



What determines drop out in prospective studies of coronary heart disease risk factors between youth and young adulthood: the Young Hearts Study

F J van Lenthe, C A Boreham, J W R Twisk, M J Savage, L Murray and G Davey Smith

J. Epidemiol. Community Health 2001;55:681-682
doi:10.1136/jech.55.9.681

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/55/9/681>

These include:

References

This article cites 3 articles, 2 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/55/9/681#BIBL>

1 online articles that cite this article can be accessed at:
<http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/55/9/681#otherarticles>

Rapid responses

You can respond to this article at:
<http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/55/9/681>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

Notes

To order reprints of this article go to:
<http://www.bmjournals.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* go to:
<http://www.bmjournals.com/subscriptions/>

What determines drop out in prospective studies of coronary heart disease risk factors between youth and young adulthood: the Young Hearts Study

F J van Lenthe, C A Boreham, J W R Twisk, M J Savage, L Murray, G Davey Smith

The growing recognition of the importance of early life factors in the development of coronary heart disease (CHD) may increase prospective research in this period of life. From a methodological point of view, drop out of subjects, particularly when this is selective, is a major threat to the validity of the results. Specific information about determinants of drop out in current studies may therefore yield important information for future studies. We have analysed risk of drop out in the Young Hearts Project, a prospective study in Northern Ireland.¹

Methods and Results

The Young Hearts Project is a prospective cohort study, which started in two cohorts of 12 year old boys (n=251) and girls (n=258) and 15 year old boys (n=252) and girls (n=254) in Northern Ireland (overall response rate 78%) in 1988. Its aim and design have been described elsewhere in detail.¹ In 1992/93 these children were re-examined under identical conditions. In 1997 the third wave of data collection was carried out in participants mean age 21 years (cohort 1) and 24 years (cohort 2). In cohort 1 and 2 data were obtained from 49.9% (boys: n=135; girls: n=119) and 46.4% (boys: n=116; girls: n=119) respectively of the subjects included at baseline. We created a dichotomous variable thereby distinguishing those at baseline who were still in the study

nine years later from those who were in the study initially but who dropped out of the study. Logistic regression analysis was carried out to investigate if less than good perceived general health, sociodemographic (sex and socioeconomic position), biological (birth weight, body height, body weight, sum of four skinfolds, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, total serum cholesterol, high density lipoproteins and cardiorespiratory fitness (measured by the 20 metre shuttle run test) and behavioural (physical inactivity, total energy intake, and intake of fat, cholesterol, fruit and vegetable) risk indicators of coronary heart disease at baseline were associated with risk of drop out. Indicators univariately associated with risk of drop out ($p < 0.20$) were entered in a multivariate analyses; final models were derived using a backward elimination procedure.

Table 1 presents the univariate and multivariate associations for the final models. Consistent findings in both cohorts are the significantly increased odds ratio for those in the manual compared with the non-manual groups. Odds ratios of drop out increased by increasing sum of skinfolds (in cohort 1) and increasing systolic blood pressure (in cohort 2). In both cohorts risk of drop out was associated with birth weight; however, an increased odds ratio was found in subjects in the lower tertile of birth weight in cohort 1 and the upper tertile

Department of Public Health, Erasmus University Rotterdam, PO Box 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam, the Netherlands
F J van Lenthe

Applied Medical Sciences and Sports Studies, University of Ulster, Northern Ireland
C A Boreham

Institute for Research in Extramural Medicine, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, the Netherlands
J W R Twisk

Department of Child Health, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast, Northern Ireland
M J Savage

Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Queen's University of Belfast, Northern Ireland
L Murray

Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol, United Kingdom
G Davey Smith

Correspondence to:
Dr van Lenthe
(vanlenthe@mgz.fgg.eur.nl)

Accepted for publication
16 March 2001

Table 1 Determinants of drop out in the Young Hearts Project between the first (1988) and third (1997) wave of data collection in boys and girls 12 and 15 years of age at baseline

