$ and η sufficiently small we find analogously

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \frac{1}{n} \int_B^{en} \cos \theta \cdot \text{Integrand}_{322}(\theta, n) d\theta \right|}{n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = O(B^{-1})$$

Combination of the above results yields

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|I_3(n)|}{n^2 \cdot (1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = O(B^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-1)})$$

and hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{B/n}^e \cos n\theta W(\theta) d\theta \right|}{n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = O(B^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-1)}).$$

The proof of (c') is now completed and we obtain by combination of (a), (b), (c)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_n - u_{[np]}}{n(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = \log p \quad \forall p > 0.$$

This implies by Lemma 2, [3], Proposition 2, and [2], p. 41,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{p=0}^n u_p - nu_n}{n^2(1 - F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = 1.$$

On the other hand we proved in [7], Theorem 3,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{p=0}^n u_p - \frac{n}{m(n)}}{n^2(1-F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = 1.$$

Combination of both relations yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_n - \frac{1}{m(n)}}{n(1-F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = 0.$$

We now prove the converse statement of the theorem. This statement is obvious since $-u_{[t]} \in \Pi^\infty$ implies $-1/[t] \sum_{p=0}^{[t]} u_p \in \Pi^\infty$ and [7], Theorem 1, then yields $1-F(n) \in RVS_{-1}^\infty$.

As an application of the foregoing we can sharpen the result concerning the limit distribution of the residual waiting time. Following Example (b) of [6], p. 332, and the above results it is easy to prove

$$(i) \quad 1-F(n) \in RVS_{-\alpha}^\infty (\alpha > 1) \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_n(r) - \frac{1}{\mu}(1-F(r-1))}{n(1-F(n))} = \frac{1-F(r-1)}{\mu^2(\alpha-1)}$$

$$(ii) \quad 1-F(n) \in RVS_{-1}^\infty \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_n(r) - \frac{1-F(r-1)}{m(n)}}{n(1-F(n))m^{-2}(n)} = 0$$

with $W_n(r) \triangleq \Pr \{ \text{first occurrence of } \varepsilon \text{ after the } n\text{th trial takes place at the } (n+r)\text{th trial} \}$.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank L. de Haan for his comments and criticism.

References

[1] BOROVKOV, A. A. (1976) *Stochastic Processes in Queueing Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
 [2] DE HAAN, L. (1970) *On Regular Variation and its Application to the Weak Convergence of Sample Extremes*. Mathematical Centre Tract **32**, Amsterdam.
 [3] DE HAAN, L. AND RESNICK, S. I. (1979) Conjugate π -variation and process inversion. *Ann. Prob.* **7**, 1028-1035.
 [4] ERDÖS, P., POLLARD, H. AND FELLER, W. (1949) A property of power series with positive coefficients. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55**, 201-204.
 [5] ERICKSON, K. B. (1970) Strong renewal theorems with infinite mean. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **151**, 263-291.

- [6] FELLER, W. (1970) *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, Vol. 1. Wiley, New York.
- [7] FRENK, J. B. G. (1982) Renewal functions and regular variation.
- [8] GARSIA, A. AND LAMPERTI, J. (1962/63) A discrete renewal theorem with infinite mean. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **37**, 221–234.
- [9] KAWATA, T. (1972) *Fourier Analysis in Probability Theory*. Academic Press, New York.
- [10] KOLMOGOROV, A. N. (1937) Markov chains with a countable number of possible states (in Russian). *Bull. Mosk. Gos. Univ. Math. Mekh.* **1** (3), 1–15.
- [11] NICULESCU, S. P. (1979) Extension of a renewal theorem. *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Romania* **3**, 289–292.
- [12] PITMAN, E. J. G. (1968) On the behaviour of the characteristic function of a probability distribution in the neighbourhood of the origin. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **8**, 423–443.
- [13] ROGOZIN, B. A. (1973) An estimate of the remainder term in limit theorems of renewal functions. *Theory Prob. Appl.* **18**, 662–677.
- [14] WEISSMAN, I. (1976) A note on Bojanic–Seneta theory of regularly varying functions. *Math. Z.* **151**, 29–30.
- [15] WIDDER, D. V. (1972) *The Laplace Transform*. Princeton University Press.