	Number	Univariate		Multivariate	
		Odds ratio (95% CI)	p	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p
<i>Cohort 1 (12 years at baseline)</i>					
Socioeconomic position			0.00		0.00
Non-manual	280	1.00		1.00	
Manual	178	1.97 (1.35, 2.88)		1.93 (1.31, 2.84)	
Missing	51	3.79 (1.96, 7.32)		3.78 (1.93, 7.37)	
Birth weight (100 g)			0.01		0.03
Normal	156	1.00		1.00	
Low (<3118 g)*	155	1.22 (0.78, 1.90)		1.12 (0.70, 1.77)	
High (>3540 g)	154	0.62 (0.40, 0.98)		0.62 (0.39, 0.99)	
Missing	44	1.51 (0.76, 2.99)		1.45 (0.72, 2.91)	
Sum of skinfolds (cm)	509	1.12 (1.02, 1.23)	0.02	1.13 (1.02, 1.25)	0.02
<i>Cohort 2 (15 years at baseline)</i>					
Socioeconomic position			0.01		0.02
Non-manual	253	1.00		1.00	
Manual	189	1.79 (1.22, 2.62)		1.75 (1.18, 2.60)	
Missing	64	1.45 (0.83, 2.51)		1.28 (0.72, 2.26)	
Birth weight (100 g)			0.06		0.01
Normal	155	1.00		1.00	
Low (<3180 g)*	157	1.33 (0.85, 2.07)		1.21 (0.76, 1.92)	
High (>3629 g)	154	1.80 (1.15, 2.83)		2.12 (1.32, 3.41)	
Missing	40	1.77 (0.88, 3.60)		2.18 (1.05, 4.52)	
Body height (cm)	506	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.11	0.97 (0.94, 0.99)	0.05
Systolic blood pressure (10 mm Hg)	506	1.29 (1.11, 1.49)	0.00	1.36 (1.16, 1.59)	0.00

*Values based on tertiles, with missing values included as a separate group.

in cohort 2. There seemed to be no statistically significant associations between behavioural risk indicators of coronary heart disease and risk of drop out.

Comments

We described the profile of children at increased risk of drop out. Clearly, there is no information as to whether these children still have the same risk profile in young adulthood. Tracking studies however predict a relative stability in risk factors in age and sex specific populations over time.² Hence, there are reasons to expect that those who dropped out of the study are likely to show the same risk profile in young adulthood as they did in childhood.

In non-response research refusal, illness and having moved to another school were given as main reasons for drop out between the first and second period of measurement.³ Unfortunately, no such information is available between the second and third wave of data collection.

In our study, socioeconomic position seemed to be a main determinant of drop out. Interestingly, there is increasing awareness of the need

to study socioeconomic inequalities in coronary heart disease from a life course perspective.⁴ Our findings suggest that socioeconomic inequalities in coronary heart disease risk indicators in early life may be underestimated as a consequence of selective drop out by socioeconomic position (and some coronary heart disease risk indicators). While further investigating the life course perspective, researchers need to pay particular attention to those in lower socioeconomic groups with respect to adherence to the study.

Funding: this study is financially supported by the Northern Ireland Chest, Heart and Stroke Association, the British Heart Foundation and Wellcome Trust.

Conflicts of interest: none.

- 1 Boreham C, Savage JM, Primrose D, et al. Coronary risk factors in schoolchildren. *Arch Dis Child* 1993;68:182-6.
- 2 Twisk JWR, Kemper HCG, Mechelen W van, et al. Tracking of risk factors for coronary heart disease over a 14-year period: a comparison between lifestyle and biologic risk factors with data from the Amsterdam Growth and Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1997;145:888-98.
- 3 Twisk JWR, Boreham C, Cran G, et al. Clustering of biological risk factors for cardiovascular disease and the longitudinal relationship with lifestyle of an adolescent population: The Northern Ireland Young Hearts Project. *J Cardiovasc Risk* 1999;6:355-62.
- 4 Davey Smith G, Hart C, Gilles C, et al. Lifetime socioeconomic position and mortality: prospective observational study. *BMJ* 1997;314:547-52.

Browsing made easy

Collections

With a single click Collections allows you to find all articles that have been published in your chosen subject. Select from over 200 clinical and non-clinical topic collections and/or cross search other specialist journals, the BMJ and Cochrane Reviews

www.jech.com